

Authors' response to the Editor

Dear Hilmar Gudmundsson,

We greatly apologize for delays accumulated during the peer review of our manuscript. To some extent, the delay has been caused by our wish to address the reviews as well as possible. In addition to a change of the first author's working environment, setting up and running the sensitivity study asked by Alexander Jarosch on a different supercomputer, using a new allocation that was meant for a different project and became effective only in October, took us considerable time.

We believe that we have addressed all points raised by the reviews and hereby submit our revised manuscript to The Cryosphere. Please find hereafter a summary of changes made to the manuscript since its first publication in The Cryosphere Discussion, and a marked-up file showing all changes made.

With best regards,

Julien Seguinot on behalf of all authors.

Changes not related to the reviews:

- We have updated all simulations to a more recent and stable version of PISM (0.7.2).
- We have rescaled palaeo-temperature proxy records with a higher precision than in the discussion version.
- We have clarified that given ice volumes concern only sea-level relevant ice and areas only grounded ice.

Changes following the review by S. J. Marshall:

- **Sect. 1 (Introduction):** We have added references regarding geological evidence for late-glacial readvances.
- **Sect. 1 (Introduction):** We have added a short discussion of more regional palaeo-temperature proxy records which we deemed less adequate to force the model in order to justify the choice of less regional proxy records used in our study.
- **Sect. 2.2 (Ice rheology):** We have clarified the advantage and the main limitation of the hybrid SIA and SSA modelling approach.

- **Sect. 5.1.2 (Ice configuration during MIS 2):** In the light of modelled Last Glacial Maximum ice sheet extent, we have discussed further the limitations of forcing the model with fixed present-day temperature and precipitations patterns.
- **Sect. 6 (Conclusions):** We highlighted that although the GRIP and EPICA forcing yield best results in our study, more regional palaeo-climate reconstructions are needed.
- **Various places:** We have applied grammar corrections.

Changes following the review by A. H. Jarosch:

- **Sect. 2.1 (Model overview):** We have clarified that ETOPO1 data were bilinearly interpolated to the model grids.
- **Sect. 2.1 (Model overview):** We have explained the new subdivisions of Sect. 2 (Model setup).
- **Sect. 2.2 (Ice rheology):** In this new subsection, we have added much detail on the model physics and on our choice of parameter for the sensitivity study to ice rheological parameters.
- **Sect. 2.2 (Ice rheology):** We removed the formulation “SSA as a sliding law for the SIA” which could induce in error.
- **Sect. 2.2 (Ice rheology):** We have made clear that temperatures are computed in the bedrock to a depth of 3 km below the ice sheet base where geothermal heat flux is applied.
- **Sect. 2.3 (Basal sliding):** In this new subsection, we have added much detail on the model physics and on our choice of parameter for the sensitivity study to basal sliding parameters.
- **Sect. 2.6 (Climate forcing):** We have clarified that NARR temperature and precipitation fields were bilinearly interpolated to the model grids and admitted their limitations in reproducing steep climatic gradients characteristic of the study area.
- **Sect. 4 (Sensitivity to ice flow parameters):** In this new section, we present results of the new sensitivity study to ice rheological and basal sliding parameters
- **Sect. 5.1.2 (Ice configuration during MIS 2):** We have recognized the limitations of the NARR with simulating orographic processes in some areas of steep topography and acknowledged that using downscaling methods could indeed reduce some of the inconsistency between model results and geological reconstructions.

- **Sect. 5.1.2 (Ice configuration during MIS 2):** We have balanced the final statement concerning modelled ice volume maxima using the uncertainty estimates obtained in the new Sect. 4 (Sensitivity to ice flow parameters).
- **Sect. 5.1.3 (Ice configuration during MIS 4):** We have balanced the final statement concerning modelled ice volume maxima using the uncertainty estimates obtained in the new Sect. 4 (Sensitivity to ice flow parameters).
- **Sect. 5.2.2 (Major ice-dispersal centres):** In the light of modelled accumulation areas, we have shown that present-day ice volumes contained in the ETOPO1 basal topography data likely does not affect the conclusions of our study.
- **Sect. 5.2.2 (Major ice-dispersal centres):** We have balanced the final statement concerning modelled ice volume minima using the uncertainty estimates obtained in the new Sect. 4 (Sensitivity to ice flow parameters).
- **Table 1:** The default parameter table was extended to include more parameter values and references to their source.
- **Table 3:** This new table contains ice rheological and basal sliding parameter values used in the sensitivity study.
- **Table 5:** This new table contains modelled ice volume and area extremes corresponding to the results of the sensitivity study (similarly to Table 4).
- **Fig. 2:** This new figure illustrates the different parametrisations of ice rheology used in the sensitivity study.
- **Fig. 3:** This new figure illustrates the different parametrisations of basal sliding used in the sensitivity study.
- **Fig. 7:** This new figure contains modelled sea-level relevant ice volume time series resulting from the sensitivity study (similarly to Fig. 5).
- **Various places:** We have applied grammar corrections.

Changes following the review by A. Stumpf:

- **Abstract:** We have reworked the first two sentences to clarify that the Cordilleran ice sheet is less understood than its counterparts not by lack of previous studies but due to its complexity.
- **Fig. 1:** We have enlarged the location map to include full geographic names instead of abbreviations.

- **Figs. 4, 6, 8–12, 14–16:** We have added rivers, lakes and graticules on all model output maps.
- **Sect. 5.2.3 (Erosional imprint on the landscape):** We have added a reference to Stumpf et al. (2000).
- **Sect. 5.3.3 (Deglacial flow directions):** We have added references to Stumpf et al. (2000) and to Ferbey et al. (2013).

Numerical simulations of the Cordilleran ice sheet through the last glacial cycle

J. Seguinot^{1,2,3}, I. Rogozhina³, A. P. Stroeven², M. Margold², and J. Kleman²

¹Laboratory of Hydraulics, Hydrology and Glaciology, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

²Department of Physical Geography and the Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

³Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany

Correspondence to: J. Seguinot (seguinot@vaw.baug.ethz.ch)

Abstract. ~~Despite~~ After more than a century of geological ~~observations~~ research, the Cordilleran ice sheet of North America remains ~~poorly among the least~~ understood in terms of its former extent, volume, and dynamics. ~~Although geomorphological evidence is abundant, its complexity is such that whole ice-sheet~~ Because of the mountainous topography on which the ice sheet formed, geological studies have often had only local or regional relevance, and shown such a complexity that ice sheet-wide spatial reconstructions of advance and retreat patterns are lacking. Here we use a numerical ice sheet model calibrated against field-based evidence to attempt a quantitative reconstruction of the Cordilleran ice sheet history through the last glacial cycle. A series of simulations is driven by time-dependent temperature offsets from six proxy records located around the globe. Although this approach reveals large variations in model response to evolving climate forcing, all simulations produce two major glaciations during marine oxygen isotope stages 4 (~~61.9~~ 62.2–~~56.5~~ 5.9 ka) and 2 (23.2–16.~~8~~ 9 ka). The timing of glaciation is better reproduced using temperature reconstructions from Greenland and Antarctic ice cores than from regional oceanic sediment cores. During most of the last glacial cycle, the modelled ice cover is discontinuous and restricted to high mountain areas. However, widespread precipitation over the Skeena Mountains favours the persistence of a central ice dome throughout the glacial cycle. It acts as a nucleation centre before the Last Glacial Maximum and hosts the last remains of Cordilleran ice until the middle Holocene (~~6.6–6.2~~ 6.7 ka).

1 Introduction

During the last glacial cycle, glaciers and ice caps of the North American Cordillera have been more extensive than today. At the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), a continuous blanket of ice, the Cordilleran ice sheet (Dawson, 1888), stretched from the Alaska Range in the north to the North Cascades in the south (Fig. 1). In addition, it extended offshore, where it calved into the Pacific Ocean, and merged with the western margin of its much larger neighbour, the Laurentide ice sheet, east of the Rocky Mountains.

More than a century of exploration and geological investigation of the Cordilleran mountains have led to many observations in support of the former ice sheet (Jackson and Clague, 1991). Despite the lack of documented end moraines offshore, in the zone of confluence with the Laurentide ice sheet, and in areas swept by the Missoula floods (Carrara et al., 1996), moraines that demarcate the northern and south-western margins provide key constraints that allow reasonable reconstructions of maximum

ice sheet extents (Prest et al., 1968; Clague, 1989, Fig. 1.12; Duk-Rodkin, 1999; Booth et al., 2003; Dyke, 2004). As indicated by field evidence from radiocarbon dating (Clague et al., 1980; Clague, 1985, 1986; Porter and Swanson, 1998; Menounos et al., 2008), cosmogenic exposure dating (Stroeven et al., 2010, 2014; Margold et al., 2014), bedrock deformation in response to former ice loads (Clague and James, 2002; Clague et al., 2005), and offshore sedimentary records (Cosma et al., 2008; Davies et al., 2011), the LGM Cordilleran ice sheet extent was short-lived. However, former ice thicknesses and, therefore, the ice sheet's contribution to the LGM sea level lowstand (Carlson and Clark, 2012; Clark and Mix, 2002) remain uncertain.

Our understanding of the Cordilleran glaciation history prior to the LGM is even more fragmentary (Barendregt and Irving, 1998; Kleman et al., 2010; Rutter et al., 2012), although it is clear that the Pleistocene maximum extent of the Cordilleran ice sheet predates the last glacial cycle (Hidy et al., 2013). In parts of the Yukon Territory and Alaska, and in the Puget Lowland, the distribution of tills (Turner et al., 2013; Troost, 2014) and dated glacial erratics (Ward et al., 2007, 2008; Briner and Kaufman, 2008; Stroeve et al., 2010, 2014) indicate an extensive Marine Oxygen Isotope Stage (MIS) 4 glaciation. Landforms in the interior regions include flow sets that are likely older than the LGM (Kleman et al., 2010, Fig. 2), but their absolute age remains uncertain.

In contrast, evidence for the deglaciation history of the Cordilleran ice sheet since the LGM is considerable, albeit mostly at a regional scale. Geomorphological evidence from south-central British Columbia indicates a rapid deglaciation, including an early emergence of elevated areas while thin, stagnant ice still covered the surrounding lowlands (Fulton, 1967, 1991; Margold et al., 2011, 2013b). This model, although credible, may not apply in all areas of the Cordilleran ice sheet (Margold et al., 2013a). Although solid evidence for late-glacial glacier re-advances ~~have~~has been found in the Coast, Columbia and Rocky mountains (~~Clague et al., 1997; Friele and Clague, 2002a, b; Kovanen, 2002; Kovanen and Easterbrook, 2002; Lakeman et al., 2008; Men~~ it appears to be sparser than for formerly glaciated regions surrounding the North Atlantic (e.g., Sissons, 1979; Lundqvist, 1987; Ivy-Ochs et al., 1999; Stea et al., 2011). Nevertheless, recent oxygen isotope measurements from Gulf of Alaska sediments reveal a climatic evolution highly correlated to that of Greenland during this period, including a distinct Late Glacial cold reversal between 14.1 and 11.7 ka (Praetorius and Mix, 2014).

In general, the topographic complexity of the North American Cordillera and its effect on glacial history have inhibited the reconstruction of ice sheet-wide glacial advance and retreat patterns such as those available for the Fennoscandian and Laurentide ice sheets (Boulton et al., 2001; Dyke and Prest, 1987; Dyke et al., 2003; Kleman et al., 1997, 2010; Stroeve et al., 2015) (Dyke and Prest, 1987; Boulton et al., 2001; Dyke et al., 2003; Kleman et al., 1997, 2010; Stroeve et al., 2015). Here, we use a numerical ice sheet model (the PISM authors, 2015), calibrated against field-based evidence, to perform a quantitative reconstruction of the Cordilleran ice sheet evolution through the last glacial cycle, and analyse some of the long-standing questions related to its evolution:

- How much ice was locked in the Cordilleran ice sheet during the LGM?
- What was the scale of glaciation prior to the LGM?
- Which were the primary dispersal centres? Do they reflect stable or ephemeral configurations?

– How rapid was the last deglaciation? Did it include Late Glacial standstills or readvances?

Although numerical ice sheet modelling has been established as a useful tool to improve our understanding of the Cordilleran ice sheet (Jackson and Clague, 1991, p. 227; Robert, 1991; Marshall et al., 2000), the ubiquitously mountainous topography of the region has presented two major challenges to its application. First, only recent developments in numerical ice sheet models and underlying scientific computing tools (Bueler and Brown, 2009; Balay et al., 2015) have allowed for high-resolution numerical modelling of glaciers and ice sheets on mountainous terrain over millennial time scales (e.g., Golledge et al., 2012). Second, the complex topography of the North American Cordillera also induces strong geographic variations in temperature and precipitation (Jarosch et al., 2012), thus requiring the use of high-resolution climate forcing fields as an input to an ice sheet model (Seguinot et al., 2014). However, evolving climate conditions over the last glacial cycle are subject to considerable uncertainty and still lie beyond the computational reach of atmosphere circulation models.

Our palaeo-climate forcing therefore includes spatial temperature and precipitation grids derived from a present-day atmospheric reanalysis (Mesinger et al., 2006) ~~that includes~~. These were previously tested against observational data and shown to best reproduce the steep precipitation gradients previously identified as necessary to model the LGM extent of the Cordilleran ice sheet in agreement with its geological imprint among four atmospheric reanalyses available over the study area (Seguinot et al., 2014). To mimic climate evolution through the last glacial cycle, these grids are simply supplemented by lapse-rate corrections and temperature offset time series. The latter are obtained by scaling six different palaeo-temperature reconstructions from proxy records around the globe, including two oxygen isotope records from Greenland ice cores (Dansgaard et al., 1993; Andersen et al., 2004), two oxygen isotope records from Antarctic ice cores (Petit et al., 1999; Jouzel et al., 2007), and two alkenone unsaturation index records from Northwest Pacific ocean sediment cores (Herbert et al., 2001). ~~We~~

Although these proxy records were all obtained outside the model domain, more regional palaeo-temperature reconstructions spanning over the last glacial cycle are lacking. For instance, the Mount Logan ice core oxygen isotope record covers only the last 30 000 years (30 ka) and has been interpreted as a proxy for source region rather than for palaeo-temperature (Fisher et al., 2004, 2008). Sea-surface temperatures have been reconstructed offshore Vancouver Island from alkenone unsaturation indices over the last 16 ¹⁴C cal ka (Kienast and McKay, 2001), and from the Mg/Ca ratio in planktonic foraminifera over the period from 10 to ca. 50 ¹⁴C cal ka (Taylor et al., 2014, 2015), but these records cover only parts of the last glacial cycle.

After testing the model sensitivity to these climate forcing time-series (Sect. 3) and to some of the most influential ice flow parameters (Sect. 4), we then proceed to compare the model output to geological evidence and discuss the timing and extent of glaciation and the patterns of deglaciation ~~, based on which we use the applicability of different records to modelling the history of the Cordilleran ice sheet (Sect. 5).~~

2 Model setup

2.1 Overview

The simulations presented here were run using the Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM, ~~development version 8ff7ebe~~[version 0.7.2](#)), an open source, finite difference, shallow ice sheet model (the PISM authors, 2015). The model requires input on basal topography, sea level, geothermal heat flux and climate forcing. It computes the evolution of ice extent and thickness over time, the thermal and dynamic states of the ice sheet, and the associated lithospheric response.

Basal topography is ~~derived~~[bilinearly interpolated](#) from the ETOPO1 combined topography and bathymetry dataset with a resolution of 1 arc-min (Amante and Eakins, 2009) ~~to the model grids. It responds to ice load following a bedrock deformation model that includes local isostasy, elastic lithosphere flexure and viscous asthenosphere deformation in an infinite half-space (Lingle and Clark, 1985; Bueler et al., 2007). A relatively low viscosity value of $\nu_m = 1 \times 10^{19}$ Pas is used for the asthenosphere (Table 1) in accordance with the results from regional glacial isostatic adjustment modelling at the northern Cascadia subduction zone (James et al., 2009).~~ Sea level is lowered as a function of time based on the Spectral Mapping Project (SPECMAP, Imbrie et al., 1989) time scale. ~~Geothermal heat flux is applied as a constant value of 70 at 3 depth-~~

~~Ice deformation follows temperature and water-content dependent creep (Sect. 2.2). Default parameter values are given in Table 1. Basal sliding follows a pseudo-plastic law where the yield stress accounts for till deconsolidation under high water pressure (Sect. 2.3). Ice shelf calving is simulated using an ice thickness threshold and principle components of the strain rate tensor (Sect. 2.2.4). Surface mass balance is computed using a positive degree-day (PDD) model (Sect. 2.5). Climate forcing is provided by a monthly climatology averaged from 1979 to 2000 from the North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR, Mesinger et al., 2006), perturbed~~[perturbed](#) ~~by time-dependent offsets and lapse-rate temperature corrections (Sect. 2.6).~~ ~~Table 2). A sensitivity study to some of the most influential ice rheology (Sect 2.2) and basal sliding (Sect. 2.3) parameters is performed (Table 3).~~

Each simulation starts from assumed ice-free conditions at ~~12 0000 age~~[\(120 ka\)](#), and runs to the present. Our modelling domain of ~~1500 by 3000~~[1 500 by 3 000](#) km encompasses the entire area covered by the Cordilleran ice sheet at the LGM (Fig. 1). The simulations were run on two distinct grids, using a lower horizontal resolution of 10 km, and a higher horizontal resolution of 5 km. ~~These computations were performed on 16 to 128 computing cores at the Swedish National Supercomputing Centre.~~

2.2 Ice ~~thermodynamics~~[rheology](#)

Ice sheet dynamics are typically modelled using a combination of internal deformation and basal sliding. PISM is a shallow ice sheet model, which implies that the balance of stresses is approximated based on their predominant components. The Shallow Shelf Approximation (SSA) is ~~used as a “sliding law” for combined with~~ the Shallow Ice Approximation (SIA) by adding velocity solutions of the two approximations (~~Bueler and Brown, 2009; Winkelmann et al., 2011, Eqs. 7–9 and 15).~~ [\(Winkelmann et al., 2011, Eqs. 7–9 and 15\).](#) ~~Although this heuristic approach implies errors in the transition zone where~~

gravitational stresses intervene both in the SIA and SSA velocity computation, this hybrid scheme is computationally much more efficient than a fully three-dimensional model with which the simulations presented here would not be feasible.

Ice ~~rheology depends on temperature~~ deformation is governed by the constitutive law for ice (Glen, 1952; Nye, 1953).

$$\dot{\epsilon} = A \tau_e^{n-1} \tau. \quad (1)$$

5 where $\dot{\epsilon}$ is the strain-rate tensor, τ the deviatoric stress tensor, and τ_e the equivalent stress defined by $\tau_e^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\tau^2)$. The ice softness coefficient, A , depends on ice temperature, T , pressure, p , and water content ~~through an enthalpy formulation~~ (Aschwanden et al., 2012), ω , through a piece-wise Arrhenius-type law (Aschwanden et al., 2012, Eqs. 63–65),

$$A = E \cdot \begin{cases} A_c e^{\frac{-Q_c}{RT_{pa}}} & \text{if } T_{pa} < T_c, \\ A_w (1 + f\omega) e^{\frac{-Q_w}{RT_{pa}}} & \text{if } T_{pa} \geq T_c, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where T_{pa} is the pressure-adjusted ice temperature calculated using the Clapeyron relation, $T_{pa} = T - \beta p$,
10 $R = 8.31441 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ is the ideal gas constant, and A_c , A_w , Q_c and Q_w , are constant parameters corresponding to values measured below and above a critical temperature threshold $T_c = -10^\circ \text{C}$ (Paterson and Budd, 1982; Cuffey and Paterson, 2010, p. 72). The water fraction, ω , is capped at a maximum value of 0.01, above which no measurements are available (Lliboutry and Duval, 1985; Greve, 1997, Eq. 5.7). Finally, E is a non-dimensional enhancement factor which can take different values, E_{SIA} , in the SIA and E_{SSA} , in the SSA.

15 In all our simulations, we set constant the power-law exponent, $n = 3$, according to Cuffey and Paterson (2010, p. 55–57), the Clapeyron constant, $\beta = 7.9 \times 10^{-8} \text{ K Pa}^{-1}$, according to Lüthi et al. (2002), the water fraction coefficient, $f = 181.25$, according to Lliboutry and Duval (1985), and the SSA enhancement factor, $E_{\text{SSA}} = 1$, according to Cuffey and Paterson (2010, p. 77). These fixed parameter values are summarized in Table 1.

20 On the other hand, we test the model sensitivity (Sect. 4) to different values for the two creep parameters, A_c and A_w , the two activation energies, Q_c and Q_w , and the SIA enhancement factor, E_{SIA} , as follows.

- Our *default* configuration used in the control run of the sensitivity study and all other simulations in the paper includes rheological parameters, A_c , A_w , Q_c and Q_w , derived from Paterson and Budd (1982) and given in Bueler and Brown (2009, Eqn. 5), and $E_{\text{SIA}} = 1$.
- Our *hard ice* configuration includes rheological parameters, A_c , A_w , Q_c and Q_w , derived from
25 Cuffey and Paterson (2010, p. 72 and 76), and $E_{\text{SIA}} = 1$, which correspond to a stiffer rheology than that used in the control run.
- Our *soft ice* configuration includes rheological parameters from Cuffey and Paterson (2010), and $E_{\text{SIA}} = 5$, the recommended value for ice age polar ice (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010, p. 77).

An additional simulation using the ice rheology from Cuffey and Paterson (2010) and $E_{\text{SIA}} = 2$, the recommended value for
30 Holocene polar ice (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010, p. 77) has been performed, but is not presented here, since its results were very similar to that of our default run.

Actual parameter values for A_G , A_W , Q_G , Q_W and E_{SIA} used in our simulations are given in Table 3, while the effect of the three different parametrisations on temperature-dependent ice softness, A , is illustrated in Fig. 2.

Surface air temperature derived from the climate forcing (Sect. 2.6) provides the upper boundary condition to the ice enthalpy model. Temperature is computed ~~subglacially in the ice and in the bedrock~~ to a depth of 3 km ~~below the ice-bedrock interface~~, where it is conditioned by a lower boundary geothermal heat flux of ~~70~~ $q_G = 70$ mW m⁻². Although this uniform value does not account for the high spatial geothermal variability in the region (Blackwell and Richards, 2004), it is, on average, representative of available heat flow measurements. In the low-resolution simulations, the vertical grid consists of 31 temperature layers in the bedrock and up to 51 enthalpy layers in the ice sheet, corresponding to a vertical resolution of 100 m. The high-resolution simulations ~~use~~ 61 bedrock layers and up to 101 ice layers with a vertical resolution of 50 m.

10 2.3 Basal sliding

A pseudo-plastic sliding law,

$$\tau_b = -\tau_c \frac{v_b}{v_{th}^q |v_b|^{1-q}}, \quad (3)$$

relates the bed-parallel shear stresses, τ_b , to the sliding velocity, v_b (Table 1). The yield stress, τ_c , is modelled using the Mohr–Coulomb criterion,

$$15 \quad \tau_c = c_0 + N \tan \phi, \quad (4)$$

where cohesion, c_0 , is assumed to be zero. ~~The friction angle, ϕ , varies from 15 to 45 as a piecewise-linear function of modern bed elevation, with the lowest value occurring below modern sea level (0) and the highest value occurring above the generalised elevation of the highest shorelines (200 m a.s.l., Clague, 1981, Fig. 5), thus accounting for a weakening of till associated with the presence of marine sediments (cf. Martin et al., 2011; Aschwanden et al., 2013, Supplement; the PISM authors, 2015).~~ Effective pressure, N , is related to the ice overburden stress, ~~$\rho g h P_0 = \rho g h$~~ , and the modelled amount of subglacial water, using a formula derived from laboratory experiments with till extracted from the base of Ice Stream B in West Antarctica (Tulaczyk et al., 2000; Bueler and van Pelt, 2015),

$$N = \delta \rho g h P_0 10^{(e_0/C_c)(1-(W/W_{max}))}, \quad (5)$$

where ~~δ is chosen as 0.02~~ sets the minimum ratio between the effective and overburden pressures, e_0 is a ~~measured~~ reference void ratio and C_c is ~~a measured compressibility coefficient (Table 1)~~ the till compressibility coefficient (Tulaczyk et al., 2000). The amount of water at the base, W , varies from zero to ~~$W_{max} = 2 W_{max}$~~ , a threshold above which additional melt water is assumed to drain off instantaneously. ~~Finally, the bedrock topography responds to ice load following a bedrock deformation model that includes local isostasy, elastic lithosphere flexure and viscous asthenosphere deformation in an infinite half-space (Lingle and Clark, 1985; Bueler et al., 2007). A relatively low viscosity value of $\nu_m = 1 \times 10^{19}$~~

~~In all our simulations, we set constant the pseudo-plastic sliding exponent, $q = 0.25$, and the threshold velocity, $v_{th} = 100$ is used for~~ m a⁻¹, according to values used by Aschwanden et al. (2013), the till cohesion, $c_0 = 0$, whose measured values are

consistently negligible (Tulaczyk et al., 2000; Cuffey and Paterson, 2010, p. 268), the till reference void ratio, $e_0 = 0.69$, and the till compressibility coefficient, $C_c = 0.12$, according to the only measurements available to our knowledge, published by Tulaczyk et al. (2000). These fixed parameter values are summarized in Table 1.

We also use a constant spatial distribution of the till friction angle, ϕ , whose values vary from 15 to 45° as a piecewise-linear function of modern bed elevation, with the lowest value occurring below the modern sea level (0 m above sea level, m a.s.l.) and the highest value occurring above the generalised elevation of the highest shorelines (200 m a.s.l., Clague, 1981, Fig. 5). This range of values span over the range of measured values for glacial till of 18 to 40° (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010, p. 268). It accounts for frictional basal conditions associated with discontinuous till cover at high elevations, and for a weakening of till associated with the presence of marine sediments (cf. Martin et al., 2011; Aschwanden et al., 2013, Supplement; the PISM authors, 2015).

An additional simulation with a constant till friction angle, $\phi = 30^\circ$, corresponding to the average value in Cuffey and Paterson (2010, p. 268), has been performed, but is not presented here, since the induced variability was small.

On the other hand, we test the model sensitivity (Sect. 4) to different values for the minimum ratio between the effective and overburden pressures, δ , and the maximum water height in the asthenosphere (Table 1) in accordance with the results from regional glacial isostatic adjustment modelling at the northern Cascadia subduction zone (James et al., 2009). till, W_{\max} , as follows.

- Our *default* configuration used in the control run of the sensitivity study and all other simulations in the paper includes $\delta = 0.02$ and $W_{\max} = 2$ m as in Bueler and van Pelt (2015).
- Our *soft bed* configuration use $\delta = 0.01$ and $W_{\max} = 1$ m.
- Our *hard bed* configuration use $\delta = 0.05$ and $W_{\max} = 5$ m.

The effect of the three different parametrisations on the effective pressure on the till, N , in response to water content, W , is illustrated in Fig. 3. All parameter choices are listed in Table 3.

2.4 Ice shelf calving

Ice shelf calving is computed using a double criterion. First, a physically-realistic calving flux is computed based on eigenvalues of the horizontal strain rate tensor (Winkelmann et al., 2011; Levermann et al., 2012). This allows floating ice to advance in confined embayments, but prevents the formation of extensive ice shelves in the open ocean. Second, floating ice thinner than 50 m is systematically calved off. A subgrid scheme by Albrecht et al. (2011) allows for a continuous migration of the calving front. This formulation of calving has been applied to the Antarctic ice sheet and has shown to produce a realistic calving front position for many of the present-day ice shelves (Martin et al., 2011).

2.5 Surface mass balance

Ice surface accumulation and ablation are computed from monthly mean near-surface air temperature, T_m , monthly standard deviation of near-surface air temperature, σ , and monthly precipitation, P_m , using a temperature-index model (e.g., Hock, 2003). Accumulation is equal to precipitation when air temperatures are below 0 °C, and decreases to zero linearly with temperatures between 0 and 2 °C. Ablation is computed from PDD, defined as an integral of temperatures above 0 °C in one year.

The PDD computation accounts for stochastic temperature variations by assuming a normal temperature distribution of standard deviation σ ~~aroung around~~ the expected value T_m . It is expressed by an error-function formulation (Calov and Greve, 2005),

$$10 \quad \text{PDD} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{T_m^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{T_m}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(-\frac{T_m}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right) \right], \quad (6)$$

which is numerically approximated using week-long sub-intervals. In order to account for the effects of spatial and seasonal variations of temperature variability (Seguinot, 2013), σ is computed from NARR daily temperature values from 1979 to 2000 (Mesinger et al., 2006), including variability associated with the seasonal cycle, and bilinearly interpolated to the model grids (Fig. 4). Degree-day factors for snow and ice melt are derived from mass-balance measurements on contemporary glaciers from the Coast Mountains and Rocky Mountains in British Columbia (Table 1; Shea et al., 2009).

2.6 Climate forcing

Climate forcing driving ice sheet simulations consists of a present-day monthly climatology, $\{T_{m0}, P_{m0}\}$, where temperatures are modified by offset time series, ΔT_{TS} , and lapse-rate corrections, ΔT_{LR} :

$$T_m(t, x, y) = T_{m0}(x, y) + \Delta T_{TS}(t) + \Delta T_{LR}(t, x, y), \quad (7)$$

$$20 \quad P_m(t, x, y) = P_{m0}(x, y). \quad (8)$$

The present-day monthly climatology was ~~computed~~ bilinearly interpolated from near-surface air temperature and precipitation rate fields from the NARR, averaged from 1979 to 2000. Modern climate of the North American Cordillera is characterised by strong geographic variations in temperature seasonality, timing of the maximum annual precipitation, and daily temperature variability (Fig. 4). ~~The use of NARR is motivated by the need for an accurate, high-resolution precipitation forcing, as identified in a~~ Although the ability of the NARR to reproduce the steep climatic gradients is limited by its spatial resolution of 32 km (Jarosch et al., 2012), it has been tested against observational data in our previous sensitivity study and identified as yielding a closer fit between the modelled LGM extent of the Cordilleran ice sheet and the geological evidence than other atmospheric reanalyses (Seguinot et al., 2014).

Temperature offset time-series, ΔT_{TS} , are derived from palaeo-temperature proxy records from the Greenland Ice Core Project (GRIP, Dansgaard et al., 1993), the North Greenland Ice Core Project (NGRIP, Andersen et al., 2004), the European

Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica (EPICA, Jouzel et al., 2007), the Vostok ice core (Petit et al., 1999), and Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) sites 1012 and 1020, both located off the coast of California (Herbert et al., 2001). Palaeo-temperature anomalies from the GRIP and NGRIP records were calculated from oxygen isotope ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$) measurements using a quadratic equation (Johnsen et al., 1995),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta T_{\text{TS}}(t) = & -11.88[\delta^{18}\text{O}(t) - \delta^{18}\text{O}(0)] \\ & - 0.1925[\delta^{18}\text{O}(t)^2 - \delta^{18}\text{O}(0)^2], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

while temperature reconstructions from Antarctic and oceanic cores were provided as such. All records For each proxy record used and each of the parameter setup used in the sensitivity tests, palaeo-temperature anomalies were scaled linearly (Table 2 Tables 2 and 3) in order to simulate comparable ice extents at the LGM (Table 4) and realistic outlines (Fig. 6).

Finally, lapse-rate corrections, ΔT_{LR} , are computed as a function of ice surface elevation, s , using the NARR surface geopotential height invariant field as a reference topography, b_{ref} :

$$\Delta T_{\text{LR}}(t, x, y) = -\gamma[s(t, x, y) - b_{\text{ref}}] \quad (10)$$

$$= -\gamma[h(t, x, y) + b(t, x, y) - b_{\text{ref}}], \quad (11)$$

thus accounting for the evolution of ice thickness, $h = s - b$, on the one hand, and for differences between the basal topography of the ice flow model, b , and the NARR reference topography, b_{ref} , on the other hand. All simulations use an annual temperature lapse rate of $\gamma = 6 \text{ K km}^{-1}$. In the rest of this paper, we refer to different model runs by the name of the proxy record used for the palaeo-temperature forcing.

3 Sensitivity to climate forcing time-series

3.1 Evolution of ice volume

Despite large differences in the input climate forcing (Fig. 5, upper panel), model output presents consistent features that can be observed across the range of forcing data used. In all simulations, modelled sea-level relevant ice volumes remain relatively low during most of the glacial cycle, except during two major glacial events which occur between ~~61.9 and 56.5~~ 62.2 and 56.9 ka during MIS 4, and between 23.2 and ~~16.8~~ 16.9 ka during MIS 2 (Fig. 5, lower panel). An ice volume minimum is consistently reached between ~~53.0 and 41.3~~ 55.9 and 42.9 ka during MIS 3. However, the magnitude and precise timing of these three events depend significantly on the choice of proxy record used to derive a time-dependent climate forcing (Table 4).

Simulations forced by the Greenland ice core palaeo-temperature records (GRIP, NGRIP) produce the highest variability in modelled ice volume throughout the last glacial cycle. In contrast, simulations driven by oceanic (ODP 1012, ODP 1020) and Antarctic (EPICA, Vostok) palaeo-temperature records generally result in lower ice volume variability throughout the simulation length, resulting in lower modelled ice volumes during MIS 4 and larger ice volumes during MIS 3. The NGRIP climate forcing is the only one that results in a larger ice volume during MIS 4 than during MIS 2.

While simulations driven by the GRIP and the two Antarctic palaeo-temperature records attain a last ice volume maximum between 19.1 and ~~16.8~~16.9 ka, those informed by the NGRIP and the two oceanic palaeo-temperature records attain their maximum ice volumes thousands of years earlier. Moreover, the ODP 1012 run yields a rapid deglaciation of the modelled ~~area~~grounded ice extent prior to 17 ka. The ODP 1020 simulation predicts an early maximum in ice volume at ~~23.2~~20.4 ka, followed by slower deglaciation than modelled using the other palaeo-temperature records. Finally, whereas model runs forced by the Antarctic palaeo-temperature records result in a rapid and uninterrupted deglaciation after the LGM, the simulation driven by the GRIP palaeo-temperature record also results in a rapid deglaciation but in three steps, separated by two periods of ice sheet regrowth (Fig. 5).

3.2 Extreme configurations

Despite large differences in the timing of attained volume extrema (Table 4), all model runs show relatively consistent patterns of glaciation. During MIS 4, all simulations produce an extensive ice sheet, covering an area of at least half of that attained during MIS 2 (Table 4; Fig. 6, upper panels). Corresponding maximum ~~ice volumes~~sea level potentials also differ significantly between model runs, and vary between ~~3.84 and 8.84~~3.66 and 8.71 m sea level equivalents (m s.l.e.; Table 4).

~~In the~~During MIS 3 ice volume minimum reconstructions, a central ice cap persists over the Skeena Mountains (Fig. 6, middle panels). Although this ice cap is present in all simulations, its dimensions depend sensitively on the choice of the applied palaeo-temperature record. Modelled sea-level relevant ice volume minima spread over a wide range between ~~1.69 and 2.88~~1.54 and 2.81 m s.l.e. (Table 4).

Modelled ice sheet geometries during the LGM (MIS 2; Fig. 6, lower panels) invariably include a ca. 1500 km-long central divide above 3000 m a.s.l. located along the spine of the Rocky Mountains. ~~Although the similarity of modelled ice extents is a direct~~As an indirect result of the choice of scaling factors applied to different palaeo-temperature proxy records (Table 2), ~~it is interesting to note that modelled maximum ice volumes~~modelled maximum sea level potentials also fall within a tight range of ~~8.40 to 8.91~~8.56 to 8.75 m s.l.e. (Table 4).

4 Sensitivity to ice flow parameters

Using the GRIP ice core palaeo-temperature record as climate forcing time-series, the model shows a significant sensitivity to selected ice rheological and basal sliding parameters (Fig. 7; Table 5) in terms of modelled grounded ice extent, and even more so in terms of modelled sea-level relevant ice volumes.

As a direct result of the different scaling factors applied (Table 3), the resulting simulations show very little difference in the modelled glaciated areas corresponding to the maximum stage during MIS 2, but also during MIS 4 (Table 5). However, this cannot be said of the modelled glaciated area corresponding to the minimum stage during MIS 3. In fact, the extent of the remnant ice cap which persists over the Skeena Mountains during this stage shows a significant sensitivity to ice rheology of 25 %, and an even higher sensitivity to basal sliding parameters of 57 % (Table 5).

The modelled sea-level potentials show a stronger variability than the modelled glaciated areas (Table 5, Fig. 7). As one could expect, softer ice and weaker till both result in a thinner ice sheet, while harder ice and stronger till result in a thicker ice sheet. For instance, the modelled peak sea-level relevant ice volume during MIS 2 (LGM) varies by 25 % between the two parametrisations of ice rheology used, and by 14 % between the two parametrisations of basal sliding used. The differences in ice volume are greatest during MIS 3 (Table 5, Fig. 7) where both the areal and thickness contributions add up.

5 Comparison with the geologic record

Large variations in the model responses to evolving climate forcing reveal its sensitivity to the choice of palaeo-temperature proxy record. To distinguish between different records, geological evidence of former glaciations provide-provides a basis for validation of our runs, while the results from numerical modelling can perhaps help to analyse some of the complexity of this evidence. In this section, we compare model outputs to the geologic record, in terms of timing and configuration of the maximum stages, location and lifetime of major nucleation centres, and patterns of ice retreat during the last deglaciation.

5.1 Glacial maxima

5.1.1 Timing of glaciation

Independently of the palaeo-temperature records used to force the ice sheet model, our simulations consistently produce two glacial maxima during the last glacial cycle. The first maximum configuration is obtained during MIS 4 (61.9-62.2-56.5.9 ka) and the second during MIS 2 (23.2-16.8.9 ka; Figs. 5, 6; Table 4). These events broadly correspond in timing to the Gladstone (MIS 4) and McConnell (MIS 2) glaciations documented by geological evidence for the northern sector of the Cordilleran ice sheet (Duk-Rodkin et al., 1996; Ward et al., 2007; Stroeve et al., 2010, 2014), and to the Fraser Glaciation (MIS 2) documented for its southern sector (Porter and Swanson, 1998; Margold et al., 2014). There is stratigraphical evidence for an MIS 4 glaciation in British Columbia (Clague and Ward, 2011) and in the Puget Lowland (Troost, 2014), but their extent and timing are still highly conjectural (perhaps MIS 4 or early MIS 3; e.g., Cosma et al., 2008).

The exact timing of modelled MIS 2 maximum ice volume depends strongly on the choice of applied palaeo-temperature record, which allows for a more in-depth comparison with geological evidence for the timing of the maximum Cordilleran ice sheet extent. In the Puget Lowland (Fig. 1), the LGM advance of the southern Cordilleran ice sheet margin has been constrained by radiocarbon dating on wood between 17.4 and 16.4 ^{14}C cal ka (Porter and Swanson, 1998). These dates are consistent with radiocarbon dates from the offshore sedimentary record, which reveals an increase of glaciomarine sedimentation between 19.5 and 16.2 ^{14}C cal ka (Cosma et al., 2008; Taylor et al., 2014). Radiocarbon dating of the northern Cordilleran ice sheet margin is much less constrained but straddles presented constraints from the southern margin. However, cosmogenic exposure dating places the timing of maximum-CIS-the maximum northern ice sheet margin extent during the McConnell glaciation close to 17 ^{10}Be ka (Stroeve et al., 2010, 2014). A sharp transition in the sediment record of the Gulf of Alaska indicates a retreat of regional outlet glaciers onto land at 14.8 ^{14}C cal ka (Davies et al., 2011).

Among the simulations presented here, only those forced with the GRIP, EPICA and Vostok palaeo-temperature records yield Cordilleran ice sheet maximum extents that may be compatible with these field constraints (Fig. 5, lower panel; Table 4). Simulations driven by the NGRIP, ODP 1012 and ODP 1020 palaeo-temperature records, on the contrary, yield MIS 2 maximum Cordilleran ice sheet volumes that pre-date field-based constraints by several thousands of years (about 6, 6 and 4 ka respectively). Concerning the simulations driven by oceanic records, this early deglaciation is caused by an early warming present in the alkenone palaeo-temperature reconstructions (Fig. 5, upper panel; Herbert et al., 2001, Fig. 3). However, this early warming is a local effect, corresponding to a weakening of the California current (Herbert et al., 2001). The California current, driving cold waters southwards along the south-western coast of North America, has been shown to have weakened during each peak of global glaciation (in SPECMAP) during the past 550 ka, including the LGM, resulting in paradoxically warmer sea-surface temperatures at the locations of the ODP 1012 and ODP 1020 sites (Herbert et al., 2001).

Because most of the marine margin of the Cordilleran ice sheet terminated in a sector of the Pacific Ocean unaffected by variations in the California current, it probably remained insensitive to this local phenomenon. However, the above paradox illustrates the complexity of ice-sheet feedbacks on regional climate, and demonstrates that, although located in the neighbourhood of the modelling domain, the ODP 1012 and ODP 1020 palaeo-temperature records cannot be used as a realistic forcing to model the Cordilleran ice sheet through the last glacial cycle. Similarly, the simulation using the NGRIP palaeo-temperature record depicts an early onset of deglaciation (Fig. 5) following its last glacial volume maximum (22.9 ka, Table 4) attained about 6 ka earlier than dated evidence of the LGM advance. There is a fair agreement between the EPICA and Vostok palaeo-temperature records, resulting in only small differences between the simulations driven by those records. These differences are not subject to further analysis [further here](#); instead we focus on simulations forced by palaeo-temperature records from the GRIP and EPICA ice cores that appear to produce the most realistic reconstructions of regional glaciation history, yet bearing significant disparities in model output. To allow for a more detailed comparison against the geological record, these two simulations were re-run using a higher-resolution grid (Sect. 2; Fig. 5, lower panel, dotted lines).

5.1.2 Ice configuration during MIS 2

During maximum glaciation, both simulations position the main meridional ice divide over the western flank of the Rocky Mountains (Figs. 6, lower panels and 8). This result appears to contrast with palaeoglaciological reconstructions for central and southern British Columbia with ice divides in a more westerly position, over the western margin of the Interior Plateau (Ryder et al., 1991; Stumpf et al., 2000; Kleman et al., 2010; Clague and Ward, 2011; Margold et al., 2013b). These indicate that a latitudinal saddle connected ice dispersal centres in the Columbia Mountains with the main ice divide (Ryder et al., 1991; Kleman et al., 2010; Clague and Ward, 2011; Margold et al., 2013b). A latitudinal saddle does indeed feature in our modelling results, however, in an inverse configuration between the main ice divide over the Columbia Mountains and a secondary divide over the southern Coast Mountains (Fig. 8).

Such deviation from the geological inferences could reflect the fact that [our model-the NARR has difficulties with simulating orographic processes in some areas of steep topography \(Jarosch et al., 2012\). In our previous study \(Seguinot et al., 2014\), we have evaluated an applicability of the climate forcing bilinearly interpolated from the NARR to constant-climate simulations of](#)

the Cordilleran ice sheet during the LGM against that of an observation-based data set (WorldClim, Hijmans et al., 2005). Indeed, the use of NARR in these simulations produced slightly different patterns of glaciation relative to WorldClim, including a more extensive ice cover on the Columbia and Rocky mountains (Seguinot et al., 2014, Figs. 6–7). Our simulations have shown that these differences are mainly caused by disparities between the precipitation fields of the two data sets (Seguinot et al., 2014, Figs. 13–14). It has been shown that over the southern part of our model domain that temperature and precipitation downscaling can potentially address these limitations (e.g., Jarosch et al., 2012). However, extending this downscaling method to the entire model domain used in our study is challenging, because the northern part of the model domain is characterized by stronger precipitation gradients (Fig. 4) and fewer weather stations than the southern part where the previous analysis has been performed (Hijmans et al., 2005).

Since the model does not include feedback mechanisms between the ice sheet topography and the regional climate, the modelled easterly positions of the ice divide and eastern ice sheet margin may also be sensitive to the assumption of fixed modern-day spatial patterns of air temperature and precipitation. In fact, it is reasonable to think that the cooling during the last glacial cycle was greater inland than near the coast, prohibiting melt at the eastern margin. However, our simulations already produce an excess of ice inland. Including such a temperature continentality gradient in the model while keeping the precipitation pattern constant would thus cause an even greater mismatch between the model results and the geologically reconstructed ice margins during the LGM.

Consequently, the mismatch between the modelled and reconstructed LGM ice margins is likely due to the assumption of the fixed modern-day precipitation patterns rather than the assumption of the fixed modern-day temperature patterns. Firstly, during the build-up phase preceding the LGM, rapid accumulation over the Coast Mountains enhanced the topographic barrier formed by these mountain ranges, which likely resulted in a decrease of precipitation and, therefore, a decrease of accumulation in the interior. Secondly, latent warming of the moisture-depleted air parcels flowing over this enhanced topography could have resulted in an inflow of potentially warmer air over the eastern flank of the ice sheet, thereby counterbalancing the potential continentality gradient discussed above through increasing melt along the advancing margin (cf. Langen et al., 2012). Because these two processes, both with a tendency to limit ice-sheet growth, are absent from our model, the eastern margin of the ice sheet and the position of the main meridional ice divide are certainly biased towards the east in our simulations (Seguinot et al., 2014).

However, field-based palaeoglaciological reconstructions have struggled to reconcile the more westerly-centred ice divide in south-central British Columbia with evidence in the Rocky Mountains and beyond, that the Cordilleran ice sheet invaded the western Interior Plains, where it merged with the southwestern margin of the Laurentide ice sheet and was deflected to the south (Jackson et al., 1997; Bednarski and Smith, 2007; Kleman et al., 2010; Margold et al., 2013a, b). Ice geometries from our model runs do not have this problem, because the position and elevation of the ice divide ensure significant ice drainage across the Rocky Mountains at the LGM (Fig. 8).

During MIS 2, the modelled ~~total ice volume peaks at 8.01~~ sea level potential peaks at 8.62 m s.l.e. (19.1 ka) in the GRIP simulation and at 8.778,56 m s.l.e. (17.217,4 ka) in the EPICA simulation. However, these numbers are subject to significant uncertainties in the ice flow parameters embedded in the reference model setup. The range of parameter values tested in our

sensitivity study (Sect. 4) yielded relative errors of 25 % with regard to ice rheological parameters and 14 % regarding basal sliding parameters (Fig. 7; Table 5).

5.1.3 Ice configuration during MIS 4

The modelled ice sheet configurations corresponding to ice volume maxima during MIS 4 are more sensitive to the choice of atmospheric forcing than those corresponding to ice volume maxima during MIS 2 (Figs. 6, upper panels and 9). The GRIP simulation (Fig. 9, left panel) results in a modelled maximum ice sheet extent that closely resembles that obtained during MIS 2, with the only major difference of being slightly less extensive across northern and eastern sectors. In contrast, the EPICA simulation produces a lower ice volume maximum (Fig. 5), which translates in the modelled ice sheet geometry into a significantly reduced southern sector, more restricted ice cover in northern and eastern sectors, and generally lower ice surface elevations in the interior (Fig. 9, right panel). Thus, only the GRIP simulation can explain the presence of MIS 4 glacial deposits in the Puget Lowland (Troost, 2014) and that of ice-rafted debris in the marine sediment record offshore Vancouver Island at ca. 47 ¹⁴C cal ka (Cosma et al., 2008).

During MIS 4, the modelled ~~total ice volume peaks at 7.19~~ sea level potential peaks at 7.43 m s.l.e. (~~57.3~~57.6 ka) in the GRIP simulation and at ~~5.04~~4.84 m s.l.e. (61.9 ka) in the EPICA simulation, corresponding to respectively ~~90~~86 and 57 % of modelled MIS 2 ice volumes. These estimates show little sensitivity to the range of parameter values tested in our sensitivity study (Sect. 4), which yielded relative errors of 12 % to ice rheological parameters and 19 % to basal sliding parameters (Fig. 7; Table 5).

5.2 Nucleation centres

5.2.1 Transient ice sheet states

Palaeo-glaciological reconstructions are generally more robust for maximum ice sheet extents and late ice sheet configurations than for intermediate or minimum ice sheet extents and older ice sheet configurations (Kleman et al., 2010). However, these maximum stages are, by nature, extreme configurations, which do not necessarily represent the dominant patterns of glaciation throughout the period of ice cover (Porter, 1989; Kleman and Stroeven, 1997; Kleman et al., 2008, 2010).

For the Cordilleran ice sheet, geological evidence from radiocarbon dating (Clague et al., 1980; Clague, 1985, 1986; Porter and Swanson, 1998; Menounos et al., 2008), cosmogenic exposure dating (Stroeven et al., 2010, 2014; Margold et al., 2014), bedrock deformation in response to former ice loads (Clague and James, 2002; Clague et al., 2005), and offshore sedimentary records (Cosma et al., 2008; Davies et al., 2011) indicate that the LGM maximum extent was short-lived. To compare this finding to our simulations, we use numerical modelling output to compute durations of ice cover throughout the last glacial cycle (Fig. 10).

The resulting maps show that, during most of the glacial cycle, modelled ice cover is restricted to disjoint ice caps centred on major mountain ranges of the North American Cordillera (Fig. 10, blue areas). A ~~2500~~2500 km-long continuous expanse of ice, extending from the Alaska Range in the ~~northeast~~north-east to the Rocky Mountains in the ~~southwest~~south-west, is

only in operation for at most 3234 ka, which is about a third of the timespan of the last glacial cycle (Fig. 10, hatched areas). However, except for its margins in the Pacific Ocean and in the northern foothills of the Alaska Range, the maximum extent of the ice sheet is attained for a much shorter period of time of only few thousand years (Fig. 10, red areas). This result illustrates that the maximum extents of the modelled ice sheet during MIS 4 and MIS 2 were both short-lived and therefore out of balance with contemporary climate.

A notable exception to the transient character of the maximum extent of the Cordilleran ice sheet is the northern slope of the Alaska Range, where modelled glaciers are confined to its foothills during the entire simulation period (Fig. 10, AR). This apparent insensitivity of modelled glacial extent to temperature fluctuations results from a combination of low precipitation, high summer temperature and large temperature standard deviation (PDD SD) in the plains of the Alaska Interior (Fig. 4) which confines glaciation to the foothills of the mountains. This result could potentially explain the local distribution of glacial deposits, which indicates that glaciers flowing on the northern slope of the Alaska Range have remained small throughout the Pleistocene (Kaufman and Manley, 2004).

5.2.2 Major ice-dispersal centres

It is generally believed that the Cordilleran ice sheet formed by the coalescence of several mountain-centred ice caps (Davis and Mathews, 1944). In our simulations, major ice-dispersal centres, visible on the modelled ice cover duration maps (Fig. 10), are located over the Coast Mountains (CM), the Columbia and Rocky mountains (CRM), the Skeena Mountains (SM), and the Selwyn and Mackenzie mountains (SMKM). The-

The location of the modelled ice-dispersal centres is potentially biased by the present day ice volumes contained in the ETOPO1 basal topography data. Although a recent reconstruction of the thickness of the western Canadian glaciers south of 60°N (Clarke et al., 2013) shows that the most problematic part of the model domain in this respect is by far that of the Wrangell and Saint Elias mountains, where ice thicknesses of up to 1200 m have been measured by a low-frequency radar (Rignot et al., 2013). In this area, located over the USA-Canada border just north of 60°N, temperate ice, glacier surging dynamics and deep subglacial depressions in the icefield interior pose a fundamental challenge to the reconstruction basal topography for the entire ice cap (Rignot et al., 2013). Although this causes our simulations to overestimate ice surface topography in this region, we are not aware of bed topography reconstructions. However, this drawback seem to have little effect on modelled Cordilleran ice sheet dynamics. In fact, the Wrangell and Saint Elias mountains, heavily glacierized at present, host an ice cap for the entire length of both simulations, but that ice cap does not appear to be a major feed to the Cordilleran ice sheet (Fig. 10, WSEM). With this exception of the Wrangell and Saint Elias mountains ice field, present-day ice volumes are small relative to the ice volumes concerned in our study.

Although the Coast, Skeena and Columbia and Rocky mountains (CM, SM, CRM) are covered by mountain glaciers for most of the last glacial cycle, providing durable nucleation centres for an ice sheet, this is not the case for the Selwyn and Mackenzie mountains (SMKM), where ice cover on the highest peaks is limited to a small fraction of the last glacial cycle. In other words, the Selwyn and Mackenzie mountains only appear as a secondary ice-dispersal centre during the coldest periods of

the last glacial cycle. The Northern Rocky Mountains (Fig. 10, NRM) do not act as a nucleation centre, but rather as a pinning point for the Cordilleran ice sheet margin coming from the west.

Perhaps the most striking feature displayed by the distributions of modelled ice cover is the persistence of the Skeena Mountains ice cap throughout the entire last glacial period (ca. 100–10 ka) and its predominance over the other ice-dispersal centres (Figs. 6 and 10, SM). Regardless of the applied forcing, this ice cap appears to survive MIS 3 (Fig. 6, middle panels), and serves as a nucleation centre at the onset of the glacial readvance towards the LGM (MIS 2). This situation appears similar to the neighbouring Laurentide ice sheet, for which the importance of residual ice for the glacial history leading up to the LGM has been illustrated by the MIS 3 residual ice bodies in northern and eastern Canada as nucleation centres for a much more extensive MIS 2 configuration (Kleman et al., 2010).

The presence of a Skeena Mountains ice cap during most of the last glacial cycle can be explained by meteorological conditions more favourable for ice growth there than elsewhere. In fact, reanalysed atmospheric fields used to force the surface mass balance model show that high winter precipitations are mainly confined to the western slope of the Coast Mountains, except in the centre of the modelling domain where they also occur further inland than along other east-west transects (Fig. 4). In fact, along most of the north-western coast of North America, coastal mountain ranges form a pronounced topographic barrier for westerly winds, capturing atmospheric moisture in the form of orographic precipitation, and resulting in arid interior lowlands. However, near the centre of our modelling domain, this barrier is less pronounced than elsewhere, allowing westerly winds to carry moisture further inland, until it is captured by the extensive Skeena Mountains in north-central British Columbia, thus resulting in a more widespread distribution of winter precipitation (Fig. 4).

The modelled ~~total ice volume~~ sea level potential corresponding to these persistent ice-dispersal centres attains a minimum of ~~1,521.54~~ m s.l.e. (42.9 ka) in the GRIP simulation and of ~~2,682.55~~ m s.l.e. (~~51,852.4~~ ka) in the EPICA simulation, corresponding to respectively ~~19 and 31~~ 18 and 30 % of the MIS 2 ice volumes. However, these numbers should be considered with caution as our sensitivity study (Sect. 4) shows that the minimum ice volume during MIS 3 is highly sensitive to ice flow parameters, with relative errors of 45 % to the range of ice rheological parameters tested and 109 % to the range of basal sliding parameters tested (Fig. 7; Table 5).

5.2.3 Erosional imprint on the landscape

A correlation is observed between the modelled duration of warm based ice cover (Fig. 11) and the degree of glacial modification of the landscape (mainly in terms of the development of deep glacial valleys and troughs). We find evidence for this on the slopes of the Coast Mountains, the ~~the~~ Columbia and Rocky mountains, the Wrangell and Saint Elias mountains, and radiating off the Skeena Mountains (Figs. 10 and 11; Kleman et al., 2010, Fig. 2). The Skeena Mountains, for example, indeed bear a strong glacial imprint that indicates ice drainage in a system of distinct glacial troughs emanating in a radial pattern from the centre of the mountain range (Kleman et al., 2010, Fig. 2) that appear to predate the LGM phase of the Cordilleran ice sheet (Stumpf et al., 2000). We suggest that persistent ice cover (Fig. 10) associated with basal ice temperatures at the pressure melting point (Figs. 11 and 12) explains the large-scale glacial erosional imprint on the landscape. A well-developed network of glacial valleys running to the north-west on the west slope of the Selwyn and Mackenzie Mountains (Kleman et al., 2010,

Fig. 2; Stroeve et al., 2010, Fig. 8) is modelled to have hosted predominantly warm-based ice (Fig. 12). However, because it is only glaciated for a short fraction of the last glacial cycle in our simulations (Fig. 10), this perhaps indicates that the observed landscape pattern originates from multiple glacial cycles and witnesses an increased relative importance of the Selwyn and Mackenzie mountains ice dispersal centre (Fig. 10, SMKM), prior to the Late Pleistocene (cf. Ward et al., 2008; Demuro et al., 2012).

The modelled distribution of warm-based ice cover (Figs. 11 and 12) is inevitably affected by our assumption of a constant, 70 mW m^{-2} geothermal heat flux at 3 km depth (Sect. 2.2). However, the Skeena Mountains and the area west of the Mackenzie Mountains experience higher-than-average geothermal heat flux with measured values of ca. 80 and ca. 100 mW m^{-2} (Blackwell and Richards, 2004). We can therefore expect even longer durations of warm-based ice cover for these areas if we were to include spatially variable geothermal forcing in our Cordilleran ice sheet simulations.

5.3 The last deglaciation

5.3.1 Pace and patterns of deglaciation

Similarly to other glaciated regions, most glacial traces in the North American Cordillera relate to the last few millennia of glaciation, because most of the older evidence has been overprinted by warm-based ice retreat during the last deglaciation (Kleman, 1994; Kleman et al., 2010). From a numerical modelling perspective, phases of glacier retreat are more challenging than phases of growth, because they involve more rapid fluctuations of the ice margin, increased flow velocities and longitudinal stress gradients, and poorly understood hydrological processes. The latter are typically included in the models through simple parametrisations (e.g. Clason et al., 2012, 2014; Bueler and van Pelt, 2015), if included at all. However, next after the mapping of maximum ice sheet extents during MIS 2 and MIS 4 (Sects. 5.1.2 and 5.1.3), geomorphologically-based reconstructions of patterns of ice sheet retreat during the last deglaciation provide the second best source of evidence for the validation of our simulations.

In the North American Cordillera, the presence of lateral meltwater channels at high elevation (Margold et al., 2011, 2013b, 2014), and abundant esker systems at low elevation (Burke et al., 2012a, b; Perkins et al., 2013; Margold et al., 2013a) indicate that meltwater was produced over large portions of the ice sheet surface during deglaciation. The southern and northern margins of the Cordilleran ice sheet reached their last glacial maximum extent around 17 ka (Sect. 5.1.1; Porter and Swanson, 1998; Cosma et al., 2008; Stroeve et al., 2010, 2014), which we take as a limiting age for the onset of ice retreat. The timing of final deglaciation is less well constrained, but recent cosmogenic dates from north-central British Columbia indicate that a sizeable ice cap emanating from the central Coast Mountains or the Skeena Mountains persisted into the Younger Dryas chronozone, at least until 12.4 ka (Margold et al., 2014).

In our simulations, the timing of peak ice volume during the LGM and the pacing of deglaciation depend critically on the choice of climate forcing (Table 4; Figs. 5 and 13). Adopting the EPICA climate forcing yields peak ice volume at ~~17.2~~17.4 ka and an uninterrupted deglaciation until about 9 ka (Fig. 13, lower panel, red curves). On the contrary, the simulation driven by the GRIP palaeo-temperature record yields peak ice volume at ~~19.3~~19.1 ka and a deglaciation interrupted by two phases

of regrowth until about 8 ka. The first interruption occurs between 16.6 and 14.5 ka, and the second between 13.1 and 11.6 ka (Fig. 13, lower panel, blue curve).

Hence, the two model runs, while similar in overall timing compared to the runs with other climate drivers, differ in detail. On the one hand, the EPICA run depicts peak glaciation about 2 ka later than the GRIP run, in closer agreement with dated maximum extents, and shows a faster, uninterrupted deglaciation which yields sporadic ice cover more than 1 ka earlier. On the other hand, the GRIP run yields a deglaciation in three steps, compatible with marine sediment sequences offshore Vancouver Island, where the distribution of ice-rafted debris indicates an ice margin retreat from the Georgia Strait in two phases that are contemporary with warming oceanic temperatures from 17.2 to 16.5 and from 15.5 to 14.0 ^{14}C cal ka (Taylor et al., 2014).

Modelled patterns of ice sheet retreat are relatively consistent between the two simulations (Figs. 14 and 15). The southern sector of the modelling domain, including the Puget Lowland, the Coast Mountains, the Columbia and Rocky mountains, and the Interior Plateau of British Columbia, becomes completely deglaciated by 10 ka, whereas a significant ice cover remains over the Skeena, the Selwyn and Mackenzie, and the Wrangell and Saint Elias mountains in the northern sector of the modelling domain. After 10 ka, deglaciation continues to proceed across the Liard Lowland with a radial ice margin retreat towards the surrounding mountain ranges, consistent with the regional melt water record of the last deglaciation (Margold et al., 2013a). Remaining ice continues to decay by retreating towards the Selwyn and MacKenzie, and Skeena mountains. The last remnants of the Cordilleran ice sheet finally disappear from the Skeena Mountains at ~~6.5~~6.7 ka (~~GRIP~~) and ~~6.1~~ (EPICA) in both simulations.

5.3.2 Late-glacial readvance

The possibility of late glacial readvances in the North American Cordillera has been debated for some time (~~Osborn and Gerloff, 1997~~) (Luckman and Osborn, 1979; Reasoner et al., 1994; Osborn and Gerloff, 1997; Osborn et al., 1995), and locally these have been reconstructed and dated. Radiocarbon-dated end moraines in the Fraser and Squamish valleys, off the southern tip of the Coast Mountains, indicate consecutive glacier maxima, or standstills while in overall retreat, one of which corresponds to the Younger Dryas chronozone (Clague et al., 1997; Friele and Clague, 2002a, b; Kovanen, 2002; Kovanen and Easterbrook, 2002). Although most of these moraines characterise independent valley glaciers, that may have been disconnected from the waning Cordilleran ice sheet, the Finlay River area in the Omenica Mountains (Fig. 15, OM) presents a different kind of evidence. There, sharp-crested moraines indicate a late-glacial readvance of local alpine glaciers and, more importantly, their interaction with larger, lingering remnants of the main body of the Cordilleran ice sheet in the valleys (Lakeman et al., 2008). Additional evidence for late-glacial alpine glacier readvances includes moraines in the eastern Coast Mountains, and the Columbia and Rocky mountains (~~Osborn and Gerloff, 1997; Menounos et al., 2008~~) (Reasoner et al., 1994; Osborn and Gerloff, 1997; Menounos et al., 2008).

Although further work is needed to constrain the timing of the late-glacial readvance, to assess its extents and geographical distribution, and to identify the potential climatic triggers (Menounos et al., 2008), it is interesting to note that the simulation driven by the GRIP record produces a late-glacial readvance in the Coast Mountains and in the Columbia and Rocky Mountains (Fig. 15, left panel). In addition to matching the location of some local readvances, the GRIP-driven simulation shows that

a large remnant of the decaying ice sheet may still have existed at the time of this late-glacial readvance. In contrast, the EPICA-driven simulation produces a nearly-continuous deglaciation with only a tightly restricted late-glacial readvance on the western slopes of the Saint Elias and the Coast mountains (Fig. 15, right panel).

5.3.3 Deglacial flow directions

5 Because a general ~~tenant-conjecture~~ in glacial geomorphology is that the majority of landforms (lineations and eskers) are part of the deglacial envelope (terminology from Kleman et al., 2006), having been formed close inside the retreating margin of ice sheets (Boulton and Clark, 1990; Kleman et al., 1997, 2010), we present maps of basal flow directions immediately preceding deglaciation or at the time of cessation of sliding inside a cold-based retreating margin (Fig. 16). The modelled deglacial flow patterns are mostly consistent between the GRIP and EPICA simulations. They depict an active ice sheet retreat
10 in the peripheral areas, followed by stagnant ice decay in some of the interior regions. Several parts of the modelling domain do not experience any basal sliding throughout the deglaciation phase (Fig. 16, hatched areas). This notably includes parts of the Interior Plateau in British Columbia, major portions of the Alaskan sector of the ice sheet, and a tortuous ribbon running from the Northern Rocky Mountains over the Skeena and Selwyn Mountains and into the Mackenzie Mountains.

Patterns of glacial lineations formed in the northern and southern sectors of the Cordilleran ice sheet (Prest et al., 1968;
15 Clague, 1989, Fig. 1.12; Kleman et al., 2010, Fig. 2) show similarities with the patterns of deglacial ice flow from numerical modelling (Fig. 16). In the northern half of the modelling domain, modelled deglacial flow directions depict an active downhill flow as the last remnants of the ice sheet retreat towards mountain ranges. Converging deglacial flow patterns in the Liard Lowland, for instance (Fig. 16), closely resemble the pattern indicated by glacial lineations (Margold et al., 2013a, Fig. 2). Inside the retreating western margin, modelled south-westward flow patterns emanating from the Skeena Mountains and running over the central Coast Mountains are compatible with geological evidence from this region (Stumpf et al., 2000, Fig. 12).
20

On the Interior Plateau of south-central British Columbia, both simulations produce a retreat of the ice margin towards the north-east (Fig. 15), a pattern which is validated by the geomorphological and stratigraphical record for ancient pro-glacial lakes dammed by the retreating ice sheet ~~(?)~~ (Perkins and Brennand, 2015). However, the two simulations differ in the mode of retreat. The GRIP simulation yields an active retreat with basal sliding towards the ice margin to the south, whereas the
25 EPICA simulation produces negligible basal sliding on the plateau during deglaciation (Fig. 16). Yet, the Interior Plateau also hosts an impressive set of glacial lineations which indicate a substantial eastwards flow component of the Cordilleran ice sheet ~~(Prest et al., 1968; Kleman et al., 2010). This Interior Plateau lineation set could therefore present a smoking gun for the reliability of the presented model results.~~ (Prest et al., 1968; Kleman et al., 2010; Ferbey et al., 2013), which is not present in any of the two simulations (Fig. 16). One explanation for the incongruent results could be that the missing feedback mechanisms
30 between ice sheet topography and regional climate resulted in a modelled ice divide of the LGM ice sheet ~~being too~~ being too far to the east (Sect. 5.1.2; Fig. 8; Seguinot et al., 2014). A more westerly-located LGM ice divide would certainly result in a different deglacial flow pattern over the Interior Plateau. However, a more westerly-positioned LGM ice divide would certainly be associated with ~~a thinner ice sheet than that an LGM ice sheet less extensive to the west, and therefore thinner ice on the Interior Plateau during deglaciation than~~ modelled here. Decreased ice thickness would not promote warm-based

conditions but, on the contrary, enlarge the region of negligible basal sliding (Fig. 16). Thus, a second explanation for the incongruent results could be that the Interior Plateau lineation system predates deglaciation ice flow, as perhaps indicated by some eskers that appear incompatible with these glacial lineations (Margold et al., 2013b, Fig. 9). Finally, a third explanation could be that local geothermal heat associated with volcanic activity on the Interior Plateau could have triggered the basal sliding (cf. Greenland ice sheet; Fahnestock et al., 2001).

The modelled deglaciation of the Interior Plateau of British Columbia consists of a rapid northwards retreat (Fig. 15) of southwards-flowing non-sliding ice lobes (Fig. 16) positioned in-between deglaciated mountain ranges (Figs. 17 and 18). This result appears compatible with the prevailing conceptual model of deglaciation of central British Columbia, in which mountain ranges emerge from the ice before the plateau (Fulton, 1991, Fig. 7). However, due to different topographic and climatic conditions, our simulations produce different deglaciation patterns in the northern half of the model domain, indicating that this conceptual model may not be applied to the entire area formerly covered by the Cordilleran ice sheet.

6 Conclusions

Numerical simulations of the Cordilleran ice sheet through the last glacial cycle presented in this study consistently produce two glacial maxima during MIS 4 (61.962.2–56.5.9 ka, 3.83.6–8.8.7 m s.l.e.) and MIS 2 (23.2–16.8.9 ka, 8.408.6–8.91.8 m s.l.e.), two periods corresponding to documented extensive glaciations. This result is independent of the palaeo-temperature record used among the six selected for this study, and thus can be regarded as a robust model output, which broadly matches geological evidence. However, the timing of the two glaciation peaks depends sensitively on which climate record is used to drive the model. The timing of the LGM is best reproduced by the EPICA and Vostok Antarctic ice core records. It occurs about 2 ka too early in the simulation forced by the GRIP ice core record, and occurs even earlier in all other simulations. The mismatch is largest for the two Northwest Pacific ODP palaeo-temperature records, which are affected by the weakening of the California current during the LGM. Nevertheless, the fact that the use of paleo-temperature reconstructions from remote sites in Greenland and Antarctica produces the best agreement between modelled Cordilleran ice sheet dynamics and available geological evidence, and the significant differences remaining between the two preferred simulations highlight the need for more regional palaeo-climate reconstructions of the last glacial cycle in and around the North American Cordillera.

In all simulations presented here, ice cover is limited to disjoint mountain ice caps during most of the glacial cycle. The most persistent nucleation centres are located in the Coast Mountains, the Columbia and Rocky mountains, the Selwyn and Mackenzie mountains, and most importantly, in the Skeena Mountains. Throughout the modelled last glacial cycle, the Skeena Mountains host an ice cap which appears to be fed by the moisture intruding inland from the west through a topographic breach in the Coast Mountains. The Skeena ice cap acts as the main nucleation centre for the glacial readvance towards the LGM configuration. As indicated by persistent, warm-based ice in the model, this ice cap perhaps explains the distinct glacial erosional imprint observed on the landscape of the Skeena Mountains.

During deglaciation, none of the climate records used can be selected as producing an optimal agreement between the model results and the geological evidence. Although the EPICA-driven simulation yields the most realistic timing of the LGM and,

therefore, start of deglaciation, only the GRIP-driven simulation produces late glacial readvances in areas where these have been documented. Nonetheless, the patterns of ice sheet retreat are consistent between the two simulations, and show a rapid deglaciation of the southern sector of the ice sheet, including a rapid northwards retreat across the Interior Plateau of central British Columbia. The GRIP-driven simulation then produces a late-glacial readvance of local ice caps and of the main body of the decaying Cordilleran ice sheet primarily in the Coast and the Columbia and Rocky Mountains. In both simulations, this is followed by an opening of the Liard Lowland, and a final retreat of the remaining ice caps towards the Selwyn and, finally, the Skeena mountains, which hosts the last remnant of the ice sheet during the middle Holocene (6.6–6.26.7 ka). Our results identify the Skeena Mountains as a key area to understanding glacial dynamics of the Cordilleran ice sheet, highlighting the need for further geological investigation of this region.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/tc-0-1-2016-supplement.

Author contributions. J. Seguinot ran the simulations; I. Rogozhina guided experiment design; A. P. Stroeven, M. Margold and J. Kleman took part in the interpretation and comparison of model results against geological evidence. All authors contributed to the text.

Acknowledgements. Foremost, we would like to thank Shawn Marshall for providing a detailed, constructive analysis of this study during J. Seguinot's PhD defence (September 2014). His comments were used to improve the model set-up. We are very thankful to Constantine Khroulev, Ed Bueler, and Andy Aschwanden for providing constant help and development with PISM. We thank Shawn Marshall, Alexander Jarosch and Andrew Stumpf for their constructive and complementary reviews. This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council (VR) grant no. 2008-3449 to A. P. Stroeven and by the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) grant no. 50015537 and a Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation grant to J. Seguinot. Computer resources were provided by the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) allocation no. 2013/1-159 and 2014/1-159 to A. P. Stroeven at the National Supercomputing Center (NSC) -and by the Swiss National Supercomputing Centre (CSCS) allocation no. s573 to J. Seguinot.

References

- Albrecht, T., Martin, M., Haseloff, M., Winkelmann, R., and Levermann, A.: Parameterization for subgrid-scale motion of ice-shelf calving fronts, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 35–44, doi:10.5194/tc-5-35-2011, 2011.
- Amante, C. and Eakins, B. W.: ETOPO1 1 arc-minute global relief model: procedures, data sources and analysis, NOAA technical memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24, Natl. Geophys. Data Center, NOAA, Boulder, CO, doi:10.7289/V5C8276M, 2009.
- Andersen, K. K., Azuma, N., Barnola, J.-M., Bigler, M., Biscaye, P., Caillon, N., Chappellaz, J., Clausen, H. B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Flückiger, J., Fritzsche, D., Fujii, Y., Goto-Azuma, K., Grønvold, K., Gundestrup, N. S., Hansson, M., Huber, C., Hvidberg, C. S., Johnsen, S. J., Jonsell, U., Jouzel, J., Kipfstuhl, S., Landais, A., Leuenberger, M., Lorrain, R., Masson-Delmotte, V., Miller, H., Motoyama, H., Narita, H., Popp, T., Rasmussen, S. O., Raynaud, D., Rothlisberger, R., Ruth, U., Samyn, D., Schwander, J., Shoji, H., Siggard-Andersen, M.-L., Steffensen, J. P., Stocker, T., Sveinbjörnsdóttir, A. E., Svensson, A., Takata, M., Tison, J.-L., Thorsteinsson, T., Watanabe, O., Wilhelms, F., and White, J. W. C.: High-resolution record of Northern Hemisphere climate extending into the last interglacial period, *Nature*, 431, 147–151, doi:10.1038/nature02805, data archived at the World Data Center for Paleoclimatology, Boulder, Colorado, USA, 2004.
- Aschwanden, A., Bueler, E., Khroulev, C., and Blatter, H.: An enthalpy formulation for glaciers and ice sheets, *J. Glaciol.*, 58, 441–457, doi:10.3189/2012JoG11J088, 2012.
- Aschwanden, A., Aðalgeirsdóttir, G., and Khroulev, C.: Hindcasting to measure ice sheet model sensitivity to initial states, *The Cryosphere*, 7, 1083–1093, doi:10.5194/tc-7-1083-2013, 2013.
- Balay, S., Abhyankar, S., Adams, M. F., Brown, J., Brune, P., Buschelman, K., Eijkhout, V., Gropp, W. D., Kaushik, D., Knepley, M. G., McInnes, L. C., Rupp, K., Smith, B. F., and Zhang, H.: PETSc Web page, available at: <http://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc> (last access: 2015), 2015.
- Barendregt, R. W. and Irving, E.: Changes in the extent of North American ice sheets during the late Cenozoic, *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, 35, 504–509, doi:10.1139/e97-126, 1998.
- Bednarski, J. M. and Smith, I. R.: Laurentide and montane glaciation along the Rocky Mountain Foothills of northeastern British Columbia, *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, 44, 445–457, doi:10.1139/e06-095, 2007.
- Blackwell, D. D. and Richards, M.: *Geothermal Map of North America*, Am. Assoc. Petr. Geol., Tulsa, OK, 2004.
- Booth, D. B., Troost, K. G., Clague, J. J., and Waitt, R. B.: The Cordilleran ice sheet, in: *The Quaternary Period in the United States*, edited by: Gillespie, A., Porter, S., and Atwater, B., vol. 1 of *Dev. Quaternary Sci.*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 17–43, doi:10.1016/s1571-0866(03)01002-9, 2003.
- Boulton, G. S. and Clark, C. D.: A highly mobile Laurentide ice sheet revealed by satellite images of glacial lineations, *Nature*, 346, 813–817, doi:10.1038/346813a0, 1990.
- Boulton, G. S., Dongelmans, P., Punkari, M., and Broadgate, M.: Palaeoglaciology of an ice sheet through a glacial cycle: the European ice sheet through the Weichselian, *Quaternary Res.*, 20, 591–625, doi:10.1016/s0277-3791(00)00160-8, 2001.
- Briner, J. P. and Kaufman, D. S.: Late Pleistocene mountain glaciation in Alaska: key chronologies, *J. Quaternary Sci.*, 23, 659–670, doi:10.1002/jqs.1196, 2008.
- Bueler, E. and Brown, J.: Shallow shelf approximation as a “sliding law” in a thermodynamically coupled ice sheet model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, F03008, doi:10.1029/2008JF001179, 2009.

- Bueler, E. and van Pelt, W.: Mass-conserving subglacial hydrology in the Parallel Ice Sheet Model version 0.6, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 8, 1613–1635, doi:10.5194/gmd-8-1613-2015, 2015.
- Bueler, E., Lingle, C. S., and Brown, J.: Fast computation of a viscoelastic deformable Earth model for ice-sheet simulations, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 46, 97–105, doi:10.3189/172756407782871567, 2007.
- 5 Burke, M. J., Brennand, T. A., and Perkins, A. J.: Transient subglacial hydrology of a thin ice sheet: insights from the Chasm esker, British Columbia, Canada, *Quaternary Res.*, 58, 30–55, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.09.004, 2012a.
- Burke, M. J., Brennand, T. A., and Perkins, A. J.: Evolution of the subglacial hydrologic system beneath the rapidly decaying Cordilleran Ice Sheet caused by ice-dammed lake drainage: implications for meltwater-induced ice acceleration, *Quaternary Res.*, 50, 125–140, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.07.005, 2012b.
- 10 Calov, R. and Greve, R.: A semi-analytical solution for the positive degree-day model with stochastic temperature variations, *J. Glaciol.*, 51, 173–175, doi:10.3189/172756505781829601, 2005.
- Carlson, A. E. and Clark, P. U.: Ice sheet sources of sea level rise and freshwater discharge during the last deglaciation, *Rev. Geophys.*, 50, RG4007, doi:10.1029/2011rg000371, 2012.
- Carrara, P. E., Kiver, E. P., and Stradling, D. F.: The southern limit of Cordilleran ice in the Colville and Pend Oreille valleys of northeastern Washington during the Late Wisconsin glaciation, *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, 33, 769–778, doi:10.1139/e96-059, 1996.
- 15 Clague, J., Armstrong, J., and Mathews, W.: Advance of the late Wisconsin Cordilleran Ice Sheet in southern British Columbia since 22 000 yr BP, *Quaternary Res.*, 13, 322–326, doi:10.1016/0033-5894(80)90060-5, 1980.
- Clague, J. J.: Late Quaternary Geology and Geochronology of British Columbia Part 2: Summary and Discussion of Radiocarbon-Dated Quaternary History, *Geol. Surv. of Can., Ottawa, ON, Paper 80-35*, doi:10.4095/119439, 1981.
- 20 Clague, J. J.: Delaciation of the Prince Rupert – Kitimat area, British Columbia, *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, 22, 256–265, doi:10.1139/e85-022, 1985.
- Clague, J. J.: The Quaternary stratigraphic record of British Columbia — evidence for episodic sedimentation and erosion controlled by glaciation, *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, 23, 885–894, doi:10.1139/e86-090, 1986.
- Clague, J. J.: Character and distribution of Quaternary deposits (Canadian Cordillera), in: *Quaternary Geology of Canada and Greenland*, edited by: Fulton, R. J., vol. 1 of *Geology of Canada*, *Geol. Surv. of Can., Ottawa, ON*, 34–48, doi:10.4095/127905, 1989.
- 25 Clague, J. J. and James, T. S.: History and isostatic effects of the last ice sheet in southern British Columbia, *Quaternary Res.*, 21, 71–87, doi:10.1016/s0277-3791(01)00070-1, 2002.
- Clague, J. J. and Ward, B.: Pleistocene Glaciation of British Columbia, in: *Dev. Quaternary Sci.*, vol. 15, edited by: Ehlers, J., Gibbard, P. L., and Hughes, P. D., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 563–573, doi:10.1016/b978-0-444-53447-7.00044-1, 2011.
- Clague, J. J., Mathewes, R. W., Guilbault, J.-P., Hutchinson, I., and Ricketts, B. D.: Pre-Younger Dryas resurgence of the southwestern margin of the Cordilleran ice sheet, British Columbia, Canada, *Boreas*, 26, 261–278, doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.1997.tb00855.x, 1997.
- 30 Clague, J. J., Froese, D., Hutchinson, I., James, T. S., and Simon, K. M.: Early growth of the last Cordilleran ice sheet deduced from glacio-isostatic depression in southwest British Columbia, Canada, *Quaternary Res.*, 63, 53–59, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2004.09.007, 2005.
- Clark, P. U. and Mix, A. C.: Ice sheets and sea level of the Last Glacial Maximum, *Quaternary Res.*, 21, 1–7, doi:10.1016/s0277-3791(01)00118-4, 2002.
- 35 Clason, C., Mair, D. W., Burgess, D. O., and Nienow, P. W.: Modelling the delivery of supraglacial meltwater to the ice/bed interface: application to southwest Devon Ice Cap, Nunavut, Canada, *J. Glaciol.*, 58, 361–374, doi:10.3189/2012jog11j129, 2012.

- Clarke, G. K. C., Anslow, F. S., Jarosch, A. H., Radić, V., Menounos, B., Bolch, T., and Berthier, E.: [Ice Volume and Subglacial Topography for Western Canadian Glaciers from Mass Balance Fields, Thinning Rates, and a Bed Stress Model](#), *J. Climate*, 26, 4282–4303, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-12-00513.1, 2013.
- Clason, C., Applegate, P., and Holmlund, P.: Modelling Late Weichselian evolution of the Eurasian ice sheets forced by surface meltwater-enhanced basal sliding, *J. Glaciol.*, 60, 29–40, doi:10.3189/2014jog13j037, 2014.
- Cosma, T., Hendy, I., and Chang, A.: Chronological constraints on Cordilleran Ice Sheet glaciomarine sedimentation from core MD02-2496 off Vancouver Island (western Canada), *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 27, 941–955, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.01.013, 2008.
- Cuffey, K. M. and Paterson, W. S. B.: [The physics of glaciers](#), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2010.
- Dansgaard, W., Johnsen, S. J., Clausen, H. B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Gundestrup, N. S., Hammer, C. U., Hvidberg, C. S., Steffensen, J. P., Sveinbjörnsdottir, A. E., Jouzel, J., and Bond, G.: Evidence for general instability of past climate from a 250-kyr ice-core record, *Nature*, 364, 218–220, doi:10.1038/364218a0, data archived at the World Data Center for Paleoclimatology, Boulder, Colorado, USA., 1993.
- Davies, M. H., Mix, A. C., Stoner, J. S., Addison, J. A., Jaeger, J., Finney, B., and Wiest, J.: The deglacial transition on the south-eastern Alaska Margin: Meltwater input, sea level rise, marine productivity, and sedimentary anoxia, *Paleoceanography*, 26, PA2223, doi:10.1029/2010pa002051, 2011.
- Davis, N. F. G. and Mathews, W. H.: Four phases of glaciation with illustrations from Southwestern British Columbia, *J. Geol.*, 52, 403–413, doi:10.1086/625236, 1944.
- Dawson, G. M.: III. – Recent observations on the glaciation of British Columbia and adjacent regions, *Geol. Mag.*, 5, 347–350, doi:10.1017/s0016756800182159, 1888.
- Demuro, M., Froese, D. G., Arnold, L. J., and Roberts, R. G.: Single-grain OSL dating of glaciofluvial quartz constrains Reid glaciation in NW Canada to MIS 6, *Quaternary Res.*, 77, 305–316, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2011.11.009, 2012.
- Duk-Rodkin, A.: Glacial limits map of Yukon Territory, Open File 3694, Geol. Surv. of Can., Ottawa, ON, doi:10.4095/210739, 1999.
- Duk-Rodkin, A., Barendregt, R. W., Tarnocai, C., and Phillips, F. M.: Late Tertiary to late Quaternary record in the Mackenzie Mountains, Northwest Territories, Canada: stratigraphy, paleosols, paleomagnetism, and chlorine-36, *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, 33, 875–895, doi:10.1139/e96-066, 1996.
- Dyke, A. S.: An outline of North American deglaciation with emphasis on central and northern Canada, in: *Dev. Quaternary Sci.*, vol. 2, edited by: Ehlers, J. and Gibbard, P. L., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 373–424, doi:10.1016/S1571-0866(04)80209-4, 2004.
- Dyke, A. S. and Prest, V. K.: Late wisconsinan and holocene history of the laurentide ice sheet, *Geogr. Phys. Quatern.*, 41, 237–263, doi:10.7202/032681ar, 1987.
- Dyke, A. S., Moore, A., and Robertson, L.: Deglaciation of North America, Open File 1547, Geol. Surv. of Can., Ottawa, ON, 2003.
- Ehlers, J. and Gibbard, P. L. (Eds.): *Dev. Quaternary Sci.*, vol. 2, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004.
- Ehlers, J., Gibbard, P. L., and Hughes, P. D. (Eds.): *Dev. Quaternary Sci.*, vol. 15, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2011.
- Fahnestock, M., Abdalati, W., Joughin, I., Brozena, J., and Gogineni, P.: High geothermal heat flow, basal melt, and the origin of rapid ice flow in central greenland, *Science*, 294, 2338–2342, doi:10.1126/science.1065370, 2001.
- Ferbey, T., Arnold, H., and Hickin, A.: [Ice-flow indicator compilation](#), British Columbia, *British Columbia Geol. Surv.*, Victoria, BC, Open-File 2013-06, <http://www.em.gov.bc.ca/Mining/Geoscience/PublicationsCatalogue/OpenFiles/2013/Pages/2013-06.aspx>, 2013.
- Fisher, D., Osterberg, E., Dyke, A., Dahl-Jensen, D., Demuth, M., Zdanowicz, C., Bourgeois, J., Koerner, R. M., Mayewski, P., Wake, C., Kreutz, K., Steig, E., Zheng, J., Yalcin, K., Goto-Azuma, K., Luckman, B., and Rupper, S.: [The Mt Logan Holocene–late Wisconsinan isotope record: tropical Pacific–Yukon connections](#), *The Holocene*, 18, 667–677, doi:10.1177/0959683608092236, 2008.

- Fisher, D. A., Wake, C., Kreutz, K., Yalcin, K., Steig, E., Mayewski, P., Anderson, L., Zheng, J., Rupper, S., Zdanowicz, C., Demuth, M., Waszkiewicz, M., Dahl-Jensen, D., Goto-Azuma, K., Bourgeois, J. B., Koerner, R. M., Sekerka, J., Osterberg, E., Abbott, M. B., Finney, B. P., and Burns, S. J.: Stable Isotope Records from Mount Logan, Eclipse Ice Cores and Nearby Jellybean Lake. Water Cycle of the North Pacific Over 2000 Years and Over Five Vertical Kilometres: Sudden Shifts and Tropical Connections, *Géogr. phys. Quatern.*, 58, 337, doi:10.7202/013147ar, 2004.
- 5 Friele, P. A. and Clague, J. J.: Readvance of glaciers in the British Columbia Coast Mountains at the end of the last glaciation, *Quatern. Int.*, 87, 45–58, doi:10.1016/s1040-6182(01)00061-1, 2002a.
- Friele, P. A. and Clague, J. J.: Younger Dryas readvance in Squamish river valley, southern Coast mountains, British Columbia, *Quaternary Res.*, 21, 1925–1933, doi:10.1016/s0277-3791(02)00081-1, 2002b.
- 10 Fulton, R. J.: Deglaciation studies in Kamloops region, an area of moderate relief, British Columbia, vol. 154 of *Bull., Geol. Surv. of Can.*, Ottawa, ON, doi:10.4095/101467, 1967.
- Fulton, R. J.: A Conceptual model for growth and decay of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet, *Geogr. Phys. Quatern.*, 45, 281–286, doi:10.7202/032875ar, 1991.
- Glen, J.: Experiments on the deformation of ice, *J. Glaciol.*, 2, 111–114, 1952.
- 15 Golledge, N. R., Mackintosh, A. N., Anderson, B. M., Buckley, K. M., Doughty, A. M., Barrell, D. J., Denton, G. H., Vandergoes, M. J., Andersen, B. G., and Schaefer, J. M.: Last Glacial Maximum climate in New Zealand inferred from a modelled Southern Alps icefield, *Quaternary Res.*, 46, 30–45, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.05.004, 2012.
- Greve, R.: A continuum-mechanical formulation for shallow polythermal ice sheets, *Philos. T. R. Soc. A*, 355, 921–974, doi:10.1098/rsta.1997.0050, 1997.
- 20 Herbert, T. D., Schuffert, J. D., Andreasen, D., Heusser, L., Lyle, M., Mix, A., Ravelo, A. C., Stott, L. D., and Herguera, J. C.: Collapse of the California current during glacial maxima linked to climate change on land, *Science*, 293, 71–76, doi:10.1126/science.1059209, data archived at the World Data Center for Paleoclimatology, Boulder, Colorado, USA, 2001.
- Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G., and Jarvis, A.: Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 25, 1965–1978, doi:10.1002/joc.1276, 2005.
- 25 Hidy, A. J., Gosse, J. C., Froese, D. G., Bond, J. D., and Rood, D. H.: A latest Pliocene age for the earliest and most extensive Cordilleran Ice Sheet in northwestern Canada, *Quaternary Res.*, 61, 77–84, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.11.009, 2013.
- Hock, R.: Temperature index melt modelling in mountain areas, *J. Hydrol.*, 282, 104–115, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00257-9, 2003.
- Imbrie, J., McIntyre, A., and Mix, A.: Oceanic response to orbital forcing in the late quaternary: observational and experimental strategies, in: *Climate and Geo-Sciences*, edited by: Berger, A., Schneider, S., and Duplessy, J., vol. 285 of *NATO ASI Series C*, Kluwer, Norwell, MA, 121–164, doi:10.1007/978-94-009-2446-8_7, 1989.
- 30 Ivy-Ochs, S., Schluchter, C., Kubik, P. W., and Denton, G. H.: Moraine exposure dates imply synchronous Younger Dryas Glacier advances in the European Alps and in the Southern Alps of New Zealand, *Geogr. Ann. A*, 81, 313–323, doi:10.1111/1468-0459.00060, 1999.
- Jackson, L. E. and Clague, J. J.: The Cordilleran ice sheet: one hundred and fifty years of exploration and discovery, *Geogr. Phys. Quatern.*, 45, 269–280, doi:10.7202/032874ar, 1991.
- 35 Jackson, L. E., Phillips, F. M., Shimamura, K., and Little, E. C.: Cosmogenic ^{36}Cl dating of the Foothills erratics train, Alberta, Canada, *Geology*, 25, 195, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1997)025<0195:ccdof>2.3.co;2, 1997.
- James, T. S., Gowan, E. J., Wada, I., and Wang, K.: Viscosity of the asthenosphere from glacial isostatic adjustment and subduction dynamics at the northern Cascadia subduction zone, British Columbia, Canada, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, B04405, doi:10.1029/2008jb006077, 2009.

- Jarosch, A. H., Anslow, F. S., and Clarke, G. K. C.: High-resolution precipitation and temperature downscaling for glacier models, *Clim. Dynam.*, **38**, 391–409, doi:10.1007/s00382-010-0949-1, 2012.
- Johnsen, S. J., Dahl-Jensen, D., Dansgaard, W., and Gundestrup, N.: Greenland palaeotemperatures derived from GRIP bore hole temperature and ice core isotope profiles, *Tellus B*, **47**, 624–629, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.47.issue5.9.x, 1995.
- 5 Jouzel, J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Cattani, O., Dreyfus, G., Falourd, S., Hoffmann, G., Minster, B., Nouet, J., Barnola, J. M., Chappellaz, J., Fischer, H., Gallet, J. C., Johnsen, S., Leuenberger, M., Loulergue, L., Luethi, D., Oerter, H., Parrenin, F., Raisbeck, G., Raynaud, D., Schilt, A., Schwander, J., Selmo, E., Souchez, R., Spahni, R., Stauffer, B., Steffensen, J. P., Stenni, B., Stocker, T. F., Tison, J. L., Werner, M., and Wolff, E. W.: Orbital and millennial antarctic climate variability over the past 800 000 years, *Science*, **317**, 793–796, doi:10.1126/science.1141038, data archived at the World Data Center for Paleoclimatology, Boulder, Colorado, USA., 2007.
- 10 Kaufman, D. S. and Manley, W. F.: Pleistocene maximum and late wisconsinan glacier extents across Alaska, USA, in: *Dev. Quaternary Sci.*, vol. 2, edited by: Ehlers, J. and Gibbard, P. L., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 9–27, doi:10.1016/S1571-0866(04)80182-9, 2004.
- Kienast, S. S. and McKay, J. L.: Sea surface temperatures in the subarctic northeast Pacific reflect millennial-scale climate oscillations during the last 16 kyrs, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **28**, 1563–1566, doi:10.1029/2000GL012543, 2001.
- Kleman, J.: Preservation of landforms under ice sheets and ice caps, *Geomorphology*, **9**, 19–32, doi:10.1016/0169-555x(94)90028-0, 1994.
- 15 Kleman, J. and Stroeve, A. P.: Preglacial surface remnants and Quaternary glacial regimes in northwestern Sweden, *Geomorphology*, **19**, 35–54, doi:10.1016/S0169-555x(96)00046-3, 1997.
- Kleman, J., Hättestrand, C., Borgström, I., and Stroeve, A.: Fennoscandian paleoglaciology reconstructed using a glacial geological inversion model, *J. Glaciol.*, **43**, 283–299, 1997.
- Kleman, J., Hättestrand, C., Stroeve, A. P., Jansson, K. N., De Angelis, H., and Borgström, I.: Reconstruction of palaeo-ice sheets – inversion of their glacial geomorphological record, in: *Glacier Science and Environmental Change*, edited by: Knight, P. G., Blackwell, Malden, MA, 192–198, doi:10.1002/9780470750636.ch38, 2006.
- 20 Kleman, J., Stroeve, A. P., and Lundqvist, J.: Patterns of quaternary ice sheet erosion and deposition in Fennoscandia and a theoretical framework for explanation, *Geomorphology*, **97**, 73–90, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.02.049, 2008.
- Kleman, J., Jansson, K., De Angelis, H., Stroeve, A., Hättestrand, C., Alm, G., and Glasser, N.: North American ice sheet build-up during the last glacial cycle, 115–21 kyr, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, **29**, 2036–2051, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.04.021, 2010.
- 25 Kovanen, D. J.: Morphologic and stratigraphic evidence for Allerød and Younger Dryas age glacier fluctuations of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet, British Columbia, Canada and Northwest Washington, USA, *Boreas*, **31**, 163–184, doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.2002.tb01064.x, 2002.
- Kovanen, D. J. and Easterbrook, D. J.: Timing and extent of Allerød and Younger Dryas age (ca. 12 500–10 000 ¹⁴C yr BP) oscillations of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet in the Fraser Lowland, Western North America, *Quaternary Res.*, **57**, 208–224, doi:10.1006/qres.2001.2307, 2002.
- 30 Lakeman, T. R., Clague, J. J., and Menounos, B.: Advance of alpine glaciers during final retreat of the Cordilleran ice sheet in the Finlay River area, northern British Columbia, Canada, *Quaternary Res.*, **69**, 188–200, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2008.01.002, 2008.
- Langen, P. L., Solgaard, A. M., and Hvidberg, C. S.: Self-inhibiting growth of the Greenland ice sheet, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L12502, doi:10.1029/2012GL051810, 2012.
- Levermann, A., Albrecht, T., Winkelmann, R., Martin, M. A., Haseloff, M., and Joughin, I.: Kinematic first-order calving law implies potential for abrupt ice-shelf retreat, *The Cryosphere*, **6**, 273–286, doi:10.5194/tc-6-273-2012, 2012.
- 35 Lingle, C. S. and Clark, J. A.: A numerical model of interactions between a marine ice sheet and the Solid Earth: application to a West Antarctic ice stream, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **90**, 1100–1114, doi:10.1029/JC090iC01p01100, 1985.

- Lisiecki, L. E. and Raymo, M. E.: A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records, *Paleoceanography*, 20, PA1003, doi:10.1029/2004pa001071, 2005.
- [Lliboutry, L. A. and Duval, P.: Various isotropic and anisotropic ices found in glaciers and polar ice caps and their corresponding rheologies, *Ann. Geophys.*, 3, 207–224, 1985.](#)
- 5 [Luckman, B. and Osborn, G.: Holocene glacier fluctuations in the middle Canadian Rocky Mountains, *Quaternary Res.*, 11, 52–77, doi:10.1016/0033-5894\(79\)90069-3, 1979.](#)
- Lundqvist, J.: Glaciodynamics of the Younger Dryas Marginal zone in Scandinavia: implications of a revised glaciation model, *Geogr. Ann.* A, 69, 305, doi:10.2307/521191, 1987.
- [Lüthi, M., Funk, M., Iken, A., Gogineni, S., and Truffer, M.: Mechanisms of fast flow in Jakobshavns Isbræ, Greenland: Part III: measurements of ice deformation, temperature and cross-borehole conductivity in boreholes to the bedrock, *J. Glaciol.*, 48, 369–385, doi:10.3189/172756502781831322, 2002.](#)
- 10 [Margold, M., Jansson, K. N., Kleman, J., and Stroeven, A. P.: Glacial meltwater landforms of central British Columbia, *J. Maps*, 7, 486–506, doi:10.4113/jom.2011.1205, 2011.](#)
- Margold, M., Jansson, K. N., Kleman, J., and Stroeven, A. P.: Lateglacial ice dynamics of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet in northern British Columbia and southern Yukon Territory: retreat pattern of the Liard Lobe reconstructed from the glacial landform record, *J. Quaternary Sci.*, 28, 180–188, doi:10.1002/jqs.2604, 2013a.
- 15 [Margold, M., Jansson, K. N., Kleman, J., Stroeven, A. P., and Clague, J. J.: Retreat pattern of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet in central British Columbia at the end of the last glaciation reconstructed from glacial meltwater landforms, *Boreas*, 42, 830–847, doi:10.1111/bor.12007, 2013b.](#)
- 20 [Margold, M., Stroeven, A. P., Clague, J. J., and Heyman, J.: Timing of terminal Pleistocene deglaciation at high elevations in southern and central British Columbia constrained by \$^{10}\text{Be}\$ exposure dating, *Quaternary Res.*, 99, 193–202, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.06.027, 2014.](#)
- [Marshall, S. J., Tarasov, L., Clarke, G. K., and Peltier, W. R.: Glaciological reconstruction of the Laurentide Ice Sheet: physical processes and modelling challenges, *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, 37, 769–793, doi:10.1139/e99-113, 2000.](#)
- [Martin, M. A., Winkelmann, R., Haseloff, M., Albrecht, T., Bueller, E., Khroulev, C., and Levermann, A.: The Potsdam Parallel Ice Sheet Model \(PISM-PIK\) – Part 2: Dynamic equilibrium simulation of the Antarctic ice sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 727–740, doi:10.5194/tc-5-727-2011, 2011.](#)
- 25 [Menounos, B., Osborn, G., Clague, J. J., and Luckman, B. H.: Latest Pleistocene and Holocene glacier fluctuations in western Canada, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 28, 2049–2074, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.10.018, 2008.](#)
- [Mesinger, F., DiMego, G., Kalnay, E., Mitchell, K., Shafran, P. C., Ebisuzaki, W., Jović, D., Woollen, J., Rogers, E., Berbery, E. H., Ek, M. B., Fan, Y., Grumbine, R., Higgins, W., Li, H., Lin, Y., Manikin, G., Parrish, D., and Shi, W.: North American regional reanalysis, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 87, 343–360, doi:10.1175/BAMS-87-3-343, 2006.](#)
- 30 [Nye, J. F.: The Flow Law of Ice from Measurements in Glacier Tunnels, Laboratory Experiments and the Jungfraufirn Borehole Experiment, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 219, 477–489, 1953.](#)
- [Osborn, G. and Gerloff, L.: Latest pleistocene and early Holocene fluctuations of glaciers in the Canadian and northern American Rockies, *Quatern. Int.*, 38–39, 7–19, doi:10.1016/s1040-6182\(96\)00026-2, 1997.](#)
- [Osborn, G., Clapperton, C., Davis, P., Reasoner, M., Rodbell, D. T., Seltzer, G. O., and Zielinski, G.: Potential glacial evidence for the younger dryas event in the Cordillera of North and South America, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 14, 823–832, doi:10.1016/0277-3791\(95\)00064-x, 1995.](#)
- [Paterson, W. S. B. and Budd, W. F.: Flow parameters for ice sheet modeling, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 6, 175–177, 1982.](#)

- Patterson, T. and Kelso, N. V.: Natural Earth, Free vector and raster map data, available at: <http://naturalearthdata.com> (last access: 2015), 2015.
- Perkins, A. J. and Brennand, T. A.: Refining the pattern and style of Cordilleran Ice Sheet retreat: palaeogeography, evolution and implications of lateglacial ice-dammed lake systems on the southern Fraser Plateau, British Columbia, Canada, *Boreas*, 44, 319–342, doi:10.1111/bor.12100, ~~2014~~-2015.
- Perkins, A. J., Brennand, T. A., and Burke, M. J.: Genesis of an esker-like ridge over the southern Fraser Plateau, British Columbia: implications for paleo-ice sheet reconstruction based on geomorphic inversion, *Geomorphology*, 190, 27–39, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.02.005, 2013.
- Petit, J. R., Jouzel, J., Raynaud, D., Barkov, N. I., Barnola, J.-M., Basile, I., Bender, M., Chappellaz, J., Davis, M., Delaygue, G., Delmotte, M., Kotlyakov, V. M., Legrand, M., Lipenkov, V. Y., Lorius, C., Pépin, L., Ritz, C., Saltzman, E., and Stievenard, M.: Climate and atmospheric history of the past 420,000 years from the Vostok ice core, Antarctica, *Nature*, 399, 429–436, doi:10.1038/20859, data archived at the World Data Center for Paleoclimatology, Boulder, Colorado, USA., 1999.
- Porter, S. C.: Some geological implications of average Quaternary glacial conditions, *Quaternary Res.*, 32, 245–261, doi:10.1016/0033-5894(89)90092-6, 1989.
- Porter, S. C. and Swanson, T. W.: Radiocarbon age constraints on rates of advance and retreat of the Puget Lobe of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet during the last glaciation, *Quaternary Res.*, 50, 205–213, doi:10.1006/qres.1998.2004, 1998.
- Praetorius, S. K. and Mix, A. C.: Synchronization of North Pacific and Greenland climates preceded abrupt deglacial warming, *Science*, 345, 444–448, doi:10.1126/science.1252000, 2014.
- Prest, V. K., Grant, D. R., and Rampton, V. N.: Glacial map of Canada, “A” Series Map 1253A, Geol. Surv. of Can., Ottawa, ON, doi:10.4095/108979, 1968.
- Reasoner, M. A., Osborn, G., and Rutter, N. W.: Age of the Crowfoot advance in the Canadian Rocky Mountains: A glacial event coeval with the Younger Dryas oscillation, *Geology*, 22, 439–442, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1994)022<0439:AOTCAI>2.3.CO;2, 1994.
- Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Larsen, C. F., Gim, Y., and Kirchner, D.: Low-frequency radar sounding of temperate ice masses in Southern Alaska, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 5399–5405, doi:10.1002/2013gl057452, 2013.
- Robert, B. L.: Modeling the Cordilleran ice sheet, *Geogr. Phys. Quatern.*, 45, 287–299, doi:10.7202/032876ar, 1991.
- Rutter, N., Coronato, A., Helmens, K., Rabassa, J., and Zárate, M.: Glaciations in North and South America from the Miocene to the Last Glacial Maximum: Comparisons, Linkages and Uncertainties, Springer Briefs in Earth System Sciences, Springer, Dordrecht, doi:10.1007/978-94-007-4399-1, 2012.
- Ryder, J. M., Fulton, R. J., and Clague, J. J.: The Cordilleran ice sheet and the glacial geomorphology of southern and central British Columbia, *Geogr. Phys. Quatern.*, 45, 365–377, doi:10.7202/032882ar, 1991.
- Seguinot, J.: Spatial and seasonal effects of temperature variability in a positive degree-day glacier surface mass-balance model, *J. Glaciol.*, 59, 1202–1204, doi:10.3189/2013JoG13J081, 2013.
- Seguinot, J., Khroulev, C., Rogozhina, I., Stroeve, A. P., and Zhang, Q.: The effect of climate forcing on numerical simulations of the Cordilleran ice sheet at the Last Glacial Maximum, *The Cryosphere*, 8, 1087–1103, doi:10.5194/tc-8-1087-2014, 2014.
- Shea, J. M., Moore, R. D., and Stahl, K.: Derivation of melt factors from glacier mass-balance records in western Canada, *J. Glaciol.*, 55, 123–130, doi:10.3189/002214309788608886, 2009.
- Sissons, J. B.: The Loch Lomond Stadial in the British Isles, *Nature*, 280, 199–203, doi:10.1038/280199a0, 1979.

- Stea, R. R., Seaman, A. A., Pronk, T., Parkhill, M. A., Allard, S., and Utting, D.: The Appalachian Glacier Complex in Maritime Canada, in: *Dev. Quaternary Sci.*, vol. 15, edited by: Ehlers, J., Gibbard, P. L., and Hughes, P. D., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 631–659, doi:10.1016/b978-0-444-53447-7.00048-9, 2011.
- Stroeven, A. P., Fabel, D., Codilean, A. T., Kleman, J., Clague, J. J., Miguens-Rodriguez, M., and Xu, S.: Investigating the glacial history of the northern sector of the Cordilleran ice sheet with cosmogenic ^{10}Be concentrations in quartz, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 29, 3630–3643, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.07.010, 2010.
- Stroeven, A. P., Fabel, D., Margold, M., Clague, J. J., and Xu, S.: Investigating absolute chronologies of glacial advances in the NW sector of the Cordilleran ice sheet with terrestrial in situ cosmogenic nuclides, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 92, 429–443, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.09.026, 2014.
- Stroeven, A. P., Hättestrand, C., Kleman, J., Heyman, J., Fabel, D., Fredin, O., Goodfellow, B. W., Harbor, J. M., Jansen, J. D., Olsen, L., Caffee, M. W., Fink, D., Lundqvist, J., Rosqvist, G. C., Strömberg, B., and Jansson, K. N.: Deglaciation of Fennoscandia, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, in review, 2015.
- Stumpf, A. J., Broster, B. E., and Levson, V. M.: Multiphase flow of the late Wisconsinan Cordilleran ice sheet in western Canada, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 112, 1850–1863, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(2000)112<1850:mftlw>2.0.co;2, 2000.
- Taylor, M., Hendy, I., and Pak, D.: Deglacial ocean warming and marine margin retreat of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet in the North Pacific Ocean, *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.*, 403, 89–98, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.06.026, 2014.
- [Taylor, M. A., Hendy, I. L., and Pak, D. K.: The California Current System as a transmitter of millennial scale climate change on the northeastern Pacific margin from 10 to 50 ka, *Paleoceanography*, 30, 1168–1182, doi:10.1002/2014pa002738, 2015.](#)
- the PISM authors: PISM, a Parallel Ice Sheet Model, available at: <http://www.pism-docs.org> (last access: 2015), 2015.
- Troost, K. G.: The penultimate glaciation and mid-to late-pleistocene stratigraphy in the Central Puget Lowland, Washington, in: 2014 GSA Annual Meeting, Vancouver, 19–22 October 2014, abstract no. 138-9, 2014.
- Tulaczyk, S., Kamb, W. B., and Engelhardt, H. F.: Basal mechanics of Ice Stream B, west Antarctica: 1. Till mechanics, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 463, doi:10.1029/1999jb900329, 2000.
- Turner, D. G., Ward, B. C., Bond, J. D., Jensen, B. J., Froese, D. G., Telka, A. M., Zazula, G. D., and Bigelow, N. H.: Middle to Late Pleistocene ice extents, tephrochronology and paleoenvironments of the White River area, southwest Yukon, *Quaternary Res.*, 75, 59–77, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.05.011, 2013.
- Ward, B. C., Bond, J. D., and Gosse, J. C.: Evidence for a 55–50 ka (early Wisconsin) glaciation of the Cordilleran ice sheet, Yukon Territory, Canada, *Quaternary Res.*, 68, 141–150, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2007.04.002, 2007.
- Ward, B. C., Bond, J. D., Froese, D., and Jensen, B.: Old Crow tephra (140 ± 10 ka) constrains penultimate Reid glaciation in central Yukon Territory, *Quaternary Res.*, 27, 1909–1915, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.07.012, 2008.
- Winkelmann, R., Martin, M. A., Haseloff, M., Albrecht, T., Bueler, E., Khroulev, C., and Levermann, A.: The Potsdam Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM-PIK) – Part 1: Model description, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 715–726, doi:10.5194/tc-5-715-2011, 2011.

Table 1. ~~Parameter~~Default parameter values used in the ice sheet model.

| Not. | Name | Value | Unit | <u>Source</u> |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Ice rheology | | | | |
| ρ | Ice density | 910 | kg m^{-3} | Aschwanden et al. (2012) |
| g | Standard gravity | 9.81 | m s^{-2} | Aschwanden et al. (2012) |
| n | <u>Glen exponent</u> | <u>3</u> | — | Cuffey and Paterson (2010) |
| A_c | <u>Ice hardness coefficient cold¹</u> | <u>3.61×10^{-13}</u> | $\text{Pa}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ | Paterson and Budd (1982) |
| A_w | <u>Ice hardness coefficient warm¹</u> | <u>1.73×10^3</u> | $\text{Pa}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ | Paterson and Budd (1982) |
| Q_c | <u>Flow law activation energy cold¹</u> | <u>6.0×10^4</u> | J mol^{-1} | Paterson and Budd (1982) |
| Q_w | <u>Flow law activation energy warm¹</u> | <u>13.9×10^4</u> | J mol^{-1} | Paterson and Budd (1982) |
| T_c | <u>Flow law critical temperature</u> | <u>263.15</u> | K | Paterson and Budd (1982) |
| f | <u>Flow law water fraction coeff.</u> | <u>181.25</u> | — | Lliboutry and Duval (1985) |
| R | <u>Ideal gas constant</u> | <u>8.31441</u> | $\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ | — |
| β | <u>Clapeyron constant</u> | <u>7.9×10^{-8}</u> | K Pa^{-1} | Lüthi et al. (2002) |
| c_i | <u>Ice specific heat capacity</u> | <u>2009</u> | $\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ | Aschwanden et al. (2012) |
| c_w | <u>Water specific heat capacity</u> | <u>4170</u> | $\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ | Aschwanden et al. (2012) |
| k | <u>Ice thermal conductivity</u> | <u>2.10</u> | $\text{J m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ | Aschwanden et al. (2012) |
| L | <u>Water latent heat of fusion</u> | <u>3.34×10^5</u> | $\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ | Aschwanden et al. (2012) |
| Basal sliding | | | | |
| q | Pseudo-plastic sliding exponent | 0.25 | — | Aschwanden et al. (2013) |
| v_{th} | Pseudo-plastic threshold velocity | 100.0 | m yr^{-1} | Aschwanden et al. (2013) |
| c_0 | Till cohesion | 0.0 | Pa | Tulaczyk et al. (2000) |
| e_0 | Till reference void ratio | 0.69 | — | Tulaczyk et al. (2000) |
| C_c | Till compressibility coefficient | 0.12 | — | Tulaczyk et al. (2000) |
| δ | Effective pressure coefficient <u>Minimum effective pressure ratio¹</u> | 0.02 | — | Bueler and van Pelt (2015) |
| W_{max} | Maximal till water thickness ¹ | 2.0 | m | Bueler and van Pelt (2015) |
| b_0 | <u>Altitude of max. friction angle</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>m</u> | — |
| b_1 | <u>Altitude of min. friction angle</u> | <u>200</u> | <u>m</u> | Clague (1981) |
| ϕ_0 | <u>Minimum friction angle</u> | <u>15</u> | <u>°</u> | — |
| ϕ_1 | <u>Maximum friction angle</u> | <u>45</u> | <u>°</u> | — |
| Bedrock and lithosphere | | | | |
| q_G | <u>Geothermal heat flux</u> | <u>70.0</u> | mW m^{-2} | — |
| ρ_b | Bedrock density | 3300 | kg m^{-3} | — |
| c_b | Bedrock specific heat capacity | 1000 | $\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ | — |
| k_b | Bedrock thermal conductivity | 3.0 | $\text{J m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ | — |
| η_m η_{m0} | Asthenosphere viscosity | 1×10^{19} | Pa s | James et al. (2009) |
| ρ_l ρ_l | Lithosphere density | 3300 | kg m^{-3} | Lingle and Clark (1985) |
| D | Lithosphere flexural rigidity | 5.0×10^{24} | N | Lingle and Clark (1985) |
| Surface and atmosphere | | | | |
| T_s | Temperature of snow precipitation | 273.15 | K | — |
| T_r | Temperature of rain precipitation | 275.15 | K | — |
| F_s | Degree-day factor for snow | 3.04×10^{-3} | $\text{m K}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ | Shea et al. (2009) |
| F_i | Degree-day factor for ice | 4.59×10^{-3} | $\text{m K}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ | Shea et al. (2009) |
| γ | Air temperature lapse rate | 6×10^{-3} | K m^{-1} | — |

¹Default value. Alternative values used in sensitivity tests are given in Table 3.

Table 2. Palaeo-temperature proxy records and scaling parameters yielding temperature offset time-series used to force the ice sheet model through the last glacial cycle (Fig. 5). f corresponds to the scaling factor adopted to yield last glacial maximum ice limits in the vicinity of mapped end moraines, and $T_{[32,22]} - [\Delta T_{TS}]_{32}^{22}$ refers to the resulting mean temperature anomaly during the period -32 to -22 ka after scaling.

| Record | Latitude | Longitude | Elev. (m a.s.l.) | Proxy | f | $T_{[32,22]} - [\Delta T_{TS}]_{32}^{22}$ (K) | Reference |
|----------|----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| GRIP | 72°35' N | 37°38' W | 3238 | $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ | 0.37 <u>0.38</u> | -5.8 <u>-6.2</u> | Dansgaard et al. (1993) |
| NGRIP | 75°06' N | 42°19' W | 2917 | $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ | 0.24 <u>0.25</u> | -6.5 <u>-6.6</u> | Andersen et al. (2004) |
| EPICA | 75°06' S | 123°21' E | 3233 | $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ | 0.64 | -5.9 | Jouzel et al. (2007) |
| Vostok | 78°28' S | 106°50' E | 3488 | $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ | 0.74 <u>0.75</u> | -5.9 <u>-5.95</u> | Petit et al. (1999) |
| ODP 1012 | 32°17' N | 118°23' W | -1772 | $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}'}$ | +6.1 <u>+6.2</u> | -6.1 <u>-6.15</u> | Herbert et al. (2001) |
| ODP 1020 | 41°00' N | 126°26' W | -3038 | $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}'}$ | +2.0 <u>+2.1</u> | -6.0 <u>-6.05</u> | Herbert et al. (2001) |

Table 3. Parameter values used in the sensitivity test.

| <u>Config.</u> | Rheology | | | | | Sliding | | GRIP scaling | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | <u>A_c</u> ($\text{Pa}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$) | <u>A_w</u> | <u>Q_c</u> (J mol^{-1}) | <u>Q_w</u> | <u>E_{SLA}</u> | <u>δ</u> | <u>W_{max}</u> (m) | <u>f</u> | <u>$T_{[32,22]}$</u> |
| <u>Default¹</u> | <u>3.61×10^{-13}</u> | <u>1.73×10^3</u> | <u>60×10^3</u> | <u>139×10^3</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>0.02</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>0.38</u> | <u>6.2</u> |
| <u>Soft ice²</u> | <u>2.847×10^{-13}</u> | <u>2.356×10^{-2}</u> | <u>60×10^3</u> | <u>115×10^3</u> | <u>5</u> | <u>0.02</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>0.41</u> | <u>6.65</u> |
| <u>Hard ice²</u> | <u>2.847×10^{-13}</u> | <u>2.356×10^{-2}</u> | <u>60×10^3</u> | <u>115×10^3</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>0.02</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>0.36</u> | <u>5.95</u> |
| <u>Soft bed</u> | <u>3.61×10^{-13}</u> | <u>1.73×10^3</u> | <u>60×10^3</u> | <u>139×10^3</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>0.01</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>0.40</u> | <u>6.55</u> |
| <u>Hard bed</u> | <u>3.61×10^{-13}</u> | <u>1.73×10^3</u> | <u>60×10^3</u> | <u>139×10^3</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>0.05</u> | <u>5</u> | <u>0.36</u> | <u>5.85</u> |

After ¹Paterson and Budd (1982); Bueler and van Pelt (2015); and ²Cuffey and Paterson (2010).

Table 4. Extremes in Cordilleran ice sheet ~~volume and grounded ice~~ extent and sea-level relevant ice volume corresponding to MIS 4, 3 and 2 for each of the six low-resolution simulations (Fig. 5).

| Record | Age (ka) | | | Ice extent (10^6 km^2) | | | Ice volume (m s.l.e.) | | |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | MIS 4 | MIS 3 | MIS 2 | MIS 4 | MIS 3 | MIS 2 | MIS 4 | MIS 3 | MIS 2 |
| GRIP | 57.45 <u>57.59</u> | 42.93 <u>42.91</u> | 19.14 | 1.87 <u>1.93</u> | 0.69 <u>0.67</u> | 2.07 <u>2.09</u> | 7.35 <u>7.43</u> | 1.69 <u>1.54</u> | 8.71 <u>8.62</u> |
| NGRIP | 60.25 <u>60.27</u> | 45.86 <u>45.87</u> | 22.85 | 2.11 <u>2.13</u> | 0.74 <u>0.73</u> | 2.10 <u>2.11</u> | 8.84 <u>8.71</u> | 1.82 <u>1.70</u> | 8.76 <u>8.60</u> |
| EPICA | 61.89 <u>61.90</u> | 45.30 <u>52.40</u> | 17.23 <u>17.36</u> | 1.51 <u>1.48</u> | 1.01 <u>0.98</u> | 2.09 <u>2.08</u> | 5.11 <u>4.84</u> | 2.74 <u>2.55</u> | 8.91 <u>8.56</u> |
| Vostok | 60.86 <u>62.21</u> | 41.25 <u>55.87</u> | 16.84 <u>16.86</u> | 1.51 <u>1.50</u> | 1.03 <u>1.01</u> | 2.01 <u>2.09</u> | 5.15 <u>4.94</u> | 2.88 <u>2.81</u> | 8.40 <u>8.57</u> |
| ODP 1012 | 56.46 <u>56.88</u> | 47.39 <u>47.46</u> | 23.19 <u>23.21</u> | 1.38 <u>1.36</u> | 0.90 | 2.07 <u>2.13</u> | 4.39 <u>4.18</u> | 2.35 <u>2.30</u> | 8.62 <u>8.75</u> |
| ODP 1020 | 60.23 <u>60.37</u> | 52.97 <u>52.72</u> | 20.56 <u>20.41</u> | 1.25 | 0.72 <u>0.73</u> | 2.09 | 3.84 <u>3.66</u> | 1.75 <u>1.65</u> | 8.81 <u>8.62</u> |
| Minimum | 61.89 <u>56.88</u> | 52.97 <u>42.91</u> | 23.19 <u>16.86</u> | 1.25 | 0.69 <u>0.67</u> | 2.01 <u>2.08</u> | 3.84 <u>3.66</u> | 1.69 <u>1.54</u> | 8.40 <u>8.56</u> |
| Maximum | 56.46 <u>62.21</u> | 41.25 <u>55.87</u> | 16.84 <u>23.21</u> | <u>2.13</u> | <u>1.01</u> | <u>2.13</u> | <u>8.71</u> | <u>2.81</u> | <u>8.75</u> |

Table 5. Extremes in Cordilleran ice sheet grounded ice extent and sea-level relevant ice volume corresponding to MIS 4, 3 and 2 using the GRIP paleo-climate forcing with each parameter configuration (Fig. 3). Relative differences (R. diff.) give rough error estimates related to varying selected ice rheology and basal sliding parameters (Table 3).

| <u>Config.</u> | <u>Age (ka)</u> | | | <u>Ice extent (10^6 km^2)</u> | | | <u>Ice volume (m s.l.e.)</u> | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | <u>MIS 4</u> | <u>MIS 3</u> | <u>MIS 2</u> | <u>MIS 4</u> | <u>MIS 3</u> | <u>MIS 2</u> | <u>MIS 4</u> | <u>MIS 3</u> | <u>MIS 2</u> |
| <u>Default</u> | <u>57.59</u> | <u>42.91</u> | <u>19.14</u> | <u>1.93</u> | <u>0.67</u> | <u>2.09</u> | <u>7.43</u> | <u>1.54</u> | <u>8.62</u> |
| <u>Soft ice</u> | <u>58.89</u> | <u>49.95</u> | <u>21.57</u> | <u>1.99</u> | <u>0.54</u> | 2.11 | 1.03 <u>6.69</u> | <u>1.04</u> | <u>7.09</u> |
| <u>Hard ice</u> | <u>57.31</u> | <u>42.91</u> | <u>19.14</u> | <u>1.85</u> | <u>0.71</u> | <u>2.09</u> | <u>7.56</u> | <u>1.74</u> | <u>9.22</u> |
| <u>R. diff.</u> | <u>3 %</u> | <u>16 %</u> | <u>13 %</u> | <u>7 %</u> | <u>25 %</u> | <u>1 %</u> | <u>12 %</u> | <u>45 %</u> | <u>25 %</u> |
| <u>Soft bed</u> | <u>58.90</u> | <u>49.70</u> | <u>21.57</u> | <u>1.88</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>2.12</u> | <u>6.42</u> | <u>1.08</u> | <u>7.89</u> |
| <u>Hard bed</u> | <u>57.32</u> | <u>42.95</u> | <u>19.14</u> | <u>1.90</u> | <u>0.93</u> | 2.10 | 8.84 <u>7.84</u> | 2.88 <u>2.77</u> | 8.91 <u>9.10</u> |
| <u>R. diff.</u> | <u>3 %</u> | <u>16 %</u> | <u>13 %</u> | <u>1 %</u> | <u>57 %</u> | <u>1 %</u> | <u>19 %</u> | <u>109 %</u> | <u>14 %</u> |



Figure 1. Relief map of the northern North American Cordillera showing a reconstruction of the areas once covered by the Cordilleran (CIS) cumulative last glacial maximum ice cover between 21.4 and 16.8 ^{14}C cal ka (Dyke, 2004, red line), Laurentide (LIS), Innuitian (IS), and Greenland (GIS) ice sheets during the last 18 (21.4 cal ka, Dyke, 2004). The rectangular box denotes the location of the modelling domain used in this study. Major mountain ranges covered by the ice sheet include the Alaska Range (AR), the Wrangell and Saint Elias mountains (WSEM), the Selwyn and Mackenzie mountains (SMKM), the Skeena Mountains (SM), the Coast Mountains (CM), the Columbia and Rocky Mountains (CRM), and the North Cascades (NC). Major depressions include the Liard Lowland (LL), the Interior Plateau of British Columbia (IP), and the Puget Lowland (PL). The background map consists of ETOPO1 (Amante and Eakins, 2009) and Natural Earth Data (Patterson and Kelso, 2015).




Figure 2. Ice softness parameter, A , as a function of pressure-adjusted temperature, T_{pa} , for the default (Paterson and Budd, 1982), hard ice (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010, with $E_{sIA} = 1$), and soft ice (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010, with $E_{sIA} = 5$) rheologies, using a linear scale (top panel) and logarithmic scale (bottom panel). Figure made using Eqn. 2 with parameters from Table 3.




Figure 3. Effective pressure, N , as a function of water content in the till, W , for the default ($\delta = 0.02$, $W_{max} = 2$ m), hard bed ($\delta = 0.05$, $W_{max} = 5$ m), and soft bed ($\delta = 0.01$, $W_{max} = 1$ m) sliding parametrisations, using a linear scale (top panel) and a logarithmic scale (bottom panel). Calculations are made for an ice thickness, h , of 1000 m. Figure made using Eqn. 5 with parameters from Table 3 after Bueller and van Pelt (2015, Fig. 1).




Figure 4. Monthly mean near-surface air temperature, precipitation, and standard deviation of daily mean temperature (PDD SD) for January and July from the North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR; Mesinger et al., 2006), used to force the surface mass balance (PDD) component of the ice sheet model. Note the strong contrasts in seasonality, timing of the precipitation peak, and temperature variability over the model domain, notably between coastal and inland regions.




Figure 5. Temperature offset time-series from ice core and ocean records (Table 2) used as palaeo-climate forcing for the ice sheet model (top panel), and modelled [sea-level relevant](#) ice volume (bottom panel) through the last 120 ka. ~~Ice volumes are~~ expressed in meters of sea level equivalent (~~m s.l.e.~~ m s.l.e.). Gray fields indicate Marine Oxygen Isotope Stage (MIS) boundaries for MIS 2 and MIS 4 according to a global compilation of benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005). Hatched rectangles highlight the time-volume span for ice volume extremes corresponding to MIS 4 (61.9–56.5 ka), MIS 3 (53.0–41.3 ka), and MIS 2 (LGM, 23.2–16.8 ka). Dotted lines correspond to GRIP- and EPICA-driven 5 km-resolution runs.




Figure 6. Snapshots of modelled surface topography (500 m contours) corresponding to the [sea-level relevant](#) ice volume extremes indicated on Fig. 5. An ice cap persists over the Skeena Mountains (SM) during MIS 3. Note the occurrence of spatial similarities despite large differences in timing.




Figure 7. Modelled sea-level relevant ice volume through the last 120 ka in the simulation forced by the GRIP paleo-climate record, using default parameters (black curves), different ice rheology parameters (top panel), and different basal sliding parameters (bottom panel). Gray fields indicate Marine Oxygen Isotope Stage (MIS) boundaries for MIS 2 and MIS 4 according to a global compilation of benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005).




Figure 8. Modelled surface topography (200 m contours) and surface velocity (colour mapping) corresponding to the maximum ice [sea-level](#) [relevant](#) volume during MIS 2 in the GRIP and EPICA high-resolution simulations.




Figure 9. Modelled surface topography (200 m contours) and surface velocity (colour mapping) corresponding to the maximum ice [sea-level](#) [relevant](#) volume during MIS 4 in the GRIP and EPICA high-resolution simulations.




Figure 10. Modelled duration of ice cover during the last 120 ka using GRIP and EPICA climate forcing. Note the irregular colour scale. A continuous ice cover spanning from the Alaska Range (AR) to the Coast Mountains (CM) and the Columbia and Rocky mountains (CRM) exists for about 32 ka in the GRIP simulation and 26 ka in the EPICA simulation. The maximum extent of the ice sheet generally corresponds to relatively short durations of ice cover, but ice cover persists over the Skeena Mountains (SM) during most of the simulation. See Fig. 1 for a list of abbreviations.




Figure 11. Modelled duration of warm-based ice cover during the last 120 ka. Long ice cover durations combined with basal temperatures at the pressure melting point may explain the strong glacial erosional imprint of the Skeena Mountains (SM) landscape. Hatches indicate areas that were covered by cold ice only.




Figure 12. Modelled fraction of warm-based ice cover during the ice-covered period. Note the dominance of warm-based conditions on the continental shelf and major glacial troughs of the coastal ranges. Hatches indicate areas that were covered by cold ice only.




Figure 13. Temperature offset time-series from the GRIP and EPICA ice core records (Table 2) (top panel), and modelled [sea-level relevant](#) ice volume during the deglaciation, ~~expressed in meters of sea-level equivalent~~ (bottom panel).




Figure 14. Snapshots of modelled surface topography (200 m contours) and surface velocity (colour mapping) during the last deglaciation from the GRIP (top panels) and EPICA (bottom panels) 5 km simulations. Dashed segments (A–D) indicate the location of profiles used in Figs. 17 and 18.




Figure 15. Modelled age of the last deglaciation. Areas that have been covered only before the last glacial maximum are marked in green. Hatches denote re-advance of mountain-centred ice caps and the decaying ice sheet between 14 and 10 ka. Dashed segments (A–D) indicate the location of profiles used in Figs. 17 and 18.




Figure 16. Modelled deglacial basal ice velocities. Hatches indicate areas that remain non-sliding throughout deglaciation (22.0–8.0 ka), notably including parts of the Interior Plateau (IP). Note the concentric patterns of deglacial flow in the Liard Lowland (LL). Sliding grid cells were distinguished from non-sliding grid cells using a basal velocity threshold of 1 m yr^{-1} .




Figure 17. Modelled bedrock (black) and ice surface (blue) topography profiles during deglaciation (22.0–8.0 ka) in the GRIP 5 km simulation, corresponding to the four transects indicated in Figs. 14 and 15.




Figure 18. Modelled bedrock (black) and ice surface (red) topography profiles during deglaciation (22.0–8.0 ka) in the EPICA 5 km simulation, corresponding to the four transects indicated in Figs. 14 and 15.