1 Extraordinary runoff from the Greenland Ice Sheet in 2012 amplified by

2 hypsometry and depleted firn-retention

3

Andreas Bech Mikkelsen^{1,2}, Hubbard, Alun.^{3,4}, MacFerrin, Mike⁵, Box, Jason, Eric⁶, Doyle, Sam
H.⁴, Fitzpatrick, Andrew.⁴, Hasholt, Bent.¹, Bailey, Hannah., L.⁷, Lindbäck, Katrin.⁸ and Pettersson,
Rickard.⁸

7

8 [1]{Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen,9 Denmark}

10 [2]{Centre for Permafrost (CENPERM), University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 10,
11 Copenhagen, DK-1350, Denmark}

[3]{Centre for Arctic Gas Hydrate, Environment and Climate, Department of Geology,
University of Tromsø, Dramsveien 201, N-9037 Norway}

[4]{Centre for Glaciology, Department of Geography and Earth Sciences, Aberystwyth
University, Aberystwyth, SY23 3DB, UK}

[5]{Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), University of
Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA}

[6]{Department of Glaciology and Climate, Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland,Copenhagen, Denmark}

[7] {Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Center for Polar and Marine Research, Periglacial
Research Section, 14473 Potsdam, Germany}

[8] Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala Universitet, Villav. 16, 752 36 Uppsala, Sweden}
 Correspondence to: A.B. Mikkelsen (bechmikkelsen@gmail.com)

24 Abstract

25 It has been argued that the infiltration and retention of meltwater within firn across the 26 percolation zone of the Greenland ice sheet has the potential to buffer up to \sim 3.6 mm of global sea 27 level rise (Harper et al., 2012). Despite evidence confirming active refreezing processes above the 28 equilibrium line, their impact on runoff and proglacial discharge has yet to be assessed. Here, we 29 compare meteorological, melt, firn-stratigraphy and discharge data from the extreme 2010 and 2012 30 summers to determine the relationship between atmospheric forcing and melt runoff at the land-31 terminating, Kangerlussuag sector of the Greenland ice sheet, which drains into Watson River. The 32 6.8 km³ bulk discharge in 2012 exceeded that in 2010 by 28%, despite only a 3% difference in net 33 incoming melt energy between the two years. This large disparity can be explained by a 10% 34 contribution of runoff originating from above the long-term equilibrium line in 2012 caused by 35 diminished firn retention. The amplified 2012 response was compounded by catchment hypsometry; 36 the disproportionate increase in area contributing to runoff as the melt-level rose high into the 37 accumulation area.

38 Satellite imagery and aerial photographs reveal an extensive supraglacial network extending 140 39 km from the ice margin that confirms active meltwater runoff originating well above the 40 equilibrium line. This runoff culminated in three days with record discharge of $3,100 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} (0.27 \text{ m}^3)$ 41 Gt d⁻¹) that peaked on 11 July and washed-out the Watson River Bridge. Our findings corroborate 42 melt infiltration processes in the percolation zone though the resulting patterns of refreezing are 43 complex and can lead to spatially extensive, perched superimposed ice layers within the firn. In 44 2012, such layers extended to an elevation of at least 1840 m and provided a semi-impermeable 45 barrier to further meltwater storage, thereby promoting widespread runoff from the accumulation 46 area of the Greenland ice sheet that contributed directly to proglacial discharge and global sea-level 47 rise.

48

49 1 Introduction

50 The Greenland ice sheet is losing mass at 0.7 mm yr⁻¹ equivalent of global sea-level rise, the 51 majority of which is attributed to surface ablation that is set to increase under atmospheric warming 52 (Enderlin et al., 2014; Hanna et al., 2013). Although surface melt water production can be readily 53 calculated by regional climate models (e.g. Fettweis et al., 2011), such estimates do not equate 54 directly to sea-level rise due to the hydrological processes that buffer and store melt on, within and 55 beneath the ice sheet. It has been argued that retention at the ice sheet surface has the greatest 56 capacity to offset future sea-level rise, particularly refreezing across the wet-snow/percolation zone 57 above the equilibrium line (Pfeffer et al., 1991). Within the percolation zone, melt generated at the 58 surface infiltrates and refreezes within the snow-pack, increasing its density, forming firn and 59 thereby retaining potential runoff (Pfeffer et al., 1991; Braithwaite et al., 1994). Harper et al. (2012) 60 analysed a series of cores and ground penetrating radar profiles collected across an 85 km transect 61 above the equilibrium line at \sim 69.5°N to quantify the water storage capacity of the percolation zone. 62 Their analysis revealed repeated infiltration events in which surface melt penetrated to more than 10 63 m depth and refroze as superimposed ice layers. Although the resulting patterns of vertical densification were complex, they proposed that over a number of decades such infiltration will fill 64 65 all of the available pore space and provide a storage sink of between 322 to 1,289 Gt of melt – 66 equivalent to buffering ~ 0.9 to ~ 3.6 mm of global sea level rise.

67 Below the equilibrium line in spring, melt water is initially stored within the snow-pack, but 68 once the pore-space is saturated, it runs off the previous summer's ice surface (Irvine-Fynn et al., 69 2011). This runoff either flows directly into the subglacial environment via supraglacial river 70 networks and moulins, or is temporarily stored in supraglacial lakes. Such lakes can individually 71 capture up to 10^7 m^3 (0.01 Gt) of water and are estimated to cover up to 3% of the western sector of the ice sheet (Box and Ski, 2007; Fitzpatrick et al., 2014). Hence, these lakes have the capacity to 72 73 buffer large volumes of water on timescales from weeks to months, or potentially years if they do 74 not drain (e.g. Fitzpatrick et al., 2014; Selmes et al., 2011). Once filled, the lakes contribute directly 75 to proglacial discharge either by over-flowing into downstream moulins or by rapid in situ drainage 76 into the subglacial environment (e.g. Das et al., 2008; Doyle et al., 2013; Tedesco et al., 2013). It is 77 observed that supraglacial lakes often drain in clusters that could cause major peaks in proglacial 78 discharge (Doyle et al., 2013; Fitzpatrick et al., 2014). Ice-dammed proglacial lakes also provide a temporary buffer to proglacial discharge that can flood rapidly (Carrivick and Quincey, 2014;Mikkelsen et al., 2013).

81 Quantifying these water storage mechanisms across the ice sheet is important since the 82 consequence of enhanced melt on mass balance and sea level contribution depends on the fraction 83 of melt that escapes to the ocean. The area of the ice sheet undergoing melt will expand to higher elevations under predicted atmospheric warming, and this could force runoff from well within the 84 85 ice sheet interior and contribute to enhanced sea level rise (Hanna et al., 2008; Huybrechts et al., 86 2011; Smith et al., 2015). Expansion of the melt area with warming is further amplified by the ice 87 sheet hypsometry. As the ice surface flattens toward higher elevations, a linear increase in the melt 88 level results in a disproportionate gain in the net surface area exposed to melt conditions. If, 89 however, a significant fraction of that melt is subsequently intercepted and stored by local 90 percolation and refreezing within the snow-pack above the equilibrium line, or otherwise at lower 91 elevations in supra- and pro-glacial lakes, then discharge and sea-level rise is buffered on a time-92 scale of weeks to decades. Although these storage terms have been estimated for the ice sheet (Box 93 and Ski, 2007; Carrivick and Quincey, 2014; Fitzpatrick et al., 2014; Harper et al., 2012; Humphrey 94 et al., 2012), their combined impact on runoff and proglacial discharge in an integrated study has 95 yet to be quantitatively assessed.

96 Here, by reference to the two extreme warm summers of 2010 and 2012, we quantify the 97 efficacy of surface melt storage processes across the Greenland ice sheet using a hydrological-98 budget approach. We compare the seasonal production of surface melt with proglacial discharge 99 across a well-defined, land-terminating catchment that drains the Kangerlussuaq (K-transect) sector 100 of the ice sheet. By drawing on satellite imagery, photographs and a series of snow-pits and firn-101 cores above the equilibrium line, we relate the calculated residual difference in the hydrological 102 budget through time to the spatial extent and effectiveness of potential meltwater retention across 103 the catchment, with particular attention to the percolation zone.

104 1.1 The exceptional 2010 and 2012 melt-seasons

The record warm Greenland summers of 2010 and 2012 have been documented using regional
atmospheric modelling (Tedesco et al., 2013), microclimatological observations (Bennartz et al.,
2013; van As et al., 2012), microwave and optical remote sensing (Nghiem et al., 2012; Smith et al.,
2015; Tedesco et al., 2011), and in situ data (McGrath et al., 2013). In both years, a blocking high

pressure system, associated with a strongly negative summer North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) anomaly, was present in the mid-troposphere over Greenland (Hanna et al., 2014). The resulting circulation pattern advected warm southerly winds over the western flank of the ice sheet, forming an insulating heat-bubble over Greenland (Neff et al., 2014) that promoted enhanced surface heating.

114 During summer 2010, higher than average near-surface air temperatures in western and south-115 western regions of the ice sheet led to early and prolonged summer melting and metamorphism of 116 surface snow, significantly reducing surface albedo and thereby enhancing sunlight absorption (van 117 As, 2012; Box et al., 2012; Tedesco et al., 2013). Similarly, in summer 2012 high near-surface air 118 temperatures and a low surface albedo enabled high melt rates (Ngheim et al., 2012). During 2012, 119 exceptional melt events were concentrated in two periods in mid and late July. On 12 July, a ridge 120 of warm air stagnated over Greenland and melt occurred over 98.6% of the surface of the ice sheet 121 - even extending to the perennially frozen, high-elevation interior at the ice divide (McGrath et al., 122 2013; Nghiem et al., 2012). In the Kangerlussuag sector, the focus of this study, the 11 July 2012 123 melt-event had a severe and direct hazardous impact with the wash-out and partial destruction of the 124 Watson River Bridge on the 11 July 2012 (https://youtu.be/RauzduvIYog), indicating that 125 proglacial discharge was at its highest stage since the early 1950's when the bridge was constructed. 126 A second phase of exceptional conditions returned in late July 2012 when over 79% of the ice sheet 127 surface was again exposed to exceptional melt (Nghiem et al., 2012). Bennartz et al. (2013) found 128 that low-level clouds played an important role by increasing near-surface air temperatures via their 129 effect on radiative absorption: sufficiently low to enhance the downward infrared irradiance whilst 130 optically thin enough to allow solar radiation to penetrate.

131 These conditions had the capacity to force rapid and extreme ice sheet melt and runoff that was 132 visible from space and in time-lapse camera sequences of, for example, proglacial flooding (Smith 133 et al., 2015) and turbulent plumes active at the fronts of tidewater glaciers (Chauché et al., 2014; 134 Nick et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the challenge of measuring discharge at marine-terminating 135 glaciers, and the lack of proglacial gauging stations in Greenland, means that this inference can only 136 be assessed at a broad, regional scale using satellite-derived estimates of mass balance (e.g. 137 GRACE; Ewert et al., 2012). Hence, the years of exceptionally warm atmospheric forcing in 2010 138 and 2012 present an ideal natural experiment and opportunity to assess and quantify the catchmentwide efficacy and spatio-temporal footprint of melt, storage, and runoff processes across the icesheet.

141

142

143 2 Study area & methods

144 2.1 Study area

We focus on the ~ 12,500 km² catchment that drains into Watson River from the landterminating Kangerlussuaq sector on the western margin of the ice sheet. The catchment is 95% glaciated and comprises four main outlet glaciers centred on Russell Glacier (Figure 1). Within this catchment, the ice surface rises ~90 km from the ice margin at 550 m a.s.l. to the mean 1990 to 2010 equilibrium line altitude (ELA) of 1,553 m a.s.l. (van de Wal et al., 2012; 2015), and extends a further ~150 km across the accumulation area to the ice divide at ~2,550 m a.s.l.

151 2.2 Proglacial discharge measurements

152 Proglacial river discharge was gauged near the Watson River Bridge in Kangerlussuaq (Figure 153 2), located 22 km from the ice sheet margin and with a direct outlet into the Kangerlussuag Fjord. 154 Due to orographic shielding by Sukkertoppen Ice Cap the Kangerlussuaq region is exceptionally dry, with a mean annual precipitation of 149 mm (Box et al., 2004; van den Broeke et al., 2008). 155 156 Land surface water losses from evaporation and sublimation further minimise the land area 157 contribution to runoff compared to the ice sheet component (Hasholt et al., 2013). Watson River 158 discharge was determined using the stage/discharge relationship presented in Hasholt et al. (2013). 159 Water stage was recorded by pressure transducers on a stable cross section ~ 100 m upstream from 160 the bridge. The discharge O is given by

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{A}$$
, Eq. 1

where V represents the mean velocity in the river cross-section and A is the cross-sectional area. The surface velocity (V) was measured by means of a float and converted into mean cross-sectional velocity by applying a reduction factor of 0.95 (Hasholt et al., 2013). The cross-sectional area (A) used for discharge calculations is based on the deepest sounding of the channel bottom after the winter ice melts in spring. The combined uncertainty in the cross-sectional area and velocity 166 measurements is estimated to be 15% (Hasholt et al., 2013). However, here we also conservatively 167 include the possibility of a systematically deeper cross section due to bed erosion within the deepest 168 of the two channels during the runoff season. Therefore we estimate the upper limit in the annual 169 cumulative discharge for 2010 and 2012 at +44% and +32% respectively. The instantaneous 170 potential error varies with the discharge rate, and is plotted together with the measured discharge 171 (Figure 3D and E).

172 During the flood event on 11 July 2012 the water level exceeded the previously observed 173 maximum water stage by 1.65 m (15%) and the stage-discharge relationship was extrapolated 174 accordingly. Our stage-discharge relationship was also altered by the partial removal of a road dam 175 (part of the bridge construction), which opened up two new, shallow channels between and south of 176 the two original channels (Figure 2). We measured the cross-sectional area of the two new channels 177 after the flood had subsided, and by combining these with measurements of stage from time-178 stamped time-lapse photographs, we estimate that these new channels were 1.5 and 2.5 m deep at 179 peak flow.

180 The surface velocity in these new channels was calculated assuming the conservation of energy181 in fluids:

$$v = \sqrt{2gh}$$
 Eq. 2

where v is the surface velocity of the water, g is the gravitational acceleration (9.82 m s⁻²) and h is 182 183 the water level. Uncertainty in v for the two new channels is mainly attributed to the determination 184 of stage from time-lapse photos, which we conservatively estimate at $\sim 30\%$. The two original 185 bedrock channels remained intact and we assume that the hydraulic conditions in these channels did 186 not change substantially during the flood event. For the period after the bridge foundation was 187 partially washed out, the discharge in the new channels is added to that calculated based on the 188 stage/discharge relationship for the original channels. We estimate that the formation of the two 189 new channels during the flood event resulted in a small relative (i.e. < 3%) contribution to the total 190 discharge.

191 2.3 Meteorological measurements

Automatic weather stations (AWS) are located at three elevations: 732 (AWS_L), 1280 (AWS_M) and 1840 m a.s.l. (AWS_U) (see van As et al., 2012). Each AWS, recorded near-surface

(2-3 m) air temperature, humidity, wind speed, upward and downward shortwave and long waveirradiance, and air pressure.

196 2.4 Snow and ice albedo

197 Surface albedo was determined from NASA's Terra Satellite's Moderate Resolution Imaging 198 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) interpolated onto a 5 km grid from 1 May 2010 to 31 September 2012. 199 An 11-day running median was taken to reject noise caused by contrails and cloud shadows (Box et 200 al., 2012). From these data, an albedo time series was formed for the glaciated part of the Watson 201 River catchment area defined as 67±0.2 °N, and west of 44 °W. The data were averaged in 100 m 202 elevation intervals on basis of Scambos & Haran (2002). The resulting albedo product was divided 203 into three approximately equal-area bands corresponding to the physiographic regions dominated by 204 surface impurity darkness (1000 to 1450 m a.s.l.), lakes (1500 to 1650 m a.s.l.) and wet-snow (1700 205 to 1850 m a.s.l.; Figure 1) (see also Wientjes et al., 2012; Wientjes and Oerlemans, 2010).

206 2.5 Surface energy budget model

207 The surface energy budget (SEB) was calculated daily across the glacierized catchment 208 following van As et al. (2012). The model calculates radiative, turbulent, rain and subsurface 209 (conductive) energy fluxes using data from the three AWS measurements as input, interpolated into 210 the same 100 m elevation bins as the albedo data. The MODIS albedo data were used in the 211 calculation of net shortwave radiation. The sensible and latent energy fluxes were calculated from 212 near surface gradients of wind speed, temperature and humidity using a stability correction. The 213 surface mass balance (SMB) was calculated as the sum of solid precipitation, surface melt and 214 sublimation. The model was validated against independent K-transect measurements (e.g. van de 215 Wal et al., 2012) and its performance was found to be within 4% of the observed values. The net 216 energy available for melt across the entire glacierized-catchment was determined by integrating the calculated energy flux (W m⁻²) for each elevation interval by area. For the purpose of quantifying 217 218 the potential net melt available for runoff, refreezing and retention parameterisations were disabled.

219 2.6 Firn saturation model

Based upon firn core stratigraphy and density measurements at AWS_U, a simple model was produced to illustrate when horizontal water flow might occur if melt water were not permitted to 222 percolate beneath the massive 2010 ice layers. Water generated by melt at the surface, minus 223 evaporation/sublimation, fills the available pore-space of the firn beneath and raises the saturated 224 water table level. In situ measurements and/or reasonable ranges were assigned for model input 225 values, including the density of fresh snow, the average depth and density of the packed snow layer 226 above the firn, the density of refrozen ice and the amount of water attributed to sublimation and 227 evaporation. Ten million (10^7) Monte Carlo model iterations were run over the range of input 228 variables to produce 95% confidence intervals of the daily water levels and potential firn saturation 229 dates at AWS U.

230 2.7 Supraglacial lake drainage

231 To determine the extent and timing of supraglacial lake drainage events within the Watson River 232 catchment, an automatic lake classification was applied to daily MODIS MOD09 imagery 233 following Fitzpatrick et al. (2014). Fifty-two cloud-free MODIS images with an initial resolution of 234 500 m were sharpened to 250 m and processed to derive the surface area and volume of supraglacial 235 lakes. The smallest lake classified was 0.0625 km^2 which equates to a single 250 x 250 m pixel. 236 Lake areas were classified using an empirically-determined threshold of the Normalised Difference 237 Water Index (NDWI; Huggell et al., 2002). Lake volume was derived using a reflective index 238 approach after Box and Ski (2007) calibrated against lake bathymetry data acquired in 2010 (Doyle 239 et al., 2013), and subsequently validated against in-situ depths from an independent supraglacial 240 lake at 67° N, 48°W, at ~1420 m.a.s.l. (Fitzpatrick et al., 2014). The error in our lake area and depth 241 is an estimated ± 0.2 km² per lake and 1.5 m per pixel respectively. Change in stored volume in each 242 lake was converted to mean discharge rates between cloud-free observations (Figure 3D and E).

243

244 2.8 Catchment delineation

A well documented source of uncertainty in calculating runoff stems from the delineation of hydrologically complex watersheds with rapidly evolving supraglacial stream, river and lake networks (e.g. van As et al., 2012; Fitzpatrick et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2015). Furthermore, supraglacial drainage plays a relatively minor part (albeit a readily observable one) of the entire water transport story, and the subsequent routing of meltwater into the subglacial hydrological system via moulins and fractures remains unconstrained. Here we adopt a novel watershed 251 delineation approach based on catchment and drainage routing determined from subglacial 252 hydraulic potential analysis presented by Lindbäck et al. (2015). Lindbäck et al. (2015) demonstrate 253 that the subglacial footprint of the Watson River catchment can migrate northward and capture up 254 to $\sim 30\%$ of the area of the adjacent Isunnguata Sermia catchment, under varying subglacial water-255 pressure conditions during the melt-season. However, the study also reveals that despite significant 256 hydrological piracy between adjacent catchments, the actual contributing area of the Watson River 257 subglacial catchment, along with its surface hypsometry, remains effectively constant. Lindbäck et 258 al. (2015) also demonstrate that across the lower ablation area (500 to 1250 m a.s.l.) where 259 meltwater production rates are highest, the subglacial footprint is fixed even under transient water-260 pressure conditions. Hence, we are confident that the catchment deliniation adopted in this study, 261 based on subglacial hydropotential analysis and the associated melt and runoff calculations, are 262 robust and within error of datasets used.

263 2.9 Measurements of firn and snow pack density

To assess firn and snow-pack densification, 15 snow-pits and three 7.6 cm diameter ice cores were obtained from eight sites between 1280 and 1840 m a.s.l. in April 2012. Two cores (#1 and #2) were drilled 10 m apart near AWS_U while core #3 was drilled at a site located 400 m to the south of AWS_U. Core stratigraphy was analysed at ~1 cm vertical resolution before cores were cut into 10 cm sections and weighed to determine the density profile of the snowpack and firn. A transect of 0.5 to 1 m-deep snow-pits between AWS_M and AWS_U were examined to investigate spatial variations in firn and snowpack density (Figure 1).

271

272 3 Results

273 Near-surface air temperatures from three AWS reveal insightful differences in the temporal and 274 altitudinal distribution of energy available for melt between 2010 and 2012. Melt commenced 275 earlier in 2010 with the lowest AWS L reaching 6°C daily average air temperature by mid-May 276 (Figure 3A). At AWS L, melt with air temperature 5°C above the seasonal average persisted until 277 15 September. The duration of the 2010 melt-season (119 days) was without precedent for the 278 Kangerlussuag sector of the ice sheet since 1973 (van As et al., 2012). At the uppermost AWS U, 279 located ~300 m above the 1991-2009 baseline ELA of 1524 m (van de Wal et al., 2012), above-280 freezing temperatures did not prevail until 8 July, 2010. Thereafter daily temperatures remained above freezing until September making 2010 exceptional for melt compared to the long-termaverage.

283 During the 2012 melt season, air temperatures above the equilibrium line indicate widespread 284 surface melting from mid-June onwards including two week-long periods with extreme daily air 285 temperatures at AWS U of well above 3°C (Figure 3A) during high pressure and clear sky 286 conditions. In the 5-days leading up to the extreme mid-July 2012 melt event, air temperatures at 287 AWS M and AWS U were within 1°C despite 70 km horizontal and 500 m vertical separation. 288 Hence, from mid June throughout July 2012, the environmental lapse rate was exceptionally low 289 indicating that melting conditions likely prevailed across an extensive, relatively flat accumulation 290 area. By 12 July, surface melting extended across the entire accumulation area up to the ice sheet 291 divide, and, indeed, the entire ice sheet including Summit Camp and the NEEM drill site where wet 292 snow conditions halted airborne ski-equipped CH130 operations (McGrath et al., 2013; Nghiem et 293 al., 2012). Below 1000 m a.s.l., the mean 2012 summer air temperatures were in contrast 0.75°C 294 lower than in 2010, though still higher than the long-term mean. This, in part is explained by the 295 delayed 2012 melt onset that commenced in late May (Figure 3A).

Somewhat surprisingly, the net cumulative energy available for surface melt across catchment are virtually equivalent by the end of the 2010 and 2012 summers despite quite different prevailing weather conditions (Figure 3B). The total energy available for melt across the catchment in 2010 and 2012 calculated from the SEB model up to an elevation of 1840 m a.s.l. was only 3% less in 2010, compared to 2012 (Table 1; supplementary material for yearly energy balances for the three weather station sites for 2010 and 2012 respectively).

302 MODIS albedo time-series (Figure 3C) binned into three elevation bands equating to the extent 303 of the dark-, lake- and wet-snow zones, respectively (Figure 1) exhibit complex patterns of change 304 through space and time. In 2012, the albedo decline lags behind 2010 (Figure 3C) due to the early 305 melt-season onset in May, 2010 promoted by low 2009/2010 winter snow accumulation (van As et 306 al., 2012). By mid-June, albedo across the dark zone for both years declined to 0.4. For the 307 remainder of the melt season, the 2010 dark zone albedo was ~0.05 lower than in 2012 (Figure 3C), 308 consistent with warmer temperatures and enhanced melt at low elevations in summer 2010. Across 309 the lake and wet-snow zones, a similar pattern of albedo decline is observed up until mid-June. 310 From this time onward, in contrast to the dark zone, it is the 2012 albedo that is consistently as much as 0.2 lower than 2010, with the exception of a week-long period when albedo was reset dueto snow-fall on 5 August 2012.

313 The seasonal evolution of daily Watson River discharge and catchment-integrated melt varies 314 considerably between 2010 and 2012 (Figure 3D to F). In 2010 the integrated melt and proglacial 315 discharge increased at a lower rate than in 2012, despite higher cumulative energy input aided by 316 elevated temperatures combiend with lower albedo. Mean daily integrated discharge in 2012 peaked 317 at 3100 m³ s⁻¹ (equivalent to ~0.27 km³ d⁻¹; Figure 4E) in mid-July, that washed-out Watson River 318 bridge. With lower temperatures during the week commencing 15 July, melt and discharge dropped 319 to below 2010 levels but returned to high values of at least 1500 m³ s⁻¹ for 11 days from 26 July 320 2012, coincident with the second phase of exceptional warm conditions. By the end of the melt-321 season, the final total annual discharge in 2012 of 6.8 km³ exceeded that of 5.3 km³ in 2010 by 322 ~28%.

323 Throughout the 2010 melt-season there is a steady increase in the difference between calculated 324 integrated melt across the catchment and cumulative measured discharge, which by the end of the 325 season equates to 36% (~1.9 km³) of residual melt retained (R') within the catchment (Figure 3F). In 326 the period leading up to 11 July 2012, a similar increase in residual R' as 2010 indicates substantial 327 meltwater storage within the catchment. However, after 11 July 2012 the residual R' drops by 40% 328 equating to 1 km³ of bulk discharge released within 5 days. Throughout the remainder of the 329 summer, R' further diminishes so that only $\sim 0.2 \text{ km}^3$ of meltwater is retained by the end of the 330 melt-season. This contrasting catchment response to forcing between the two years is demonstrated 331 by plotting cumulative energy input versus cumulative discharge for 2010 and 2012 (Figure 4). The 332 resulting slope of energy forcing against discharge response is considerably steeper in 2012 than 333 2010. Hence, for a given energy input, there is a disproportionately larger catchment runoff and 334 discharge response in 2012 compared to 2010, particularly so during the 11 to 14 July 2012 335 flooding.

The melt totals for each elevation band along with bulk Watson River discharge and their difference are listed in Table 2. Below the long-term ELA of 1550 m, 2010 and 2012 calculated melt total are within 7% of each other. By contrast, in the two elevations bands 1550 - 1850 and 1850 - 2050 m a.s.l., calculated melt was 75 and 200% respectively larger in 2012 compared to 2010 (only melt up to 1850 m a.s.l. is included in Figure 3D and F). Despite this, the absolute difference in total calculated melt between the two years is still only 3%, yet the difference in proglacial discharge between the two years is 28%. Thus, the runoff response to atmospheric
forcing is again demonstrated to be more pronounced in 2012, reflected in the larger residual
between calculated melt and measured proglacial discharge (Figure 3F).

345 Examination of the timing between of catchment-integrated melt and proglacial discharge 346 (Figure 3D and E) reveals that meltwater routing through the glacial and proglacial system has a lag 347 of between 1 to 5 days during each melt-season. In June 2012, the proglacial discharge response to melt was dampened and delayed. Prior to the 11 July 2012 extreme melt and discharge, the 348 349 integrated modelled melt closely resembles the proglacial discharge hydrograph but with a \sim 3 day 350 lag. Henceforth, during the remainder of July and the beginning of August 2012, there is a 351 significantly shorter lag between discharge response to melt production. The implication here is 352 that once local meltwater production had been mobilised, even at high elevations above the 353 equilibrium line, the resulting runoff transits through a drainage network up to 160 km long within 354 3 days, thereby contributing to the proglacial discharge peak. Such rapid transit times imply supraand subglacial flow velocities in excess of 2 km h⁻¹ (~0.6 m s⁻¹) through an efficient - linked -355 356 drainge system. These results are comparable to similar transit velocities derived from tracer-357 experiments conducted up to 57 km from the ice margin in 2011 (Chandler et al., 2013). The second 358 phase of intense melt, commencing on 26 July 2012 was followed by a rapid rise in proglacial 359 discharge with a lag of just 2 days. Peak melt during this period occurred on 3 August 2012 with the 360 associated peak in proglacial discharge occurring on the 5 August 2012. The onset of discharge 361 abatement was concurrent with declining air temperatures from 6 August 2012 onwards.

362 The release of water stored in supraglacial lakes accounts for a minor component of proglacial 363 discharge. In 2012 the majority of lake drainages occurred well before any peaks in proglacial 364 discharge (Figure 3E and F). The calculated mean drainage rate of $<100 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 2012 indicates 365 that the volume of lake drainage water contributed less than 2% of the total bulk discharge (Figure 3 366 D and E). The maximum short-term contribution from lake drainage (0.10 km^3) occurred on 23 367 June 2012 with the synchronous drainage of a local cluster of five lakes (Figure 3E). Over the 368 following week, approximately 70% of all water stored in supraglacial lakes across the entire 369 catchment was released (Figure 3E), which could have accounted for half of the Watson River 370 discharge. However, this multiple lake drainage event occurred ~12 days before the proglacial 371 discharge peak of 11 July 2012. Supraglacial lakes drain in as little as 2 hours (Das et al., 2008; 372 Doyle et al., 2013) and it is likely that this stored water discharged out of the catchment well before 11 July. One small $\sim 0.02 \text{ km}^3$ lake drainage event between 5 and 8 July would have contributed $\sim 2\%$ to the extraordinary discharge measured between July 10 and 14 (0.9 km³).

Analysis of MODIS and Landsat imagery indicate that no proglacial ice-dammed lakes within the catchment drained prior to the mid-July flood event, including one that appears to drain regularly in August/September each year. On 11 September 2010 and 12 August 2012, a partially filled proglacial lake did drain (described in Mikkelsen et al., 2013), and even though it is recorded in the Watson River hydrograph, the net contribution to proglacial discharge is minor in 2010 and 2012 (Figure 3D and E).

381

382 4 Discussion

383 Our analysis reveals that even though the net atmospheric forcing represented by the total 384 incoming energy flux for 2010 and 2012 was similar, the ensuing runoff response was markedly 385 different (Figure 4). Widespread melt in 2010 has been ascribed to atmospherically-sourced heating 386 coupled with a strong albedo feedback promoted by low winter snowfall and early melt onset 387 (Tedesco et al., 2011; Box et al., 2012; van As et al., 2012). Yet low albedo and high air 388 temperatures alone do not explain the 28% increase in discharge in 2012 compared to 2010. Our 389 analysis also confirms that the release of stored water from supraglacial lakes played a relatively 390 minor role in peak and total proglacial discharge in 2012 (Figure 3D and E). At most, the 391 supraglacial lake contribution to the 11 July 2012 peak discharge of 3100 m s⁻¹ was $\sim 2\%$. Our 392 results indicate that only a relatively small proportion of the total melt generated at the surface was 393 stored in supra- and proglacial lakes and that the buffering effect of lakes on runoff and discharge is 394 thus limited (Figure 3D and E). That is not to dismiss the key role of supraglacial lakes in ice sheet 395 hydrology, since it is the critical storage of large volumes of meltwater in them that initiate new 396 hydrofractures and allow them to propagate to the bed - which eventually develop into moulins 397 (Krawczynski et al., 2009; Doyle et al., 2013; Tedesco et al., 2013). Supraglacial lakes are hence a 398 prerequisite to establishing efficient pathways for injecting surface water into the subglacial 399 environment (Das et al., 2008; Doyle et al., 2013).

We invoke three mutually compatible explanations for the exceptional discharge response observed in 2012: 1) significant melt occurred above the equilibrium line in addition to below it, 2) ice surface hypsometry amplified the total melt originating from the accumulation zone by 403 disproportionately increasing the contributing area as melt-levels rose, and 3) firn-retention and 404 storage capacity was reduced within the accumulation zone, thereby promoting widespread runoff. 405 It is significant that such a large runoff contribution from the percolation zone could only have been 406 attained if firn-retention capacity was either filled or otherwise severely reduced in 2012 and it is 407 this hypothesis that herein forms the central tenet of our discussion. In support of this we present 408 three lines of evidence: A) snow pit observations and firn core stratigraphy acquired in April 2012 409 from the percolation zone, B) observations of surface water networks obtained from satellite 410 imagery and oblique photographs in the vicinity of AWS U (Figure 6), and C) results of our SEB-411 modelling experiments where total integrated melt is assumed to runoff without any retention or 412 refreezing.

413 Our core stratigraphic analysis (Figure 5A to C) reveals significant perched superimposed ice 414 layers that could be capable of blocking surface meltwater infiltration into deeper unsaturated firm 415 layers across the percolation zone. In addition to the shallow firn cores presented (Figure 5), a 416 persistent and continuous decimetre-thick layer of refrozen, superimposed ice was also observed in 417 15 snow pits dug along a transect extending from the equilibrium line to AWS U (Figure 1). 418 Severely reduced firn-retention due to such a superimposed, perched ice lens is further supported by 419 mass conservation modelling of the near-surface water table at AWS U (Figure 5D). Here, two 420 potential sets of blocking layers at different levels within the snow-pack equate to the thick 421 superimposed ice lenses observed in the firn cores acquired at AWS U (Figure 5A to C). For the 422 shallowest of these scenarios, melt and retention calculations predict complete saturation and free 423 surface water available for active runoff by 11 July 2012. These results are consistent with a recent 424 study by Machguth et al. (2015) who also demonstrate reduced meltwater retention across the 425 percolation zone of western sector of the Greenland ice sheet.

426 Evidence for firn saturation and active surface runoff are furnished independently by the 427 identification of an active supraglacial channel network in Landsat satellite imagery and from 428 oblique photographs taken 13 August 2012 in the vicinity of AWS U (Figure 6). Landsat imagery 429 indicates that wet snow, meltwater channels and lakes can be identified up to at least 1750 m a.s.l. 430 on 23 June 2012, and an active stream network to at least 1800 m a.s.l. from 5 July 2012 onwards. 431 In early August, 2012 an active channel network was confirmed first-hand during a scheduled 432 maintenance visit to AWS U (Figure 6B and C). That a well developed supraglacial hydrological 433 network is clearly observed well above the long-term equilibium line in the period leading up to the 2012 peak discharge event confirms the assessment of firn retention conditions and the snow-pack
modelling presented here. Moreover, aerial photos of stream networks to 1840 m a.s.l. provide clear
evidence of widespread runoff from the percolation zone across the western sector of the Greenland
ice sheet.

438 If predicted future atmospheric warming is realised, then the combined impact of reduced firm 439 retention capacity and ice sheet hypsometry will become increasingly apparent through 440 amplification of runoff and discharge response with interior melting. If, as we hypothesise, the 441 extraordinary 2012 discharge was partly derived from runoff originating above the equilibrium line 442 due to an impermeable, superimposed ice lens that formed during previous warm summers, then the 443 2012 record-warm event itself will lead to the formation of even thicker, superimposed ice layers 444 extending yet further into the interior. Hence, we infer a strong positive feedback where a 445 disproportionate and amplified runoff response to future melt events leads to yet more abrupt and 446 severe proglacial discharge, as the 11 July 2012 flood documented here.

447 In light of these findings, the firn-buffering mechanism proposed for the EGIG line some 120 448 km north of our study area and extrapolated across the entire ice sheet by Harper et al. (2012) would 449 appear to be somewhat diminished, at least in the Kangerlussuag sector. Based on their data and 450 analysis (Figure 3B and 3C in Harper et al., 2012) and assuming an equivalent location, our 451 AWS U site, located 50 km beyond, and 300 m above the ELA, should have had a buffering 452 capacity equating to a fill-depth of between 2 m and 10 m of melt-water equivalent. In July 2012, 453 up to and including AWS U at 1840 m a.s.l. this was not the case and saturated snow-pack 454 conditions forced melt to runoff from the percolation zone into a well-developed river network that 455 directly contributed to proglacial discharge and sea-level rise. The next decade will reveal if 2010 456 and 2012 were exceptions or are part of an emerging new trend. The three years subsequent to the 457 2012 melt and runoff extreme, i.e., 2013-2015, have been marked by low temperatures, reduced 458 melting and anomalously high accumulation which will have, to some extent, recharged the 459 buffering capacity of the lower accumulation area. Either way, it will be critical to understand the 460 future runoff response to variable atmospheric forcing and to determine what portion of the melt 461 generated is intercepted and stored and what fraction contributes directly to proglacial discharge 462 and global sea-level rise.

463 5 Conclusions

464 Comparison of melt and discharge across the Kangerlussuag sector in 2010 and 2012 has 465 enabled us to assess and attribute the contrasting runoff response of the Greenland ice sheet to 466 extreme atmospheric forcing. The measured bulk discharge of 6.8 km³ and flooding of Watson 467 River in 2012 was unprecedented since the Kangerlussuag Bridge was constructed in the early 468 1950's and exceeded the previous record set in 2010 by ~28%. Throughout the 2010 melt-season, 469 there was a steady increase in the residual difference between calculated melt across the catchment 470 and cumulative proglacial discharge, which by the end of the season equated to 36% (~1.9 km³) 471 melt retained within the catchment up to an elevation of 1850 m a.s.l.. In the period up to 11 July 472 2012, a similar pattern of storage indicates significant catchment retention. However, after 11 July 473 the residual fell by 40% and diminshed further by the end of September with only 3% ($\sim 0.2 \text{ km}^3$) of 474 melt generated within the catchment retained. Surface melt energy versus proglacial discharge 475 demonstrates an amplified response to forcing in 2012 as compared to 2010, particularly during 11 476 - 14 July flood. In 2010 local melting from above the equilibrium line infiltrated, and was stored 477 within the firn as superimposed ice layers and hence did not contribute to proglacial discharge. By 478 contrast, in 2012 our analysis and modelling reveals severely reduced firn-layer infiltration and 479 retention capacity due an extensive perched, thick and semi-impermeable ice lens that formed in 480 previous, anomalously warm melt-seasons, including 2010. This resulted in a near-instantaneous 481 runoff and proglacial discharge response from above the accumulation area contributing directly to 482 global sea level rise.

483 Acknowledgements

484 We thank Dirk van As and Horst Machguth for assistance in the field and during preparations of the 485 manuscript including provision of the surface energy balance model, logistics, comments on an 486 initial draft, and supervision of Andreas Mikkelsens PhD project. We also thank Paul Smeets, 487 Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research, Utrecht University for providing oblique areal 488 photographs taken at AWS U on 13 August 2011. We acknowledge the Greenland Analogue 489 Project (GAP) – Sub Project A that funded the weather stations and field logistics, the commission 490 on scientific investigations in Greenland, grant no. 07-015998, 09-064628 and 2138-08-0003 and 491 the Danish National Research Foundation founding Centre for Permafrost (CENPERM), funded by 492 the Danish National Research Foundation, DNRF number 100, Department of Geosciences and 493 Nature Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Denmark for financial support of the 494 discharge measurements. JB is supported by Denmark's "Det Frie Forskningsråd", Nature and 495 Universe grant DFF – 4002-00234. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) 496 award NNX10AR76G provided funding for firn table modelling work through the Cooperative 497 Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado at Boulder, USA. AF and 498 SD were supported by NERC and Aberystwyth University doctoral scholarships respectively and 499 fieldwork infrastructure was further funded by NERC Projects NE/G005796/1, NE/G010595/1, 500 NE/H024204/1 and a Royal Geographical Society Gilchrist Fieldwork Award. AH acknowledges 501 salary from the Centre for Arctic Gas Hydrate, Environment and Climate funded through the 502 Research Council of No. 223259). Norway (Grant

503 References

- Bennartz, R., Shupe, M. D., Turner, D. D., Walden, V. P., Steffen, K., Cox, C. J., Kulie, M. S.,
 Miller, N. B. & Pettersen, C. (2013). July 2012 Greenland melt extent enhanced by lowlevel liquid clouds. *Nature*, 496, 83-86.
- Box, J. E., Bromwich, D. H. & Bai, L.-S. (2004). Greenland ice sheet surface mass balance 1991–
 2000: Application of Polar MM5 mesoscale model and in situ data. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109.
- Box, J. E., Fettweis, X., Stroeve, J. C., Tedesco, M., Hall, D. K. & Steffen, K. (2012). Greenland ice
 sheet albedo feedback: thermodynamics and atmospheric drivers. *The Cryosphere*, 6, 821839.
- 513 Box, J. E. & Ski, K. (2007). Remote sounding of Greenland supraglacial melt lakes: implications 514 for subglacial hydraulics. *Journal of Glaciology*, **53**, 257-265.
- Braithwaite, R. J., Laternser, M. & Pfeffer, T. (1994). Variations of near-surface firn density in the
 lower accumulation area of the Greenland ice sheet, Pakitsoq, West Greenland. *Journal of Glaciology*, 40, 477-485.
- Carrivick, J. L. & Quincey, D. J. (2014). Progressive increase in number and volume of ice marginal lakes on the western margin of the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Global and Planetary Change*, **116**, 156-163.
- 521 Chandler, D. M., Wadham, J. L., Lis, G. P., Cowton, T., Sole, A., Bartholomew, I., Telling, J.,
 522 Nienow, P., Bagshaw, E. B., Mair, D., Vinen, S. & Hubbard, A. (2013). Evolution of the
 523 subglacial drainage system beneath the Greenland Ice Sheet revealed by tracers. *Nature*524 *Geoscience*, 6, 195-198.
- 525 Chauché, N., Hubbard, A., Gascard, J. C., Box, J. E., Bates, R., Koppes, M., Sole, A.,
 526 Christoffersen, P. & Patton, H. (2014). Ice-ocean interaction and calving front morphology
 527 at two west Greenland tidewater outlet glaciers. *The Cryosphere*, 8, 1457-1468.Das, S. B.,
 528 Joughin, I., Behn, M. D., Howat, I. M., King, M. A., Lizarralde, D. & Bhatia, M. P. (2008).
 529 Fracture propagation to the base of the Greenland Ice Sheet during supraglacial lake
 530 drainage. *Science*, 320, 778-781.
- Das, S., Joughin, I., Behn, M., Howat, I., King, M., Lizarralde, D., & Bhatia, M. (2008). Fracture
 propagation to the base of the Greenland ice sheet during supraglacial lake drainage.
 Science, 320, 778–781, doi:10.1126/science.1153360, 2008.
- Doyle, S. H., Hubbard, A. L., Dow, C. F., Jones, G. A., Fitzpatrick, A., Gusmeroli, A., Kulessa, B.,
 Lindback, K., Pettersson, R. & Box, J. E. (2013). Ice tectonic deformation during the rapid
 in situ drainage of a supraglacial lake on the Greenland Ice Sheet. *The Cryosphere*, 7, 129140.
- 538 Doyle, S. H., Hubbard, A. et al. (2015), Amplified melt and flow of the Greenland ice sheet driven
 539 by late-summer cyclonic rainfall, Nat. Geosci., doi:10.1038/ngeo2482.
- Enderlin, E. M., Howat, I. M., Jeong, S., Noh, M. J., van Angelen, J. H. & van den Broeke, M. R.
 (2014). An improved mass budget for the Greenland ice sheet. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 866-872.

- 543 Ewert, H., Groh, A. & Dietrich, R. (2012). Volume and mass changes of the Greenland ice sheet 544 inferred from ICESat and GRACE. *Journal of Geodynamics*, **59–60**, 111-123.
- Fettweis, X., Belleflamme, A., Erpicum, M., Franco, B. & Nicolay, S. (2011). Estimation of the sea
 level rise by 2100 resulting from changes in the surface mass balance of the Greenland Ice
 Sheet. *In:* Blanco, J. & Kheradmand, H. (eds.) *Climate Change: Geophysical Foundations and Ecological Effects.* Croatia: InTech.
- Fitzpatrick, A. A. W., Hubbard, A. L., Box, J. E., Quincey, D. J., van As, D., Mikkelsen, A. P. B.,
 Doyle, S. H., Dow, C. F., Hasholt, B. & Jones, G. A. (2014). A decade (2002–2012) of
 supraglacial lake volume estimates across Russell Glacier, West Greenland. *The Cryosphere*, 8, 107-121.
- Hanna, E., Fettweis, X., Mernild, S. H., Cappelen, J., Ribergaard, M. H., Shuman, C. A., Steffen,
 K., Wood, L. & Mote, T. L. (2014). Atmospheric and oceanic climate forcing of the
 exceptional Greenland ice sheet surface melt in summer 2012. *International Journal of Climatology*, 34 %6, 1022-1037 %&.
- Hanna, E., Huybrechts, P., Steffen, K., Cappelen, J., Huff, R., Shuman, C., Irvine-Fynn, T., Wise, S.
 & Griffiths, M. (2008). Increased Runoff from Melt from the Greenland Ice Sheet: A
 Response to Global Warming. *Journal of Climate*, 21, 331-341.
- Hanna, E., Navarro, F. J., Pattyn, F., Domingues, C. M., Fettweis, X., Ivins, E. R., Nicholls, R. J.,
 Ritz, C., Smith, B. & Tulaczyk, S. (2013). Ice-sheet mass balance and climate change. *Nature*, 498, 51-59.
- Harper, J., Humphrey, N., Pfeffer, W. T., Brown, J. & Fettweis, X. (2012). Greenland ice-sheet
 contribution to sea-level rise buffered by meltwater storage in firn. *Nature*, 491, 240-3.
- Hasholt, B., Mikkelsen, A. B., Nielsen, M. H. & Larsen, M. A. D. (2013). Observations of Runoff
 and Sediment and Dissolved Loads from the Greenland Ice Sheet at Kangerlussuaq, West
 Greenland, 2007 to 2010. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie*, **57** (Suppl. 2), 3-27.
- Huggell, C., Kaab, A., Haeberli, W., Teysseire, P. & Paul, F. (2002). Remote sensing based
 assessment of hazards from glacier lake outbursts: a case study in the Swiss Alps. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, **39**, 316-330.
- Humphrey, N. F., Harper, J. T. & Pfeffer, W. T. (2012). Thermal tracking of meltwater retention in
 Greenland's accumulation area. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Earth Surface*, 117.
- Huybrechts, P., Goelzer, H., Janssens, I., Driesschaert, E., Fichefet, T., Goosse, H. & Loutre, M. F.
 (2011). Response of the Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets to Multi-Millennial Greenhouse
 Warming in the Earth System Model of Intermediate Complexity LOVECLIM. *Surveys in Geophysics*, **32**, 397-416.
- 577 Irvine-Fynn, T. D. L., Hodson, A. J., Moorman, B. J., Vatne, G. & Hubbard, A. (2011). Polythermal
 578 glacier hydrology: a review. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 49, RG4002.
- Krawczynski, M., Behn, M., Das, S., and Joughin, I. (2009). Constraints on the lake volume
 required for hydro-fracture through ice sheets, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, 1–5,
 doi:10.1029/2008GL036765.
- Keegan, K. M., Albert, M. R., McConnell, J. R. & Baker, I. (2014). Climate change and forest fires
 synergistically drive widespread melt events of the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111, 7964-7967.
 - 20

- Lindbäck, K., Pettersson, R., Hubbard, A., Doyle, S., van As, D., Mikkelsen, A., Fitzpatrick, A.
 (2015). Subglacial water drainage, storage, and piracy beneath the Greenland ice sheet.
 Geophysical Research Letters. 42 (18), 7606-7614.
- Machguth, H., MacFerrin, M., van As, D., Box, J., E., Charalampidis, C., Colgan, W., Fausto, R.,
 S., Meijer, H., A., J., Mosley-Thompson, E. and van de Wal, R., S., W. Greenland meltwater
 storage in firn limited by near-surface ice formation (2016). *Nature Climate Change*, 1-6.
- McGrath, D., Colgan, W., Bayou, N., Atsuhiro, M. & Konrad, S. (2013). Recent warming at
 Summit, Greenland: Global contect and implications. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40,
 2091-2096.
- 594 Mikkelsen, A. B., Hasholt, B., Knudsen, N. T. & Nielsen, K. (2013). Jökulhlaups and sediment 595 transport in Watson River, Kangerlussuaq, West Greenland. *Hydrology Research*, **44**, 58-67.
- Neff, W., Compo, G. P., Ralph, F. M. & Shupe, M. D. (2014). Continental heat anomalies and the
 extreme melting of the Greenland ice surface in 2012 and 1889. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 119, 6520-6536.
- Nghiem, S. V., Hall, D. K., Mote, T. L., Tedesco, M., Albert, M. R., Keegan, K., Shuman, C. A.,
 DiGirolamo, N. E. & Neumann, G. (2012). The extreme melt across the Greenland ice sheet
 in 2012. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **39**, L20502.
- Nick, F. M., Luckman, A., Vieli, A., van der Veen, C. J., van As, D., van de Wal, R. S. W., Pattyn,
 F., Hubbard, A. L. & Floricioiu, D. (2012). The response of Petermann Glacier, Greenland,
 to large calving events, and its future stability in the context of atmospheric and oceanic
 warming. *Journal of Glaciology*, 58 (208), 229-239.
- Pfeffer, W. T., Meier, M. F. & Illangasekare, T. H. (1991). Retention of Greenland Runoff by
 Refreezing– Implications for Projected Future Sea-Level Change. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 96, 22117-22124.
- Rennermalm, A., Moustafa, S., Mioduszewski, J., Chu, V., Forster, R., Hagedorn, B., Harper, J.,
 Mote, T., Robinson, D., Shuman, C. & others (2013). Understanding Greenland ice sheet
 hydrology using an integrated multi-scale approach. *Environmental Research Letters*, 8,
 015017.
- Russell, A. J., Carrivick, J. L., Ingeman-Nielsen, T., Yde, J. C. & Williams, M. (2011). A new cycle
 of jokulhlaups at Russell Glacier, Kangerlussuaq, West Greenland. *Journal of Glaciology*,
 57, 238-246.
- Selmes, N., Murray, T. & James, T. D. (2011). Fast draining lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet.
 Geophysical Research Letters, 38, L15501.
- Scambos, T. A., & Haran, T. (2002): An image-enhanced DEM of the Greenland ice sheet. Ann,
 Glaciol., 34, 291–298.
- Smith, L. C., Chu, V. W., Yang, K., Gleason, C. J., Pitcher, L. H., Rennermalm, A. K., Legleiter, C.
 J., Behar, A. E., Overstreet, B. T., Moustafa, S. E., Tedesco, M., Forster, R. R., LeWinter,
 A. L., Finnegan, D. C., Sheng, Y. & Balog, J. (2015). Efficient meltwater drainage through
 supraglacial streams and rivers on the southwest Greenland ice sheet. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **112**, 1001-1006.

- Tedesco, M., Willis, I., Hoffman, M., Banwell, A., Alexander, P. & Arnold, N. (2013). Ice dynamic
 response to two modes of surface lake drainage on the Greenland ice sheet. *Environmental Research Letters* 8, 034007.
- Tedesco, M., Fettweis, X., Mote, T., Wahr, J., Alexander, P., Box, J. E. & Wouters, B. (2013).
 Evidence and analysis of 2012 Greenland records from spaceborne observations, a regional climate model and reanalysis data. *The Cryosphere*, 7, 615-630.
- Tedesco, M., Fettweis, X., van den Broeke, M. R., van de Wal, R. S. W., Smeets, C. J. P. P., van de
 Berg, W. J., Serreze, M. C. & Box, J. E. (2011). The role of albedo and accumulation in the
 2010 melting record in Greenland. *Environmental Research Letters*, 6, 1-6.
- van As, D., Hubbard, A. L., Hasholt, B., Mikkelsen, A. B., van den Broeke, M. R. & Fausto, R. S.
 (2012). Large surface meltwater discharge from the Kangerlussuaq sector of the Greenland
 ice sheet during the record-warm year 2010 explained by detailed energy balance
 observations. *The Cryosphere*, 6, 199-209.
- van de Wal, R. S. W., Boot, W., Smeets, C. J. P. P., Snellen, H., van den Broeke, M. R. &
 Oerlemans, J. (2012). Twenty-one years of mass balance observations along the K-transect,
 West Greenland. *Earth System Science Data*, 4, 31-35.
- van de Wal, R. S. W., Greurell, W., van den Broeke, M. R., Reijmer, C. H. & Oerlemans, J. (2005).
 Surface mass-balance observations and automatic weather station data along a transect near
 Kangerlussuaq, West Greenland. *Annals of Glaciology*, 42 %6, 311-316 %&.
- van de Wal, R. S. W., Smeets, C. J. P. P., Boot, W., Stoffelen, M., van Kampen, R., Doyle, S. H.,
 Wilhelms, F., van den Broeke, M. R., Reijmer, C. H., Oerlemans, J. & Hubbard, A. (2015).
 Self-regulation of ice flow varies across the ablation area in south-west Greenland. *The Cryosphere*, 9, 603-611.
- van den Broeke, M., Smeets, P., Ettema, J. & Munneke, P. K. (2008). Surface radiation balance in
 the ablation zone of the west Greenland ice sheet. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113,
 D13105.
- Wientjes, I. G. M., De Van Wal, R. S. W., Schwikowski, M., Zapf, A., Fahrni, S. & Wacker, L.
 (2012). Carbonaceous particles reveal that Late Holocene dust causes the dark region in the
 western ablation zone of the Greenland ice sheet. *Journal of Glaciology*, 58, 787-794.
- Wientjes, I. G. M. & Oerlemans, J. (2010). An explanation for the dark region in the western melt
 zone of the Greenland ice sheet. *The Cryosphere*, 4, 261-268.
- 656

658 **Table 1:** Energy inputs in 2010 and 2012 (TW).

Energy inputs- 0 to 1850 m.a.sl.

		2010	2012	Difference 2012 to 2010
	Energy available for melt	2.43 x 10 ⁶	2.37 x 10 ⁶	-3%
659				
660				
661				
662	Table 2: Melt contributions (km ³) from	n different ele	vation interva	ls integrated through end of melt

663 season, 1 Oct each year

	2010	2012	Difference
	km ³	km ³	%
Below mean ELA	6.8	6.3	-7
1550 to 1850 m	0.4	0.7	75
1850 to 2050 m	0.1	0.3	200
Total – up to 1850 m	7.2	7.0	-3
Total – up to 2050 m	7.3	7.3	0
% melt above mean ELA (1550 to 1850 m)	6 (%)	10 (%)	67
Measured proglacial discharge at Oct. 1	5.3	6.8	28
Integrated melt up 1850 m – measured discharge	1.9	0.2	-89
Integrated melt up 2050 m - measured discharge	2.0	0.5	-75

665 Figures



666

Figure 1: (A) The location of the study area (cyan) and catchment (red) in Greenland is shown on the inset map. (B) Map of the study area overlain with the location of the AWS, gauging station, catchment area, and snow pit sites. The background Landsat 7 image, which was acquired on 16 July 2012, reveals that superglacial lakes and streams formedat an exceptional and unprecedented elevation of ~1800 m asl. The non-linear increase in the size of the catchment with increasing elevation is shown in (C) and (D) shows an example of the impact on melt area with a rise in the snow line of 250 m with a 500 m displacement in different start elevations (hypsometric effect).



Figure 2: Photograph taken at 18:00 West Greenland Summer Time on 11 July 2012 during the 677 flood with the Watson River Bridge being washed-out. Courtesy of Jens Christiansson.



679 Figure 3: Meteorological records, discharge measurements and modelled melt runoff for the study 680 area during 2010 and 2012, including (A) daily average air temperature at AWS L and AWS U. (To avoid cluttering temperatures below -10 °C is not shown. Likewise the air temperatures at 681 682 AWS M, which usually lies between that of AWS L and AWS U is not plotted. (B) the calculated 683 cumulative energy input, (C) the albedo at three different elevation bands, (D, E) the proglacial 684 discharge, supraglacial lake drainage volume, and modelled melt runoff, and (F) the cumulative 685 proglacial discharge, modelled melt runoff, and residual between the two. The dashed vertical 686 purple line demarks the bridge wash out on 11 July 2012. The uncertainty in discharge estimates is 687 shown using grey lines on (d) and (e) and by grey shading on (f). Where the uncertainty estimates 688 for 2010 and 2012 overlap on (f) a darker shade of grey is used.

- 689
- 690

691





Figure 4: The cumulative measured discharge as a function of the calculated energy input for the catchment up to 1850 m a.s.l.. The flooding period of 11 to 14 July is marked with a bold red line.



696

Figure 5: (A-C) Density profiles of three shallow firn cores (A-C respectively) drilled at AWS_U
in April 2012. The water table is indicated in light blue and ice lenses observed in the core
straigraphy are indicated in cyan. Magenta and red lines indicate two potential sets of "blocking" ice

700 lenses observed in the firn. (D) A model simulation of the near-surface water table at AWS U for 701 each of the two blocking lens assumptions in A-C, with 95% confidence intervals in grey. Red ticks 702 on the horizontal axes indicate days above freezing when surface melt would occur. As snow melts 703 above the blocking lenses the water table rises simultaneously until it meets the lowering snow 704 surface. Light blue is free air. The daily snow surface is observed by the adjacent AWS U AWS. 705 The two dashed orange vertical lines indicate 11 July, the date of the Watson River bridge 706 destruction, and 16 July, when the Landsat image from Figure 1 shows horizontal water transport in 707 the vicinity of AWS U.

708



Figure 6: (A) Zoom in on Landsat 7 image from 16 July 2012 showing free surface water in the area around AWS_U. The extent is marked on Figure 1. The scan line correction failure was interpolated using the ENVI 'replace bad data' routine based on Band 8 and visible surface water was enhanced using a modified normalized difference water index (Fitzpatrick et al., 2014). (B and C) Oblique aerial photographs of the active surpraglacial channel netowk emerging from AWS U

- well within the accumulation zone at 1840 m a.s.l. and 140 km from the ice sheet margin on 13
- 716 August 2012. Courtesy of Paul Smeets.