The Cryosphere Discuss., 9, C2016–C2021, 2015 www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/9/C2016/2015/ © Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**TCD** 9, C2016–C2021, 2015

> Interactive Comment

# Interactive comment on "Virtual radar ice buoys – a method for measuring fine-scale dynamic properties of sea ice" by J. Karvonen

### J. Karvonen

juha.karvonen@fmi.fi

Received and published: 29 October 2015

This manuscript presents preliminary results of a feature-tracking algorithm applied to surface-based radar imagery of sea ice. The high temporal resolution and weather independence of radar makes ship-mounted and coastal systems ideal instruments for operational monitoring of sea ice as well as the study of small-scale ice dynamics. The results described by the author are highly promising and personally I am very pleased to see them, but I feel the value of the work (and its suitability for publication in The Cryosphere) would be greatly improved with an expanded, quantitative discussion of the observed ice kinematics. The results illustrated in the generally well-produced figures indicate the potential to derive some geophysically interesting analysis of small-scale ice kinematics, but unfortunately I feel the discussion of ice motion and deforma-



tion observed in each of the test cases is too brief and qualitative. In my opinion, the quantification of small-scale ice motion represents the primary scientific contribution of this work and without such I agree with the first reviewer that this manuscript may be more suitable for a technical journal like one of the IEEE publications. I also feel the author may be overstating the novelty of the approach and appears to be overlooking a quite extensive body of literature regarding the use of coastal radar to monitor ice dynamics in Barrow, Alaska

Dear Prof. Mahoney,

Thank you for the comments! I have included more details in the analysis part of the results. However, the main goal of this study was to develop and implement an algorithm capable of continuous stand-alone operaiotnal ice drift monitoring.

The method is novel in the sense that it combines existing methodology to implement an operational continuous local ice drift monitoring. Some parts of the algorithm are different compared to earlier systems. However, I have removed the word novel from the manuscript to avoid ovstating of the novelty.

Major comments 1. Missing details regarding radar system and data acquisition approach I for one would be interested to know the make and model of the radars used in this study and how the imagery were acquired in the form used for analysis. This information is also a consideration for reproducibility

Most of the Finnish coastal radars are produced by a Finnish company Navielektro. However, the model of the radar is not essential because the image capturing device can be connected to operate with most radar systems, it has e.g. been installed onboard the Finnish RV Aranda (using FURUNO radars) and onboard some Finnish ice breakers (various radar producers). The radars are common surveillance or ship radars. No specific radars for sea ice detection have been used in our studies. A section on the image capturing has now been included in the manuscript. **TCD** 9, C2016–C2021, 2015

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Was the imagery reproduced from the raw video signal, or did the authors use some kind of screenshot of the radar display?

The imagery were produced from the raw radar signal by the radar server. This has now been described in the manuscript.

- In section 4.1, the author refers to 8-bit imagery. Is this the full bit depth of the raw data, or was the dynamic range of the data reduced for analysis?

The original A/D conversion produces 12 bit raw data which is quantized to 8 bits per pixel for the digital imagery. The 8 bpp imagery seems to be adequate for e.g. sea ice monitoring.

- Was any additional hardware necessary to obtain the imagery?

The radar server performs the image acquisition, as described in the manuscript.

2. Missing references to and discussion of other relevant literature The authors make reference to one paper discussing the tracking of ice using land-based radar in Barrow, Alaska (cited at Rohith et al., 2013, but should be MV et al., 2013; please see my minor comment below) but they miss a much broader body of relevant work extending back to the 1970s [e.g., Shapiro, 1975; Shapiro and Metzner, 1989; Mahoney et al., 2007; Druckenmiller et al., 2009; Jones, 2013; Mahoney et al., 2015]. The two most recent of these are probably the most relevant as they use the methodology described by MV et al., which has notable similarities to the approach described in this manuscript. Jones (2013) presents an analysis of landfast ice deformation observed by coastal radar in the context of landfast ice stability, while Mahoney et al (2015) include a discussion of errors and a comparison with independent observations of ice motion.

Thank You! I have added these references.

3. Sensitivity / limitations of VB identification and tracking The text states that the number of VBs populated in any image sequence can be controlled by the search radius parameter, Rs, but I feel it would be helpful to have some discussion of the

**TCD** 9, C2016–C2021, 2015

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



limitations of the number of VBs that can be identified and tracked. This important for any subsequent analysis of ice kinematics as it controls the effective spatial resolution with which the ice velocity can be resolved. It would also be important to discuss other factors (such as image quality and atmospheric noise/artifacts) that control the number of VBs can be tracked. In particular, can the author use information from cases where the correlation of a VB was lost to better understand trackability of ice features?

Only the VB's which have higher cross-corelation than Tcc=0.9 (the value used here) are tracked and otherwise they are lost. So, only in the areas where there exist such ice features which have hing signal-to noise ratio (ice/open water edges, ice ridges, radar shadow areas) can be tracked. In areas with no significant features, VB's can not be assigned and ice can not be tracked, because tracking would not be reliable. In typical Baltic Sea ice conditions there are enough features for VB reasonable tracking, because most of the area is drift ice and the ice is not very thick, the ice drift will produce ice deformation (e.g. ridges) visible by radar. If a VB is lost by the algorithm it has typically drifted so far fro the radar that SNR becomes too low for reliable detection. Continuous monitoring is enabled by adding new VB's. Some artifacts can cause loss of VB's, this is at least partly corrected by adding new VB's e.g. if some poor-guality radar imagery appear, the tracking automatically continues after the image guality has improved and new VB's have been generated. The lost targets were typically lost as they had drifted away from the radar and SNR had become lower. In general the number of traceable targets depends on the density of good scatterers (defomed ice, e.g. ridges) within the radar range. The parameters have been selected such that adjacent VB's are not very close to each other, by adjusting the radius parameter more VB's can be generated, but it would not make much sense to track very close VB's because probably thei drift is very similar.

There may be periods when there is noise in the radar imagery due to weather conditions (e.g. heavy wet snowfall or radio-frequency interference) and many VB's can then be lost and the tracking is in practice interrupted. However, the system recovers Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



automatically by adding new VB's as soon as the radar signal is useful again.

4. Expanded discussion of results required The discussion of ice motion in each of the test cases is highly descriptive. The ice drift data shown in the figures ought to allow the calculation of range of drift statistics such as acceleration, divergence/shear, rotation, dispersion, correlation length, etc, but instead the text contains only highly qualitative descriptions of the ice motion such as "very slow" and "rather coherently". Tantalizingly, the author states that acceleration could be calculated "if necessary", but I was disappointed to see that it was not deemed to be so. Figures 10 and 14 provide timeseries of estimated divergence derived from triplets of VBs, but there is only minimal discussion of these results and Figure 10 is not actually cross-referenced in the main text. Moreover, understanding and quantification of errors becomes increasingly important when calculating differential motion and without any such analysis it is difficult to assign significance to these results.

The discussion has been extended, but no more derived quantities have been computed. Estimates of the accelaration can roughly visually be estimated as the slope of the velocity plots, we only give some samples of the largest acceleration in the updated manuscript. A short section on estimation of the estimation errors has also been included. The main goal of this study was to develop a system capable of continuos stand-alone ice drift and testing it with radar imagery time series.

Minor comments.

in typical usage, "landfast" is a single word. Please correct throughout

Corrected.

p 4703, line 15: Please correct "Barrow Sea" to read "the Chukchi Sea near Barrow, Alaska"

Corrected.

p 4703, line 17: Rohith is the first name of the first author of this paper. For publication

**TCD** 9, C2016–C2021, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



his last name is abbreviated to MV, so this citation should be (MV et al., 2013). Please also correct the full citation in the reference list.

Corrected.

p 4703, line 15-17: I think this section of text could be rephrased to make it clearer to the reader that it was MV et al. who examined radar data in Barrow. To the casual reader, it might appear that Lucas and Kanade did this work.

Rephrased.

p 4712 line 19: please correct "floats" to "floes"

Corrected.

Sincerely, Juha Karvonen, FMI

Please also note the supplement to this comment: http://www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/9/C2016/2015/tcd-9-C2016-2015supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., 9, 4701, 2015.

**TCD** 9, C2016–C2021, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The Cryosphere Discuss., 9, C2022–C2027, 2015 www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/9/C2022/2015/ © Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**TCD** 9, C2022–C2027, 2015

> Interactive Comment

# Interactive comment on "Virtual radar ice buoys – a method for measuring fine-scale dynamic properties of sea ice" by J. Karvonen

## J. Karvonen

juha.karvonen@fmi.fi

Received and published: 29 October 2015

General comments:

This paper aims at developing the algorithm for estimating the ice drift from the successive radar images. For radar images, the author used the ship-borne radar and coastal radar as an example. To estimate the ice drift distribution, he selected the traceable objects (he calls them virtual buoys (VB's)) at some spatial intervals from the ice field using the method of local binary patterns, and then attempted to obtain the displacement of the individual objects using his algorithm. After applying this method for three cases (two: coastal radar, one: ship-borne radar), he concluded that this method is useful for obtaining the ice drift pattern and applicable to the estimation of dynamic properties of sea ice. I understand the importance of this topic and find that it will be



useful to get the dynamical features of sea ice on a sub-grid scale in various regions on such an operational basis. And that must be what the author aims at in this paper. But the manuscript is a bit hard to follow at places to me and it would be possible to make it much more readable. I feel the English usage is not necessarily proper al places, so the proof-reading by native-English speaker might be helpful. Besides, an important thing is that the author should show more clearly what is a new concept and procedure in this method compared with the past ones, I think. My major concerns are as follows:

Dear reviewer,

Thank You for the comments, I have now updated the manuscripts trying to take the reviewer and discussion comments into account.

1) I feel that Abstract should be rewritten so as to show more clearly what is the purpose of this study in a wider sense and what is a new concept and finding in this method. Although the author described "ice dynamics estimation", what he did is just to obtain the ice drift pattern. Thus I feel it would be better to replace "ice dynamics" by "ice drift pattern" throughout the manuscript and describe, say, "this result is applicable to estimate the dynamic features of the ice field such as divergence pattern or deformation".

The abstract has been updated. I have changed ice dynamics to ice drift in most parts. However, parameters related to ice dynamics can be derived from the results of the algorithm, e.g. the area of VB triplets which can be used as a measure of local convergence or divergence has been computed for some sample cases in the manuscript. Computing shear and vorticity is also possible and rather straightforward.

2) Introduction might be too technically specific. If this study is intended for estimating the dynamical properties of sea ice, it should be stressed why the ice drift pattern on this spatial scale is needed to be clarified more. And the problems of the past techniques and how the author tried to improve them should be described more clearly.

**TCD** 9, C2022–C2027, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Introduction has been updated. The technical stuff on the radar has been moved to a section of its own.

3) Although the detailed weather conditions were described in section 3, there is no description about how they affected the experiments. It would be better to mention how the air temperature and wind are related with the result.

The section on experimental results has been updated and material on the relation to the weather conditions has been included.

4) In section 4, I could not see how this method is different from the past crosscorrelation method and why this method has a merit for detecting the edge and corner features of the ice field. It would be helpful to explain the detail of this method by comparing with the past cross-correlation method. Especially the explanation about how you solve the equation (8) would be needed to be more understandable because I think it might be the key of this method.

The advantage compared to Maximum cross-correlation (MCC) is that we inherently get sub-pixel resolution for the ice drift estimates. In MCC either an interpolated image (increasing the amount of computation) or non-linear interpolation in the CC-domain would be required for subpixel accuracy. this has been indicated in the manuscript. I tried to improve the description of the solution of eq. 8.

5) In section 5, overall I feel that the validation of this method is coarse. It would have been possible to estimate the error quantitatively by comparing the calculated ice drift speed and direction with the real ice displacement from the images. Another concern for the result obtained from the ship-borne radar is how they removed the ship motion. I think the removal of ship motion is vital to the estimation of real ice motion.

I have added a shortist subsection on estimation of the esitmation errors. Direct comparison of visually estimated drift and algorithm results is very difficult because the accuracy of visual tracking is not very good (according to my experiance one pixel or **TCD** 9, C2022–C2027, 2015

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



more) and also requires a lot of work. I have included an alternative approach for roughly estimating the numeric error.

Specific comments:

\*(P2L15-P3L2) I wonder if the range resolution might be affected by the sea ice topography. In the ridged ice field, it might become hard to detect the characteristic ice features from the slanted view. Does this effect not affect a significant influence on this method?

\*(P3L9) What is satellite-borne EO data?

Satellite-borne earth observation data, EO has now been replaced with "earth observation".

\*(P3L24) "temporal differences" should be "time intervals"".

Changed.

\*(P4L1) Please explain more about "Optical flow algorithm".

This has been explained in the subsection on optical flow but I added a sentence and a reference to the the section here.

\*(P4L9-10) Please name "25 February 2011 from 03:00 to 16:58 UTC)" Case A, "8 February 2012 from 00:00 to 23:59 UTC" Case B, and "21 January 2015, 11:30 UTC to 18 February 2015, 10:20 UTC" Case C. Then the manuscript would become more concise.

The suggested naming convention has now been used.

\*(P6L10) Please explain more explicitly about how the author initialized the VB's based on ALBP's.

This is explained in Section on 4.1. A reference to this section has been added.

\*(P7 Eq.2) Please explain what "x" stand for.

C2025

**TCD** 9, C2022–C2027, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



x is just the argument of the given function.

\*(P10Eq.11) In the successive images the intensity would deviate from the first image due to the ice motion. Therefore I wonder if combining the successive images in this way might reduce the accuracy. Please explain your intention about this.

This is the standard way of estimating the partial derivatives in optical flow. According to my experience the method is very accurate. It has been used in many (especially optical) application successfully.

\*(P11L18) Please delete "of the radar indicated by the green dot and".

Removed.

\*(P12L2-3) Please change to, say, "However, just from trajectory plots the ice drift velocity is not shown as a function of time."

Changed.

\*(P12L7-8) Please replace "different parameters related to the ice dynamics" by other explicit words such as ice drift.

Replaced.

\*(P13L16) "temporal difference" would be "time interval".

Changed.

\*(P14 first paragraph) It is not understandable to me. Please rewrite it so as to show the purpose and concept of this study more clearly. "information on the nature of the ice dynamics" should be replaced by more explicit words.

\*(Fig.3-5) Please show the land area in the individual figures to show the ice area clearly.

Land masks added.

**TCD** 9, C2022–C2027, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



\*(Fig.8) Please write the unit of time step in the caption.

Changed from time steps to minutes, units have been indicated.

Sincerely, Juha Karvonen, FMI

Please also note the supplement to this comment: http://www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/9/C2022/2015/tcd-9-C2022-2015supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., 9, 4701, 2015.

TCD	
9, C2022–C2027,	2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The Cryosphere Discuss., 9, C2012–C2015, 2015 www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/9/C2012/2015/ © Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**TCD** 9, C2012–C2015, 2015

> Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "Virtual radar ice buoys – a method for measuring fine-scale dynamic properties of sea ice" by J. Karvonen

### J. Karvonen

juha.karvonen@fmi.fi

Received and published: 29 October 2015

This manuscript presents a methodology using features detection and tracking on coastal and ship radar data to analyze the fine scale drifting of so-called virtual ice buoys, and so, potentially, sea ice kinematics and deformation at small scales (below 10 km). The use of coastal and/or ship radar data for this purpose is interesting, either to improve our knowledge on sea ice kinematics at small scales, or for operational purposes. Consequently, I think that this work is worth publishing. However, as this work is essentially technical, and do not bring (so far) substantial new information on sea ice physics or mechanics, one may question the opportunity to publish such work in a more technical journal (such as IEEE) instead of The Cryosphere, but I'll leave the Editor judge on this point. Beyond this general comment, I think that this



manuscript should be improved in several places to precise the methodology, clarify different points, and to replace this work in the context of previous studies performed at larger scales on SAR imagery.

Dear prof. Weiss,

Thank You for the comments, I have tried to take them into account in the updated version submitted.

- Fig. 1 shows the very general structure of the algorithm, but many details are lacking, such as the detail of the filtering procedure

I have include more information on the filtering applied in the text.

- I found the description of the filtering procedure rather obscure. In particular, please precise what you call homomorphic filtering. Please precise in details the filtering procedure, for example in an algorithm diagram.

Homomorphic filtering is now described in more detail with a diagram figure.

- In relation to the previous comment, the section 4.1 needs a reformulation to improve its clarity

Section 4.1 has been updated.

- The author argues that the proposed methodology is "novel". To what extent it differs from previous methodologies developed for the analysis of SAR imagery, such as in Kwok et al., IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering, 15, 44, 90 (this reference is actually not cited) ?

The method is not novel in the sense that it consists of knowm algorithms, however the combination is different from the earlier algorithms as a whole. I removed the word "novel" to avoid saying too much.

- I did not understood the Gaussian smoothing (relation 12): The Gaussian kernel is

**TCD** 9, C2012–C2015, 2015

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



added to the pixel value I(r,c). I would have instead expected a convolution of the original signal by the Gaussian kernel to smooth the signal.

G is the image convolved with the Gaussian kernel, the image used in the VB drift estimation is also a linear combination of the smoothed and original image. I have tried to make this more clear in the manuscript.

- the description of the results in section 5 is purely qualitative, and quite lengthy. I would instead extend section 4, which is the core of the paper, to give a more detailed and comprehensive description of the methodology.

Parts of Section 4 has been updated to improve the description of the algorithm. Also the analysis of the test cases has been extended e.g. with comparison to the weather data.

- In the end, what is the uncertainty (error bar) on ice velocity (in m/s), direction (in ), and acceleration (in m/s2) obtained from these data and this methodology , and how can you estimate them ?

I have included a subsection on the evaluation of the estimation errors.

My general comment is to improve the paper such that it can be used by readers to construct their own code based on this promising methodology. This is not really the case yet.

I hope the updated version is clearer and technically more complete.

Figures: - Include scales on Fig. 3 to 6, as well as Fig. 11-12

Scales have been added.

- On the other figures, use real time scales (s) and not "time steps"

Real time scales (in minutes) have been used now.

Sincerely, Juha Karvonen, FMI

**TCD** 9, C2012–C2015, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., 9, 4701, 2015.

**TCD** 9, C2012–C2015, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Manuscript prepared for The Cryosphere Discuss. with version 2015/04/24 7.83 Copernicus papers of the LATEX class copernicus.cls. Date: 23 October 2015

## Virtual radar ice buoys – a method for measuring fine-scale dynamic properties of sea ice drift

#### J. Karvonen

Finnish Meteorological Institute (FMI), Helsinki, PB 503, 00101, Finland

Correspondence to: J. Karvonen (juha.karvonen@fmi.fi)

# Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Here we present an algorithm for continuous ice dynamics drift estimation based on coastal and ship radar data. The ice dynamics are estimated based on drift is estimated for automatically selected ice targets in the images. These targets are here called virtual buoys (VB's) and are tracked based on an optical flow method. To maintain continuous ice drift tracking new VB's are added after a given number of VB's have been lost i.e. they can not be tracked reliably any more. Some tracking results and some computed derived quantities for a few test cases are presented. Here we also apply the algorithm to data of three test cases to demonstrate its capabilities and properties. Two of these cases use coastal radar data, and one ship radar data. Ice drift velocity and direction information derived from the VB motion are computed and compared to the prevailing ice and weather conditions. Also a quantity measuring the local divergence or convergence is computed for some VB's to demonstrate the capability to estimate derived kinematic sea ice parameters from VB location time series. The results produced by the algorithm can be used as an input for estimation of the dynamic properties of sea the ice field, such as ice divergence or convergence, shear, vorticity and total deformation.

#### 1 Introduction

Abstract

Sea ice motion is an important parameter, because ice dynamics has a major effect on the nature of sea ice. Ice motion can cause ice pressure which in turn contributes to ice deformation, or diverging ice motion can cause opening of ice (cracks, leads). Here we represent a method to use coastal and ship radars for continuous monitoring of sea ice drift The main goal of this study was to develop and test an algorithm suitable for continuous operational ice drift monitoring based on radar data and to demonstrate it for a few test data sets form coastal and ship radars.

Marine radars typically operate at 10(wavelength  $\lambda \approx 3$ ) and 3( $\lambda \approx 10$ ), i.e. at X and S bands. The radar resolution is defined by means of two resolutions, bearing and range

to separate objects at the same range, but at slightly different bearings. The bearing resolution depends on radar beam width and the range of the targets. Range resolution is the ability of a radar system to distinguish between two or more targets on the same bearing but at different ranges. Range resolution depends on the radar pulse length, unless radar pulse compression techniques (Cohen, 1987) are used. For digitizing the radar signal we have used a radar video server with a 20sampling rate. The radar server is Based on standard PC technology, and forms PPI (Plan Position Indicator) images from the radar signal, the radar triggering pulse and the radar antenna pulse. PPI is the most common type of radar display: the radar antenna is represented in the center of the display, so the distance from it can be presented as concentric circles. If necessary, radar platform (ship) motion can be compensated based on the geoinfor-

resolutions. Bearing (a.k.a. as azimuth or angular) resolution is the ability of a radar system

mation (GPS position of each radar frame). However, large ship motion is not desirable because the radar signal is rapidly attenuated as a function of the range, or in the worst case the two radar images with a given time gap between them are not overlapping any more. If

ship motion is not compensated then the ice drift with respect to the ship is measured, like in the ship radar test case in this study. Relatively many publications on ice drift from Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) im-agery and other satellite-borne <del>EO</del> Earth-Observation (EO) data have been published. The motion estimation is based on detecting the same features in two adjacent images (e.g. Fily and Rothrock, 1987; Sun, 1994; Thomas et al., 2004, 2008; Karvonen, 2012) (e.g. Fil) Motion vector estimation for weather radar data has also been studied, e.g. in (Peura and Hohti, 2004). Sea ice drift and object tracking from coastal radars has been studied earlier e.g. in Okhotsk Sea in (Tabata et al., 1980) by matching of prominent features preserved from image to another (Tabata et al., 1980), in Barrow Sea, in the Chuckchi Sea near Barrow, Alaska in (MV et al., 2013) using Lucas-Kanade optical flow algorithm (Lucas and Kanade, 1981) for features detected by Harris corner/edge detection (Harris and Stephens, 1988) algorithm<sup>(?)</sup>, and in Baltic Sea in (Karvonen, 2013a) using a combination of phase-correlation and normalized cross-correlation(Karvonen, 2013a).

. Coastal radar data for sea ice analysis in the Canadian Arctic has been utilized in (Shapiro, 1975; Shapiro and Metzner, 1989; Mahoney et al., 2007; Druckenmiller et al., 2009; Ma

One practical restriction for all the methods is that they can only operate where distinguishable objects exist, and in the featureless areas either no estimates are given, or the estimates are inter/extrapolated values. The motion detection methods are computationally intensive, but in principle easy to parallelize due to local nature of the computations.

In our earlier studies (Karvonen, 2013a; Karvonen et al., 2013) we have used data with longer temporal differences (10–30 min) than here, and an algorithm based on cross-correlation techniques. This approach was directly adapted from techniques used for ice drift estimation from SAR imagery (Thomas et al., 2004, 2008; Karvonen, 2012). How-ever, with a finer temporal resolution sub-pixel resolution would be desirable, and it can not be achieved using cross-correlation techniques without suitable interpolation of the results. This interpolation needs to be nonlinear, thus also requiring a larger support area than a simple linear interpolation. Optical flow algorithm in turn inherently gives the estimates with a sub-pixel resolution. For this reason we found optical flow approach better suitable for fine temporal resolution radar imagery sea ice dynamics analysis. drift analysis. Compared to our earlier algorithms (Karvonen, 2013a; Karvonen et al., 2013) we also get a better (sub-pixel) spatial and temporal resolution of the ice drift estimates.

This algorithm also enables continuous operational ice drift monitoring. The algorithm automatically adds VB's as their number has decreased under a given number and the monitoring can be continued for the ice season without any human intervention. Based on the ice drift automatically performed analysis near-real-time information e.g. on local convergence or divergence (closing or opening ship track) can be delivered for navigation in the area. Also the radar image data can automatically be stored and transmitted with a shorter time interval when the ice is moving of deforming for further analysis. During periods of no ice drift or insignificantly small ice drift radar image data storage or transmission is not necessary.

# Discussion Paper

#### 2 Radar image capturing and transmission

Marine radars typically operate at 10 GHz (wavelength  $\lambda \approx 3 \text{ cm}$ ) and 3 GHz ( $\lambda \approx 10 \text{ cm}$ ), i.e. at X and S bands. The radar resolution is defined by means of two resolutions, bearing and range resolutions. Bearing (a.k.a. as azimuth or angular) resolution is the ability of a radar system to separate objects at the same range, but at slightly different bearings. The bearing resolution depends on radar beam width and the range of the targets. Range resolution is the ability of a radar system to distinguish between two or more targets on the same bearing but at different ranges. Range resolution depends on the radar pulse length, unless radar pulse compression techniques (Cohen, 1987) are used.

There are presently about 60 coastal radars along the Finnish coast, administered by the Finnish Traffic Agency (FTA). Also Finnish navy and coastal guard have complementary coastal radar networks of their own. The radars are typically located 20-50m above the sea level (the Tankar radar used in two cases presented here about 30m). The environmental monitoring can be realized by instrumenting the radar with an independent radar server, in our case the Image Soft radar server designed and manufactured by a Finnish company Image Soft Itd. We presently have radar servers in Marjaniemi and Tankar radars in the Bay of Bothnia, and in the Uto radar facing the northern Baltic Proper. Further installations have been planned. The radar server is a LINUX server equipped with a radar image capturing hardware card. The radar servers capture the analog signals of the radar and rasterize a PPI (Plan Position Indicator) image from the radar signal, the radar triggering pulse and the radar antenna pulse for each radar revolution (or an image per a user-defined time interval). PPI is the most common type of radar display: the radar antenna is represented in the center of the display, so the distance from it can be presented as Concentric circles. The sampling rate of the image digitization on the image server is 20 MHz.

The digital-analog conversion produces 12-bit raw radar data values. For the PPI imagery this data is quantized to eight bits per pixel. According to our experience this quantization is adequate for sea ice tracking. Many of the radar server processing parameters can be adjusted for the user to be suitable for the radar and application, more detail can be

found in the radar server technical manual (Imagesoft, 2014) All the rasterised images are stored on the server hard disk while a subset of preprocessed images are sent to FMI. The preprocessing performed on the radar servers is a temporal median filtering of 15-20 seconds and the data is transmitted via a standalone GSM (Global System for Mobile Communications) mobile link, for details see Fig. 1. Due to the limited bandwidth of the GSM modems a preprocessed image is also transmitted in every two minutes which has proved to be a suitable time interval for continuous ice monitoring. Our plans are to install the presented VB tracking software on the radar servers, thus reducing the required data transmission. Only VB motion, or VB motion data with radar imagery in the case of significant ice motion can then be transmitted.

#### 3 Data sets used in the study

We have used three data sets to test the new algorithm. Two of the data sets were coastal radar data from the Tankar coastal radar, located at (63.95° N, 22.84° E): the first data set period was 25 February 2011 from 03:00 to 16:58 UTC (total time period of about 14 h), and the second data set period from 8 February 2012 from 00:00 to 23:58 UTC (total time period about one day). The data February 2011 Tankar coastal radar data set will be referred as case A, and the February 2012 Tankar coastal radar data set as case B here. The data sets were selected such that they include significant ice drift. The temporal resolution i.e time interval between two successive radar images of the two coastal radar data sets was 2 min. The third data set was a longer period data set and collected on-board RV Lance during the period from 21 January 2015, 11:30 UTC to 18 February 2015, 10:20 UTC. The temporal resolution time difference between successive radar images of the RV Lance Lance data set was 10 min. For demonstration purposes we selected a one-day period (8 February 2015 from 00:00 to 24:00 UTC) of the RV Lance data set. This data set will be referred as case C hare. In this period set there also occurred significant and heterogeneous ice motion with respect to the ship within the radar coverage. During this one-day period the location of RV Lance was north of Svalbard, approximately 82.5° N, 18° E. The total range of the Tankar coastal radar data sets was 4020 km and the image size was  $1200 \times 1200$  pixels, i.e. the nominal resolution was 33.3 m. For the RV *Lance* radar the image size in pixels was the same as for the Tankar data, but the range was only 7.5 km, resulting to a nominal resolution of 12.5 m. The radar image area of the Tankar radar were shifted 10 km to the west and the images were rotated 49.93 degrees to counter-clockwise direction in the radar server with respect to the radar location. This kind of operations can be performed on the radar server by giving the displacement and rotation parameters. The translation and rotation were performed to minimize the land area in the imagery to get a larger cover of the sea area.

To reduce radar artifacts temporal median <u>filtering</u> in the beginning of each minute (11 images, corresponding to about same amount of time in seconds, assuming that the radar rotation frequency is about 1 Hz) was performed. During this time period the ice motion is neglectable and only the noise and possible artifacts are reduced by the filtering. The images were also processed by homomorphic filtering to reduce the signal attenuation as a function of the range (Lensu et al., 2014). This processing mainly makes the visual analysis of the data easier. According to some performed tests it does not have significant effect on the tracking of objects.

We also applied a rough land mask to the Tankar imagery. Our land mask was derived from the GSHHG (Global Self-Consistent Hierarchical High-resolution Geography database from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, NOAA) coastline data (Wessel and Smith, 1996).

For the RV *Lance* data we were unable to perform the temporal median filtering because we only had data with 10 min temporal sampling at our disposal, i.e. only one unfiltered image every ten minutes.

#### 4 Weather and ice conditions on the test sites

The air temperature on 25 February 2011 (corresponding to case A) around the Tankar lighthouse and radar station was from about  $-15^{\circ}$ C in the morning to about  $-3^{\circ}$ C in the

afternoon. The previous day was colder with a daily maximum temperature of about -15 °C. The wind direction was 150–180°, and wind speed varied in the range 6–8 m s<sup>-1</sup>. In the eastern parts of the area there was land fast landfast ice, west of the fast ice there was a zone of very open ice (concentration 10–30%), and west of this zone there were very close drift ice (concentration 90–100%). The ice thickness in the area was 20–55 cm. The ice information were extracted from the FMI ice charts.

On 8 February 2012 (case B) the air temperature around the Tankar lighthouse and radar station was from  $-11^{\circ}$  in the morning to  $-20^{\circ}$ C in the evening, also the previous day the temperatures were relatively cold, below  $-10^{\circ}$ C. The wind direction was  $90-12090-180^{\circ}$ , and wind speed in the range  $2-6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . According to the FMI ice charts there was a fast ice zone in the eastern parts of the area, a zone of new ice to north and east of the fast ice, and very close drift ice further in the west. The ice thickness in the area was 5–30 cm.

On 8 February 2015 (case C) the air temperatures around RV *Lance* were cold, about  $-30 \degree$ C, the wind direction was  $300-330\degree$  and wind speed around  $8 m s^{-1}$ . The ship was drifting with a speed of approximately  $0.2 m s^{-1}$ , first to the south and later to southeast. According to the met.norway ice charts the ice in the area was very close drift ice, and according to the operational Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center (NERSC) Topaz ice model (Sakov et al., 2012) the ice thickness was 100–120 cm in the area. As the weather was cold, also the opening leads were frozen relatively fast and the ice thickness in frozen leads was typically around 20cm.

#### 5 Virtual buoys and tracking algorithm

We In the first phase a filtering to reduce the signal attenuation as a function of the range is performed, this is described in more detail in Section 5.1. After this we used an edge and corner detection to locate the VB's in the first radar image of a radar image sequence, and also when adding new VB's after the number of VB's has reduced to a predefined level (an adjustable parameter). The tracking algorithm is also based on the optical flow, e.g. Horn and Schunck (1981); Beauchemin and Barron (1985), between successive image pairs.

The schematic flow diagram of our algorithm has been presented in the diagram of Fig. 42. In the first phase the VB's are initialized based on features (edges and corners) detected by using absolute local binary patterns (ALBP's, described in more detail in Section 5.2) and then one iteration of motion tracking between the first two images of the image sequence is performed to prune the VB's due to noise amplification by the image filtering: all the images fed into the algorithm are first filtered by the homomorphic filtering to reduce the range dependence of the radar signal. After this initialization a list of the automatically selected VB's (including the locations and cross-correlations between the matched windows) are fed to the continuous VB tracking algorithm. At each iteration a new filtered radar image is put into the tracking system and the optical flow tracking between the previous and the novel image is performed. After each tracking iteration the number of resulting VB's is compared to a predefined threshold  $(T_N)$ , and if the number of the remaining VB's is less than  $T_N$ , new VB's are added starting from near range until the original number of VB's has been reached. The new VB location are defined based on ALBP features with the limitation that they are not allowed to be added closer than a given radius  $R_x$  (we have applied  $R_x = 15$  pixels here, but this parameter can be defined by the user) from the existing VB's. After the VB adding step the tracking is continued with the updated list of VB's. If there still exist more VB's than then defined threshold, then the VB tracking is continued without updating the list of VB's.

#### 5.1 Radar image preprocessing by homomorphic filtering

The temporal median filtering is applied already on the radar server before transmitting the data. After receiving the data homomorphic filtering is applied to reduce the attenuation of the signal as a function of the distance from the radar.

Homomorphic filtering (HMF) has its background in optical image processing (Pitas and Venetsanopoulos, 1990). HMF intensity I(r,c) at image location (r,c) for an optical image is presented as a product of the illumination  $L_I$  and reflectance  $R_I$ , where  $R_L$ 

(1)

cab be considered as a quantity describing interesting objects in the scene and  $L_L$  results from the lighting conditions, i.e.

#### $I(r,c) = L_I(r,c)R_I(r,c).$

The applicability of the method for coastal radar imagery is readily conceived, as the images make the impression that the radar tower 'illuminates' the ice field where the features reflect this 'light'. To proceed, a logarithm transform is first applied to make the components of Equation 1 additive and linearly separable. The variations of illumination are then considered as noise that can be reduced by applying a high pass filter. This is to assume that the reflectance, which is of interest, is represented more by the high frequency components while the low-frequency components relate to the illumination. In other words, the lighting condition is assumed to vary slowly across the image (the physical attenuation of the radar power) and reflectance is changing faster (due to deformed ice areas such as ridges). In practice the frequency filtering has here been implemented by applying 2-dimensional Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) on the image, performing the high-pass filtering in the Fourier domain, and performing the inverse FFT. Because FFT requires the size of the input to be a power of two, we extend our image to the nearest power of two larger than the image size by mirroring with respect to the image boundaries (in our case a 1200x1200 pixel image is extended to 2048x2048 pixel image). In the frequency (FFT) domain the low pass coefficients are attenuated by multiplying with a factor f < 1.0, in our case we have used f = 0.0 i.e. totally zeroing the low frequency components. A schematic presentation of the HMF principle is shown in Fig 3. An example of HMF filtering for a radar image of the case A time series is shown in Fig. 4.

#### 5.2 Local binary patterns and VB selection

We have used local binary patterns (Ojala et al., 1996) in (LBP) (Ojala et al., 1996) here for the edge and corner detection. LBS's are computed locally over the image area around

Discussion Paper

each image pixel. LBS's can be used as a texture measure or for detecting certain imagery features such as edges and corners. We used a step of  $\Pi/4$  in direction corresponding to eight bit binary patterns, the radius  $R_{\text{LBP}}$  (distance from the center pixel, see Fig. 25) used here was two. A (8 bit) local binary pattern is defined as

$$\mathsf{LBP} = \sum_{k=0}^{7} s_1 (g_k - g_c) 2^k, \tag{2}$$

where  $g_c$  is the gray tone of the center pixel and the values of  $g_k$  are the gray tones in the eight pixels within the given radius  $R_{LBP}$  around the center pixel, see Fig. 25, and  $s_1(x)$  defined as

$$s_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Here x is a generic argument for  $s_1$ , for LBP  $x = g_k - g_c$  here. Here we have used a variant which we here call the Absolute LBP (ALBP):

$$\mathsf{ALBP} = \sum_{k=0}^{l} s_2 (g_k - g_c) 2^k, \tag{4}$$

and  $s_2(x)$  defined as

$$s_2(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x| \ge T \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| < T \end{cases}$$
(5)

Rotational invariance can be achieved by using the minimum among all the (8) cyclic shifts of the ALBP. This is denoted here by  $ALBP_r$ . The values 15 of  $ALBP_r$  corresponds to an edge point, value 31 corresponds to a corner point, and value 63 corresponds to a sharp corner point.

(6)

As we wish the We also first locate all the corner and sharp corner points over the radar image and based on the local densities of corner and sharp corner points search for suitable objects for VB'sto be traceable objects which do not to get mixed with other nearby features, we wish them to have a locally unique nonlinear structure with much corners. The idea is to select such areas of the radar image where there are much corner and sharp corner points i.e. local maxima of their densities. The corners are searched to locate locally unique features containing nonlinear edges, because linear edges often are similar along the edge and can lead to similarization errors in the tracking process. We select the points which locally (within a given radius  $R_b$ ) maximize the complexity function  $F_c$ :

 $F_{\mathsf{c}}(r,c) = N_{\mathsf{c}}(r,c,R_{\mathsf{s}})N_{\mathsf{sc}}(r,c,R_{\mathsf{s}}),$ 

where  $N_{c}(r, c, R_{s})$  is the number of corner points within a search radius  $R_{s}$  from the location described by the column and row coordinates (r, c), and  $N_{sc}(r, c, R_{s})$  is the number of sharp corner points within the same area. To avoid assigning VB's too close to each other, we only perform the search  $R_{s}$  or more outside the already assigned VB locations. We have used values  $R_{b} = 30$  and  $R_{s} = 15$  pixels here, but these parameters can be defined by the user.

VB's are added always when the number of VB's becomes less than a given threshold  $T_N$ .  $T_N$  can be defined as an absolute value or relative to the number of original VB's. In the experiments presented here we have used relative  $T_N$  values of 75–90 % of the number of the original number of the VB's.

Because we apply the homomorphic filtering prior to the VB selection, we also get relatively many VB's in the far range, because the filtering also amplifies the noise in the far range. For this reason we perform one tracking iteration between the first and second images of the sequence to remove the VB's due to this noise amplification. This first iteration removes the VB's generated by random fluctuation (amplified radar noise).

In the areas of no distinguishable ice features (low signal to noise ratio) in the imagery the tracking can not be reliably performed. For example in the far radar range this is typically the case. Typically there are enough suitable ice features available and suitable for tracking in the drift ice field, as in drift ice deformations occur leading to ice features visible in radar

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

(7)

## (e.g. ice ridges). The algorithm only adds and tracks VB's in the areas where such traceable targets exist.

#### 5.3 Optical flow and algorithm implementation

Optical flow (Horn and Schunck, 1981; Beauchemin and Barron, 1985) is a method used for estimating motion in image sequences such as in digital video. In optical flow we assume an intensity at a location (x, y) in a digital image at time to be moving such that

$$I(x, y, t) = I(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y, t + \Delta t)$$

Using the Taylor expansion, and assuming small motion

$$I(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y, t + \Delta t) = I(x, y, t) + \frac{\delta I}{\delta x} \Delta x + \frac{\delta I}{\delta y} \Delta y + \frac{\delta I}{\delta t} \Delta t + \text{HOT}$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \frac{\delta I}{\delta x} \Delta x + \frac{\delta I}{\delta y} \Delta y + \frac{\delta I}{\delta t} \Delta t = 0,$$
(8)

HOT stands for higher than first order terms. Dividing by  $\Delta t$  we get the optical flow equation:

$$I_x v_x + I_y v_y = -I_t, (9)$$

where  $I_x$ ,  $I_y$   $I_t$  indicate the partial derivatives of the image signal with respect to x, y, and t. The changes of I at (x,y) in x and y directions and change of I in time can be estimated from an image pair. To perform the estimation additional conditions are needed. One practical approach is the Lucas-Kanade method (Lucas and Kanade, 1981) where it is

assumed that optical flow equation holds for a block of N pixels  $p_k$  (k = 1, ..., N):

$$I_{x}(p_{1})v_{x} + I_{y}(p_{1})v_{y} = -I_{t}(p_{1})$$
...
$$I_{x}(p_{N})v_{x} + I_{y}(p_{N})v_{y} = -I_{t}(p_{N})$$
(10)

This corresponds to a (overdetermined) linear system Av=b. And, where  $v = [v_x, v_y]^T$  and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} I_x(p_1) & I_y(p_1) \\ \dots \\ I_x(p_N) & I_y(p_N) \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

and

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} -I_t(p_1) \\ \dots \\ -I_t(p_N) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(12)

The block of N pixels consists of pixels within a round-shaped window around a center pixel (VB center). This linear overdetermined system of equations can be solved (least squares solution) (Penrose, 1955) as

$$v = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b = M b,$$
 (13)

 $M = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$  is known as the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse.

(15)

We have used the following discrete estimates for  $I_x$ ,  $I_y$  and  $I_t$  (Horn and Schunck, 1981):

$$I_{x} = (I_{1}(r+1,c) - I_{1}(r,c) + I_{1}(r+1,c+1) - I_{1}(r,c+1) + I_{2}(r+1,c) - I_{2}(r,c) + I_{2}(r+1,c+1) - I_{2}(r,c+1))/4$$

$$I_{y} = (I_{1}(r,c+1) - I_{1}(r,c) + I_{1}(r+1,c+1) - I_{1}(r+1,c) + I_{2}(r,c+1) - I_{2}(r,c) + I_{2}(r+1,c+1) - I_{2}(r+1,c))/4$$

$$I_{t} = (I_{2}(r,c) - I_{1}(r,c) + I_{2}(r+1,c+1) - I_{1}(r+1,c) + I_{2}(r,c+1) - I_{1}(r,c+1) + I_{2}(r+1,c+1) - I_{1}(r+1,c+1))/4$$
(14)

where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are the first and second (in this temporal order) image of an image pair, (r,c) refers to the row and column coordinates which are used here instead of x and y. The image pixel values with fractional coordinates are computed using bi-linear interpolation. For numerical stability it is essential that the estimates for  $I_x$ ,  $I_y$  and  $I_t$  are computed at the same spatiotemporal location.

The optical flow method is best suitable for short motion corresponding to short time differences, e.g. for our coastal radar data with a relatively short short time difference (in our case 2 min). In practice some image smoothing at sharp edges is recommendable before the optical flow computation, because optical flow assumes continuity of the signal. For this reason we perform a spatial Gaussian smoothing of the images before the optical flow estimation. The Gaussian smoothing is combined with the original image data by a linear combination to get the smoothed pixel value I'(r,c) from the original pixel value I(r,c) and the smoothed pixel value G(r,c) (G refers to the image convolved with a predefined Gaussian kernel):

$$I'(r,c) = fG(r,c) + (1-f)I(r,c).$$

We used a Gaussian kernel with standard deviation  $\sigma = 15.0$  (pixels) in the Gaussian smoothing, i.e. convolving the original signal with the Gaussian kernel, and for the factor

f = 0.8, i.e. the original image pixel value has a weight of 0.2 and the smoothed pixel value a weight of 0.8 in the linear combination used.

In computation of the optical flow we used a spherical window with a radius of 11 pixels (resulting to N = 377 pixels involved) for the coastal radar data and a a spherical window with radius of 21 pixels (N = 1373) for the ship radar (with longer time difference between the successive images) in the optical flow estimation.

If the cross-correlation between two matched windows is less than a given threshold  $T_{cc}$  the object is not tracked any more, i.e. the VB is lost. We have used  $T_{cc} = 0.9$  in our experiments presented here. We also studied the use of the coefficient of determination of the linear fits as a measure of the matching quality, but it seems to have insignificant correlation e.g. with respect to the cross-correlation, which seems to be a more useful measure. The first tracking iteration (between the first and second images) is used to prune the unreliable VB's produced by noise amplification due to the homomorphic filtering. The same cross-correlation thresholding is applied for this purpose.

#### 6 Experimental results

#### 6.1 Coastal radar image sequences

The initial radar images (first images in the image sequences) of the two coastal radar image sequences of <del>25 February 2011 and 8 February 2012cases A and B</del>, with the locations of the initial VB's indicated, used in our experiments are shown in Fig. <del>36</del>. The location of the radar indicated by the green dot and the initial VB's are indicated by red dots and the location of the radar by green dots.

The first and last images of the 25 February 2011 and the 8 February 2012 cases case A and case B are shown in Figs. 4 and 57 and 8, respectively. From these images we can see the change of the ice field during the whole study periods. In the 11 February 2011 case case A a large ice field is torn off and drifting away from the land and land fast landfast ice zone. In the 8 February 2012 case case B a smaller part of the ice is also torn off and floating away from the coast. The trajectories resulting from the Lucas-Kanade optical flow algorithm for the two test cases are shown in Fig. 69a and b. We can see that for case A the 25 February 2011 case the direction of the motion was rather uniform over the whole drift ice area, but for case B the 8 February 2012 case the direction of the motion was less uniform. However, this kind of trajectory plots do not show the ice drift velocity evaluation as a function of time.

The VB trajectories computed by the algorithm correspond to the visual interpretation during the test periods. The visual inspection was performed using animations of the image sequences with the VB's indicated by colored circles over the radar imagery. The information derived from VB's gives us possibilities to estimate different parameters related to the ice dynamicsdrift. We have computed some features for three selected VB's on both the images. Three VB's were selected to initially be close each other such that they form a triangle. Based on the triangles formed by the VB triplets we could compute the evolution of the area of the triangles as a function of time, indicating local divergence or convergence. The trajectories of the selected VB triplets are indicated by red color in Fig. 69.

For the 25 February 2011 case case A a large part of the ice was torn off the fast ice and drifting to northwestern direction north/northwest (Figs. 4 and 67 and 9a). For this case we applied a threshold  $T_N = 75$ % of the original number of VB's. The number of VB's as a function of the 2time steps time for this case is shown in Fig. 710a. We adjusted  $T_N$ this high just to demonstrate the adding of VB's, in practice a lower value could be used. for the 8 February 2012 case For case B some smaller ice floats floes were torn off and drifting to north west and west and southwest (Figs. 5 and 68 and 9b). For this case we used  $T_N = 90$ % of the original number of VB's. The number of VB's as a function of 2time steps time is shown in Fig. 710b. Because the total time was longer for this case the VB's were added twice during the whole time period of 24 h.

It is also straightforward to compute the velocity and direction (here given as the compass direction with zero degrees to the north, 90° to east and so on) by just dividing the displacement converted to meters by the time step of two minutes for each successive image pair. The velocities and direction for the selected three VB's of <del>25 February 2011 case case A</del>

are shown in Fig. 811. It can be seen that the three VB's are moving rather coherently. The velocity is first very slow and the direction rather ambiguous. Then the velocity is accelerated rather rapidly (the acceleration could also average acceleration a can be estimated by dividing the velocity differences between the two adjacent velocities by the time step, if necessary length of the time step:  $a \approx 3.0 \ 10^{-5} m/s^2$ ). It can also be seen that as the direction changes for a short time, the velocity is reduced (around time step 300600 minutes). In Fig. 9-12 the velocity and direction are shown for the 8 February 2012 case case B. Also in this case the ice is guite stable for a while in the beginning of the time period and then the velocity accelerates (in the beginning  $a \approx 1.5 \ 10^{-5} m/s^2$ , later the average acceleration in general is lower, but exhibits temporal variation) to a top value of about  $0.3 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$  achieved near the end of the period. For case A the 25 February 2011 case the top velocity was a little lower and achieved about in the middle of the time period. For case B the 8 February 2012 case the velocities of the three studied VB's were less uniform than for the 25 February 2011 case case B. This also results to the larger divergence for this case compared to 25 February 2011 case. The area of the ratio of the area of a triangle formed by a selected triplet of points to the area of the triangle formed by the three studied same triplet in the beginning of the study time period are shown in Fig. 13 for the cases A and B. These figures indicate the local ice convergence (decreasing value) or divergence (increasing value).

For the case A we can see divergence after the motion starts (after around 100 minutes) to around 300 minutes, and then about 100 minutes of convergence and then divergence again. The divergence is increased around 600 minutes, and finally after about 700 minutes the VB's in this case increases up to about 1.5 times the original triangle size, for the 25 February 2011 case the area only increases move uniformly for the rest of the time. The VB velocity accelerates from zero to about 0.2m/s during the period approximately from 100 to about 300 minutes, and also during this period the relative area increases indicating divergence. During the period of approximately from 300 to 400 minutes the VB velocity remains about similar, but there is some variability in the velocities and directions of the single VB's leading to convergence during this period. After this, during the time period from about 400 minutes to 600 minutes, the VB's move quite uniformly while their velocity

first increases and then decreases. After 600 minutes the velocity of all the VB's accelerates from about 0.05m/s to about 0.2m/s and during this period we also see differences in the velocity of the different VB's and due to these differences also the relative area increases (indicating divergence).

For the case B, there is a period of divergence from about 200 minutes to about 800 minutes, and after that the VB's move quite uniformly to the end of the period. During the diverging period, there seem to be one VB (the northernmost one) moving faster than the two other VB's, mostly explaining the divergence. The relative area changes were from 1.0 to 1.2 times the original size. These numbers indicate slight divergence in both the cases. for case A and from 1.0 to 1.5 for case B. In case B the ice concentration in later parts of the period was quite low, but in the case A the VB's were part of a larger drifting ice field.

The ice drift estimated by VB's was also compared to the simple free drift model (Lepparanta, 2009). According to the model the ice drift velocity is the (surface) wind speed multiplied by a factor  $N_a$ , also known as Nansen number. A typical value of  $N_a$  for the Baltic Sea is 0.025 (Lepparanta and Myrberg, 2009) and 0.017 for the Arctic (Lepparanta, 2009). The free drift model ice drift direction in the northern hemisphere is the wind direction rotated clockwise by 20-40 degrees (Lepparanta, 2009). For the case A, the wind speed was in the range 6-7m/s for the first four and half hours of the study period, and then increased to 8-9 m/s for the next four and half hours. The last five hours of the period the wind was mainly in the range 7-8m/s. According to the free drift model the ice drift velocities during these three periods would be about 0.16m/s, 0.21m/s, and 0.19m/s. These values correspond to the VB velocities in Fig. 11a rather well. In the beginning of the period there was no significant drift until the ice was torn and started to drift. The wind direction was in the range 150-180 degrees and the ice was moving approximately to the north. This is also in accordance with the free drift model ice direction, taking into account that in the Finnish coastline was in the east restricting the eastward drift component.

For case B the wind speed was approximately in the range 4-6m/s during the first eight hours of the study period. During the second eight hour period the wind speed was in the range 2-4m/s, and during the last eight hour period increased to approximately to 4-5m/s.

Discussion Paper

The wind direction during the period varied from about 180 degrees to about 90 degrees and then back to about 150 degrees. According to the free drift model the ice drift velocities in the three about eight hour periods would have been approximately 0.12m/s, 0.08m/s, and 0.11m/s. There was, just like in case A, a static period in the beginning of the study period, but after the ice motion started the VB velocities were some larger than the values based on the free drift model. One reason for this may be that the ice was relatively thin and drift ice concentration was low the ice proceeded faster further from the coast. The ice drift direction of Fig. 12b corresponded the free drift model quite well.

#### 6.2 Ship radar image sequence

The RV Lance ship radar data was collected during the period from 21 January to 18 February, and the temporal difference between each image pair was 10 min which is guite long for an optical flow algorithm. The ship was drifting in ice and no ship motion correction based on ship GPS has been performed, i.e. the detected ice drift is the drift with respect to the ship. We computed the tracking for the whole period but here we only show the results for a one day period with some interesting motion. During many of the days the motion with respect to the ship was not significant. Because the ship radar had a shorter range and higher resolution there were sea ice details visible over the whole image. For demonstration we selected the 8 February 2015 data for the whole day (case C) with significant ice motion with respect to the ship is some areas within the ship radar range. Also in this case we selected three adjacent VB's, indicated by red color in the trajectory image of Fig. 1215, for which we computed their velocities, directions and the divergence based on the area of the triangle formed by these three VB's. The first image of the one day time period (8 February 2015, 00:00 UTC) and the last image of the period (9 February 2015, 00:00 UTC) are shown in Fig. 1114. It can be seen that two larger leads are opening, one in the northeastern part and another in the southern part of the images. This is also indicated by the VB trajectories shown in Fig. 1215. The drift velocity, direction and divergence for the selected VB triplet are shown in Figs. 13 and 1416 and 17. In this case the direction changes guite suddenly around time step 60. 300-400 minutes. However, there is no significant reduction in the

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

computed drift velocity. This may be due to the relatively sparse time step of 10 min, with 2 min time steps the speed change might have been larger. It can also be seen that there occur some compression convergence for the ice area described by the selected triplet of VB's. The area is decreased to about 80% of the original area. This is because the VB's belong to the ice field east of the opening lead and the ice is compressing converging in this area. The largest estimated average acceleration for case C was  $a \approx 7.5 \, 10^{-6} m/s^2$  in the time interval from 600 to 800 minutes.

For the case C, the wind direction also varied in the range 300-330 degrees, and wind speed was around 8 m/s. According to the free drift model this would result to drift direction of about 90-120 degrees and speed around 0.015m/s. These values approximately correspond to the ship drift speed and direction. As the VB drift was estimated with respect to the ship we were not able to apply the free drift model to the estimated VB velocity and direction of Fig. 16. We can see that in the beginning as the ice drift with respect to the ship is slow the ice drift direction is approximately same as the ship drift direction (ice is moving slightly faster than the ship to approximately same direction), but after the non-homogeneous dynamic ice drift events begin there occur some relatively rapid changes in the VB velocities and directions. These relative changes were due to the local surface current and wind deviations. The compression in the triangle described by the triplet of VB's started around 1200 minutes and continued to the end of the period. During this period also the velocity decreased proportionally to the decrease of the triangle area. This is also an expected result as the ice velocity tends to reduce in compressing ice.

#### 6.3 Evaluation of the estimation error

We performed a a study in which we compared some visually selected points within some target windows (VB area) of a moving VB, and manually defined the location after each 200 minute steps (100 2 minute time steps) in the four Tankar coastal radar VB sets. The selected points were not necessarily in the middle of the window (which corresponds the VB location in the algorithm), but such points which could be visually located in the time series of radar images. If we assume that the VB area remains unchanged (acts as rigid object)

then the difference between the VB center and the studied point should remain constant. We computed the standard deviation of the difference for our selected points and the VB centers for the row and column coordinates, and got values  $\sigma_r$ =1.41 and  $\sigma_c$ =1.24. The manually defined locations were always integers, i.e. the locations were defined visually from the image by pointing the pixel by a mouse and recording the pixel coordinates with a precision of one pixel. There exist three sources of error in the manual estimation: human estimation error, rounding error to an integer pixel (on average 0.25 pixels in both directions), and error due to the internal deformation within the VB area. Based on this analysis we can only say the error in VB position to roughly be less or equal than one pixel (33m) in both coordinate directions, because it is difficult to estimate the exact contributions of the error sources present.

In Figure 18 we show the locations of the VB's (red) and locations of the manually tracked points within the VB area for one case A time series. Also the corresponding VB and some ice area around it at the time instants 0 minutes, 200 minutes, 400 minutes, 600 minutes, and 800 minutes are shown in the figure. A thorough evaluation using this method would in practice be labor-intensive. An estimation error of roughly one pixel in both coordinate directions in two minutes seems to overestimate the actual error and we also studied other methods of estimating the error.

A better accuracy for the estimation error can be reached by estimating the differences of both the coordinates when the ice is not moving based on visual interpretation. Such a situation is e.g. in the beginning of case B. We selected a 160 minute time period from the beginning of case B time series and computed the means and standard deviations of the successive (with a time difference of two minutes) location differences of r- and c-coordinates (row and column) for three VB's of this time series. We got for the values  $\mu_{\Delta r}$ =-0.020 and  $\mu_{Deltac}$ =-0.035 for the means and  $\sigma_{\Delta r}$ =0.126, and  $\sigma_{\Delta c}$ =0.120 Tankar radar pixels (33m) for the standard deviations. We also performed a similar study with the RV Lance case by selecting a VB which was visually static during the whole one day study period and computed the values  $\mu_{\Delta r}$ =-0.00076 and  $\mu_{Deltac}$ =-0.00393 for the means and  $\sigma_{\Delta r}$ =0.145, and  $\sigma_{\Delta c}$ =0.142 RV Lance radar pixels (12.5m). The standard deviations can be

(16)

(17)

considered as estimates of the location estimation error in the row and column directions. Possibly there still were some minor ice motion (not easily visible by eye) in the Tankar radar case as the values of  $\mu_{\Delta r}$  and  $\mu_{Deltac}$  were significantly higher than for the RV Lance data.

For comparison, the error of GPS (Global Positioning System) is 15m or less for 95% of the time (?). In addition some additional error in the GPS location is caused by the water level changes. This gives an idea of the location error of buoys equipped with GPS positioning.

The accuracy of the derived quantities such as velocity, direction and area of a triangle formed by three VB's can be estimated based on the multivariate Taylor expansion, if we assume the errors are relatively small, we can also assume the higher-order terms to be neglectable, and estimate the error by the first order terms. If the derived quantity Z is a function of the original quantities  $X_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, i.e.  $Z = F(X_1, ..., X_n)$ , then the error  $\Delta U$  at  $(X_1, ..., X_n)$  can be estimated as, see e.g. (Steward, 1996),

$$\Delta U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_i} \Delta X_i$$

We can compute the error estimates for the velocity v and direction  $\theta$  from their formulas v = s/t, and  $\theta = \arctan(dr/dc)$ , where s is the drift of the VB between the two or ten minute time period, t is the time (two or ten minutes), dr is the row direction drift and dc is the column direction drift within a given time period. Also the corresponding error estimate for the triangle area A can be estimated based on the equation used for the triangle area (A):

$$A = |(r_1(c_2 - c_3) + r_2(c_3 - c_1) + r_3(c_1 - c_2))|/2,$$

where  $(r_1, c_1)$ ,  $(r_2, c_2)$  and  $(r_3, c_3)$  are the corner row and column coordinates of the triangle i.e. coordinates of the three VB's forming the triangle. Making some simplifying

assumptions e.g. that the error in time measurement is neglectable we get the estimates  $\Delta v \approx 0.05$ m/s for the Tankar cases (A and B) and  $\Delta v \approx 0.004$ m/s for the RV Lance case (C). The derived error estimation formula for the ice drift direction has the ratios of  $dr^2 + dc^2$  in the denominator, and for this reason it will give unreliable estimates for small values of drift in the case both dr and dc are small. According to our experiments the estimates of the direction are not very reliable (include a lot of variation) for velocities lower than approximately 0.1m/s. This can be seen in Figures 11b and 12b in the beginning of the two periods as the ice motion is small or does not exist at all as large variations of the direction estimates. According to our evaluation the relative error in the computed triangle (formed by VB triplets) size is about 0.5-0.6% of the triangle area for case A, 0.8-1.1 % for case B, and 1.3-1.5 % for case C.

#### 7 Discussion and conclusions

A novel n algorithm for ice tracking was developed to track virtual ice buoys. The algorithm enables continuous ice dynamics drift tracking by adding VB's after certain absolute or relative amount of VB's has been lost, this number or ratio can be defined by the algorithm user. The location of the VB's are initialized automatically based on the local information content of the image favoring location with well-distinguishable features. The VB's can also be initialized manually if some specific case studies are made. This method is best suitable for relatively short temporal steps and giving sub-pixel resolution, unlike our earlier algorithm based on cross-correlation (Karvonen, 2013a). From the VB tracks many kinds of derived quantities, such as divergence, shear, vorticity, and total deformation can be computed, and information on the nature of the ice dynamics extracted. For example it is possible to distinguish between deformed ice and level ice or open water at least in the near range area, and also opening of new open water channels can be identified.

The main advantages of this algorithm are the capability of sub-pixel resolution and capability to monitor sea ice stand-alone continuously by adding VB's when needed. The estimation can not be performed in the areas where no distinguishable ice features are

Discussion Paper

present in the radar imagery. However, usually there are such features available in the radar images of drift ice and VB tracking is possible. Also the imaging geometry imposes some restrictions, especially in the far range. The typical ice ridges in the Baltic are in maximum some meters high and are not very well visible in the far range as their backscattering towards radar is less than that of larger (higher) targets. On the other hand their shadowing effect is also less, even though for example a typical 2 meters high ridge has a shadow of approximately 1 km in the range of 15 km, assuming the radar to be at 30 meter altitude form the surface and (locally) flat earth geometry. This may reduce the number of targets suitable for a VB in the far range.

The lost targets were typically lost as they had drifted away from the radar and Signal-to-Noise Ratio had become lower. In general the number of traceable targets depends on the density of good scatterers (defomed ice, e.g. ridges) within the radar range. The algorithm radius parameters have been selected such that adjacent VB's are not very close to each other. If there are images of poor quality in the radar image sequence due to weather conditions or same radio-frequency signal interference from other sources (e.g. other radars) more VB's are lost and the tracking can temporally be interrupted, but will be restarted (by adding new VB's) as soon as the radar image guality has recovered.

We applied the developed algorithm to three test cases. Here we only computed some relatively simple derived quantities related to the ice dynamics, i.e. velocity, direction, and the relative area defined by triplets of VB's. The relative area gives VB triplet areas give information on the divergence and convergence of the ice, and also at some degree on the compression in the ice. Some other and more sophisticated ways to analyze VB data have been presented e.g. in Karvonen et al. (2013). The main purpose of this study was the development and testing of the VB tracking system.

The VB drift results for the test cases were evaluated based on visual inspection of the animations of the image sequences with overlaid VB positions. There were no real buoy data available. The visual analysis showed that the algorithm results correspond to the visual interpretation and same targets were tracked throughout the radar image sequences. This could also be visually verified by extracting single frames of the radar image time series

with a time difference of e.g. 2–3 h, and with some given VB positions indicated on the radar images. Also according to this verification the algorithm tracking results and visual inspection were in good agreement. Also some attempts to estimate the estimation error numerically were made for the test cases.

This VB tracking software complemented with some basic VB data analysis software tools will be included in the radar servers making automated ice dynamics analysis in realtime or near-real-time possible. The analysis tools will include the analysis of VB drift velocity and direction, and divergence based on triangles formed by VB triplets. These will be computed within the convex polygon defined by the outer VB's in the image in a given grid and for a given time step (multiple of the basic time step). This integration is under construction as part of the project "Harnessing Coastal Radars for Environmental Monitoring Purposes" (HARDCORE) funded by the Baltic Sea Research and Development Programme (BONUS).

#### Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Norwegian Polar Institute (NPI) and Jari Haapala (FMI) for providing the RV Lance data for this study.

#### References

- Beauchemin, S. S. and Barron, J. L.: The Computation of Optical Flow, ACM New York, USA, 1985. Cohen, M. N.: Principles of Modern Radar Systems, Chapman and Hall, New York, USA, 465–501, 1987.
- Druckenmiller, M. L., H. Eicken, M. A. Johnson, D. J. Pringle, and C. C. Williams, Toward an integrated coastal sea-ice observatory: System components and a case study at Barrow, Alaska, Cold Regions Science and Technology, 56(2-3), 61-72, 2009.
- Fily, M. and Rothrock, D. A.: Sea ice tracking by nested correlations, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 5, GE-25, 570–580, 1987.

- Haarpaintner, J.: Arctic-wide operational sea ice drift from enhanced-resolution QuikScat/SeaWinds scatterometry and its validation, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 44, 102–107, 2006.
- Harris, C. and Stephens, M.: A combined corner and edge detector, Proc. of Alvey Vision Conference, Univ. of Manchester, August 31 September 2 1988, Manchester, UK, 147–151, 1988.
- Hofmann-Wellenhof, Lichtenegger, Wasle, GNSS Global Navigation Satellite System, Chapter 9 (GPS), Springer, Wien/NewYork, 2007.
- Horn, B. K. P. and Schunck, B. G.: Determining optical flow, Artif. Intell., 17, 185–203, 1981.
- ImageSoft Radar Recording Server, Technical Manual, version 2014.09.0, ImageSoft Ltd, Finland, 2014.
- Jones, J. M., Landfast sea ice formation and deformation near Barrow, Alaska : variability and implications for ice stability 80 pp, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK, USA, 2013.
- Karvonen, J.: Operational SAR-based sea ice drift monitoring over the Baltic Sea, Ocean Sci., 8, 473–483, doi:10.5194/os-8-473-2012, 2012.
- Karvonen, J.: Tracking the motion of recognizable sea-ice objects from coastal radar image sequences, Ann. Glaciol., 54, 4149 pp., 2013.
- Karvonen, J., Heiler, I., Haapala, J., and Lehtiranta, J.: Ice objects tracked from coastal radar image sequences as virtual ice buoys, Proc. of The International Conferences on Port and Ocean Engineering under Arctic Conditions (POAC'13), abstract number POAC13\_030, June 9-13 2013, Espoo, Finland, 2013.
- Kwok R., J. C. Curlander, R. McConnell, S. S. Pang, An Ice-Motion Tracking System at the Alaske SAR Facility, IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering, v. 15, n.1, 1990.
- Lensu, M., Heiler, I., and Karvonen, J.: Range compensation in pack ice imagery retrieved by coastal radars, in: Proc. IEEE Baltic International Symposium (BALTIC), 27–29 May 2014, Tallinn, Estonia, 1–5, 2014.
- Lepparanta M., The Drift of Sea Ice, 2nd edition, (p. 143), Praxis publishing, Chichester, UK, 2009. Lepparanta, M., Myrberg, K., Physical Oceanography of the Baltic Sea, (p. 252), Praxis publishing, Chichester, UK, 2009.
- Lucas, B. and Kanade, T.: An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision, in: Proceedings of the 7th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI) 1981, August 24-28, Vancouver, Canada, vol. 2, 674–679, 1981.

- Mahoney, A., H. Eicken, and L. Shapiro, How fast is landfast sea ice? A study of the attachment and detachment of nearshore ice at Barrow, Alaska, Cold Regions Science and Technology, 47(3), 233-255, 2007.
- Mahoney, A. R., H. Eicken, Y. Fukamachi, K. I. Ohshima, D. Simizu, C. Kambhamettu, R. MV, S. Hendricks, and J. Jones, Taking a look at both sides of the ice: comparison of ice thickness and drift speed as observed from moored, airborne and shore-based instruments near Barrow, Alaska, Annals of Glaciology, 56(69), pp. 363–372, 2015.
- MV, R., Jones, J., Eicken, H., and Kambhamettu, C.: Extracting quantitative information on coastal ice dynamics and ice hazard events from marine radar digital imagery, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 51, 2556–2570, 2013.
- Ojala, T., Pietikainen, M., and Harwood, D.: A comparative study of texture measures with classification based on feature distributions, Pattern Recogn., 29, 51–59, 1996.
- Penrose, R.: A generalized inverse for matrices, P. Camb. Philos. Soc., 51, 406–413, doi:10.1017/S0305004100030401, 1955.
- Peura, M. and Hohti, H.: Optical flow in radar images, in: Proc. Third European Conference on Radar in Meteorology and Hydrology (ERAD2004), Copernicus, 454–458, 6-10 September 2004, Visby, Sweden, 2004.

Rohith, M. V., Jones, J., Eicken, H., and Kambhamettu, C.: Extracting quantitative information on coastal ice dynamics and ice hazard events from marine radar digital imagery, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 51, 2556–2570, 2013.

- Pitas I., A. N. Venetsanopoulos, Nonlinear Digital Filters, Principles and Applications, Chapter 7 "Homomorphic Filters", pp 217-243, Springer, New York, 1990, ISBN: 978-1-4419-5120-5 (Print) 978-1-4757-6017-0 (Online), 1990.
- Sakov, P., Counillon, F., Bertino, L., Lisæter, K. A., Oke, P. R., and Korablev, A.: TOPAZ4: an oceansea ice data assimilation system for the North Atlantic and Arctic, Ocean Sci., 8, 633–656, doi:10.5194/os-8-633-2012, 2012.
- Shapiro, L. H., A preliminary study of ridging in landfast ice at Barrow, Alaska, using radar data, paper presented at 3rd International Conference on Port and Ocean Engineering under Arctic Conditions (POAC), University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska, 1975.
- Shapiro, L. H., and R. Metzner, Nearshore ice conditions from radar data, Point Barrow, AlaskaUAG R-268, 46 pp, Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska Fairbanks, 1989.
- Multivariable Calculus, 3rd Edition, Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, Pacific Grove, CA, USA, p.790, 1995.

- Sun, Y.: A new correlation technique for ice-motion analysis, EARSeL Adv. Remote Sens., 3, 57–63, 1994.
- Tabata, T., Kawamura, T., and Aota, M.: Divergence and rotation of an ice field off Okhotsk Sea Coast of Hokkaido, annals of glaciology, in: Sea Ice Processes and Models, University of Washington Press, Seattle, USA, pp. 273–282, edited by: Pritchard, R. S., 1980.
- Thomas, M., Geiger, C., and Kambhamettu, C.: Discontinuous non-rigid motion analysis of sea ice using C-band synthetic aperture radar satellite imagery, IEEE Workshop on Articulated and Nonrigid Motion (ANM, In conjunction with IEEE CVPR'04), June 27 July 2 2004, Washington DC, USA, available at: http://vims.cis.udel.edu/publications/anm-thomas.pdf, last access date September 7. October 21 2015, 2004.
- Thomas, M., Geiger, C. A., and Kambhamettu, C., High resolution (400 m) motion characterization of sea ice using ERS-1 SAR imagery, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 52, 207–223, 2008.
- Wessel, P. and Smith, W. H. F.: A global self-consistent, hierarchical, high-resolution shoreline database, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 8741–8743, 1996.



**Figure 1.** Coastal radar and data transmission. The temporal median filtering is performed on the radar server, a PPI radar image is transmitted every two minutes by the GSM modem through the GSM Base Transceiver Station (BTS), GSM Base Station Controller (BSC) and Mobile Switching Center (MSC) and further through Internet and to FMI server performing the radar motion analysis.



Figure 2. Flow diagram of the continuous VB tracking.



**Figure 3.** The principle of homomorphic filtering. A logarithmic transform is applied to make the illumination and reflection terms additive, a high-pass filter is then applied, and an exponential transform is applied to invert the log transform.



**Figure 4.** One temporal-median filtered radar image (Feb 25 2011, left) and the same image after the homomorphic filtering. The land areas according to our rough land mask are masked off (white area in the figures). The associated scale is in kilometers.



**Figure 5.** Geometry of an LBP with an angular step of  $\Pi/4$ . The circle has a radius of *R*, which is one LBP parameter.



**Figure 6.** The initial VB's of the February 2011 test data set **(a)**, and the February 2012 data set **(b)** drawn over the first radar images of the sequences. The coordinates are in kilometers, and the radar location is located at (row,column)=(20,30). The land area according to our rough land mask is indicated by the green dot color. The associated scale is in the figureskilometers.



**Figure 7.** The first and last image of the February 2011 test data set. The coordinates are in kilometers, and the radar is located at (row,column)=(20,30). The land area according to our rough land mask is indicated by green color. The associated scale is in kilometers.



**Figure 8.** The first and last image of the February 2012 test data set. The coordinates are in kilometers, and the radar is located at (row,column)=(20,30). The land area according to our rough land mask is indicated by green color. The associated scale is in kilometers.



**Figure 9.** Trajectories of the VB's which survived the whole February 2011 and February 2012 test periods. The three VB's whose properties are studied in more detail in both the cases are indicated by red color. The starting point of a trajectory is indicated by an open circle and the end point by a closed circle. The coordinates are in kilometers, and the radar is located at (row,column)=(20,30). The land area according to our rough land mask is indicated by green color. The associated scale is in kilometers.



**Figure 10.** Number of VB's at each time step for the 2011 case (a) and for the 2012 case (b). The threshold to add new VB's was 75 and 90 % of the original number of VB's, respectively.



Figure 11. Velocity (a) and direction (b) for the 2011 case selected three VB's. The direction is in degrees.



Figure 12. Velocity (a) and direction (b) for the 2012 case selected three VB's. The direction is in degrees.



**Figure 13.** Ratio of the area of the triangle formed by the selected three VB's with respect to the area in the beginning of the period for the 2011 case (a) and for the 2012 case (b).



**Figure 14.** The RV *Lance* one day test period first and last radar images. The ship radar is located in the middle of the image. The associated scale is in kilometers.



**Figure 15.** Trajectories of the VB's which survived the RV *Lance* one-day February 2015 test periods. The three VB's whose properties are studied in more detail in both the cases are indicated by red color. The starting point of a trajectory is indicated by an open circle and the end point by a closed circle. The associated scale is in kilometers.

Trajectories of the VB's which survived the RV *Lance* one day February 2015 test periods. The three VB's whose properties are studied in more detail in both the cases are indicated by red color. The starting point of a trajectory is indicated by an open circle and the end point by a closed circle.



Figure 16. Velocity (a) and direction (b) for the RV Lance 2015 case selected three VB's. The direction is in degrees.

Velocity (a) and direction (b) for the RV Lance 2015 case selected three VB's. The direction is in degrees.



Figure 17. Ratio of the area of the triangle formed by the selected three VB's with respect to the area in the beginning of the period for the 2015 RV Lance case. Ratio of the area of the triangle formed by the selected three VB's with respect to the area in the beginning of the period for the 2015 RV Lance case.



**Figure 18.** An example of manual VB pixel location estimation and VB location defined by the algorithm with a time step of 200 minutes (case A). The locations are shown in a: the red dots indicate the VB center location, the blue dots the selected and tracked feature location, the corresponding pairs have been marked with the green rectangles. The VB areas (red circles) in the radar imagery with some background included at the given time instants are shown in time order from left to right in b-f.