Response to the First Reviewer

We thank Reviewer 1 for providing the positive feedback on our paper. We addressed Reviewer 1's comment regarding the Mann-Kendall test and revised the manuscript to reflect the suggested changes. Detailed answer to Reviewer 1's comment has been copied below.

I thought this paper to be very well done. It was a pleasure to read. I thought the structure of the paper to be well done and had an easy and logical flow. The figures and tables were adequate, well presented and supported the discussions and conclusions.

I really only have one small comment. For those who are not familiar with various Imagery analysis techniques and statistical evaluation, I would suggest that when introducing the "Mann-Kendall test using Sen's slope" on page 6233, that a statement be made about why this test is the chosen one? The authors refer to it being used previously. Perhaps obvious to those who know this test well but would be useful for others and could be as simple as saying was "successfully been used"? Or perhaps a single statement as to why this is the preferred test in this research analysis?

Following reviewer 1's comment, we added additional information on why the Mann-Kendall test was the preferred statistical method for trend analysis. As a result, the text now reads:
"This non-parametric statistical test, widely used for detecting monotonic trends in hydrological long-term time series (Hirsch et al., 1982; Zhang et al., 2001), was deemed to be one of the most powerful trend tests (Hess et al., 2001) as it can deal with data that is not normally distributed and has minimum sensitivity to data gaps related to inhomogeneous time series (Tabari et al., 2011), or values below a detection limit. The method has previously been used successfully for detecting the presence of trends in historical records of river and lake ice freeze-up and break-up dates (Smith, 2000; Futter, 2003; Duguay et al., 2006; Noguchi et al., 2011)."

Response to the 2ⁿᵈ Reviewer

We thank Reviewer 2 for taking the time to read and provide valuable comments on our paper. We have addressed each concern and have revised the manuscript to reflect the suggested changes. Detailed answers to Reviewer 2's comments have been copied below.

Comments:

Reviewer 2 comment:

Page 6225, lines 16-21: (a) it would be interesting to know how the ice on the lake looks like in the field when it can be detected in an image pixel the first time?

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

Regrettably we do not have any field pictures of the lakes monitored in this study taken at the time when satellite observations captured melt onset. However, depending on the number of pixels that capture melt onset and the sensor's resolution, it could be either patches of wet (melting) snow or ponding on top of the ice in areas/on lakes covered by snow to open-water sections in parts of the lake (usually in the shallower parts near shore) where ice completed melted.

Below we show a few of melt onset in Arctic lakes taken in the field. Figure 1 shows a picture captured by a digital camera installed on a meteorological station on the shore of Malcolm Ramsay Lake, near Churchill, Manitoba, and a Landsat image acquired on the same date, just 10 minutes after the field picture was taken.

Figures 2 and 3 show two pictures taken in the field that capture melt – one in Alaska (Jeffries et al., 2005) and one in the Canadian Arctic (Smol, 2005) that provide a visual portray of what lake ice break-up looks in the field.

N-S length and W-E width of Malcolm Ramsay Lake

Location of Malcolm Ramsay Lake, near Churchill, Manitoba (Brown and Duguay, 2011).

Landsat image (21 June, 2009; time: 17:10).

Camera image installed on the on-shore Automated Weather Station (21 June, 2009; time: 17:00).

Figure 1: Melt onset on Malcolm Ramsay Lake (Churchill, Manitoba). Top figure from Brown and Duguay (2011), field photo provided by C. Duguay.

Figure 2: Oblique aerial photograph of Peters Lake, Alaska (69.33°N, 145.05°W; Jeffries et al., 2005). This photo shows open water in along the lake shore, while the remaining of the lake is still covered by snow ice (S1) and congelation or black ice (S2).

Figure 3: A typical lake from north-central Ellesmere Island, Nunavut, Canadian High Arctic (Smol, 2005; Photo: Bronwyn Keatley, July 2003). This photo shows more advanced melt along the lake shore, with a central ice floe covered by snow.

Reviewer 2 comment:

Page 6225, lines 16-21: (b) The terms "summer ice minimum", "WCI", "ice break-up season" should be listed here and explained in more detail: My understanding of "summer ice minimum", e.g., is that ice is present over the entire year and reaches a minimum extent during summer. The analysis presented in section 4.2, however, seems to use this term also for cases in which the ice vanishes during summer. What is the difference between "ice-free" and "WCI"? The "break-up" season covers a time interval from MO to first day of WCI or, in case of perennial ice cover, from MO to first day freeze-up? "Ice-out" (used e.g., on page 6241, line 8) is "ice-free"?

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

To address reviewer 2's comment, we explained in more details the specific ice phenology terms. As such, the text now reads: "*In spring, lake ice MO is considered as the first day of the year on which melt is detected in a pixel, observed as ice-free patch(es) on the otherwise ice-covered lake surface and marks the beginning of the break-up season. Gradually, more ice starts to melt until the lake becomes ice free or water-clear-of-ice (WCI). The first day without ice on the lake surface is considered the end of the break-up season. Consequently, the break-up season extends from MO to WCI. The minimum lake ice cover extent prior to complete melt at the end of summer, also referred to as the summer ice minimum, is generally observed to occur a few days before the lakes become ice free, or in the case of lakes that maintain a multiyear ice cover on occasional years, a few days prior to ice refreezing in the fall.*" (page 6225)

Regarding differences between "ice-free" and WCI – there is none as they both refer to the beginning of the ice-free season, and we only used them as synonyms.

Indeed, the break-up season covers a time interval from MO to first day of WCI (now also explained in the manuscript) for the lakes that fully lose their ice cover during the summer, and from MO to the first observed day of freeze-up, for lakes that maintain a multiyear ice cover.

By ice-out we do mean ice-free however, in order to eliminate a possible confusion, we amended the manuscript and line 8 on page 6241 now refers to WCI (ice-free).

Reviewer 2 comment:

Page 6229, lines 10 and 14: What is meant by "beginning of winter" and "end-of-winter": the corresponding meteorological dates? Or the freezing period?

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

For the purpose of this study both references are associated with the lake ice phenological events – "beginning of winter" with ice formation (freeze-up) and "end-of-winter" with ice melt (break-up). Ice phenology dates do not always follow the actual calendar dates in terms of seasons (e.g., the Arctic winter is considered to last from December to March but most of the High Arctic lakes start (re)freezing after mid-September into the beginning of October. Artic spring is considered

to begin in April but these high-latitude lakes generally start melting in June, thus we can say they do not follow the standard season pattern. However, in order to avoid confusion, we are now referring to beginning of the ice season.

Reviewer 2 comment:

Page 6231, lines 4-8: It should be mentioned that the backscattered intensity depends also on the local radar incidence angle.

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

Following reviewer 2's comment, we added the following sentence to the manuscript: "*Additionally, backscatter intensity is also dependent on local radar incidence angle (Duguay et al., 2002).*"

Reviewer 2 comment:

Page 6233, line 10: The criteria that were used to merge the five classes determined in the first step into ice and water need to be explained. Figure 2 indicates that in the specific case shown three segments (blue, light blue, yellow) were merged to class "ice", and two segments (green and red) to class "water". Same page: Since the temporal gaps between successive satellite images can be as large as 13 days, it needs to be explained how the date for an event (e.g., MO) was determined for time gaps > 1day? This is mentioned for WCI on page 6242, lines 13-16 but is important also for MO, considering the images shown in Fig. 9. It should be indicated that the Mann-Kendall test is regarded significant only for values of $\alpha \leq 0.1$ (as the summary indicates. However: on page 6239, lines 13-14 a level > 0.1 is regarded as significant, too. What is the limit?)

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

Following reviewer 2's suggestion, we explained in more detail the merging process of the ice and water classes and added the following text to the manuscript: " *In order to account for the different ice classes, the segmentation was set to five clusters. To discriminate between ice and open water clusters in the resulting segmentation maps, each segmentation output was visually assessed against the original SAR image. To additionally evaluate the class-merging accuracy, when available, segmentation results were assessed against optical images (Landsat) acquired on the same date. When ancillary optical data was not available, the backscatter threshold values of the original SAR acquisition were used to verify the segmentation results prior to cluster merging. Once clusters belonging to either ice or open water class have been identified, the resulting five classes were further merged into two classes: one ice and one open-water class in the ENvironment for Visualizing Images (ENVI) software, using the post classification function.*"

The temporal gaps as large as 13 days during melt onset pertain to the SAR images only and existed during a few years years. When this was the case, the available Landsat acquisitions during this period reduced the data gaps to less than 5 days between acquisitions thus the accuracy of the MO date estimation for certain years falls within 1-5 days. In order to address reviewer 2's comment, the following explanation was added to the manuscript in section 4.1: "*As such, during the few years with larger temporal gaps for the available SAR acquisitions, the complementary optical images reduced the data gaps to less than five days between spaceborne acquisitions thus considerably reducing the uncertainty in estimation of the MO date.*"

To clarify reviewer 2's comment regarding the significance of the Mann-Kendall test for melt onset, we should mention that it is likely a confusing choice of words from our part as by "significant at the > 0.1 level" we actually meant to refer to a significance level greater than 0.1. However, in order to make this clear in the manuscript as well, we rectified the text to reflect this: "*…for all other lakes the significance level is greater than 0.1.*" (page 6239, line 14).

Reviewer 2 comment:

Page 6235, line 9: I do not understand the sentence "For the monthly... " Seems that a word is missing.

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

We thank reviewer 2 for noticing this. We corrected the text that now reads: "*In order to derive the monthly average LST, daily averages were calculated first.*"

Reviewer 2 comment:

Page 6236: Do MO dates shown in table 3 represent ice break-up in all cases, or is it possible that some dates are biased because of water on ice? (It should be made clear in the introduction that MO means that an ice-free patch appears on the otherwise ice-covered lake surface.)

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

Lake ice break-up initiates when the snow cover atop the ice starts to melt and for smaller lakes break-up begins within 3-4 days after snow melted (Jeffries et al., 2005). Indeed, there are cases when melt ponds form on top of the ice as a result of snow melt but those ponds have very low albedo and generally the ice underneath melts within a few days after the snow has melted. Landsat images acquired a few days after SAR acquisitions that indicate melt (or possibly water on ice) show ice-free patches on the lake surface. Based on the above, the dates shown in Table 3 are considered ice melt onset (MO) dates.

In order to clarify MO, an explanatory text has been added in introduction and as such, the text now reads: "*In spring, lake ice MO is considered as the first day of the year on which melt is detected in a pixel, observed as ice-free patch(es) on the otherwise ice-covered lake surface.*"

Reviewer 2 comment:

Section 4.2, Tables 4 and 5: The use of terms "summer ice minimum" is irritating. If the ice cover vanishes completely it makes no sense to talk about "summer ice minimum". In Table 4, the last day with ice cover is shown (or in case of perennial ice cover, the day of a "real" summer ice minimum?), and in Table 5, the first day with no ice? But differences between corresponding numbers are > 1 in many cases. This should be clarified.

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

We understand reviewer 2's point of view regarding the use of "summer ice minimum". However, the reason we chose to use this term also for cases when the ice cover completely melts is because in this circumstance we are assessing the timing of minimum ice cover extent prior to complete melt at the end of summer, helpful for estimating the break-up and ice cover season length.

Regarding Table 4 – the dates shown here are indeed the last observed day when ice cover was present on the lake surface prior to the lake becoming ice free and in the case of multiyear ice, the dates shown represent the timing of minimum ice coverage at the end of summer, prior to refreezing in the fall.

As per the days shown in Table 5, as noted, these represent the first observed day without ice thus the beginning of the ice-free season. The difference in number of days greater than one day between the timing of the minimum ice cover and its complete disappearance is explained by the frequency of spaceborne observations that are not always available on a daily basis in order to capture the beginning of the ice-free season on the same date it happens. The temporal resolution of observations is discussed in section 3.1, mentioning that during break-up RADARSAT alone provided observations every 2-3 days and was complemented by ASAR and Landsat observations, every 2-9 days. In a few instances the gap between acquisitions of minimum ice and ice free are greater than 2-3 days but knowing the date of minimum ice cover provides a good estimation of the date when the lake becomes ice free as our 15-year data record shows that it takes 1-2 days for ice to completely melt once a minimum was observed. The issue of years with sparse data during break-up and how the ice free date was derived is also discussed on page 6238, lines 18-23. However, in order to clarify this difference in number of days, the following "*For years with sparse satellite imagery at the end of break-up, and thus with differences greater than one day between the date of minimum ice cover and the WCI date, the day when the lake became ice free…*" was added to the text at the beginning of section 4.3.

Reviewer 2 comment:

Page 6241, last paragraph and page 6242, first paragraph: Here it is argued that the lower correlation between the date of 0° spring isotherm and WCI timing of those lakes occasionally covered by ice during summer is due to the fact that those lakes are more distant from weather stations, and gridded ERA-Interim climate data cannot represent local conditions adequately. However, for example L4 Devon seems to be rather close to a weather station? The problem of not adequately represented microclimate can be valid for all types of lakes, not only for the occasionally ice-covered ones. And can all of the latter ones be regarded as embedded in a localized microclimate environment?

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

Here we are rather discussing the different reasons that might explain the lower correlation between the date of 0° spring isotherm and WCI timing of those lakes that occasionally maintain a multiyear ice cover, and the distance from the weather station and/or the likelihood that ERA data could misrepresent local conditions are being considered. Lake 4 on Devon Island, located in a warmer polar-oasis environment, is situated at approximately 218 km distance from the Resolute weather station on Cornwallis Island, a cooler polar-desert environment. Considering the distance between the two, as well as the different dominant climate conditions, we do not consider the Resolute meteorological station data representative of the L4 location on Devon Island. Nevertheless, misrepresentation of local microclimate can be valid for seasonally-covered lakes as well as for those maintaining a multiyear ice cover. Based on existing literature and data we do not rely on the fact that investigated lakes with an occasionally multiyear ice cover are located in microclimate environments.

Reviewer 2 comment:

Page 6243, lines 23-28: The two sentences are partly redundant and can be shortened.

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

We thank reviewer to for this observation. We reviewed and shortened the text that now reads: "*The persistence of ice throughout the summer into early autumn when it starts refreezing (multiyear ice cover) on occasional (cool) years in some lakes could be related to a multitude of factors whose individual and/or combined actions allow the lake ice cover to outlast from one season to another.*"

Reviewer 2 comment:

Section 5.2: From the headline (Lake ice in a changing cryosphere) I expected a discussion of different parameters and environmental conditions influencing the seasonal lake ice evolution. Instead, only the influence of temperature is discussed in great detail. What is the main message to keep in mind?

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

The high-latitude cryosphere is changing mainly as a result of atmospheric conditions, with considerable warmer air temperatures during recent years. As a consequence, other meteorological parameters are changing as well (e.g., timing and amount of precipitation, snowfall, snow depth, evaporation) but since we lack consistent records of these, we decided to focus mainly on the impact of air temperature on the lake ice cover and the historical correlation between teleconnections and ice phenology of Arctic lakes. The assessment of the seasonal lake ice evolution against other environmental conditions (e.g., sea ice, glaciers and ice caps, permafrost) is indeed an interesting discussion but since it would considerably extent the analysis and length of the manuscript, we decided not to include it here and rather have a separate paper dedicated to it. The main message to keep in mind is that the anomalous warm years with a significant greater occurrence than the cool years during the last decade, result in extreme ice phenology events (e.g., later FU, earlier BU and less occurrences of multiyear ice). If the warming trend in the Arctic continues, lakes located in polar desert environments might experience similar ice conditions with those situated in polar oases and undergo dramatic ice phenology and environmental shifts within a short time span.

Reviewer 2 comment:

Page 6247, line 14: What is meant by "extreme ice event"? Intuitively I would guess much ice on the lake...

Response to Reviewer 2's comment:

Extreme lake ice events refer to the occurrence of extremes in the timing of the annual formation and/or disappearance of lake ice, as well as that of anomalous thinner/thicker ice. Extreme lake ice phenology events have been associated with warmer or cooler atmospheric conditions that led to later freeze-up and earlier break-up during warmer years (e.g., 1998/99) and earlier freeze-up and later break-up during cooler years (e.g., 2008/09) thus impacting the duration of the ice season. In order to make this clear, the text now reads: *"...in 1998 that resulted in extreme ice events (i.e. later ice freeze-up and earlier break-up, and anomalously thin ice) for many of the lakes in the High Arctic (Atkinson et al., 2006)..."*

References

Brown, L. C. and Duguay, C. R.: A comparison of simulated and measured lake ice thickness using a shallow water ice profiler, Hydrological Processes, 25, 2932-2941, 2011.

Jeffries, M. O., Morris, K., and Kozlenko, N.: Ice characteristics and processes, and remote sensing of frozen rivers and lakes. In Duguay, C. R., and Pietroniro, A. (eds.), Remote Sensing in Northern Hydrology: Measuring Environmental Change. Washington: American Geophysical Union, 63-90, 2005.

Smol, J. P.: Tracking Long-Term Environmental Changes in Arctic Lakes and Ponds: A Paleolimnological Perspective, Arctic, 58, 227-229, 2005.

Evidence of recent changes in the ice regime of lakes in the Canadian High Arctic from spaceborne satellite observations

C. M. Surdu[1], C. R. Duguay[2], and D. Fernández Prieto[1]

[1]Earth Observation Science, Applications and Future Technologies Department, European Space Agency (ESA), European Space Research Institute (ESRIN), Frascati (Rome), Italy. Correspondence to: cristina.surdu@esa.int

[2]Department of Geography and Environmental Management and Interdisciplinary Centre on Climate Change, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada; crduguay@uwaterloo.ca

Abstract

Arctic lakes, through their ice cover phenology, are a key indicator of climatic changes that the high-latitude environment is experiencing. In the case of lakes in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (CAA), many of which are ice covered more than ten months per year, warmer temperatures could result in ice regime shifts. Within the dominant polar-desert environment, small local warmer areas have been identified. These relatively small regions – polar oases – with longer growing seasons, greater biological production and diversity, are confined from the surrounding barren polar desert. The ice regimes of 11 lakes located in both polar-desert and polar-oasis environments, with surface areas between 4-542 km^2, many of unknown bathymetry, were documented. In order to investigate the response of ice cover of lakes in the CAA to climate conditions during recent years, a 15-year time series (1997-2011) of RADARSAT-1/2 ScanSAR Wide Swath, ASAR Wide Swath and Landsat acquisitions were analysed. Results show that melt onset (MO) occurred earlier for all observed lakes. With the exception of Lower Murray Lake, all lakes experienced earlier summer-ice minimum and water-clear-of-ice dates (WCI), with greater changes being observed for polar-oasis lakes (9-24 days earlier WCI dates for lakes located in polar oases and 2-20 days earlier WCI dates for polar-desert lakes). Additionally, results suggest that some lakes may be transitioning from a perennial/multiyear to a seasonal ice regime, with only a few lakes maintaining a multiyear ice cover on occasional years. Aside Lake Hazen and Murray Lakes that preserved their ice cover during the summer of 2009, no residual ice was observed on any of the other lakes from 2007 to 2011.

12

1 Introduction

In a rapidly changing climate (Zdanowicz et al., 2012; IPCC, 2013; Lenaerts et al., 2013; Woo et al., 2014), with each of the last three decades being successively warmer than any preceding decade (Derksen et al., 2012) and as a result of complex energy exchanges between atmosphere, ocean and land, the Arctic cryosphere is possibly transitioning towards a new state. As a major feature of the Arctic landscape, lakes, through their ice cover phenology (timing of ice formation, onset of melt and end of break-up), are a key indicator of climatic changes (Heron and Woo, 1994; Duguay et al., 2006; Williamson et al., 2008) that the high-latitude environment is experiencing. Lake ice phenology is dependent on several factors, including meteorological conditions (e.g., air temperature, lack or presence of snow, snow depth and density, wind speed) and lakes' physical characteristics (e.g., surface area, bathymetry, elevation). However, long-term analysis indicates that lake ice phenology is primarily responsive to air temperature (Palecki and Barry, 1986; Jeffries et al., 1996; Duguay et al., 2006). Increasing air temperatures in the Canadian Arctic in all seasons, with an almost total absence of negative temperature anomalies over the past four decades, have altered the ice regimes of many Arctic lakes (e.g., timing of ice formation and decay, maximum ice thickness), with later freeze-up and earlier break-up dates for lakes in this region (Derksen at al., 2012).

The lake ice season starts with ice formation on the lake surface or freeze onset which is the first day of the year on which the presence of ice is detected in a pixel. As the ice season progresses, a solid ice cover forms and the lake becomes completely frozen over. In spring, lake ice MO is considered as the first day of the year on which melt is detected in a pixel, observed as ice-free patch(es) on the otherwise ice-covered lake surface and marks the beginning of the break-up season. Gradually, more ice starts to melt until the lake becomes ice free or water-clear-

of-ice (WCI). The first day without ice on the lake surface is considered the end of the break-up season. Consequently, the break-up season extends from MO to WCI. The minimum lake ice cover extent prior to complete melt at the end of summer, also referred to as the summer ice minimum, is generally observed to occur a few days before the lakes become ice free, or in the case of lakes that maintain a multiyear ice cover on occasional years, a few days prior to ice refreezing in the fall. Changes in the ice phenology (i.e. timing of ice-on and ice-off dates) of Arctic lakes have major implications for the physical and biogeochemical processes, and the aquatic primary production and fauna, as they are strongly dependent on the presence of ice (Smol and Douglas, 2007; Veillette et al., 2010; Michelutti et al., 2013). Recent studies of Arctic lakes indicate thinner ice covers and less lakes freezing to bed on the North Slope of Alaska during the winter (Surdu et al., 2014) and reduced summer ice cover, leading to the loss of perennial ice of lakes on Northern Ellesmere Island, Nunavut (Mueller et al., 2009), with a rapid decline observed since 2008 following persistent warm summer temperatures (Paquette et al., 2015).

The CAA, the study area of this investigation, extends from approx. between 60˚-83˚N and 60˚-123˚W, from the Low Arctic to the High Arctic and covers a territory of approximately 1,425,000 km^2, including over 35,000 islands. This region is projected to experience the greatest annual temperature increase in the North-American Arctic during the course of the next eight decades (ACIA, 2005). Projected climate conditions (2041-2070) employing two similar Canadian Regional Climate Model (CRCM) scenarios (Brown and Duguay, 2011) show that consequent to loss of perennial ice, lakes on Ellesmere Island will experience maximum ice regime changes (e.g., shorter ice cover duration, thinner ice covers, loss of perennial/multiyear ice). As a result, lakes are projected to break-up earlier by over 30 days, with lakes in the CAA

being expected to experience shorter ice seasons by 25 to 40 days. It is hypothesised that lakes located in polar-oasis environments across the CAA may experience greater changes than those within the areas with typical polar-desert climate.

70   Polar or High Arctic oases are fairly small regions (with surface areas ranging from 10^{-2} to 10^2 km^2) (Woo and Young, 1997) of relatively great biological production and diversity, with warmer soil and longer growing season (Courtin and Labine, 1977), discretely localized from the surrounding arid landscape of polar deserts (Svoboda and Freedman, 1981). Polar oases cover 6% of the High Arctic landscape (Bliss, 1977). Several polar oases have been identified across

75   the High Arctic and in general, these oases are located in shrub zones (Edlund and Alt, 1989) or in sedge meadows and polar semi-desert areas (Bliss, 1977). Polar oases can be separated into thermal and biological oases. Thermal polar oases have an atypical warmer microclimate than the surrounding polar desert (i.e. the vicinity of Lake Hazen, including Craig Lake, Buchanan Lake near Eureka, and several areas on Devon Island). Unlike polar thermal oases, the biological

80   oases, have a climate similar to polar deserts but are characterized by increased biological productivity and diversity. Polar Bear Pass, a wetland considered to be a critical area for migratory birds, caribou and muskox (Young and Labine, 2010), is a typical polar biological oasis.

       Monitoring changes in the lake ice cover and the rate at which they occur in the High Arctic

85   is limited by the sparse and inconsistent observations, and the short observational period in these remote regions. Accurate and consistent monitoring of small Arctic lakes requires a complex combination of spaceborne observations, model simulations, and where available, in situ measurements. Opportunities exist to monitor small lakes across the Arctic by exploiting the existing observations from heritage C-band synthetic aperture radar (SAR) missions (i.e. ERS-

90   1/2, ENVISAT's Advanced Synthetic Aperture Radar (ASAR), RADARSAT-1/2 and Sentinel-
1A). These missions, complemented with data from optical sensors (i.e. Landsat) improve detection of ice cover conditions of Arctic lakes.

Previous knowledge about past ice conditions for small High Arctic lakes is limited to a few lakes located on Northern Ellesmere Island (Belzile et al., 2001; Jeffries et al., 2005; Mueller et

95   al., 2009; Cook and Bradley, 2010) and Colour Lake located on Axel Heiberg Island (Adams et al., 1989; Doran et al., 1996). Latifovic et al. (2007) have provided records of ice conditions for larger High Arctic lakes, results obtained from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), at 1.1 km x 1.1 km spatial resolution. Lakes that have been previously studied and are also analysed in the current study include Upper and Lower Murray Lakes, previously monitored

100   between 1997-2007 (Cook and Bradley, 2010), Lake Hazen, and Stanwell Fletcher Lake between 1985-2004 (Latifovic et al., 2007). However, changes that lakes have undergone over the last two decades and the current state of ice conditions for most High Arctic lakes remain unknown.

In order to identify possible ice regime changes for 11 lakes in the Central and Eastern Canadian High Arctic from 1997 to 2011 using available SAR and optical spaceborne satellite

105   observations, the main objectives of this study are: (1) to analyse and report annual rate of change in the timing of ice decay onset, summer ice minimum and end of ice break-up; (2) to comparatively investigate the changes in the ice regimes of polar desert lakes versus those of polar oasis lakes; and (3) to continue observation records of some lakes that have been previously studied and to set the baseline data of a long-term monitoring record for High Arctic

110   lakes. Additionally, this work aims to relate changes in the ice regimes of coastal High Arctic lakes to ongoing changes within other components of the cryosphere.

2 Study area

The High Arctic is in its majority a polar desert area with mostly barren land surfaces, intense and persistent coldness, and low amounts of precipitation (Woo and Young, 2006). Mean annual temperature (1950-2011) at Alert, Nunavut (82°30' N, 62°20' W), Canada is -19°C, at Eureka, Nunavut (79°59' N, 85°56' W) is -18°C, and at Resolute, Nunavut (74°41' N, 94°49' W) is -15°C. For the same period, mean total precipitation is 146 mm (16 mm rain and 130 mm snow) at Alert, 67 mm (23 mm rain and 44 mm snow) at Eureka and 128 mm (47 mm rain and 81 mm snow) at Resolute (Environment Canada, 2011).

Positive temperatures are registered only during July and August, and occasionally in June and September, and most precipitation falls between July and October, typically as snow (Environment Canada, 2011). The summer melt periods are shortest (~3 weeks) for the north coast, while they last ~8 weeks near Alert and ~10 weeks at Lake Hazen (Keatley et al., 2007). This study indicates that melt periods of lakes on Northern Ellesmere Island last ~6 weeks, melt periods of Lake Hazen last ~6.6 weeks while all other lakes have melt periods lasting ~4 weeks.

The current study focuses on 11 lakes mainly located in the Central and Eastern Canadian High Arctic, mostly small lakes with surface areas between 4 km^2 and 16 km^2, with the exception of lake L11 on Baffin Island (64 km^2), Stanwell Fletcher Lake (339 km^2) and Lake Hazen (542 km^2) – the largest lake within the Arctic Circle (Fig. 1). Selection of lakes considered three main aspects: (1) lakes had to be large enough so that break-up can be captured with SAR; (2) lakes had to be located in both arid (polar desert) and semi-desert (polar oasis) environments and thus allow a comparison between the two; and (3) lakes with previous ice records were selected in order to ensure continuity of observations. Six of the investigated lakes are located in polar-desert environments. For the purpose of assigning the lakes to a specific

135 polar environment, the other five lakes have been categorized as polar-oasis lakes, with the note

that unlike the thermal-oasis climate around Lake Hazen, Craig Lake, Buchanan Lake and L4 on

Devon Island, Hunting Camp Lake on Bathurst Island is a biological oasis with typical polar-

desert climate.

A summary of each lake's basic characteristics is shown in Table 1. Maximum ice thickness

140 of lakes on Northern Ellesmere at the beginning of the ice season ranges from 1.1 m to 2 m

(Jeffries and Krouse, 1985; Belzile et al., 2001; Mueller et al., 2009). In situ observations on

Upper and Lower Murray Lakes at the start of the melt season in early June 2005 indicated an ice

thickness of 1.5 m to 2.2 m (Cook and Bradley, 2010). Existing snow observations indicate

average end-of-winter snow depths of approx. 20 cm near Resolute Bay, Cornwallis Island in

145 1976-1977 (Woo and Marsh, 1978), of 26 cm on the plateau in Hot Weather Creek, Ellesmere

Island between 1989-1992 (Young et al., 1997), and less than 8 cm on the plateau of Polar Bear

Pass, Bathurst Island between 2008-2009 (Assini and Young, 2012). However, in situ snow

depth measurements across the Canadian High Arctic are sparse/unavailable and inconsistent.

Polar oases are characterized by a milder microclimate (Woo and Young, 1997) that is

150 mainly attributed to higher incoming radiation, given by the fact that most frequently they

develop in relatively flat coastal lowlands and are being protected by topography, exception

being the thermal oasis surrounding Lake Hazen (France, 1993). Lake Hazen is situated in a

trough. Lake Hazen is sheltered from the cold Arctic Ocean air by the Grant Land Mountains (>

2000 m) in the north and a plateau (400-900 m) in the south. Similar to the Lake Hazen basin,

155 sheltered by the neighbouring mountains, the polar oasis on Fosheim Peninsula on Ellesmere

Island (approx. 80°08'N), experiences a greater amount of solar radiation, particularly during the

month of June. Consequently, snow melt in the area often occurs about a month early (Woo and

Young, 1996). Additionally, vegetation growth is favoured as a result of extended thaw seasons (Edlund and Alt, 1989). The biological oasis on Polar Bear Pass (75˚40'N, 98˚30'W), covers an

160   area of approximately 100 km^2, extends from one end of the island to the other, has a typical polar-desert climate, and is characterized by long winters and cool, moist summers. Within the area lie two large lakes, several small lakes and a multitude of small ponds (Woo and Young, 1996).

Ellesmere Island contains some of the largest polar oases in the Queen Elisabeth Islands of

165   Arctic Canada, including Fosheim Peninsula, Tanquary Fiord and Lake Hazen (Edlund and Alt 1989). Other High Arctic oases have been identified on Devon Island (Bliss, 1977), Alexandra Fiord on Ellesmere Island (Freedman et al., 1994), Polar Bear Pass (Bathurst Island) and at Sherard Bay on Melville Island (Aiken et al., 1999). Given that the current analysis is limited by the availability of low spatial resolution SAR imagery (150 m for ASAR, and 100 m for

170   RADARSAT-1/2), Lake Hazen, Craig Lake, Buchanan Lake, lake L4 on Devon Island and Hunting Camp Lake (biological oasis with polar-desert climate) on Bathurst Island were the only investigated lakes located in polar oases environments.

3 Data and methods

3.1 Satellite acquisitions

175   The ability of spaceborne sensors to monitor and detect changes in the ice cover of high-latitude lakes has been previously demonstrated from both an optical (Latifovic and Pouliot, 2007; Arp et al., 2013) and a SAR approach (Morris et al., 1995; Duguay et al., 2002; Surdu et al., 2014), or a combination of optical and SAR (Cook and Bradley, 2010).

Due to frequent revisits at high northern latitudes and their ability to acquire data during
180   polar darkness and through cloud cover, spaceborne SAR sensors are suitable for monitoring changes in the ice cover of High Arctic lakes. In C-band (~5.3 GHz) SAR imagery, the high contrast between ice and open water, representing the amount of radar signal or backscatter ($\sigma°$) returned to the sensor, allows detection of the timing of summer ice minimum and water-clear-of-ice (Morris et al., 1995; Duguay et al., 2002; Geldsetzer et al., 2010). Robust determination of
185   the timing of lake freeze-up using SAR is limited by the low $\sigma°$ contrast between the open water and the newly formed floating ice (Cook and Bradley, 2010) and also because the C-band co-polarized backscatter from water is not only sensitive to wind speed but also to wind direction (Geldsetzer and Van der Sanden, 2013). Additionally, backscatter intensity is also dependent on local radar incidence angle (Duguay et al., 2002). Considering the limitations that freeze-up
190   detection pose with SAR, particularly at VV polarizations and to a lesser degree for HH-polarized images, this study focuses on monitoring the break-up period of High Arctic lakes in the Central and Eastern Canadian High Arctic. The relatively coarse spatial resolution of SAR images used in this study, limited MO detection, particularly for small lakes (Cook and Bradley,

2010). To minimize this constraint, Landsat data was also used to identify the small areas of open water, otherwise uncertain in SAR imagery.

Given that the current study includes 11 lakes that were monitored for a period of 15 years, the number of satellite observations employed in the analysis was considerable: ~27,000 SAR acquisitions (RADARSAT-1/2 and ASAR) and over 2,000 Landsat images, with a mean frequency of image acquisition ranging from 2-9 days; ~1,600 SAR images were segmented to derive ice/open water fractions.

The ASAR images were provided by the European Space Agency (ESA) as a Wide Swath Mode Medium Resolution Image (ASA_WSM_1P) product. The ASAR instrument, on board of ESA's ENVISAT, when in wide-swath mode is using the ScanSAR technique (the same as RADARSAT-1/2), provides a spatial resolution adapted for regional monitoring (approx. 150 m, with a pixel spacing of 75 m). The combination of HH- and VV-polarized images was acquired at incidence angles ranging from 17° to 42°. The time lapse between repeat passes (or revisit time) of ENVISAT is 35 days. In order to increase the frequency of observations, data from different tracks, descending and ascending orbits, were used.

RADARSAT-1/2 data, with a spatial resolution of 100 m and a pixel spacing of 50 m, 2x2 block averaged to 100 m (obtained from the Canadian Ice Service), acquired at incidence angles of 19°-49° (RADARSAT-1) and 20°-46° (RADARSAT-2), is a ScanSAR Wide mode product. The single-polarized (HH) RADARSAT-1, and single- and dual-polarized (HH+HV) RADARSAT-1/2 images were acquired approximately every 2-3 days during the break-up season of each year of study.

In addition to SAR data, archived Landsat 4 Thematic Mapper (TM) and Landsat 7 Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+) imagery, with a spatial resolution of 30 m, was also

used. Because of the data gaps in the Landsat imagery from 1997 to 2003 and the limited number

of images during spring melt after 2003 for some of the lakes included in this study, the Landsat

images were not used for calculating ice/open water fractions. Instead, the Landsat imagery was

220   utilized to complement and evaluate the SAR observations, and thus build a reliable record from

the beginning to the end of the ice season during the 15 years of record.

3.2   Image processing and analysis

A total of ~1600 SAR images acquired from the beginning of the melt season until the water was

clear of ice (WCI or break-up end or 100% ice free), or in cases when multiyear ice was

225   identified, until the beginning of freeze-up were selected. The selected images were segmented

using the most common clustering method, the unsupervised K-means classification algorithm.

This algorithm has proved to be a suitable method to discriminate between ice and open water

and thus monitor the lake ice break-up using SAR data (Sobiech and Dierking 2013). Keeping in

mind the large number of images analysed in this study, the K-means algorithm was preferred

230   over a fixed threshold method as it is flexible to changing ice conditions (Sobiech and Dierking,

2013) during the melt season. The unsupervised K-means classification is an iterative process in

which image intensity values are divided into 'k' classes or clusters. Throughout the 20 iterations

performed for each segmentation, the K-means classification assigned each intensity value to the

class with the nearest arithmetic mean (minimum-distance technique).

235   In order to reduce the inherent speckle present in SAR images, a Lee filter (Lee 1980) with a

kernel size of 3x3 was applied to all geocoded images. After the speckle was removed, regions of

interest (ROIs) covering the lake areas were selected. Following ROI designation (i.e. vector file

of selected lake), image segmentation of each ROI was performed. The classification only

included the pixels inside the ROI, all other pixels outside the lake boundaries being excluded

22

240   from analysis. In order to account for the different ice classes, the segmentation was set to five

clusters. In order to account for the different ice classes, the segmentation was set to five

clusters. To discriminate between ice and open water clusters in the resulting segmentation maps,

each segmentation output was visually assessed against the original SAR image. To additionally

evaluate the class-merging accuracy, when available, segmentation results were assessed against

245   optical images (Landsat) acquired on the same date. When ancillary optical data was not

available, the backscatter threshold values of the original SAR acquisition were used to verify

the segmentation results prior to cluster merging. Once clusters belonging to either the ice or

open water class have been identified, the resulting five classes were further merged into two

classes: one ice and one open-water class in the ENvironment for Visualizing Images (ENVI)

250   software, using the post classification function. Following merging, a two-class map was

generated for each segmented SAR image (Fig. 2). Text files showing the percentage (%) or

fraction of open water and ice were extracted for each ROI of the classified maps in order to

quantify the amount of ice present on lakes from the start of ice decay until the end of the break-

up season.

255   In order to estimate the magnitude and significance of changes during the 15-year period, a

Mann-Kendall test using Sen's slope (Sen, 1968) was performed. This non-parametric statistical

test, widely used for detecting monotonic trends in hydrological long-term time series (Hirsch et

al., 1982; Zhang et al., 2001), was deemed to be one of the most powerful trend tests (Hess et al.,

2001) as it can deal with data that is not normally distributed and has minimum sensitivity to

260   data gaps related to inhomogeneous time series (Tabari et al., 2011), or values below a detection

limit. The method has previously been used successfully for detecting the presence of trends in

historical records of river and lake ice freeze-up and break-up dates (Smith, 2000; Futter, 2003;

Duguay et al., 2006; Noguchi et al., 2011). However, caution should be used in interpretation of the statistical significance values considering that the trend analysis was performed on a relatively short-term time series of 15 years. The observed changes in ice regimes are shown as number of days, change being reported relative to the 1997-2011 calculated mean (days of change) for each individual lake observed from spaceborne acquisitions.

3.3 Climate data

Climate records of air temperature, including daily, monthly and seasonal averages from 1997-2011, were collected in support of the analysis of satellite-derived ice phenology parameters. Given that the majority of weather stations in the CAA with longer climate records are situated at a significant distance from most lakes included in the current study, with distances ranging from 60 to 255 km, a combination of weather station and surface air temperature reanalysis data was used to assess the observed changes in lake ice regimes. Additionally, MODIS thermal data was used to show differences in surface skin air temperature between warmer and colder years, and thus capture the interannual temperature variability.

3.3.1 Weather station records

Meteorological station data from Environment Canada's National Climate Data and Information Archive was used for post analysis of the spaceborne observations. These records include mean temperature data from 1 January 1997 to 31 December 2011, for three permanent weather stations: Alert, Eureka and Resolute, Nunavut. Air temperature anomalies from 1997 to 2011 based on the available weather station annual mean temperature records are shown in Fig. 3. These anomalies are to be used as a reference in interpretation of lake ice events during the same period. The weather station records, complemented by ERA-Interim reanalysis data, were used for assessment of the relation between air temperature and ice phenology of lakes situated within

a 0-120 km range from the weather station. Temperature records for lakes located further from the weather stations were based exclusively on ERA-Interim reanalysis data. Previous evaluations of ERA-Interim data over the Arctic shows that near-surface temperature estimates from reanalysis agree well with sparsely-sampled observations from conventional climatological datasets and are coherent across the Arctic (Simmons et al., 2010, 2014).

3.3.2 ERA-Interim reanalysis data

The ERA-Interim is the largest global atmospheric reanalysis product of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF). The full-resolution (~0.75° x 0.75°) gridded product is derived from data assimilation from a variety of sources: radiances from the Special Sensor Microwave Imager (SSM/I), radiosonde temperature, scatterometer ocean surface wind data, including recalibrated data from the European Remote Sensing (ERS-1/2) satellites, and until 2009 from QuickSCAT (Dee et al., 2011) and provides global coverage since 1979. For the purpose of this study, the 2-m near-surface temperature computed with a sequential data assimilation scheme, advancing forward in time using 12-hourly analysis cycles, was utilized.

3.3.3 MODIS surface temperature

MODIS Aqua and Terra MOD 11-L2 data, at a spatial resolution of 1 km, was used to derive the MODIS UW-L3 land surface temperature product (Kheyrollah Pour et al., 2014). In order to derive the monthly average LST, daily averages were calculated first. For the daily-averaged UW-L3 product, observations are separated into either a daytime bin (from 6 A.M. to 6 P.M.) or a nighttime bin (from 6 P.M. to 6 A.M. of the next day). For the geographical region of interest, two sets of data is produced, one containing the average of all daytime observations and the other containing those of all nighttime observations. Then, the intermediate sum of all MODIS Aqua/Terra daytime/nighttime observations for each pixel is calculated. These values are

25

averaged together to produce the final monthly surface temperature average with equal weighting
between daytime and nighttime values.

4 Results

Satellite observations of the ice cover on 11 lakes in the Central and Eastern Canadian High Arctic from 1997 to 2011 reveal great variability in the timing of ice MO, summer ice minimum and WCI dates, with a noticeable direction toward earlier ice-off dates and frequent loss of the multiyear ice cover. In view of the relatively short period of this study, the current results are indicative of a recent direction rather than a long-term trend observed in the ice phenology of the investigated High Arctic lakes. Additionally, these results could also be reflective of a recent cyclical behaviour change of lake ice in response to changes in air temperatures during the 15-year period of the study.

4.1 Melt onset

MO (start of break-up) was considered as the first date when surface melt or patches of open water were noticed in satellite observations through image segmentation for the SAR acquisitions and visual assessment of the Landsat images. At the start of the break-up season, pooling water was observed atop the ice cover of lakes on Ellesmere Island (Cook and Bradley, 2010). Considering the similar backscatter characteristics of pooling water on the ice surface and open water (Hall, 1998) at the beginning of lake ice break-up, discriminating between ice and open water in SAR images poses certain challenges. In order to improve the accuracy of MO detection, the Landsat imagery provided a valuable complement to the SAR observations. As such, during the few years with larger temporal gaps for the available SAR acquisitions, the complementary optical images reduced the data gaps to less than five days between spaceborne

acquisitions thus considerably reducing the uncertainty in estimation of the MO date. Spaceborne observations were available for most lakes during MO from 1997 to 2011 (Table 3). Table 3 displays the range of observed MO dates (shown as DOYs) during this period, the mean MO date for each lake calculated based on 1997-2011mean derived from spaceborne observations, and the total days, representing the calculated earlier/later MO days using the Mann-Kendall statistical test, relative to the 1997-2011 mean.

During the 15-year period with available satellite acquisitions, advanced MO (compared to the 1997-2011 observation period mean) was observed for all 11 lakes, with earlier MO by a total of 39 days for lake L4 on Devon Island ($\alpha = 0.05$), earlier by 20 days for Hunting Camp Lake (significant at the 0.01 level), by 15 days for Buchanan Lake at the 0.05 level and by 3 days for Craig Lake, Stanwell Fletcher Lake, and lake L11 on Baffin Island ($\alpha = > 0.1$). Mean MO dates for lakes on Northern Ellesmere Island (i.e. Craig Lake, Upper and Lower Murray Lakes, and Lake Hazen) ranges between 18 June (DOY169) and 24 June (DOY175). MO for lake L4 on Devon Island, Eleanor Lake and Buchanan Lake, was observed to start between 7 July (DOY188) and 17 July (DOY198). Overall, the greatest changes in timing of MO dates and statistical more significant − despite not being a long-term trend and rather a measure of change detection during a 15-year period − were observed for lakes located in polar oasis (thermal and biological) environments (Fig. 4).

4.2 Summer ice minimum

The last date with a floating ice cover on the lake surface at the end of summer was considered the ice minimum date. During years when lakes maintained a multiyear ice cover, the summer ice minimum date was usually observed in late August to mid-September when melt concludes, and most lakes start refreezing. The mean ice minimum date ranges from 12 July (DOY193,

27

Craig Lake) to 25 August (DOY237, Stanwell Fletcher Lake). From 1997 to 2011, most lakes

355   lost their summer ice cover during all years of investigation (Table 4). Table 4 displays the range

of observed summer ice minimum dates (shown as DOYs) during this period, the mean summer

ice minimum date for each lake calculated based on 1997-2011 mean (excluding the years when

lakes maintained a partial summer ice cover) derived from spaceborne observations, and the total

days, representing the calculated earlier/later summer ice minimum dates using the Mann-

360   Kendall statistical test, relative to the 1997-2011 mean.

Generally, the lake ice cover was observed to melt earlier in the season during the years with

positive annual air temperature anomalies and last longer into the summer during the years with

negative annual air temperature anomalies. Similarly, the occasional multiyear ice on several

lakes lasted from one year to the other during the years with negative annual air temperature

365   anomalies. With the exception of the polar desert around Upper and Lower Murray Lakes that

maintained relatively consistent ice conditions or a longer-lasting ice cover into the summer by

12 days ($\alpha = > 0.1$, Lower Murray Lake) during the 15-year observation period, all other lakes

experienced earlier minimum ice during the summer months and thus earlier ice-off dates. Lakes

located in polar-oasis environments experienced the earliest summer ice minimum dates, with

370   lake L4 on Devon Island (30 days earlier, $\alpha = 0.1$) and Buchanan Lake (23 days earlier, $\alpha = 0.05$), experiencing the greatest change. Eleanor Lake, despite being a polar-desert type lake,

experienced considerably earlier summer ice minimum dates (19 days earlier, $\alpha = 0.05$). All

typical polar-desert lakes experienced minimum negative change, with a lower statistical

significance (2 to 5 days earlier, $\alpha = > 0.1$) in the timing of the summer ice minimum date (Fig.

375   5).

Multiyear ice was observed on occasional years for Lake Hazen (2000, 2004 and 2009), Upper Murray Lake (1999 and 2009), Lower Murray Lake (1999, 2002, 2006 and 2009), lake L4 on Devon Island (1997, 1999, 2001, 2003-2004 and 2006) and Stanwell Fletcher Lake (2001-2004). Lakes formerly observed to maintain multiyear ice covers, such as Lake Hazen in the 1950s (Hattersley-Smith, 1974) and Stanwell Fletcher Lake in the early 1960s (Coakley and Rust, 1968) are shifting toward a more frequent seasonal ice cover. Similar to other High-Arctic lakes that are rapidly transitioning from a perennial (persistence over decades or longer) or multiyear (persistence for > 1 year) to a seasonal (annual melt out) ice cover (Paquette et al., 2015), lakes in the Central and Eastern Canadian High Arctic seem to experience a similar shift. From 1997 to 2011, L4 on Devon Island and Stanwell Fletcher Lake were the only lakes that preserved their ice cover for two or more consecutive years (i.e. 2003-2004 for L4 and 2001-2004 for Stanwell Fletcher Lake).

4.3 Water-clear-of-ice

The end of break-up was indicated by the absence of an ice cover over lakes (0% ice) also known as WCI. For years with sparse satellite imagery at the end of break-up, and thus with differences greater than one day between the date of minimum ice cover and the WCI date, the day when the lake became ice free was estimated by interpolating between the date of the last satellite image (either SAR or Landsat) that indicated the presence of ice on lake surface and the date of the next available satellite observation that showed 100% open water, based on the observed rate of ice decay from previous images.

The range of mean WCI dates for the observed lakes from 1997 to 2007 falls between 17 July (DOY198, Craig Lake) and 22 August (DOY234, Stanwell Fletcher Lake). The mean WCI date for Upper Murray and Lower Murray Lake is 15 August (DOY227) and 18 August

(DOY230), respectively. Lakes remained completely ice free for several weeks prior to starting to refreeze, usually at the beginning of September when below-freezing air temperatures returned. While most lakes lost their ice cover every summer, observations indicate that a few lakes did not completely melt during the summer months (Table 5). Table 5 displays the range of observed WCI dates (shown as DOYs) during this period, the mean summer ice minimum date for each lake calculated based on 1997-2011 mean derived from spaceborne observations, and the total days, representing the calculated earlier/later WCI dates using the Mann-Kendall statistical test, relative to the 1997-2011 mean. Analysis indicates that the WCI date was generally earliest for polar oasis lakes: Lake Buchanan (by 24 days, α = 0.01), Hunting Camp Lake (biological oasis) on Bathurst Island (by 15 days, α = 0.1), Lake Hazen (by 9 days, α = > 0.1) and lake L4 on Devon Island (by 8 days, α = > 0.1). WCI for the polar desert Eleanor Lake occurred earlier by 20 days (α = 0.05). The polar desert Lower Murray Lake experienced later WCI dates by 13 days (α = > 0.1). Other than Lake Buchanan and Eleanor lake that showed a significant statistical trend toward earlier open water seasons, for all other lakes the significance level is greater than 0.1. Comparative changes in timing of the WCI date between lakes located in polar-desert environments and those in polar-oasis environments are shown in Fig. 6.

5   Discussion

5.1   Changes in lake ice regimes 1997-2011

5.1.1   The break-up season

For the majority of lakes, the break-up season (1997-2011) covered the months of June, July and August, ice decay generally started in June and transitioned toward an ice-free lake cover until the second/third week of August. Depending on summer air temperatures and/or lake location

and size, some lakes become ice free before the end of July. The analysis focused on the response of the lake ice cover to changes in air temperatures, however other factors that contribute to lake ice break-up exist, including but not limited to on-ice snow depth and extent, wind action and spring runoff.

425   While interannual variability in the MO dates existed from 1997 to 2011, lakes generally experienced earlier MO during the years with positive air temperature anomalies and later MO during the years with negative air temperature anomalies. For instance, in 1997, a year with negative air temperature anomaly at Alert, NU, MO for Lake Hazen was observed on 24 July (DOY205), 31 days late compared to the 1997-2011 mean. MO for the same lake occurred by 15

430   days earlier (8 June, DOY159) in 2010, when the air temperature anomaly at Alert, NU was positive. The large positive air temperature anomalies (e.g., 2005, 2006, 2007, 2009 and 2011) is at Alert a consequence of higher spring air temperatures during these years. Unusual warm years have been associated with anomalous high pressure atmospheric events such as the one in the late winter of 1997/early spring of 1998, resulting in above-average temperatures over the

435   Canadian Arctic (Atkinson et al., 2006). Furthermore, episodes of advection of moist, warmer air from the anomalous higher sea surface waters of the northwest Atlantic in spring/early summer result in large positive anomalies in the near surface temperature (Sharp et al., 2011).

     Given the high albedo of snow, MO (the first appearance of open water) could be delayed if a layer of snow or snow ice formed during freeze-up is present on lakes at the beginning of the

440   break-up season. Previous field observations revealed that the ice cover of Murray Lakes at the beginning of the 2005 break-up season entirely consisted of black ice thus lacking the snow-ice layer (Cook and Bradley, 2010). Additionally, most of the high-latitude regions generally experience low amounts of snowfall (< 158 mm/year). These facts could suggest that the

31

presence of snow ice and/or snow on High Arctic lakes is not a significant driver of the break-up

445   process. However, the sparse in situ snow accumulation, thickness and ice type measurements

limit the evaluation of the importance of snow in the timing of MO for the lakes in the CAA.

Another factor to be taken into consideration when discussing the timing of MO for lakes is

water inflow into the lakes. Eight out of the 11 lakes included in this study have streams flowing

into the lake. The origin of the warmer streams flowing into the lakes could be from melting

450   glaciers (i.e. Murray Lakes and Lake Hazen on Northern Ellesmere Island, Buchanan Lake on

Axel Heiberg Island) and/or runoff from snowmelt (e.g., lake L4 on Devon Island). The ice

break-up of Lake Hazen seems to be initiated by a runoff stream from Craig Lake (Fig. 7).

Similar to MO, timing of WCI is also dependant on air temperature. In order to analyse the

relation of WCI to air temperature, the 0°C spring isotherm dates were calculated based on the

455   approach described in Bonsal and Prowse (2003). The 0° C spring isotherm date is considered as

the date when mean daily air temperature rises above 0° C. Given the large variability in daily air

temperature, a 31-day running mean filter is used for the mean daily air temperatures. Lake WCI

dates relative to the 0° C spring isotherm date, calculated based on the available weather station

temperature records and ERA-Interim data, from 1997 to 2011 are shown in Fig. 8. The relation

460   between the timing of WCI dates and 0° spring isotherm date was determined with Spearman's

rank correlation coefficient (R). Analysis shows an overall correlation of R = 0.60 between the

WCI date timing and the 0° spring isotherm date for lakes that were ice free every year between

1997-2011. As a result of the presence of an occasional multiyear ice cover, the correlation

between WCI and the 0° spring isotherm date weakens..

465   The lower correlation for lakes that occasionally maintain an occasional summer ice cover is

likely related the limited ability of the gridded data to accurately represent local climate

conditions for lakes located further from permanent weather stations. These lakes are influenced by local microclimates due to the effect of the nearby glaciers and high mountains (Woo and Guan, 2006; Keatley et al., 2007). The presence of the Greenland Ice Cap (Alert, Northern Ellesmere Island), glaciers (Northern Ellesmere Island, Devon Island) and high topographic features (mountains > 2,200 m around Lake Hazen and near Eureka) could lead to discrepancies between the weather station and reanalysis data. Hence, given the grid-cell size of the reanalysis data (0.75˚), ERA-Interim records for the Northern Ellesmere Island does not always capture the microclimates, or warmer/colder climatic episodes that develop in some of the smaller High Arctic areas (Brown, L. C. 2014, personal communications).

AVHRR observations of WCI dates for Lake Hazen and Stanwell Fletcher Lake from 1985-2004 reveal earlier break-up by < 10 days for the former and by 4-6 days for the latter (Latifovic and Pouliot, 2007). The current analysis shows that during the 1997-2011 period, break-up occurred earlier by a total of 12 days for Lake Hazen and by 6 days for Stanwell Fletcher Lake. Considering that break-up is highly correlated with air temperatures (Duguay et al., 2006), the increase in the number of days revealing even earlier WCI dates for Lake Hazen and Stanwell Fletcher Lake is reflective of higher mean air temperatures during 1997-2011 shown by the gridded ERA-Interim data.

Using all available RADARSAT-1/2, ASAR and Landsat images from the beginning to the end of the break-up period between 1997-2011, WCI timing was determined with an accuracy of 1-3 days, three days being the longest period with no available satellite imagery from any sensor at the end of break-up. A time series of multiple-sensor acquisitions for Lake Hazen during the 2010 break-up season (Fig. 9) shows the ice cover changes from the beginning to the end of break-up. Changes in the ice cover of Lake Hazen during the 2010 break-up season reflect the

490   lake ice/temperature relation, the decrease in the ice cover fraction being correlated (R = -0.94) with the number of cumulative thawing degree days (CTDD) calculated based on the ERA-Interim daily mean air temperatures (Fig. 10).

    The mean duration of the break-up season for Upper Murray Lake is 52 days and for Lower Murray Lake is 55 days. Previous findings of ice regimes for Murray Lakes between 1997-2007

495   indicate 16 August (DOY228) as a mean ice-off date for Upper Murray Lake and 24 August (DOY236) for Lower Murray Lake, and an average duration of the melt period of 74 and 81 days, respectively (Cook and Bradley, 2010). The earlier timing of WCI dates and shorter break-up seasons for Murray Lakes shown by the current study, are indicative of positive air temperature anomalies at Alert during all years from 2005-2011. Earlier positive air temperatures

500   at the beginning of summer and consecutive days with temperatures higher by 2°-4°C than temperatures recorded during previous break-up seasons of 2007-2011 are likely the main drivers of the earlier WCI dates and shorter break-up periods for Murray Lakes shown in this study.

    The greatest changes during the break-up season from 1997 through 2011 were recorded for smaller lakes, in both polar-desert and polar-oasis environments (i.e. lake L4 on Devon Island,

505   Buchanan Lake and Hunting Camp Lake), and the polar-desert type lake, Eleanor. These lakes experienced earlier MO by 20-30 days, earlier ice minimum dates by 15-30 days and earlier WCI dates by 15-24 days. These findings suggest that following the considerably higher temperature of polar deserts during recent years (Woo and Young, 2014), polar-desert type lakes are starting to shift into polar-oasis type lakes, the smaller ones showing the shift earlier.

510   *5.1.2   The multiyear ice cover*

The loss of the perennial/multiyear ice cover for most lakes is mainly a consequence of the warmer air temperatures recorded in the High Arctic during recent decades as recent studies

show (Sharp et al., 2011; Zdanowicz et al., 2012; Woo and Young, 2014). In general, during years when the 0° spring isotherm date occurred earlier in the spring, lakes became ice free (e.g., in 1997 the lake L4 on Devon Island maintained a multiyear ice cover in 1997 when the 0° spring isotherm date occurred on DOY170 and following a 0° spring isotherm date on DOY157, it completely lost its ice cover early in 2011 (DOY 204)).

Conversely, during years with late 0° spring isotherm date lakes maintained a multiyear ice cover. The persistence of ice throughout the summer into early autumn when it starts refreezing (multiyear ice cover) on occasional (cool) years in some lakes could be related to a multitude of factors whose individual and/or combined actions allow the lake ice cover to outlast from one season to another. As such, lower spring air temperatures (e.g., 2004) delay ice break-up which combined with lower summer/fall air temperatures promotes a multiyear ice cover. Additionally, the presence of glaciers in the vicinity of some of the study lakes (i.e. Lake Hazen Upper and Lower Murray Lake, lake L4 on Devon Island), through generally persistent low air temperatures, stabilizes the lake ice cover (Doran et al., 1996). Furthermore, the vicinity of a partially frozen Arctic Ocean during (extreme) colder summer seasons cools the atmosphere around the lakes and thus supports the presence of a summer ice cover.

5.2 Lake ice in a changing cryosphere

Since the mid-1990s, increasing summer and winter temperatures across the entire Canadian Arctic, and highly noticeable on the Eastern side, have been recorded (Zdanowicz et al., 2012). These observations correspond with positive summer-temperature anomalies of 1.5-2˚C between 2005-2009, three times higher than the mean of the 1960-2009 period (Fisher et al., 2012). Air temperature changes at high latitudes impact the dynamics and linkages between the different components of the cryosphere, and thus also the lake ice cover regimes. As a result of rising

mean summer air temperatures in the Canadian High Arctic during recent decades, the snow to total precipitation ratio has been decreasing (Screen and Simmonds, 2011) and the semi-permanent snow cover has been disappearing (Woo and Young, 2014). Despite the enhanced runoff from the CAA glaciers since the 1990s, (Gardener et al., 2011; Lenaerts et al., 2013), without the semi-permanent snow, surface and subsurface flows are not properly sustained. A declining semi-permanent snow cover has implications for the local hydrology and could result in the disappearance of wetlands and ponds in low-precipitation years when the semi-permanent snow is the main source of runoff. Additionally, it also affects the local ecology through changes in the habitat and food availability and the vegetation cover. Moreover, following accelerated snow ablation consequent to increased rainfall, early snow melt occurs during warm summers, exposing the underlying permafrost layer to more solar radiation and thus deepening the active layer (Woo and Young, 2014).

5.2.1   *Changes in near-surface air and surface temperature*

The 1-km resolution combined Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS)-Aqua/Terra Land Surface Temperature (LST) maps represent a valuable tool in obtaining consistent observations of "surface skin" temperature over land at high latitudes. MODIS observations acquired during the break-up season of two extreme years, 2004 (negative air temperature anomalies) and 2011 (positive air temperature anomalies) show up to 5°C differences in the mean summer air temperatures of the two years, over all study sites (Fig. 11).

Differences in the surface "skin" temperature from MODIS discriminate the warmer land areas from the colder ones. During years with negative annual air temperature anomalies, these differences range from 5°-15°C for the polar oases areas around Lake Hazen on Northern Ellesmere Island and Buchanan Lake on Axel Heiberg Island, and from 1°-4°C for the polar-

36

oases areas around lake L4 on Devon Island and Hunting Camp Lake on Bathurst Island. The air

560   temperature differences between the investigated polar oases and the surrounding areas during

years with positive annual air temperatures range from 10°-19°C around lake Hazen and

Buchanan Lake and from 9°-16°C for areas around lakes L6 and L7.

In June 2004, the areas around Lake Hazen and Buchanan Lake experienced higher

temperatures than the surrounding areas that are controlled by a typical polar desert climate by

565   5°-12°C. No air temperature difference was observed in the case of areas around lakes L4 and

Hunting Camp Lake during the same month. In 2011, the June air temperature differences

between the warmer polar oases and surrounding areas ranged from 3°-4°C (lake L4 and Hunting

Camp Lake) and 10°-16°C (Lake Hazen and Buchanan Lake).

During the month of July, all areas around the investigated polar oases experienced higher air

570   temperature than the surrounding areas. In 2004, these differences ranged from 3°-4°C (lake L

and Hunting Camp Lake) to 3°-11°C (Lake Hazen and Buchanan Lake). These differences were

considerably higher in 2011 and ranged from 12°-15°C (lakes L6 and L7) to 9°-19°C (Lake

Hazen and Buchanan Lake).

During the month of August 2004 and 2011, no noticeable "skin" temperature differences

575   were observed for areas around lakes L4 and Hunting Camp Lake in comparison to the usually

colder surrounding areas. Air temperatures around Lake Hazen and Buchanan Lake during the

same month were higher than the neighbouring areas by 9°-12°C in 2004 and by 13°-16°C in

2011.

Analysis of MODIS data shows that the greatest differences in surface temperature of polar

580   oases and those polar deserts locations investigated in the current study occur in June and July,

with significant surface temperature differences during years with higher air temperature

37

anomalies of the same month. Moreover, differences in surface temperature between polar oases and the surrounding polar desert environments is considerably higher around Lake Hazen on Northern Ellesmere Island and Buchanan Lake on Axel Heiberg Island, and less around lake L4 on Devon Island and Hunting Camp Lake on Bathurst Island. In years with high positive air temperature anomalies, these polar 'hot spots' extend over larger areas and could be impacting the otherwise typical polar-desert climate of the neighbouring areas.

Analysis of air temperature as recorded at the weather stations with available data reveals that temperature anomalies during the same month of the two years are greatest during the month of June at all stations, and smallest in August when solar radiation drops off rapidly as a result of a declining solar angle (Woo and Young, 1996). The smallest positive air temperature anomaly between 2004 and 2011 was recorded in July, at Alert (0.67°C) and the greatest positive anomaly was observed in July, at Resolute (5.09°C). In 2004, delayed WCI dates were observed for most lakes, with Craig Lake, lake L11 on Baffin Island, Hunting Camp Lake on Bathurst Island and Eleanor Lake experiencing the latest WCI dates during the 15-year record. Lake Hazen and lake L4 on Devon Island also maintained a multiyear ice cover throughout the 2004 summer. Conversely, in 2011 earlier MO and WCI was observed for most lakes, with extreme earlier WCI dates for Lake Buchanan, lakes L4, Hunting Camp Lake, Eleanor Lake, lakes L9 and L11. Multiyear ice was not observed on any of the 11 lakes at the end of the 2010/11 ice season.

600   *5.2.2   Changes in atmospheric/oceanic circulation patterns*

Air temperatures at high latitudes are associated with changes in the coupled atmosphere-ocean system (Trenberth and Hurrell, 1994). Warmer air temperatures and a higher Arctic troposphere will continue to reduce the pressure gradient between northern and southern latitudes and will lead to substantial changes in atmospheric circulation patterns (Watanabe et al., 2006). Extreme

phases of atmospheric circulation patterns, also known as teleconnections, have been shown to influence ice phenology of lakes in the northern hemisphere (Bonsal et al., 2006), such as the major shift in the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) in mid-1970s toward a positive phase when North-American lakes experienced earlier break-up and shorter ice seasons (Benson et al., 2000) or the strong positive phase of El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) in 1998 that resulted in extreme ice events (i.e. later ice freeze-up and earlier break-up, and anomalously thin ice) for many of the lakes in the High Arctic (Atkinson et al., 2006) and when none of the study lakes maintained a multiyear ice cover. The North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the Arctic Oscillation (AO), highly related to each other, also play a significant role in the winter/early spring (November-April) Arctic atmosphere, NAO in particular influencing the air temperatures on the eastern side of North America (Bonsal et al., 2006). The relation between Arctic lake ice phenology and NAO/AO patterns during recent years has not yet been thoroughly investigated. However, it has been hypothesised that the shorter ice seasons in north-eastern Canada and Baffin Bay are likely associated with the persistent positive air temperature anomalies in the area from around 2000 (Prowse et al., 2011), coinciding with a trend toward a more negative NAO/AO values (Overland and Wang, 2005).

The lack of a strong correlation between ice break-up of High Arctic lakes and teleconnections from 1997 through 2011 as indicated by a preliminary analysis (not shown) could be explained by the fact that neither PDO nor the NAO/AO has been in a phase to contribute to the Arctic warming during the past several years (AMAP, 2011). On a background of increased warming at higher latitudes, the previous strong correlation between AO and ice regimes of lakes north of 65° (Bonsal and Prowse, 2003) could weaken in the forthcoming decades. However, in order to determine the impact that atmospheric circulation patterns have had on ice phenology during recent years, a more comprehensive analysis is needed.

6 Summary and conclusions

This study provides an assessment of lake ice conditions in the Central and Eastern Canadian High Arctic, and reveals changes in the break-up dates and the summer ice cover that these lakes experienced between 1997-2011. Analysis of the available SAR and Landsat data from 1997 to 2011 indicates that the start of ice break-up (melt onset) is occurring by 14-39 days earlier for polar-oasis lakes (out of five investigated polar-oasis lakes, one showed a statistically significant trend at the 0.01 level and two at the 0.05 level) and by 3-23 days earlier for polar-desert lakes (out of six investigated polar-desert lakes, two showed a statistically significant trend at the 0.05 level). Changes were also observed in the summer ice minimum, with ice generally disappearing earlier on all lakes, by 9-30 days earlier in polar oasis environments (out of five investigated polar-oasis lakes, one showed a statistically significant trend at the 0.05 level and one at the 0.1 level) and by 2-19 earlier in polar desert environments (out of six investigated polar-desert lakes, one showed a statistically significant trend at the 0.05 level). Timing of the WCI dates ranges from 9-24 days earlier in polar oasis environments (out of five investigated polar-oasis lakes, one showed a statistically significant trend at the 0.01 level) and from 2-20 days earlier in polar

desert environments (out of six investigated polar-desert lakes, one showed a statistically significant trend at the 0.05 level). The only lake with later WCI dates is Lower Murray Lake (13 days, statistically significant at the > 0.1 level).

During the 15-year period covered by this study, the MO and WCI dates occurred earlier for all 11 lakes, with the exception of Lower Murray Lake on Northern Ellesmere Island that despite experiencing earlier MO, had an ice cover lasting longer into the summer or even occasionally persisting from one year to another. The lakes with the greatest changes in the timing of MO date were Buchanan Lake on Axel Heiberg Island (24 days early), Eleanor Lake on Cornwallis Island (20 days early), lake L7 on Bathurst Island (15 days early), Lake Hazen (9 days early) and lake L4 on Devon Island (8 days early). Earlier summer ice minimum was also observed on these lakes. Given that with the exception of Eleanor Lake, the lakes with the shortest ice seasons are located in polar oases areas, environments dominated by milder temperatures, comes to reinforce the strong relation between air temperature and lake ice break-up. The increasing positive air temperature anomalies are likely the cause of multiyear ice loss for lakes. Lakes that preserved their ice cover from one season to another on a consistent basis (e.g., Lake Hazen, Stanwell Fletcher Lake) in previous decades are transitioning toward a seasonal ice cover, with sparse or no multiyear ice seasons. Some of the lakes on Northern Ellesmere Island (i.e. Lake Hazen and Murray Lakes), along with lake L4 on Devon Island and Stanwell Fletcher Lake are the only lakes with observed occasional multiyear ice. From 2007 to 2011, Lake Hazen and Murray Lakes were the only ones with observed multiyear ice cover in 2009. However, given the short record of this study, these results are indicative of a possible change in lake ice regimes during the 15-year period and likely are also reflective of yearly variability and variability over the study area.

In an Arctic that has warmed during recent decades and that will likely continue to be driven by above-normal air temperatures, shorter ice seasons, with later freeze-up and earlier break-up dates, complete loss the perennial ice cover (Brown and Duguay, 2011) and major biological changes within the High Arctic lakes are predicted to persist in forthcoming decades.

Studies suggest that consequent to ice loss and longer open-water seasons, Arctic lakes and ponds have the potential to experience a large leap in productivity and more rapid nutrient cycling (Perren et al., 2003; Smol and Douglas, 2007; Paul et. al., 2010). A possible scenario consequent to a reduced ice cover involves abundance of periphytic diatoms in shallow lakes (Smol et al., 2005), diversification of the planktonic flora (Keatley et al., 2008) and an overall increase of the primary production rate (Smol et al., 2005). Lakes within the polar deserts may virtually start to respond and act like those within polar oases consequent to the changing climate conditions (Young and Abnizova, 2011). Studying the ice phenology of lakes that are presently located in polar oases environments could provide an insight as to how the ice conditions of polar desert lakes may be in the near future.

The Arctic cryosphere is a complex system driven by strong interactions among the atmosphere, land and ocean. Under projected amplified warming of polar regions, ice break-up of inland lakes will be prone to a greater change as ice decay is more responsive to changes in air temperature (Brown and Duguay, 2011). Considering the dynamic nature of the ocean-atmosphere-land linkages, changes within the lake ice cover are likely to be more prominent and result in more extreme ice conditions associated with warmer events (e.g., extremely late freeze-up, extremely early break-up; Benson et al., 2011), and shift from a perennial/multiyear to a seasonal ice cover.

The results presented in this paper document changes in the ice cover of lakes in the Canadian High Arctic in recent years as observed by a combination of SAR and optical sensors, and present a preview of changes that Arctic lakes are likely to undergo in future decades. The combination of radar satellite missions, Sentinel-1a and the forthcoming RADARSAT constellation, and the recently-launched optical Sentinel 2-a Multi-Spectral instrument, with frequent revisit times, will be invaluable tools that will enable consistent monitoring of High Arctic lakes in a dynamic and rapidly changing climate. The 15-year ice records for the observed 11 lakes in the CAA set the baseline for a long-term monitoring database for High Arctic lakes that can be consolidated through observations from future satellite missions.

43

Space Agency (CSA) 1997-2010. The RADARSAT-2 images were provided by the Canadian

Ice Service (CIS). RADARSAT-2 Data and Products, © MacDonald, Dettwiler and Associates

Ltd., 2008-2011, all rights reserved. RADARSAT is an official trademark of the Canadian Space

Agency. Landsat images were provided by the U.S. Geological Survey. MODIS Aqua and Terra

715   Land Surface Temperature and Emissivity data were acquired from the NASA Land Processes

Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC).

References

Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (ACIA): Impacts of a Warming Arctic: Arctic Climate Impact, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1042, 2005.

720   Adams, W. P., Doran, P. T., Ecclestone, M., Kingsbury, C. M., and Allan, C. J.: A rare second year - Lake ice cover in the Canadian High Arctic, Arctic, 42, 299-306, 1989.

Aiken, S. G., Dallwitz, M. G., Consaul, L. L., Mcjannet, C. L., Boles, R. L., Argus, G. W., Gillett, J. M., Scott, P. J., Elven, R., Leblanc, M. C., Gillespie, L. J., Brysting, A. K., Solstad, H., and Harris, J. G.: Flora of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago: Descriptions, illustrations, identification, and information retrieval. Version: 1 October 2005, http://www.mun.ca/biology/delta/arcticf/, 1999.

Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP): Snow, Water, Ice and Permafrost in the Arctic (SWIPA) 2011. Oslo: Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), 2011.

730   Arp, C. D., Jones, B. M., and Grosse, G.: Recent lake ice-out phenology within and among lake districts of Alaska, U.S.A., Limnol.Oceanogr., 58, 2013-2028, 2013.

Assini, J. and Young, K. L.: Snow cover and snowmelt of an extensive High Arctic wetland: spatial and temporal seasonal patterns, Hydrolog. Sci. J., 57, 738-755, 2012.

Atkinson, D. E., Brown, R., Alt, B., Agnew, T., Bourgeois, J., Burgess, M., Duguay, C. R., Henry, G., and others: Canadian cryospheric response to an anomalous warm summer: a synthesis of the Climate Change Action Fund Project 'The state of the Arctic cryosphere during the extreme warm summer of 1998', Atmos. Ocean, 44, 347-375, 2006.

Belzile, C., Vincent, W. F., Gibson, J. A. E., and Van Hove, P.: Bio-optical characteristics of the snow, ice, and water column of a perennially ice-covered lake in the High Arctic, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 58, 2405-2418, 2001.

Benson, B. J., Magnuson, J. J., Jacob, R. L., and Fuenger, S. L.: Response of lake ice breakup in the Northern Hemisphere to the 1976 interdecadal shift in the North Pacific, Verhandlungen des Internationalen Verein Limnologie, Ver. 27, 2770-2774, 2000.

745   Benson, B. J., Magnuson, J. J., Jensen, O. P., Card, V. M., Hodgkins, G., Korhonen, J., Livingstone, D. M., Stewart, K. M., Weyhenmeyer, G. A. and Granin, N. G.: Extreme events,

trends, and variability in Northern Hemisphere lake-ice phenology (1855-2005), Climatic Change, 1-25, 2011.

Bliss, L. C.: Introduction. In: Truelove Lowland, Devon Island, Canada: A High Arctic ecosystem, Edmonton: University of Alberta Press, 1-12, 1977.

Bonsal, B. R. and Prowse, T. D.: Trends and variability in spring and autumn 0°C-isotherm dates over Canada, Climatic Change, 57, 341-358, 2003.

Bonsal, B. R., Prowse, T. D., Duguay, C. R., and Lacroix, M. P.: Impacts of large-scale teleconnections on freshwater-ice break/freeze-up dates over Canada, Journal of Hydrology, 330, 340-353, 2006.

Brown, L. C. and Duguay, C. R.: The fate of lake ice in the North American Arctic, The Cryosphere, 5, 869-892, 2011.

Brown, R., Derksen, C., and Wang, L.: Assessment of spring snow cover duration variability over northern Canada from satellite datasets, Remote Sens. Environ., 111, 367-381, 2007.

Coakley, J. P. and Rust, B. R.: Sedimentation in an Arctic lake, J. Sedim. Petrol., 38, 1290-1300, 1968.

Cook, T. L. and Bradley, R. S.: An analysis of past and future changes in the ice cover of two high-arctic lakes based on synthetic aperture radar (SAR) and Landsat imagery, Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res., 42, 9-18, 2010.

Courtin, G. M. and Labine, C. L.: Microclimatological studies on Truelove Lowland , in Bliss, L. C. (ed.), Truelove Lowland, Devon Island, Canada: a High Arctic Ecosystem. University of Alberta Press, Edmonton, 73-106, 1977.

Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmeseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., Van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. -., Park, B. -., Peubey, C., De Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thêpaut, J. -., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 553-597, April 2011 A.

Derksen, C., Smith, S. L., Sharp, M., Brown, L., Howell, S., Copland, L., Mueller, D. R., Gauthier, Y., Fletcher, C. G., Tivy, A., Bernier, M., Bourgeois, J., Brown, R., Burn, C. R., Duguay, C., Kushner, P., Langlois, A., Lewkowicz, A. G., Royer, A., and Walker, A.: Variability and change in the Canadian cryosphere, Climatic Change, 115, 59-88, 2012.

Doran, P. T., McKay, C. P., Adams, W. P., English, M. C., Wharton Jr., R. A., and Meyer, M. A.: Climate forcing and thermal feedback of residual lake-ice covers in the high Arctic, Limnol. Oceanogr., 41, 839-848, 1996.

Duguay, C. R., Prowse, T. D., Bonsal, B. R., Brown, R. D., Lacroix, M. P., and Ménard, P.: Recent trends in Canadian lake ice cover, Hydrol. Process., 20, 781-801, 2006.

Duguay, C. R., Pultz, T. J., Lafleur, P. M., and Drai, D.: RADARSAT backscatter characteristics of ice growing on shallow sub-Arctic lakes, Churchill, Manitoba, Canada, Hydrol. Process., 16, 1631-1644, 2002.

Edlund, S. A. and Alt, B. T.: Regional congruence of vegetation and summer climate patterns in the Queen Elizabeth Islands, Northwest Territories, Canada, Arctic, 42, 3-23, 1989.

Environment Canada: National climate data and information archive, Retrieved from http://climate.weatheroffice.gc.ca/climate_normals, 2011.

Fisher, D., Zheng, L., Burgess, D., Zdanowicz, C., Kinnard, C., Sharp, M., and Bourgeois, J.: Recent melt rates of Canadian Arctic ice caps are the highest in four millennia, Global Planet. Change, 84-85, 3-7, 2012.

795   France, R. L.: The Lake Hazen Trough: A late winter oasis in a polar desert, Biol. Conserv., 63, 149-151, 1993.

Freedman, B., Svoboda, J., and Henry, G. H. R.: Alexandra Fiord: An ecological oasis in the polar desert. In: Svoboda, J. and Freedman, B., eds. Ecology of a polar oasis: Alexandra Fiord, Ellesmere Island, Canada, Toronto: Captus University Publications, 1-12, 1994.

800   Futter, M. N.: Patterns and trends in southern Ontario lake ice phenology, Environ. Monit. Assess., 88, 431-444, 2003.

Gardner, A. S., Moholdt, G., Wouters, B., Wolken, G. J., Burgess, D. O., Sharp, M., Cogley, G., Braun, C., and Labine, C.: Sharply increased mass loss from glaciers and ice caps in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, Nature, 473, 357-360, 2011.

805   Geldsetzer, T. and Van der Sanden, J. J.: Identification of polarimetric and nonpolarimetric C-band SAR parameters for application in the monitoring of lake ice freeze-up, Can. J. Remote Sens., 39, 263-275, 2013.

Geldsetzer, T., Van Der Sanden, J., and Brisco, B.: Monitoring lake ice during spring melt using RADARSAT-2 SAR, Can. J. Remote Sens., 36, S391-S400, 2010.

810   Hall, D. K.: Remote sensing of snow and ice using imaging radar. In Henderson, F. M., and Lewis, A. J. (eds.): Principles and Applications of Imaging Radar, New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 677-698, 1998.

Hattersley-Smith, G.: North of latitude eighty, Defence Research Board, Ottawa, 1974.

Heron, R. and Woo, M. -.: Decay of a high Arctic lake-ice cover: observations and modelling, J.
815   Glaciol., 40, 283-292, 1994.

Hess, A., Iyer, H., and Malm, W.: Linear trend analysis: A comparison of methods, Atmos. Environ., 35, 5211-5222, 2001.

Hirsch, R. M., Slack, J. R., and Smith, R. A.: Techniques of trend analysis for monthly water quality data, Water Resour. Res., 20, 727-732, 1982.

820   Howell, S. E. L., Brown, L. C., Kang, K. -., and Duguay, C. R.: Variability in ice phenology on Great Bear Lake and Great Slave Lake, Northwest Territories, Canada, from SeaWinds/QuikSCAT: 2000-2006, Remote Sens. Environ., 113, 816-834, 2009.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
825   Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. , Cambridge University Press, New York, 1535 pp, 2013.

Jeffries, M. O. and Krouse, H. R.: Isotopic and chemical investigations of two stratified lakes in the Canadian Arctic, Zeitschrift für Gletscherkunde und Glazialgeologie, 21, 71-78, 1985.

830   Jeffries, M. O., Morris, K., and Kozlenko, N.: Ice characteristics and processes, and remote sensing of frozen rivers and lakes, in Duguay, C. R., and Pietroniro, A. (eds.), Remote Sensing in Northern Hydrology: Measuring Environmental Change. Washington: American Geophysical Union, 63-90, 2005.

Keatley, B. E., Douglas, M. S. V., and Smol, J. P.: Limnological characteristics of a High Arctic
835   oasis and comparisons across northern Ellesmere Island, Arctic, 60, 294-308, 2007.

Kheyrollah Pour, H., Duguay, C. R., Solberg, R., and Rudjord, Ø.: Impact of satellite-based lake surface observations on the initial state of HIRLAM. Part I: evaluation of remotely-sensed lake surface water temperature observations, Tellus A, 66, 2014.

Latifovic, R. and Pouliot, D.: Analysis of climate change impacts on lake ice phenology in Canada using the historical satellite data record, Remote Sens. Environ., 106, 492-507, 2007.

Lee, J. –S.: Digital image enhancement and noise filtering by use of local statistics, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 2, 165-168, 1980.

Lenaerts, J. T., Van Angelen, J. H., Van Den Broeke, A. S., Gardner, A. S., Wouters, B., and Van Meijgaard, E.: Irreversible mass loss of Canadian Arctic Archipelago glaciers, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 870-874, 2013.

Michelutti, N., McCleary, K., Douglas, M. S. V., and Smol, J. P.: Comparison of freshwater diatom assemblages from a High Arctic oasis to nearby polar desert sites and their application to environmental inference models, J. Phycol., 49, 41-53, 2013.

Morris, K., Jeffries, M. O., and Weeks, W. F.: Ice processes and growth history on Arctic and sub-Arctic lakes using ERS-1 SAR data, Polar Rec., 31, 115-128, 1995.

Mueller, D. R., Van Hove, P., Antoniades, D., Jeffries, M. O., and Vincent, W. F.: High Arctic lakes as sentinel ecosystems: Cascading regime shifts in climate, ice cover, and mixing, Limnol. Oceanogr., 54, 2371-2385, 2009.

Noguchi, K., Gel, Y. R., and Duguay, C. R.: Bootstrap-based test for trends in hydrological time series, with application to ice phenology data. J. Hydrol., 410, 150-161, 2011.

Overland, J. E. and Wang, M. Y.: The third Arctic climate pattern: 1930s and early 2000s, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, 2005.

Palecki, M. A. and Barry, R. G.: Freeze-up and break-up of lakes as an index of temperature changes during the transition seasons: a case study for Finland., J. Clim. Appl. Meteorol., 25, 893-902, 1986.

Paquette, M., Fortier, D., Mueller, D. R., Sarrazin, D., and Vincent, W. F.: Rapid disappearance of perennial ice on Canada's most northern lake, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 1433-1440, 2015.

Paul, C. A., Douglas, M. S. V., and Smol, J. P.: Diatom-inferred Holocene climatic and environmental changes in an unusually subsaline High Arctic Nunatak pond on Ellesmere Island (Nunavut, Canada), J. Paleolimnol., 44, 913-929, 2010.

Perren, B., Bradley, R. S., and Francus, P.: Rapid Lacustrine Response to Recent High Arctic Warming: A Diatom Record from Sawtooth Lake, Ellesmere Island, Nunavut, Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res., 35, 271-278, 2003.

Prowse, T. D., Alfredsen, K., Beltaos, S., Bonsai, B. R., Duguay, C. R., Korhola, A., McNamara, J., Vincent, W. F., Vuglinsky, V., Walter Anthony, K. M., and Weyhenmeyer, G. A.: Past and future changes in Arctic lake and river ice, in Arctic cryosphere- Changes and impacts, ed. Callaghan, T.V., Johansson, M. and Prowse, T. D., Ambio, 40, 53-62, 2011.

Rust, B. R. and Coakley, J. P.: Physico-chemical characteristics and postglacial desalination of Stanwell-Fletcher Lake, Arctic Canada, Can. J. Earth Sci., 7, 900-911, 1970.

Screen, J. A. and Simmonds, I.: Erroneous Arctic temperature trends in the ERA-40 reanalysis: a closer look, J. Climate, 24, 2620-2627, 2011.

Sen, P. K.: Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kandall's tau, J. Am. Stat. Assoc., 63, 1379-1389, 1968.

Sharp, M., Burgess, D. O., Cogley, J. G., Ecclestone, M., Labine, C., and Wolken, G. J.: Extreme melt on Canada's Arctic ice caps in the 21st century , Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, 2011.

Simmons, A. J. and Poli, P.: Arctic warming in ERA-Interim and other analyses, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 140, 329-353, 2014.

Simmons, A. J., Willett, K. M., Jones, P. D., Thorne, P. W., and Dee, D. P.: Low-frequency variations in surface atmospheric humidity, temperature and precipitation: Inferences from reanalyses and monthly gridded observational datasets, J. Geophys. Res., 115, 2010.

Smith, L. C.: Trends in Russian Arctic river-ice formation and break-up, 1917 to 1994, Phys. Geogr. 21, 46-56, 2000.

Smol, J. P. and Douglas, M. S. V.: Crossing the final ecological threshold in High Arctic ponds, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 104, 12395-12397, 2007.

Smol, J. P., Wolfe, A. P., Birks, H. J. B., Douglas, M. S. V., Jones, V. J., Korhola, A., Pienitz, R., Rühland, K., Sorvari, S., Antoniades, D., Brooks, S. J., Fallu, M. - A., Hughes, M., Keatley, B. E., Laing, T. E., Michelutti, N., Nazarova, L., Nyman, M., Paterson, A. M., Perren, B., Quinlan, R., Rautio, M., Saulnier-Talbot, E., Siitonen, S., Solovieva, N., and Weckström, J.: Climate-driven regime shifts in the biological communities of Arctic lakes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 102, 4397-4402, 2005.

Sobiech, J. and Dierking, W.: Observing lake- and river-ice decay with SAR: advantages and limitations of the unsupervised k-means classification approach, Ann. Glaciol., 54, 2013.

Surdu, C. M., Duguay, C. R., Brown, L. C., and Fernández Prieto, D.: Response of ice cover on shallow lakes of the North Slope of Alaska to contemporary climate conditions (1950-2011): radar remote sensing and numerical modeling data analysis, The Cryosphere, 8, 167-180, 2014.

Svoboda, J. and Freedman, B.: Ecology of a High Arctic Lowland Oasis Alexandra Fiord (78 ° 53' N, 75 ° 55' W), Ellesmere Island, NWT, Canada, University of Toronto, Department of Botany, Toronto, Canada, 1981.

Tabari, H., Marofi, S., Aeini, A., Talaee, P. H., Mohammadi, K.: Trend analysis of reference evapotranspiration in the Western half of Iran, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 151,128-136, 2011.

Tisler, P., Vihma, T., Müller, G., and Brümmer, B.: Modelling of warm-air advection over Arctic sea ice, Tellus, 60A, 775-788, 2008.

Trenberth, K. E. and Hurell, J. W.: Decadal atmosphere-ocean variations in the Pacific, Clim. Dynam., 9, 303-319, 1994.

Veillette, J., Martineau, M. -., Antoniades, D., Sarrazin, D., and Vincent, W. F.: Effects of loss of perennial lake ice on mixing and phytoplankton dynamics: Insights from High Arctic Canada, Ann. Glaciol., 51, 56-70, 2010.

Watanabe, E., Wang, J., Sumi, A., and Hasumi, H.: Arctic Dipole anomaly and its contribution to sea ice export from the Arctic Ocean in the 20th century, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, 2006.

Williamson, C. E., Dodds, W., Kratz, T. K., and Palmer, M. A.: Lakes and streams as sentinels of environmental change in terrestrial and atmospheric processes, Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 6, 247-254, 2008.

Woo, M.-K. and Marsh, P.: Analysis of error in the determination of snow storage for small High Arctic basins, J. Appl. Meteorol., 17, 1537-1541, 1978.

Woo, M.-K. and Young, K. L.: Disappearing semi-permanent snow in the High Arctic and its consequences, J. Glaciol., 60, 192-200, 2014.

Woo, M.-K. and Guan, X. J.: Hydrological Connectivity and Seasonal Storage Change of Tundra Ponds in a Polar Oasis Environment, Canadian High Arctic, Permafrost Periglac., 17, 309-323, 2006.

Woo, M.-K. and Young, K. L.: Hydrology of a small drainage basin with polar oasis environment, Fosheim Peninsula, Ellesmere Island, Canada, Permafrost Periglac., 8, 257-277, 1997.

930 Woo, M. -. and Young, K. L.: Summer solar radiation in the Canadian High Arctic, Arctic, 49, 170-180, 1996.

Young, K. L. and Labine, C.: Summer hydroclimate of an extensive low-gradient wetland: Polar Bear Pass, Bathurst Island, Nunavut, Canada, Hydrol. Res., 41, 492-502.

Young, K. L., Woo, M. K., and Edlund, S. A.: Influence of local topography, soils, and
935 vegetation on microclimate and hydrology at a High Arctic site, Ellesmere Island, Canada, Arctic Alpine Res., 29, 270-284, 1997.

Young, K. L. and Abnizova, A.: Hydrologic thresholds of ponds in a polar desert wetland environment, Somerset Island, Nunavut, Canada, Wetlands, 31, 535-549, 2011.

Zhang, X., Harvey, K. D., Hogg, W. D., and Yuzyk, T. R.: Trends in Canadian streamflow.
940 Water Resour. Res., 37, 987-998, 2001.

Zdanowicz, C., Smetny-Sowa, A., Fischer, D., Schaffer, N., Copland, L., Eley, J., and Dupont, F.: Summer melt rates on Penny Ice Cap, Baffin Island: past and recent trends, and implications for regional climate, J. Geophys. Res., 117, 2012.

Table 1. Location and basic characteristics of study lakes.

ID	Lake name	Lat. N	Long. W	Location (island)	Surface area (km²)	Max. depth (m)	Environment type	Perennial ice (1997-2011)
1	Hazen	81˚47'	71˚21'	Ellesmere	542	280	polar oasis	2000, 2004, 2009
2	Craig	81˚51'	68˚50'	Ellesmere	15.89	-	polar oasis	no
3	Buchanan	79˚27'	87˚37'	Axel Heidberg	16.8	-	polar oasis	no
4	Unnamed	76˚33'	92˚32'	Devon	9.05	-	polar oasis	1997, 1999, 2001, 2003-2004, 2006
5	Hunting Camp	75˚42'	98˚33'	Bathurst (Polar Bear Pass)	4.23	-	polar oasis	no
6	Upper Murray	81˚23'	69˚41'	Ellesmere	7.6	83	polar desert	1999, 2009
7	Lower Murray	81˚20'	69˚33'	Ellesmere	5	46	polar desert	1999, 2002, 2006, 2009
8	Eleanor	75˚22'	93˚57'	Cornwallis	5.91	88	polar desert	no
9	Unnamed	72˚50'	95˚28'	Somerset	5.81	-	polar desert	2004
10	Stanwell Fletcher	72˚46'	94˚51'	Somerset	339.29	-	polar desert	2001-2004
11	Unnamed	70˚50'	87˚45'	Baffin	64.23	-	polar desert	no

945

Table 2. Summary of yearly number and frequency of satellite images used for the ice cover monitoring of the investigated High Arctic lakes during the break-up season from 1997 to 2011.

Year of observations	Hazen	Craig	Buchanan	L6 (Devon I.)	Hunting Camp	Upper/Lower Murray	Eleanor	L9 (Somerset I.)	Stanwell Fletcher	L11 (Baffin I.)	Frequency of image acquisition (days)
				Total number of images used (ASAR, RADARSAT-1/2, Landsat)							
1997	10	9	97	74	58	9	53	42	35	80	4-13
1998	39	39	230	174	118	41	81	101	98	208	2-10
1999	30	34	227	198	126	30	98	113	11	187	3-11
2000	27	32	201	183	137	23	99	123	106	153	2-12
2001	41	50	436	435	307	38	251	301	278	298	2-7
2002	25	30	285	344	263	25	227	289	256	248	2-9
2003	13	13	265	340	272	16	220	265	241	259	2-10
2004	10	25	254	327	241	18	202	276	250	282	2-11
2005	23	21	250	326	272	31	212	267	233	258	1-11
2006	121	110	345	320	245	153	201	261	246	245	0-6
2007	131	124	328	351	294	152	225	284	259	285	0-6
2008	128	125	706	642	518	163	439	534	502	1243	0-5
2009	176	108	460	402	328	218	293	316	263	215	0-6
2010	170	52	321	298	236	181	189	183	142	332	0-7
2011	316	288	105	79	70	326	68	58	47	25	1-8
Total/mean	**1260**	**1060**	**4510**	**4493**	**3485**	**1424**	**2858**	**3413**	**2967**	**4318**	**2-9**

950

Table 3. Melt onset dates shown as day of the year (DOY) for the studied lakes from 1997-2011. Missing values (n/a) indicate the lack of available satellite imagery. Total days for each individual lake refers to the total number of days change during the 1997-2011 period and is reported to the mean melt onset DOY of the same period. The statistical significance is indicated by the α values.

| Year of observations	Hazen	Craig	Buchanan	Unnamed (Devon)	Hunting Camp	Upper Murray	Lower Murray	Eleanor	Unnamed (Somerset)	Stanwell Fletcher	Unnamed (Baffin)
1997	205	n/a	209	232	200	194	194	208	183	169	182
1998	168	168	193	184	171	184	184	193	170	165	169
1999	177	184	189	217	171	176	176	194	183	180	178
2000	171	165	172	191	192	165	164	198	186	177	185
2001	167	167	188	200	177	167	169	200	184	178	185
2002	173	167	195	196	175	n/a	n/a	203	184	179	197
2003	n/a	n/a	192	191	180	171	173	191	186	181	194
2004	162	166	199	233	186	171	164	200	186	188	210
2005	171	170	191	210	174	182	182	200	181	175	189
2006	197	183	186	213	173	168	168	199	179	181	192
2007	168	162	185	183	167	166	165	185	178	172	179
2008	160	166	185	184	167	166	164	186	179	167	180
2009	179	165	191	194	170	166	162	194	184	179	187
2010	159	163	180	175	169	172	174	175	178	171	179
2011	174	172	172	172	164	n/a	n/a	173	173	168	174
1997-2011 mean	174	169	188	198	176	173	172	193	181	175	185
1997-2011 total days	**-14**	**-3**	**-15**	**-39**	**-20**	**-13**	**-20**	**-23**	**-7**	**-3**	**-3**
α	> 0.1	> 0.1	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.1	0.05	0.05	> 0.1	> 0.1	> 0.1

955 **Table 4.** Dates (shown as day of the year – DOY) when minimum ice cover was observed for the studied lakes from 1997-2011. Missing values (n/a) indicate the lack of available imagery. Total days for each individual lake refers to the total number of days change during the 1997-2011 period and is reported to the mean summer ice minimum DOY of the same period. The statistical significance is indicated by the α values.

Year of observations	Hazen	Craig	Buchanan	Unnamed (Devon)	Hunting Camp	Upper Murray	Lower Murray	Eleanor	Unnamed (Somerset)	Stanwell Fletcher	Unnamed (Baffin)
1997	232	205	233	246	224	231	232	229	215	213	215
1998	209	182	214	217	186	220	220	213	199	217	203
1999	249	193	208	267	205	243	243	221	215	252	215
2000	255	182	200	214	209	212	212	217	211	237	214
2001	213	188	197	245	203	220	229	224	214	253	208
2002	238	194	203	230	206	n/a	241	227	224	257	218
2003	n/a	198	197	241	200	n/a	n/a	212	217	258	218
2004	246	210	218	244	238	213	213	245	244	258	233
2005	209	187	203	231	206	222	223	219	223	232	223
2006	234	192	202	254	211	243	257	222	213	235	215
2007	220	191	200	214	196	234	234	208	202	219	208
2008	223	191	193	227	191	213	226	208	208	238	209
2009	250	205	205	226	207	262	262	218	210	232	213
2010	206	189	196	216	199	210	212	206	212	236	215
2011	223	186	184	202	195	222	249	195	202	217	198
1997-2011 mean	229	193	204	232	205	227	232	218	214	237	214
1997-2011 total days	**-9**	**-2**	**-23**	**-30**	**-15**	**0**	**12**	**-19**	**-5**	**-2**	**-2**
α	> 0.1	> 0.1	0.05	0.1	> 0.1	> 0.1	> 0.1	0.05	> 0.1	> 0.1	> 0.1

960 **Table 5.** Water-clear-of-ice dates shown as day of the year (DOY) for the studied lakes from 1997-2011. Missing values (n/a) indicate the lack of available imagery. Dash indicates that complete melt did not occur. Asterisks indicate the lakes that maintained an occasional perennial ice. Total days for each individual lake refers to the total number of days change during the 1997-2011 period and is reported to the mean water-clear-of-ice DOY of the same period. The statistical significance is indicated by the α values.

Year of observations	Hazen*	Craig	Buchanan	Unnamed (Devon)*	Hunting Camp	Upper Murray*	Lower Murray*	Eleanor	Unnamed (Somerset)*	Stanwell Fletcher*	Unnamed (Baffin)
1997	233	208	234	–	225	232	n/a	232	216	230	216
1998	213	191	215	220	187	221	221	217	204	219	207
1999	250	201	209	–	207	–	–	226	216	255	220
2000	–	195	201	217	210	219	219	218	215	239	219
2001	219	196	208	–	204	220	230	225	215	–	210
2002	241	195	204	233	209	237	–	230	227	–	222
2003	n/a	199	198	–	202	n/a	n/a	214	219	–	220
2004	–	210	219	–	244	n/a	n/a	248	–	–	234
2005	210	188	204	233	207	223	230	220	224	237	224
2006	235	194	203	–	212	249	–	225	215	236	217
2007	221	200	201	217	197	237	241	210	203	222	209
2008	224	195	194	228	195	219	228	214	209	246	210
2009	–	209	206	227	208	–	–	219	212	234	215
2010	210	192	197	217	200	211	217	207	213	237	219
2011	225	192	185	204	197	224	251	196	203	223	199
1997-2011 mean	226	198	205	222	207	227	230	220	214	234	216
1997-2011 total days	**-9**	**-4**	**-24**	**-8**	**-15**	**-2**	**13**	**-20**	**-5**	**-4**	**-5**
α	> 0.1	> 0.1	0.01	> 0.1	> 0.1	> 0.1	> 0.1	0.05	> 0.1	> 0.1	> 0.1

L1. Lake Hazen (Ellesmere Island)

L2. Craig Lake (Ellesmere Island)

L3. Buchanan Lake (Axel Heiberg Island)

L4. Unnamed Lake (Devon Island)

L5. Hunting Camp Lake (Bathurst Island)

L6. Upper Murray Lake (Ellesmere Island)

L7. Lower Murray Lake (Ellesmere Island)

L8. Eleanor Lake (Cornwallis Island)

L9. Unnamed Lake (Somerset Island)

L10. Stanwell Fletcher Lake (Somerset Island)

L11. Unnamed Lake (Baffin Island)

Fig. 1. Location of monitored lakes in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago. Distribution of polar semi-deserts and sedge meadows is also shown (Woo and Young, 1997, after Bliss, 1977). Inset shows location of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago within the North-American Arctic.

Fig. 2. SAR-image segmentation processing steps: **(A)** Landsat image of Lake Hazen, 19 July 2010; **(B)** original ASAR image of Lake Hazen acquired on 19 July, 2010; **(C)** K-means classified image (five clusters); **(D)** two-class map of ice (light blue) and open water (dark blue). The white line in the original SAR image represents the lake polygon that was used for defining the ROIs covering the lake.

Fig. 3. Air temperature anomalies for **(A)** Alert, **(B)** Eureka and **(C)** Resolute relative to the 1997-2011 mean annual temperature.

980   **Fig. 4.** Changes – shown as number of days – in the melt onset date of investigated lakes in the

Central and Eastern Canadian High Arctic (1997-2011). Number of days change is reported

relative to the 1997-2011 mean melt onset day derived from spaceborne observations during this

period. Lakes in polar oasis environments are shown as blue bars and lakes in polar desert

environments are shown as grey bars. The red line indicates the 1997-2011 mean number of days

985   change for melt onset.

Fig. 5. Changes – shown as number of days – in the summer ice minimum date of investigated lakes in the Central and Eastern Canadian High Arctic (1997-2011). Number of days change is reported relative to the 1997-2011 mean summer ice minimum day derived from spaceborne observations during this period. Lakes in polar oasis environments are shown as blue bars and lakes in polar desert environments are shown as grey bars. The red line indicates the 1997-2011 mean number of days change for summer ice minimum.

Fig. 6. Changes – shown as number of days – in the water-clear-of-ice date of investigated lakes in the Central and Eastern Canadian High Arctic (1997-2011). Number of days change is reported relative to the 1997-2011 mean water-clear-of-ice day derived from spaceborne observations during this period. Lakes in polar oasis environments are shown as blue bars and lakes in polar desert environments are shown as grey bars. The red line indicates the 1997-2011 mean number of days change for water-clear-of-ice.

Fig. 7. Landsat images acquired at the start of ice break-up showing melt and/or open water adjacent to water inflows: **(A)** Upper and Lower Murray Lakes (17 June 2007); **(B)** Buchanan Lake (8 July 1999); **(C)** Lake L6, (10 July 2003); **(D)** Lake Hazen and Craig Lake (16 June 2001).

1005

1010

Fig. 8. Water-clear-of-ice dates relative to the 0°C spring isotherm date between 1997-2011 for **(A)** Craig Lake (polar oasis) and **(B)** Eleanor Lake (polar desert). Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (R) is also shown.

Fig. 9. Time series of combined satellite observations (Landsat, ASAR and RADARSAT-2) for Lake Hazen during the break-up period of 2010. RADARSAT-2 Data and Products © MacDonald, Dettwiler and Associates Ltd., 2010 – All Rights Reserved. RADARSAT is an official trademark of the Canadian Space Agency. Ice fractions for available SAR images are also shown. No ice fractions are shown for Landsat observations as image segmentation was only performed on SAR imagery.

Fig. 10. The cumulative thawing degree days and ice fraction for Lake Hazen during the 2010 break-up season.

Fig. 11. Mean land surface temperature derived from MODIS-Aqua/Terra over the Central/Eastern CAA during the months of June, July and August of 2004 (colder year) and 2011 (warmer year).