

3D surface properties of glacier penitentes over an ablation season, measured using a Microsoft Xbox Kinect.

Lindsey. I. Nicholson¹, Michał. Pełlicki^{2,3}, Ben. Partan⁴, and Shelley. MacDonell³

¹ *Institute of Atmospheric and Cryospheric Sciences, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria*

² *Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Księcia Janusza 64, 01-452 Warsaw, Poland*

³ *Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Zonas Áridas (CEAZA), La Serena, Chile*

⁴ *University of Maine, Orono, USA*

Correspondence to: L. I. Nicholson (lindsey.nicholson@uibk.ac.at)

Abstract. In this study, the first small-scale digital surface models (DSMs) of natural penitentes on a glacier surface were produced using a Microsoft Xbox Kinect sensor on Tapado Glacier, Chile (30°08'S; 69°55'W). The surfaces produced by the complete processing chain were within the error of standard terrestrial laser scanning techniques, but insufficient overlap between scanned sections that were mosaicked to cover the sampled areas can result in three-dimensional positional errors of up to 0.3 m. Between November 2013 and January 2014 penitentes become fewer, wider, deeper, and the distribution of surface slope angles becomes more skewed to steep faces. Although these morphological changes cannot be captured by manual point measurements, mean surface lowering of the scanned areas was comparable to that derived from manual measurements of penitente surface height at a minimum density of 5 m⁻¹ over a 5 m transverse profile. Roughness was computed on the 3D surfaces by applying two previously published geometrical formulae; one for a 3D surface and one for single profiles sampled from the surface. Morphometric analysis shows that skimming flow is persistent over penitentes, providing conditions conducive for the development of a distinct microclimate within the penitente troughs. For each method a range of ways of defining the representative roughness element height was used, and the calculations were done both with and without application of a zero displacement height offset to account for the likelihood of skimming air flow over the closely-spaced penitentes. The computed roughness values are in the order of 0.01-0.10 m during the early part of the ablation season, increasing to 0.10-0.50 m after the end of December, in line with the roughest values previously published for glacier ice. Both the 3D surface and profile methods of computing roughness are strongly dependent on wind direction. However, the two methods contradict each other in that the maximum roughness computed for the 3D surface coincides with airflow across the penitente lineation while maximum roughness computed for sampled profiles coincides with airflow along the penitente lineation. These findings highlight the importance of determining directional roughness and wind direction for strongly aligned surface features and also suggest more work is required to determine appropriate geometrical roughness formulae for linearized features.

1. Introduction

Penitentes are spikes of snow or ice, ranging from a few centimetres up to several metres in height that can form during the ablation season on snowfields and glaciers. They are a common feature of high elevation, low-latitude glaciers and snowfields (e.g. Hastenrath and Koci, 1981; Corripio and Purves, 2005; Winkler et al., 2009) where very low humidity, persistently cold temperatures and sustained high solar radiation favour their development (Lliboutry, 1954). As cryospheric water resources are relatively important to local dry season water supply in arid mountain ranges (Kaser et al., 2010), there is potential value in understanding how penitentes might influence both runoff and atmospheric humidity.

40 Penitentes form linearized, inclined fins of snow or ice on the surface. Both the latitudinal range (within 55° of the
41 equator on horizontal surfaces) and geometry (aligned with the arc of the sun across the sky, and tilted toward the
42 sun at local noon) of penitentes are governed by solar-to-surface geometry (Lliboutry, 1954; Hastenrath and Koci,
43 1981; Bergeron et al., 2006; Cathles et al., 2014). During the initial stages of penitente development, ablation is
44 thought to proceed by sublimation alone driven by the low atmospheric humidity. Surface irregularities focus
45 reflected solar radiation within depressions (Amstutz, 1958; Corripio and Purves, 2005; Lhermitte et al., 2014;
46 Claudin et al., 2015) such that the energy receipts, and consequently ablation, are enhanced in the hollow and the
47 surface irregularity becomes amplified. Subsequently, as the surface relief increases, a more humid microclimate is
48 thought to develop in the hollows between penitentes, suppressing sublimation and allowing melting in the
49 depressions. Meanwhile, the penitentes tips continue to ablate by sublimation alone (Lliboutry, 1954; Drewry, 1970;
50 Claudin et al., 2015) and, as melting requires approximately an eighth of the energy of sublimation to remove the
51 same amount of ice, the spatial differentiation of ablation process between penitente trough and tip is very effective
52 at amplifying the penitente surface relief.

53 The altered partitioning of ablation between sublimation and melting that occurs in penitente fields, as compared to
54 surfaces without penitentes (e.g. Lliboutry, 1998; Winkler et al., 2009; Sinclair and MacDonell, 2015), is expected
55 to alter the rate of mass loss and meltwater production of snow and icefields during the ablation season, but this has
56 not yet been fully quantified. Previous studies, based on modelling idealized penitente surfaces, have investigated
57 the impact of penitentes on the shortwave radiative balance, and suggest that penitentes reduce effective albedo by
58 up to 40% compared to flat surfaces (Warren et al, 1998; Corripio and Purves, 2005; MacDonell et al., 2013; Cathles
59 et al., 2014; Lhermitte et al., 2014). In addition to altering the radiative properties of the surface, the development of
60 penitentes also manifestly alters the surface roughness properties, but neither the impact of penitentes on surface
61 roughness, nor the associated impact on turbulent energy fluxes has been investigated. The roughness of snow and
62 ice surfaces is particularly prone to varying in space and time (e.g. Smeets et al., 1999; Brock et al., 2006; Fassnacht
63 et al., 2009b). Wind profile measurements over linearized sastrugi surface features shows that the derived
64 aerodynamic roughness length varied from 1-70 mm over a 120° range of impinging wind direction (Jackson and
65 Carroll, 1978). While penitentes are a relatively rare form of linearized surface feature, linear crevasses are
66 widespread, and penitentes offer a unique test bed for investigating the significance of linearized features on
67 effective surface roughness for various wind directions.

68 Measurements of natural penitentes required to examine their morphometry and roughness are rare (e.g. Naruse and
69 Leiva, 1997), and difficult to obtain because the complex, and partially overhanging, surface prevents the use of
70 simplified automated tools such as photogrammetric determination of surface profile heights (e.g. Fassnacht et al.,
71 2009a; Manninen et al., 2012) or line-of-sight surveying from fixed positions. Recent advances in close-range
72 mobile depth-of-field sensors and efficient feature tacking software used in interactive computer gaming offer
73 potentially useful tools that can be applied to resolve such problems in earth science (e.g. Mankoff and Russo,
74 2013). In this study a Microsoft Xbox Kinect sensor is used as a close-range mobile distance ranger to produce a
75 series of small-scale digital surface models (DSMs). The method of DSM generation is evaluated against standard
76 terrestrial laser scanning, and the Kinect-derived DSMs of the penitentes are used to (i) perform the first detailed
77 examination of the morphometry of natural penitentes over the course of an ablation season; (ii) compare the volume
78 change computed from DSM differencing with estimates based on manual measurements of surface lowering and
79 (iii) examine the geometrical roughness properties of the sampled penitente surfaces.

80 2. Methods

81 2.1 Description of fieldsite

82 Tapado Glacier (30°08'S; 69°55'W) lies in the upper Elqui Valley of the semi-arid Andes of the Coquimbo Region
83 of Chile (Fig 1). The glacier is relatively accessible and is known to develop penitentes every summer (Sinclair and
84 MacDonell, 2015). Two separate study areas were analysed. Firstly, a test site was established at a patch of snow
85 penitentes within a dry stream bed at 4243 m a.s.l. in the glacier foreland (Fig 1). This site was used to (i) test
86 instrumental setups in order to optimize the field operation of the Kinect sensor, and (ii) compare the performance of
87 the Kinect sensor against a Terrestrial Laser Scanner (TLS). This location was chosen due to the logistical
88 difficulties of transporting the TLS to the glacier. Subsequently, two study plots were established at an elevation of
89 4774 m a.s.l. on the glacier ablation zone (Fig 1). These sites were scanned repeatedly with the Xbox Kinect (see
90 section 2.3) during the core ablation season between the end of November 2013 and the beginning of January 2014.
91 The location and layout of the two glacier sites is shown in Fig 1a. Site A (5 m by 2 m) was measured four times, on
92 25 November, 11 December, 20 December and 3 January. Site B (2 m by 2 m) was only measured on the last three
93 dates. The corners of the study sites were marked with 2 m lengths of plastic plumbing piping hammered vertically
94 into the snow, or drilled into the ice (Fig 1c). In order to locate the study sites in space and to provide a common
95 reference for each survey date, marker stake positions were measured using a Trimble 5700 differential GPS with
96 Zephyr antenna on the 25th November, with a base station in the glacier foreland. On each visit to the glacier, when
97 possible, the stakes were hammered further into the snow and the resultant lowering of the stake top was noted. The
98 maximum standard deviations of the GPS stake positions were < 1.0 cm, 1.1 cm and 1.7 cm in easting, northing and
99 elevation respectively, with combined XYZ standard deviation < 2.0 cm for all stakes (Supplement A). Error on the
100 manual measurements of height offsets of the marker stakes on subsequent survey dates is conservatively estimated
101 to be 2.0 cm. This results in total positional errors of the ground control points at each scan date of between 2.3 and
102 2.7 cm depending on the stake. Manual measurements of surface lowering were made along the eastern long side of
103 site A. All surfaces heights were referenced to the elevation of the glacier surface at the upglacier end of this cross
104 profile at the date of installation. An automatic weather station (AWS) on a free-standing tripod was installed beside
105 the two glacier plots to provide meteorological context for the measurements (Fig 1).

106 2.2 Terrestrial laser scanning

107 At the test site surface scans produced by the relatively new Kinect sensor were compared with those produced by
108 the well-established TLS method. The TLS system used was an Optech ILRIS-LR scanner, which is a long-range
109 terrestrial laser scanner especially suitable for surveying snow and ice surfaces as it has a shorter wavelength laser
110 beam (1064 nm) than other models. This equipment surveys surface topography based on time-of-flight
111 measurement of a pulsed laser beam reflected to a given angle by a system of two rotating mirrors. It has a raw
112 range accuracy of 4 mm at 100 m distance, raw angular accuracy of 80 μ rad, beam diameter of 27 mm at 100 m
113 distance and beam divergence of 250 μ rad. The instrument was placed in five locations around the surveyed snow
114 patch and boulder, overlooking it from different directions. Positions of the TLS were measured with Trimble 5700
115 differential GPS with Zephyr antennae in static mode. Seventeen point clouds were obtained with nominal
116 resolution of 0.11-0.75 cm. Resulting point clouds were corrected for atmospheric conditions (pressure, temperature
117 and humidity) and trimmed with ILRIS Parser software, aligned with Polyworks IMAlign software into a common
118 local coordinate system and georeferenced with differential GPS measurements using Polyworks IMInspect
119 software. The alignment error of the point clouds as estimated by this software is 0.36-0.87 cm and comparison with
120 ground control points gives an error of 5.65 cm. The TLS scan of the snow penitentes is presented as an example of
121 the nature of the DSM that can be obtained within a penitente field using TLS (Fig 2). Unfortunately, the scans of
122 snow penitentes could not be carried out with both the TLS and Kinect on the same day, so direct comparison of the
123 TLS and Kinect scans is instead performed on a reference boulder within the test site, whose surface is assumed
124 unchanged between different scan dates.

125 **2.3 Kinect surface scanning**

126 The Kinect sensor emits a repeated pattern of structured infra-red (IR) beams, and records the pattern distortion with
127 an IR camera. The depth-of-field calculation is performed via a proprietary algorithm and a distance map is the raw
128 data output. Using the standard calibration the static raw depth field resolution of the Kinect is 1 mm and the
129 distance error is < 1.0 cm at the distance range of the penitente scans (Mankoff and Russo, 2013).

130 For its original gaming usage, the Kinect is in a fixed position and proprietary software uses feature tracking to track
131 the movements of players moving within the field of view of the Kinect. However, the inverse of this workflow can
132 also be applied whereby the Kinect sensor is moved interactively around a static surface or 3D body, using the same
133 feature tracking to compute the position of the sensor relative to the object and thereby allowing a point cloud
134 reconstruction of the object. In this work we apply the second work flow and sample Kinect data using the
135 ReconstructMe™ 2.0 software package. In common with alternative reconstruction packages that are compatible
136 with the Kinect, ReconstructMe™ performs bilateral filtering on the output depth map frame and converts the pixel
137 version of each depth map frame to 3D coordinate maps of vertices and normals. An iterative closest point (ICP)
138 alignment algorithm is then applied frame by frame at three scales to repeatedly rotate and translate the depth field
139 to determine camera position and an aligned surface, giving weighted preference to portions of the surface that are
140 perpendicular to the line of sight. The ReconstructMe™ software has the advantage of producing surface meshes in
141 real-time, so that the operator can check the scan quality and coverage at the time of capture, but the disadvantage
142 that the raw point cloud is not saved and if the real-time tracking is lost a new scan must be started.

143 The Xbox Kinect was connected via a 5m powered USB extension cord to an MSI GE60 gaming laptop, powered
144 using a 240V 600W inverter connected to the 160Ah 12V battery of the automatic weather station on the glacier.
145 Scans were carried out by two people; one moving the Kinect across the penitente field and the other monitoring the
146 quality of the surface being generated on screen. In bright conditions, the return IR signal of the Kinect is swamped
147 by natural radiation over snow and ice surfaces, which reflect a high proportion of incident shortwave radiation, and
148 absorb or scatter much of the longwave radiation signal. Therefore, scanning was carried out at twilight or just after
149 nightfall. Sudden movements caused by the operator slipping or the snow compacting underfoot resulted in the
150 ReconstructMe software losing its tracking of common reference points. Consequently, each study site was scanned
151 in small sections and three to thirteen overlapping surface meshes were used to cover the area of each study site.

152 **2.4 Kinect surface mesh processing**

153 The full mesh processing procedure using the freely available Meshlab software is presented in Supplement B, and
154 briefly described here. Small surface components, unreferenced and duplicated vertices were removed from the
155 meshes using inbuilt filters. The component meshes that cover each sampling date at a single site were aligned using
156 an iterative closest point (ICP) algorithm which distributes the alignment error evenly across the resultant mosaicked
157 surface mesh. Alignment solutions consistently had mean distributed error < 4 mm (Supplement B). The aligned
158 meshes were flattened into a single layer, remeshed using a Poisson filter and resampled to reduce the point density
159 by setting a minimum vertex spacing of 2.5mm.

160 The surface mesh for each scan date was georeferenced in Polyworks software using the known coordinates of the
161 base of the marker stakes at the time of each scan because the upper portions of the symmetrical stakes are often
162 poorly captured by the meshing software. The local elevation zero was set to be the north-east corner of site A. The
163 mismatch evident in the georeferencing step (Table 1) is much larger than the mesh alignment error (Supplement B).
164 This is most likely an artifact of a combination of (i) reduced mesh quality at the margins of the component scans
165 and (ii) insufficient overlap between some scan sections producing distortion within the mesh alignment.

166 To eliminate the marker stakes and any data gaps near the margins of the study areas, each surface mesh was sub-
167 sampled within the staked area. The sub-sampled area for site A is a 2.0 by 3.5 m horizontal area (7.00 m²), and site

168 B is a 1.5 x 1.5 m horizontal area (2.25 m²) shown in the examples in Figure 3. Mesh vertices and an index file of
169 the vertices comprising each face were exported from Meshlab for subsequent analysis in Matlab software.

170 **2.5 Calculations of surface geometrical properties**

171 The geo2d and geo3d toolboxes (available from the Matlab File Exchange) were used to compute the face areas and
172 normals of the mesh, from which surface height distribution, aspect and dip of the sampled surface were calculated,
173 weighted by the ratio of each face area to the total surface area of all faces. As the surfaces contain overhanging
174 parts, DSM differencing cannot be performed by simple subtraction. Instead volumes for all surfaces were computed
175 relative to a baselevel horizontal reference. Volumes relative to this horizontal reference for upward-facing triangles
176 were computed column-wise, by projecting the area of each triangular face onto the reference surface and using the
177 height coordinate of the triangle centroid as the height dimension for each column. These were summed and
178 volumes for overhanging triangles, calculated in the same way, were subtracted to derive the total volume between
179 the reference surface and each scanned penitente surface. Successive volumes were subtracted to obtain the volume
180 change over each measurement interval.

181 **2.6 Manual measurements of surface change**

182 Traditional single-point stake measurements of glacier surface lowering are unreliable within the inhomogeneous
183 surface of a penitente field. One alternative is to measure surface lowering at intervals along a profile perpendicular
184 to the main axis of alignment of the penitentes. Such a reference was installed along the 5 m-long eastern margin of
185 site A, between two longer corner stakes drilled 3 m into the ice using a Kovacs hand drill. The distance between a
186 levelled string and the glacier surface was measured using a standard tape measure at 0.2 m intervals on 23
187 November. Subsequent measurements, on the 12 and 21 December and on 4 January, were made at 0.1 m intervals.
188 All measurements were recorded to the nearest centimetre, and the error on each measurement is estimated to be 2.0
189 cm, which is assumed to capture the error associated with the horizontal position of the measurements along the
190 reference frame and the vertical measurements of the distance to the surface beneath.

191 **2.7 Calculations of geometric surface roughness**

192 The aerodynamic roughness length (z_0) is the distance above the surface at which an extrapolation of a logarithmic
193 windspeed profile under neutral conditions towards the surface would reach zero. Over taller roughness elements the
194 level of momentum transfer between the airflow and the surface roughness elements is displaced upwards by a
195 distance, termed the zero-plane displacement (z_d). Above particularly rough surfaces, a roughness sub-layer is
196 formed in the lowest part of the surface layer within which surface roughness elements create a complex 3D flow
197 that is almost chaotic. Where roughness elements are widely spaced, the separated flow over obstacles reattaches to
198 the surface before the subsequent obstacle is reached. More closely packed roughness elements experience a wake
199 interference regime, and in the most densely packed arrays of roughness elements skimming flow occurs
200 (Grimmond and Oke, 1999). At the top of the roughness sublayer individual wakes caused by surface obstacles are
201 smeared out and the flow is independent of horizontal position, and thus, observations at this level represent the
202 integrated surface rather than individual surface obstacles. This level is known as the blending height (z_r). All these
203 properties are dependent on the size and arrangement of surface roughness elements.

204 As it is logistically challenging to deploy instrumentation to determine roughness parameters from atmospheric
205 profile or eddy covariance measurements on glacier surfaces, efforts have been made to instead use methods based
206 on properties such as radar backscatter (e.g. Blumberg and Greeley, 1993) or more readily measurable surface
207 terrain properties (e.g. Kondo and Yamazawa, 1986; Munro, 1989; Fassnacht et al., 2009a; Andreas, 2011).
208 Grimmond and Oke (1999) tested several methods of determining apparent aerodynamic properties from surface
209 morphometry in urban environments, which are among the roughest surface conditions encountered in the
210 atmospheric boundary layer, and found that morphometric determinations of surface roughness do not clearly

211 underperform in comparison with aerodynamic methods, suggesting that morphometric measurements of roughness
212 are worth pursuing.

213 There are a number of formulations for deriving z_0 from geometrical measurements. For example, the simplest
214 approach is to take the standard deviation of the surface elevations as a measure of roughness (Thomsen et al.,
215 2015). In this work, the surface meshes were analysed for roughness on the basis of a widely-used relationship
216 established by Lettau (1969), initially developed for isolated, regular obstacles distributed over a plane:

$$217 \quad z_0 = 0.5 h \left(\frac{s}{S} \right) \quad (1)$$

218 where h is the height of the obstacles, s is the upwind silhouette area of each obstacle and S is the specific area
219 occupied by each roughness element obstacle, also referred to as its lot area. The roughness values computed using
220 Equation 1 over 3D snow surfaces has been shown to vary widely depending on the methods of surface interpolation
221 used (Fassnacht et al., 2014), due to the influence on interpolation method on the unit surface area occupied by each
222 roughness element. However in this work the high resolution meshes used can be expected to adequately capture the
223 surface properties as no extrapolation or interpolation procedure is needed. Isolated roughness elements of regular
224 geometry distributed over a horizontal plane are a poor analogy for the irregular surface topography of a penitente
225 field, and the applicability of this formulation over penitentes has not been established. Nevertheless, we apply the
226 analysis as an illustration of the nature of the results generated from such an approach over penitentes and hope that
227 future aerodynamic roughness lengths obtained from micrometeorological measurements can be compared to these
228 morphometrically-derived ones. Macdonald and others (1998) state that for irregular obstacles h can be replaced by
229 average obstacle height, s with the sum of all the upwind silhouette areas, and S with the total area covered by the
230 obstacles. While the upwind silhouette area, and indeed surface area in any direction, is relatively easily defined for
231 each surface mesh area using trigonometry, it is difficult to define individual roughness elements and their
232 representative heights, due to the lack of an apparent base level. Here we first detrend the surfaces to remove any
233 general surface slope at the site, then compute the roughness for the detrended 3D meshes assuming that the
234 roughness elements cover the whole surface area (i.e $S = \text{plot area}$), and for four possible representations of average
235 obstacle height (h) as follows: (i) the maximum range of the detrended mesh; (ii) twice the standard deviation of the
236 detrended surface mesh; (iii) mean mesh height above the mesh minimum; and (iv) median mesh height above the
237 minimum.

238 These data are computed for illustrative purposes only as it is reported that Equation 1 fails when the roughness
239 element density exceeds 20-30% (Macdonald et al., 1998), as is expected for penitente fields. High density
240 roughness elements means that they interfere with the airflow around each other, and upwards displacement of the
241 zero wind velocity level means that effective roughness is a result of the roughness elements above this zero velocity
242 displacement plane, and the zero displacement height, gives an indication of the penetration depth of effective
243 turbulent mixing into the penitente field. Accordingly, we additionally present sample calculations of three-
244 dimensional roughness on the detrended surface meshes using three possible realizations of z_d , as, like h , z_d is also
245 unknown in the case of the penitente fields being sampled. In the first case, z_d is taken to be h , in the second $2/3 h$,
246 which is a widely used standard in forests and other complex terrain applications (Brutsaert, 1975), and in the third
247 $1/3 h$. Each z_d case is computed for the four realizations of h used as before. Equation 1, (for irregular obstacles) is
248 then applied to the roughness elements remaining above the plane of the general surface slope offset by a distance z_d
249 above the minimum height of the surface mesh. The representative height h for this portion of the mesh exceeding
250 the plane is taken to be the mean area-weighted height of all triangles above this plane, s is the summed frontal area
251 of all mesh triangles above z_d that face into the chosen wind direction and S is the total horizontal area of the surface
252 components above z_d .

253 Munro (1989, 1990) modified the formula of Lettau (1969) to be applied to a single irregular surface cross-section
254 of length X , sampled perpendicular to the wind direction. This modified formulation is easier to work with on a

255 glacier where the roughness elements are irregular, closely spaced, and generally poor approximations of objects
256 distributed over a plane. Instead of having to define an obstacle height above the plane, h is replaced with an
257 effective height h^* expressed as twice the standard deviation from the standardized mean profile height; s is replaced
258 with $h^*X/2f$, in which f is the number of profile sections that are above the mean elevation; and S is replaced with
259 $(X/f)^2$. This approach approximates the surface elevation profile as rectangular elements of equal size, and has been
260 shown to give results within 12% of the silhouette area determined by integrating between true topographic minima
261 (Munro, 1989). Importantly, roughness values derived this way over snow, slush and ice surfaces show reasonable
262 agreement with roughness values derived from wind profiles (Brock et al., 2006). To investigate the nature of the
263 roughness computed this way for north-south and east-west impinging wind directions, cross profiles longer than
264 1.5 m at 0.1 m intervals orientated E-W and N-S were extracted from each scanned surface. Cross-sections were
265 detrended to remove the influence of any general surface slope at the site, and roughness was computed on each of
266 these cross-sectional profiles following the modifications of Munro. Mean profile roughness for these two wind
267 directions are presented for each sampled surface.

268 **3. Results**

269 **3.1 Evaluation of the quality and suitability of penitente scans by TLS and Kinect**

270 The test site was well-developed snow penitentes 0.5 - 1.0 m in height in a channel (Fig 1b). TLS scans of these
271 penitentes were taken from five different vantage points above the penitentes. The penitente surface produced by the
272 TLS had surface slope ranging between -30 and 90 degrees, indicating that overhanging surfaces within the
273 penitente field can be captured. However the limitations of this conventional fixed-point scanning system in
274 capturing the penitente surfaces is illustrated by the fact that only 58% of the total surveyed horizontal area could be
275 scanned, as the deepest parts of the troughs were obscured from the view by the surrounding penitentes (Fig 2a). By
276 comparison, the hand-held, mobile nature of the Kinect means that the whole surface of the penitente field can be
277 captured as the field of view can be adjusted into almost limitless close-range positions, although the close range
278 Kinect sensor is impractical to apply over large areas.

279 For the direct comparison of the two methods on a reference boulder, the Kinect-derived surface, produced from
280 three mosaicked meshes was aligned to the surface produced from the TLS point clouds. The TLS scan was
281 incomplete, with parts of the top and overhanging surfaces of the boulder missing due to being obscured from the
282 TLS survey positions, while the Kinect scan achieved complete coverage of the boulder. The difference between the
283 two aligned meshes where overlapping data existed was always < 2 cm (Fig 2b), which is well within the error of
284 the georeferenced TLS surface model. Larger differences in Fig 2b, up to 5 cm, occur only where there are holes in
285 the surfaces being compared.

286 It is difficult to formally assess the total error of the surfaces produced by the Kinect scans because the workflow
287 involves several black box processing steps. The mean alignment errors of the mesh mosaicking step in Meshlab is
288 < 0.4 cm and quantifiable errors associated with the GPS positions, subsequent measurement of the stake bottom
289 positions relative to the GPS positions are all < 2.0 cm. However, the three-dimensional georeferencing error in this
290 study is large (Table 1) compared to the other sources and is therefore taken as a reasonable value for the error of the
291 total process chain. Errors given on the seasonal mass, volume and surface changes are based on summing the
292 squares of the mean elevation difference between the marker stakes and ground control points (GPCs) at each site on
293 the first and last survey dates.

294 **3.2 Meteorological conditions**

295 During the study period one significant snowfall event occurred on the 8th December 2013, when the sonic ranger
296 recorded a surface height increase of 0.09 m over the course of the day (Table 2). Surface albedo and temperature

297 are derived from radiation measurements that sample an area beneath the instrument. Surface temperature was
298 calculated from measured surface longwave emissions, assuming emissivity of 1. Over the study period, air
299 temperature and atmospheric longwave receipts increase, while albedo decreases and derived surface temperature
300 increases (Table 2). Thus, over the course of the study, atmospheric energy supply increases and surface properties
301 become more conducive to melting. The warming atmosphere is clearly expressed in the positive degree days of the
302 three periods which are 3.7, 2.2 and 31.5 over the 16, 9 and 14 day-long periods respectively. Hourly surface
303 temperatures exceed the melting point in 22, 38 and 43% of cases in each period respectively. Daily surface
304 lowering rates calculated between the hourly mean sensor-to-surface distance recorded by the AWS sonic ranger at
305 midnight at the end of the survey days indicates lowering rates of 17, 37 and 56 mm d⁻¹ over the three measurement
306 intervals, confirming that the increasing energy receipts translate into increasing rates of surface lowering at the
307 AWS.

308 **3.3 Areal scans of penitente surfaces**

309 Surface lowering rates derived from the calculated volume changes per unit area are 21, 41 and 70 mm d⁻¹ over each
310 interval at site A, and 57 and 61 mm d⁻¹ over the last two intervals at site B. Surface lowering calculated as the
311 difference between successive hypsometric mean mesh elevation for each site were within a few millimetres of the
312 volume computations: 22, 38 and 69 mm d⁻¹ for the three measured intervals at site A, and 54 and 60 mm d⁻¹ for the
313 last two intervals at site B. The total surface lowering over the whole available period computed by volume change
314 (hypsometric mean height change) was 1.68 (1.77) ± 0.11 m at site A and 1.37 (1.32) ± 0.38 m at site B. Surface
315 height changes recorded at site A over the same period as at site B were 1.35 (1.31) ± 0.21 m, indicating that the
316 values were repeatable at both sites. The volume loss was converted to mass loss using the mean snow density of
317 426 kg m⁻³ (with an assumed error of ± 5%) measured in a 1.10 m snow pit excavated on 22 November 2013 beside
318 the AWS. Mass loss at site A computed from mesh volume change (hypsometric height change) between 25
319 November and 3 January was 716 ± 58 (754 ± 59) kg m⁻². Mass loss at site B from mesh volume changes
320 (hypsometric height changes) between 11 December and 3 January was 582 (562) ± 166 kg m⁻². Measurements at
321 site A over the same period give mass loss of 573 (558) ± 95 kg m⁻², so again, measurements at both sites are within
322 error of each other.

323 The morphometry of the sampled penitentes changed visibly over the measured intervals (Figs 3 and 4). The strong
324 east-west lineation and preferred north and south surface aspect predicted from theory developed early and was
325 maintained throughout study period. Over time penitente troughs became fewer in number, but wider and deeper.
326 This causes total surface area to increase; at site A the true surface is between 1.7 and 4.0 times the horizontal
327 equivalent area, between 2.1 and 3.7 times the horizontal surface area equivalent and at site B (Fig 4 a & b).
328 Snowfall during the first measurement interval decreases the surface area at site A over that interval. Surface relief,
329 expressed by the vertical range of the mesh, also increases through time, except when snowfall partially filled the
330 developing penitentes and reduces both the range of the surface and the general slope angle. The largest part of the
331 surface is facing southwards, and the predominant angle generally steepens over time, though again this trend is
332 reversed by snowfall (Fig 4 c & d). From the onset of measurements the surface aspect distribution is strongly
333 dominated by north and south facing components and this becomes more pronounced in the latter measurements and
334 the preferred orientation rotates slightly over the course of the season (Fig 4 e & f).

335 **3.4 Manual measurements of reference cross-profile**

336 The surface properties from manual measurements were computed on data sampled at 0.2 m over 5.0 m. Maximum
337 relief of the sampled penitente profile, defined as the range of the distance from the horizontal reference to the
338 surface, increased over time from 0.76, through 0.83 and 1.00 to 1.38 m on each measurement date. The standard
339 deviation of the surface remained relatively unchanged with values of 0.24, 0.26, 0.28 and 0.32 m at each
340 measurement date. Surface lowering rate calculated by differencing the mean surface height along the profile on
341 each measurement data was 13, 57 and 61 mm d⁻¹ over the three sampled intervals, giving a total mean surface

342 lowering of 1.61 ± 0.14 m between 23 of November and 4 January. These manual measurements along the cross-
343 profile compare well to the aerially-averaged lowering rates from the scanned surfaces, despite the fact that the
344 manual measurements are made in only 2 dimensions, do not visually represent the complexity of the penitente
345 surfaces, and individual points are sometimes out of the range of error of the Kinect (Fig 5). The computed mass
346 loss over the same period is 688 ± 70 kg m⁻², which underestimates, but is within error of, the value for site A
347 derived from volume changes.

348 To investigate the impact of sampling resolution, maximum elevation range, mean surface height compared to the
349 horizontal reference and mean surface lowering were calculated from manual measurements at 0.1 (n = 52), 0.2 (n =
350 26), 0.4 (n = 14) and 1.0 m (n = 6) intervals on the last three measurement dates. The highest resolution sample was
351 taken as a reference against which to evaluate coarser sampling. Surface relief differed from that measured at 0.1 m
352 by maxima of 0.13, 0.29 and 0.41 m for 0.2, 0.4 and 1.0 m sampling intervals respectively. Mean measured surface
353 height was within 0.03 m of the highest resolution measurements at 0.2 m and 0.4 m intervals, and within 0.12 m at
354 1.0 m resolution. Mean lowering rates at 0.1, 0.2 and 0.4 m sampling intervals were all within 3 mm d⁻¹. This
355 increased to a maximum of 12 mm d⁻¹ when the sampling resolution was decreased to 1.0 m. Decreasing the length
356 of the sampled profile down to 2 m alters the mean lowering rate by less than 5 mm d⁻¹ at sampling resolutions of
357 0.1, 0.2 and 0.4 m.

358 Probing of the snow depth on 25 November indicated mean snow depth of 1.83 m (standard deviation 0.56 m). The
359 underlying ice surface does not appear to be influencing the structure of the overlying snow penitentes (Fig 5).
360 However, it is difficult to draw a firm conclusion based on these measurements, particularly as, while the surface of
361 the penitentes was still snow on the 3 January, in several instances the surface had lowered below the level of the ice
362 interface suggested by the initial probing.

363 **3.5 Surface roughness assessments**

364 Given that aerodynamic measurements to determine the most suitable representative height and zero displacement
365 level for penitentes are thus far unavailable, the approach taken here was to do an exploratory study and compute
366 geometric surface roughness values using various ways of expressing h and z_d . As a consequence the results are
367 purely illustrative and while patterns can be drawn from them that have meaning for understanding the nature of the
368 computation, the applicability of these values in turbulent exchange calculations remains to be established. The
369 representative height, h , used in the calculations increases over time in all cases, and is bounded by the maximum
370 case, taking h as range of the detrended surfaces, and the minimum case, taking h as twice the standard deviation of
371 the detrended surface (Fig 6). For clarity, the two intermediate values are not included in Fig 6. Differences in h
372 computed by the same method can reach as much as 0.2 m between the two sites, although the pattern of change
373 over time is consistent.

374 The application of Lettau's (1969) formula is considered to be invalid if the ratio of the frontal area to the planar
375 area of the obstacles exceeds 0.2 – 0.3, with 0.25 often being chosen as a single value. This ratio is greater than 0.2
376 for all of the penitente surfaces, and after the 20th December is always greater than 0.3. Exceeding this threshold
377 implies that the obstacles are so closely packed that 'skimming' airflow will occur. Ignoring this issue, calculated z_0
378 values increase with time and show a strong dependence on the impinging wind direction, with values peaking for
379 wind directions perpendicular to the alignment of the penitentes (Fig 7). Calculated z_0 ranges from 0.01 – 0.90 m,
380 depending on the way in which the representative height is expressed, the date and the wind direction (Fig 8).
381 However, given the close spacing of the penitentes it is likely more valid to explore what the calculated z_0 would be
382 when a zero displacement height offset is applied. Again, in the absence of validation data from independent
383 measurements, calculated values can be only indicative of the pattern of roughness computed by these methods.
384 Introducing the zero displacement height reduces the maximum calculated roughness by about half, and also reduces
385 the variability between different representative heights (Fig 8), as a smaller h value translates into a smaller z_d so
386 that the calculation is performed on a larger portion of the mesh.

387 Surface roughness assessments on the basis of calculations following Munro's modification for single profile
388 measurements were applied to cross profiles longer than 1.5 m yielding 20 (6) profiles orientated N-S and 33 (7) E-
389 W at site A (B). Surface amplitude increases over time, and the amplitude of the N-S running cross profiles is
390 generally larger than the E-W running cross profiles, as illustrated in the example of site B (Fig 9). Table 3 shows
391 the calculated roughness values at each survey date, revealing that while profile-computed roughness length
392 increases monotonically over time at site B, it reduces over the first period at site A, associated with snowfall during
393 this period. Both the range and relative increase in roughness over time is larger for the N-S running profiles. The
394 computed roughness at both sites is 4.3 to 6.8 times larger for airflow impinging on the penitente field in an E-W
395 direction than for airflow in the N-S direction. This is contrary to the results computed on the full 3D mesh surface,
396 but is understandable because this formulation relies on the amplitude of the surface, which is generally larger in the
397 N-S orientated cross profiles than the E-W running cross profiles.

398 **4. Discussion**

399 **4.1 Penitente morphology**

400 Although the natural penitentes sampled here are more convoluted than the parallel rows of penitentes used in model
401 representations (Corripio and Purves, 2005; Lhermitte et al., 2014), the morphometric properties of the meshes
402 broadly meet the properties of simplified surfaces. The penitente surface represents a much larger total surface area
403 than the equivalent non-penitente surface and the control of solar radiation on penitente morphology means that the
404 vast majority of the surface consistently dips steeply to the north and south at all stages of development. This means
405 that the angle of incidence of direct solar radiation is reduced, decreasing both the intensity of the solar beam and the
406 proportion of it that is absorbed. Although these effects are counteracted by multiple reflections of solar radiation
407 within the penitente (Corripio and Purves, 2005; Lhermitte et al., 2014; Claudin et al., 2015) modeled mean net
408 shortwave at sampled points in an example penitente field at the summer solstice at 33°S is about half of that of a
409 level surface (Corripio and Purves, 2005). However, given the larger surface area of the penitente field compared to
410 a flat surface, the total absorbed shortwave is a third higher in the modeled penitentes, broadly in line with the
411 observed effect of penitentes on spatially-averaged albedo (Warren et al, 1998; Corripio and Purves, 2005;
412 MacDonell et al., 2013; Cathles et al., 2014; Lhermitte et al., 2014). For idealized penitentes at 33°S during summer
413 solstice, modeled increase in net shortwave radiation over penitentes is not compensated by modelled changes in net
414 longwave radiation, meaning that the excess energy receipts must be compensated by either turbulent energy fluxes
415 or consumption of energy by melting (Corripio and Purves, 2005).

416 Unless a snowfall event occurs to partially fill the troughs, surface relief, slope angle, penitente spacing and total
417 surface area all increase over time as the penitentes develop and deepen. Thus the impact of penitentes on surface
418 properties will also change along with the morphological changes. At Tapado Glacier, penitentes are initially
419 overhanging to the north, and the southfacing sides are convex compared to the northfacing overhanging faces. Over
420 the season the penitentes become more upright as the noon solar angle gets higher. Idealized modelling based on
421 measurements at Tapado Glacier, shows that concave and convex slopes, as well as penitente size have been shown
422 to impact the apparent albedo as measured by ground and satellite sensors (Lhermitte, et al., 2014), and there may be
423 some value in assessing the impact of these morphometry changes on albedo over time. In the context of the
424 numerical theory of Claudin and others (2015), penitente spacing controls the atmospheric level at which water
425 vapor content is representative of the bulk surface properties. Simultaneous field or laboratory measurements of
426 penitente spacing evolution and vapor fluxes above the surface would be required to solidly confirm this, but the
427 spacing from the field measurements provided here can be used as an indication of the level at which measurements
428 would need to be made in order to capture the bulk surface fluxes rather than fluctuations governed by the small-
429 scale surface terrain.

430 **4.2 Methods of measuring change of rough glacier surface elements**

431 The test site for scanning penitentes with a TLS was chosen as scanning positions could be established on the
432 surrounding higher ground overlooking the penitente field, thereby offering the best viewing angles possible.
433 Nevertheless, the terrestrial laser scanning could only capture the upper portions of the penitentes. As ablation is at
434 its maximum in the troughs, TLS data is therefore not able to determine the true volume change of penitentes. The
435 coverage would be increased if a higher viewing angle could be achieved, but the steep, dense nature of penitente
436 fields makes it difficult to imagine where sufficient suitable locations can be found surrounding glaciers or
437 snowfields with penitentes. In contrast, the mobile Kinect sensor can be moved across the complex relief of the
438 penitente field to make a complete surface model. Although it is in principle possible to capture a large area with the
439 ReconstructMe software used here, and it offers the advantage of providing real time feedback on the mesh
440 coverage, it proved difficult to capture the study sites in a single scan given (i) the reduced signal range of the sensor
441 over snow and ice (Mankoff and Russo, 2013), and (ii) the difficulty of moving around the penitente field. As a
442 result, partial scans were obtained, with the disadvantage that subsequently combining these introduces a substantial
443 degree of additional error associated with alignment if the component scans were not of high quality at the margins,
444 or did not overlap adjacent scan areas sufficiently. A combination of these two techniques might allow the
445 extrapolation of small-scale geometry changes and volume loss determined from a Kinect surface scan to be
446 extrapolated usefully to the glacier or snowfield scale using measurements made with a TLS.

447 Despite not visually capturing the complex morphology of the penitentes, manual measurements of surface height
448 change in a penitente field along a profile cross-cutting the penitentes are robust for determining mean surface
449 lowering rates, and show good agreement to the volume changes computed from differencing the digital surface
450 models scanned in detail using a Kinect. Thus, the detailed surface geometry need not be known in order to
451 reasonably calculate the total volume loss over time within penitente fields. Comparison of the manual sampling at
452 different intervals suggests that five samples per meter is adequate to characterize surface change of penitentes, but
453 that data will be unreliable if the cross-profile is too short. Over the 39 days of the study, mass loss calculated from
454 26 points spaced at 0.2 m intervals along a 5 m profile crosscutting the penitentes differed from that calculated from
455 volume change computed on surface meshes consisting of over 1.3 million points and covering an area of 7 m² by
456 only 28 kg m⁻². Although this difference was within the error of the two measurement types, the seasonal difference,
457 assuming that this difference applies to a whole ablation season of 120 days would be 86 kg m⁻², and applied to the
458 whole glacier (3.6 km²) would amount to an underestimate of mass loss over an ablation season of 0.3 gigatonnes.
459 As a side note, the probing of snowdepth carried out as part of this study highlights the difficulty in identifying the
460 underlying ice surface, or summer ablation surface, within a penitente field, suggesting that a single location must be
461 sampled very densely to obtain a characteristic snowdepth by this method.

462 **4.3 Surface roughness**

463 The changing morphometry of the penitentes alters the geometrical surface roughness as they develop over the
464 ablation season. Values calculated using a single, simple, geometric relationship (Lettau 1969) were investigated
465 because a profile-based version of this formulation has previously been tested against aerodynamic measurements
466 over glacier surfaces (Munro, 1989, 1990; Brock et al., 2006). Certainly other relationships could be explored in the
467 context of linearized glacier features, but given the wide spread of values produced in previous comparisons such an
468 analysis might be of limited value in the absence of simultaneous aerodynamical investigations (Grimmond and
469 Oke, 1999). Furthermore, the results of Grimmond and Oke (1999) indicate that for the cities sampled, the Lettau
470 method gives z_0 values that are in the middle of the range of all the methods. The analysis of geometric
471 computations of roughness properties in Grimmond and Oke (1999) highlight the importance of correctly
472 determining z_d , and limited sensitivity analyses show the computed z_d and z_0 to be strongly dependent on the
473 dimensions of the obstacles. Lettau's (1969) formula, which does not account for z_d , overestimates roughness for
474 densely packed obstacles, but this does not compensate sufficiently to reproduce values of $z_d + z_0$ for densely packed

475 obstacles from formulations that include z_d in the computation of z_0 . Thus, Lettau's formula is expected to estimate
476 the zero velocity point of a logarithmic wind profile to be lower than formulations that include z_d in the computation
477 of z_0 .

478 The ratio of frontal to planar area of the penitentes implies that skimming flow prevails, such that turbulent airflow
479 in the overlying atmosphere does not penetrate penitente troughs. This is in agreement with the theory of formation
480 and growth of penitentes, in which the development and preservation of a humid microclimate within the penitente
481 troughs is required to facilitate differential ablation between the trough and tip of the penitente. Although the data
482 here shows that penitentes become less densely packed over time, skimming flow regime persists over the study
483 period, and available data is insufficient to determine if this holds true to the end of the ablation season.

484 Application of geometrical roughness equations is made more problematic in penitente fields as it is not clear how
485 an appropriate representative obstacle height should be expressed, nor how to define the zero displacement level
486 during skimming flow. Roughness calculated using a range of possible representations of these properties point
487 towards roughness values in the order of 0.01-0.10 m during the early part of the ablation season and 0.10-0.50 m
488 after the end of December. These values are in line with the roughest values previously published for glacier ice
489 (Smeets et al., 1999; Obleitner, 2000). The topographic analysis clearly shows that in the absence of intervening
490 snowfall events, this roughness increase is related to the deepening of the penitentes over time and an increase of the
491 surface amplitude. The pattern of the computed roughness properties is consistent between the two neighbouring
492 sites, but individual values can differ, suggesting that relief varies substantially over short distances and sampling a
493 large area is necessary to capture mean properties.

494 The strong alignment of penitentes means that calculated roughness is strongly dependent on wind direction.
495 Roughness calculated from 3D surface meshes are higher for wind impinging in a north-south direction, as the large
496 faces of the penitentes form the frontal area in this case. In contrast, roughness calculated for individual profiles
497 extracted from the mesh to mimic manual transect measurements in the field, is between 3 and 6 times larger for air
498 flow impinging in an east-west direction, than in a north-south direction. Neither approach has been evaluated
499 against independent surface roughness derived from atmospheric profile measurements over penitentes.
500 Consequently, although surface roughness calculations on the basis of profile geometry have been evaluated against
501 aerodynamic roughness over rough ice surfaces, the available data is insufficient to distinguish if maximum
502 aerodynamic roughness is associated with wind flowing across or along the penitente lineation. Thus it is not clear
503 which method captures the appropriate relationship between wind direction and surface roughness for calculating
504 turbulent fluxes over penitentes. In principle it sounds reasonable to expect airflow across the penitente lineation to
505 maximize turbulence as the penitentes present a large surface area to the wind, yet, if skimming flow is established,
506 with the result that only the tips of the penitentes are determining the structure of the turbulence then roughness in
507 this direction would be strongly reduced, and perhaps even be less than for air flow along the penitente lineation, for
508 which the smaller frontal area reduces the likelihood of skimming flow. Further investigation of this in order to
509 quantify the impact of penitentes on turbulent fluxes for various airflow patterns requires measurement of turbulent
510 fluxes using eddy covariance or atmospheric profile methods, which would demonstrate the nature of the directional
511 roughness and establish the impact of penitentes on turbulent energy fluxes for different wind directions. Such
512 measurements would be best implemented in a manner which can sample all wind directions equally, and eddy
513 covariance systems for which analysis is limited to a sector of airflow centred around the prevailing airflow source,
514 might not be able to capture the nature of the directional dependence correctly.

515 Prevailing wind direction differs only slightly in each period with an increasing northwesterly component in the
516 second two periods compared to the first. This may be related to the occurrence of snow during the first period,
517 which is expected to alter thermally-driven valley wind systems. Over the whole study period wind direction is
518 predominantly from the south-easterly and north-westerly sectors, and swings through both extreme wind angles
519 used in the roughness calculations here (Fig 10). This indicates that the effective roughness at this site can be
520 expected to differ significantly over time depending on the wind direction.

521 In this study we did not explicitly compute the blending height as available formulae are dependent upon z_0 and z_d .
522 Estimates of the blending height independently from z_0 and z_d have been suggested to be 2.5 - 4.5 times h , as twice
523 the mean element spacing, or as combination of the height and spacing (see examples within Grimmond and Oke,
524 1999). Given that only atmospheric measurements above the blending height give representations of integrated
525 surface fluxes and conditions, the first approach would imply that aerodynamical or flux measurements over
526 penitentes would have to be carried out at considerable height above the surface to capture mean surface properties
527 rather than the effects of individual roughness elements. The mathematical model of Claudin and others (2015) gives
528 a characteristic length scale for the level at which the vapour flux is constant in horizontal space that is related to the
529 spacing of the penitentes. Interpreting this level as the blending height implies that the blending height might be
530 determined on the basis of spacing of penitentes alone, and that this in turn might contain useful data for
531 understanding the structure and efficiency of turbulence above penitentes. Exploring these ideas requires
532 information from detailed meteorological measurements as well as the geometrical information offered in this paper.

533 **5. Conclusion**

534 Surface scanning technology and software is an area of rapid development, and a number of potentially superior
535 alternative set-ups and data capture sensors and software is now available. This study demonstrates that the
536 Microsoft Kinect sensor can work successfully at close range over rough snow and ice surfaces under low light
537 conditions, and generate useful data for assessing the geometry of complex terrain and surface roughness properties.
538 The data collected offers the first detailed study of how the geometry of penitentes evolve through time, highlighting
539 the rate of change of surface properties over an ablation season that can serve as a guideline for parameterizing
540 surface properties required for energy and mass balance modelling of penitente surfaces.

541 The results confirm that even relatively crude manual measurements of penitente surface lowering are adequate for
542 quantifying the seasonal mass loss, which is good news for the validity of measurements of surface change on
543 glaciers with penitentes. However, further measurements and/or modelling studies are required to determine if the
544 mass loss from the expanded and convoluted surface of penitentes is enhanced or inhibited compared to mass loss in
545 the absence of penitentes.

546 Aerodynamical roughness properties and related metrics over very rough surfaces remain poorly quantified and both
547 geometric and meteorological determinations of these values show a wide spread; consequently it remains unclear
548 what the best methods to use are or what values modellers would be best to use (Grimmond and Oke, 1999). In this
549 context further study of penitentes offers a useful opportunity as (a) their morphometric evolution over time allows
550 various geometries to be evaluated by monitoring a single site, and (b) they offer a bridge between wind tunnel and
551 urban field experimentation of turbulence and roughness over extreme terrain. Although validity of surface
552 roughness calculations based on surface geometry remains to be established for penitentes, this study highlights that
553 (i) skimming flow is expected to persist over penitentes field, but is more likely under wind directions perpendicular
554 to the penitente alignment; (ii) z_d is certainly greater than zero, and while the depth of penetration of surface layer
555 turbulence into a penitente field is not clearly established it is likely to evolve with the developing penitentes, and
556 values of $z_d \sim 2/3h$ give results that are theoretically reasonable in the framework outlined by Grimmond and Oke
557 (1999); (iii) the two methods of geometric computation of surface roughness applied here give conflicting results as
558 to whether the effective surface roughness of penitentes is greater for airflow along or across the penitente lineation
559 and (iv) more complete understanding of the impact of penitentes on the turbulent structure, its evolution in time,
560 and its directional dependency, would require atmospheric measurements with no directional bias concurrent with
561 measurements of penitentes morphology.

562 Potential future applications and analyses of the surfaces generated in this study include (i) using surface properties
563 and roughness values as a guide for input into surface energy balance models; (ii) assessing the performance of
564 models against the measured volume loss over time and (iii) evaluating how well simplified representations of

565 penitente surfaces used in small scale radiation models and turbulence models capture the real-world complexity.
566 Such studies would help establish the nature of the likely micro-climatic distribution of the surface energy balance
567 within a real penitente field, and as a result the impact of penitentes on runoff and exchange of water vapour with
568 the atmosphere.

569 **Author contributions.** LN designed the study. Fieldwork was carried out by LN and BP with MP providing the
570 TLS data. TLS and AWS equipment was provided by SM through collaboration with CEAZA. The data was
571 analysed by LN and MP. Preparation of the manuscript and figures was led by LN with contributions from all co-
572 authors.

573 **Acknowledgements.** Fieldwork for this study was funded by a National Geographic Waitt Grant awarded to L N
574 and S M. LN was supported by an Austrian Science Fund Elise Richter Grant (V309). MP was supported within
575 statutory activities No 3841/E-41/S/2016 of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland. International
576 cooperation was supported by the Centre for Polar Studies from the funds of the Polish Leading National Research
577 Centre (KNOW) in Earth Sciences (2014–18). Thanks are also due to Mathias Rotach for reading the paper prior to
578 submission.

579 **References**

- 580 Amstutz, G. C. (1958) On the formation of snow penitentes. *Journal of Glaciology*, 3 (24), 304-311.
- 581 Andreas, E. L. (2011). A relationship between the aerodynamic and physical roughness of winter sea ice. *Quarterly*
582 *Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 137(659), 1581–1588. doi:10.1002/qj.842
- 583 Bergeron, V., Berger, C., & Betterton, M. D. (2006). Controlled irradiative formation of penitentes. *Physical Review*
584 *Letters*, 96(9), 098502, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.098502
- 585 Blumberg, D., & Greeley, R. (1993). Field studies of aerodynamic roughness length. *Journal of Arid Environments*.
586 25(1), 39-48. doi:10.1006/jare.1993.1041
- 587 Brock, B. W., Willis, I. C., & Sharp, M. J. (2006). Measurement and parameterization of aerodynamic roughness
588 length variations at Haut Glacier d’Arolla, Switzerland. *Journal of Glaciology*, 52(177), 281–297.
589 doi:10.3189/172756506781828746
- 590 Brutsaert, W. (1975). A theory for local evaporation (or heat transfer) from rough and smooth surfaces at ground
591 level. *Water Resources Research*, 11(4), 543–550.
- 592 Cathles, L. M., Abbot, D. S., & MacAyeal, D. R. (2014). Intra-surface radiative transfer limits the geographic extent
593 of snow penitents on horizontal snowfields. *Journal of Glaciology*, 60(219), 147–154. doi:10.3189/2014JoG13J124
- 594 Claudin, P., Jarry, H., Vignoles, G., Plapp, M., & Andreotti, B. (2015). Physical processes causing the formation of
595 penitentes. *Physical Review E*, 92(3), 033015. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.92.033015
- 596 Corripio, J. G., & Purves, R. S. (2005). Surface energy balance of high altitude glaciers in the Central Andes: the
597 effect of snow penitentes. In: De Jong C., Collins D.N. and Ranzi, R. (Eds) *Climate and Hydrology in Mountain*
598 *Areas*. Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 15-27. Drewry, D. J. (1970). Snow penitents. *Weather*, 25(12), 556.
- 599 Drewry, D. J. (1970). Snow penitents. *Weather*, 25(12), 556.

600 Fassnacht, S. R., Oprea, I., Borlekse, G., & Kamin, D. (2014). Comparing Snowpack Surface Roughness Metrics
601 with a Geometric-based Roughness Length. In Proceedings of the AGU *Hydrology Days 2014* Conference (pp. 44–
602 52).

603 Fassnacht, S. R., Stednick, J. D., Deems, J. S., & Corrao, M. V. (2009a). Metrics for assessing snow surface
604 roughness from Digital imagery. *Water Resources Research*, 45, W00D31 doi:10.1029/2008WR006986

605 Fassnacht, S. R., Williams, M. W., & Corrao, M. V. (2009b). Changes in the surface roughness of snow from
606 millimetre to metre scales. *Ecological Complexity*, 6(3), 221–229. doi:10.1016/j.ecocom.2009.05.003

607 Grimmond, C. S. B., & Oke, T. R. (1999). Aerodynamic Properties of Urban Areas Derived from Analysis of
608 Surface Form. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 38(9), 1262–1292.

609 Hastenrath, S., & Koci, B. (1981). Micro-morphology of the snow surface at the Quelccaya ice cap, Peru. *Journal of*
610 *Glaciology*, 27(97), 423–428.

611 Jackson, B. S., & Carroll, J. J. (1978). Aerodynamic roughness as a function of wind direction over asymmetric
612 surface elements. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 14(3), 323–330. doi:10.1007/BF00121042

613 Kaser, G., Großhauser, M., & Marzeion, B. (2010). Contribution potential of glaciers to water availability in
614 different climate regimes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(47), 20223–20227.
615 doi:10.1073/pnas.1008162107

616 Kondo, J., & Yamazawa, H. (1986). Aerodynamic roughness over an inhomogeneous ground surface. *Boundary-*
617 *Layer Meteorology*, 35(1983), 331–348.

618 Lettau, H. (1969). Note on Aerodynamic Roughness-Parameter Estimation on the Basis of Roughness-Element
619 Description. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 8(5), 828-832.

620 Lhermitte, S., Abermann, J., & Kinnard, C. (2014). Albedo over rough snow and ice surfaces. *The Cryosphere*, 8(3),
621 1069–1086. doi:10.5194/tc-8-1069-2014

622 Lliboutry, L. (1954). The origin of penitents. *Journal of Glaciology*, 2, 331–338.

623 Lliboutry, L. (1998). Glaciers of Chile and Argentina. In, R. S. Williams and J. G. Ferrigno (Ed). Satellite image
624 atlas of glaciers of the world: South America. USGS Professional Paper 1386-I.

625 Macdonald, R. W., Griffiths, R. F. F., & Hall, D. J. J. (1998). An improved method for the estimation of surface
626 roughness of obstacle arrays. *Atmospheric Environment*, 32(11), 1857–1864. doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00403-2

627 MacDonell, S., Kinnard, C., Mölg, T., Nicholson, L. I., & Abermann, J. (2013). Meteorological drivers of ablation
628 processes on a cold glacier in the semi-arid Andes of Chile. *The Cryosphere*, 7(5), 1513–1526. doi:10.5194/tc-7-
629 1513-2013

630 Mankoff, K. D., & Russo, T. A. (2013). The Kinect: a low-cost, high-resolution, short-range 3D camera. *Earth*
631 *Surface Processes and Landforms*, 38(9), 926–936. doi:10.1002/esp.3332

632 Manninen, T., Anttila, K., Karjalainen, T., & Lahtinen, P. (2012). Automatic snow surface roughness estimation
633 using digital photos. *Journal of Glaciology*, 58(211), 993–1007. doi:10.3189/2012JoG11J144

634 Munro, D. S. (1989). Surface roughness and bulk heat transfer on a glacier: comparison to eddy correlation. *Journal*
635 *of Glaciology*, 35(121), 343–348.

636 Munro, D. S. (1990). Comparison of Melt Energy Computations and Ablatometer Measurements on Melting Ice and
637 Snow. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, 22(2), 153–162. doi:10.2307/1551300

638 Naruse, R. and Leiva, J. C. (1997) Preliminary study on the shape of snow penitentes at Piloto Glacier, the central
639 Andes. *Bulletin of Glacier Research*, 15, 99-104.

640 Obleitner, F. (2000). The energy budget of snow and ice at Breidamerkurjökull, Vatnajökull, Iceland. *Boundary-*
641 *Layer Meteorology*, 97(3), 385–410.

642 Sinclair, K. & MacDonell, S. (2015) Seasonal evolution of penitente geochemistry at Tapado Glacier, northern
643 Chile. *Hydrological Processes*, doi: 10.1002/hyp.10531.

644 Smeets, C. J. P. P., Duynkerke, P., & Vugts, H. (1999). Observed wind profiles and turbulence fluxes over an ice
645 surface with changing surface roughness. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 92(1), 99–121.

646 Thomsen, L., Stolte, J., Baartman, J., & Starkloff, T. (2014). Soil roughness : comparing old and new methods and
647 application in a soil erosion model, *Soil*, 1, 399-410. doi:10.5194/soil-1-399-2015

648 Warren, S. G., Brandt, R. E., & O’Rawe Hinton, P. (1998). Effect of surface roughness on bidirectional reflectance
649 of Antarctic snow. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103(E11), 25789–25807.

650 Winkler, M., Juen, I., Mölg, T., Wagnon, P., Gomez, J., & Kaser, G. (2009). Measured and modelled sublimation on
651 the tropical Glaciar Artesonraju, Peru. *The Cryosphere*, 3(1), 21–30.

652 **Supplementary material**

- 653
- 654
- 655
- 656
- 657
- 658
- A: GPS position of ground control points at each glacier site
 - B: Mesh surface components and processing steps used for Kinect surface scans
 - C: Comments and recommendations on the Kinect sampling strategy
 - D: Kinect surface meshes for both sites on all dates as .PLY files [sX_DDMM.PLY]
 - E: 3D viewer files of surfaces at site B can be seen interactively at:
<https://sketchfab.com/LindseyNicholson/folders/penitentes-on-glaciar-tapado-chile>