The Cryosphere Discuss., 9, C160–C163, 2015 www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/9/C160/2015/ © Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**TCD** 9, C160–C163, 2015

> Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "Winter observations of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange between sea-ice and the atmosphere in a coastal fjord environment" by J. Sievers et al.

## M. Helbig

manuel.helbig@umontreal.ca

Received and published: 5 March 2015

The manuscript by Sievers et al. addresses important research questions about  $CO_2$  flux dynamics over snow covered sea ice. For this study, two different infrared gas analysers (IRGA) (an open- and a closed-path IRGA) are used at three different sites to describe the spatial variability of winter  $CO_2$  flux dynamics. The  $CO_2$  flux measured at the site equipped with the closed-path IRGA does not show a significant relationship with sensible heat flux, while  $CO_2$  fluxes at sites that deploy the open-path IRGA show a strong and significant relationship with sensible heat flux. In this context, the differing sources of uncertainties in open- and closed-path IRGA derived  $CO_2$  fluxes





need to be considered to ensure comparability between the sites. While air temperature fluctuations are strongly dampened in the measurement cell of the closed-path IRGA, the sensing path of the open-path IRGA is exposed to high-frequency, ambient air temperature fluctuations. At sites with small  $CO_2$  fluxes, the  $CO_2$  density fluctuations measured by the open-path IRGA are often mainly driven by air temperature fluctuations. These density effects are removed by applying the WPL term. However, systematic biases in the measurements of the sensible and latent heat fluxes and/or in the measurements of the raw CO2 fluxes (i.e. after spectral correction, but before the application of the WPL term) would propagate to final  $CO_2$  fluxes and could introduce an apparent relationship between winter  $CO_2$  fluxes and sensible heat flux. A detailed overview of these systematic uncertainties is given by Liu et al. [2006]. Furthermore, biases in the measurements of mean  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  densities could introduce a similar bias in the final  $CO_2$  fluxes via the application of the WPL term [Serrano-Ortiz et al., 2007].

A previous reviewer has highlighted the importance of considering possible surface heating effects on  $CO_2$  flux estimates derived from the LI7500A. To my knowledge, there hasn't been any peer-reviewed paper that demonstrates the reduced surface heating effects of the LI7500A. However, it seems unlikely that the observed  $CO_2$  fluxes at the sites equipped with the LI7500A are strongly affected by surface heating. If surface heating would be mainly caused by solar load, then we would expect a strong correlation between  $CO_2$  fluxes and incoming shortwave radiation during the night [Burba et al., 2008]. This is not the case in this study (see Fig. 5 in this manuscript). Instead, net radiation and, even more so, the sensible heat flux is strongly correlated with the open-path IRGA derived  $CO_2$  flux.

A relationship between the difference of  $CO_2$  fluxes derived from a LI7500 and paired closed-path IRGAs and sensible heat fluxes has been observed by Hirata et al. [2007] and Ono et al. [2008]. Hirata et al. [2007] found the largest differences between  $CO_2$  fluxes derived from a LI7500 and a paired closed-path LI6262 during the growing sea-

**TCD** 9, C160–C163, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



son, and not during the winter. Also, the study by Ono et al. [2008] was conducted in April in Japan with soil temperatures at 5 cm ranging between 10 °C and 15 °C. While we would expect the largest surface heating effects during wintertime, a systematic bias related to an underestimation of the WPL term (as described by Liu et al. [2006] and Serrano-Ortiz et al. [2007]) would directly scale with the magnitude of the sensible heat flux itself, as observed by Hirata et al. [2007] and Ono et al. [2008]. Furthermore, the issues raised by Liu et al. [2006] and Serrano-Ortiz et al. [2007] are valid for all open-path IRGA based systems and are not restricted to the use of a specific instrument, as might be the case for the surface heating problem.

Given the strong sensitivity of errors in final  $CO_2$  fluxes derived from open-path IRGAs to errors in sensible heat fluxes and/or raw  $CO_2$  fluxes, I would recommend a more thorough discussion and/or quantification of systematic uncertainties inherent to the  $CO_2$  fluxes derived from the LI7500A to support the results of this manuscript.

References:

Burba, G. G., D. K. McDermitt, A. Grelle, D. J. Anderson, and L. Xu (2008), Addressing the influence of instrument surface heat exchange on the measurements of  $CO_2$  flux from open-path gas analyzers, Glob. Chang. Biol., 14, 1854–1876.

Hirata, R., T. Hirano, N. Saigusa, Y. Fujinuma, K. Inukai, Y. Kitamori, Y. Takahashi, and S. Yamamoto (2007), Seasonal and interannual variations in carbon dioxide exchange of a temperate larch forest, Agric. For. Meteorol., 147, 110–124.

Liu, H., J. T. Randerson, J. Lindfors, W. J. Massman, and T. Foken (2006), Consequences of Incomplete Surface Energy Balance Closure for CO2 Fluxes from Open-Path  $CO_2/H_2O$  Infrared Gas Analysers, Boundary-Layer Meteorol., 120, 65–85.

Ono, K., A. Miyata, and T. Yamada (2008), Apparent downward CO<sub>2</sub> flux observed with open-path eddy covariance over a non-vegetated surface, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 92.

Serrano-Ortiz, P., A. S. Kowalski, F. Domingo, B. Ruiz, and L. Alados-Arboledas (2007),

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



Consequences of Uncertainties in  $CO_2$  Density for Estimating Net Ecosystem  $CO_2$  Exchange by Open-path Eddy Covariance, Boundary-Layer Meteorol., 126(2), 209–218.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., 9, 45, 2015.

**TCD** 9, C160–C163, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

