

Interactive  
Comment

## ***Interactive comment on “Microstructure-based modeling of snow mechanics: a discrete element approach” by P. Hagenmuller et al.***

**M. Hopkins (Referee)**

mark.alan.hopkins@gmail.com

Received and published: 21 May 2015

Review of Hagenmuller, et al.: Microstructure-based modeling of snow mechanics: a discrete element approach.

Reviewer: Mark Hopkins

This paper presents an interesting discrete element based dynamic microstructural snow model. The model snow microstructure was derived from  $\mu$ CT imagery and implemented by replacing each voxel of the  $\mu$ CT derived structure with a sphere whose diameter equals the width of the cubic voxel. Discrete elements or grains are defined by a preprocessing algorithm that delineates the location of necks or weak points in the structure. Only the surface spheres that cover the elements participate in interactions

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



[Interactive  
Comment](#)

between neighboring grains. The spheres at grain boundaries are linked by an elastic constitutive model that supports both tension and compression in the normal direction and shear in the tangential direction. Once the tensile strength of the bond is exceeded in response to the stresses that arise when the sample is loaded the cohesive bond is broken. Once broken the grain/spheres interact through a model that supports elastic compression and frictional sliding.

The DEM model is simple and avoids the complexity of a full polyhedral treatment. While this method creates some artificial roughness due to the inherent ‘bumpiness’ in grain-grain contacts, as the sphere radius  $> 0$  the bumpiness is diminished.

I have questions about the cohesive failure model. Does the failure occur in one time step? There appears to be no strain-softening? If not then the failure will send shock waves that radiate outward from the failure site. This is mitigated to some degree by the global damping that is imposed on the system. If this is the case then the problem is better addressed by the addition of strain-softening so that failure is attenuated over a number of time steps.

As an initial presentation of a new snow modeling technique this paper strikes a good balance between laying out the model details, exploring the sensitivity of the model to the grain-grain constitutive model, sphere diameter, sample size (RVE), and friction coefficient. Finally, results of large-strain uniaxial compression experiments on  $\mu$ CT derived samples having different densities and microstructures are presented. The comparison shows that sample density is a good proxy for a samples response to compression from initial elastic loading through failure. I am sure that the model can be used to study other loading states as well.

Comments:

P 1432 L 1: “The interactions between the members of two different clumps are frictional and cohesive, . . .” Should mention “elastic” as well.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

P 1436, L 10: "...and snow deforms..." > "...and the snow sample deforms..."

P 1436, L 25: "...compacity..." I learn something new every day.

---

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., 9, 1425, 2015.

TCD

9, C813–C815, 2015

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C815

