Manuscript prepared for The Cryosphere with version 2014/09/16 7.15 Copernicus papers of the LATEX class copernicus.cls. Date: 14 June 2015

Meteorological, elevation, and slope effects on surface hoar formation

S. Horton¹, M. Schirmer^{1,*}, and B. Jamieson¹

¹Department of Civil Engineering, University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada *currently at: Centre for Hydrology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatchewan, Canada *Correspondence to:* S. Horton (horton.simon@gmail.com)

Abstract. Failure in layers of buried surface hoar crystals (frost) can cause hazardous snow slab avalanches. Surface hoar crystals form on the snow surface and are sensitive to micro-meteorological conditions. In this study, the role of meteorological and terrain factors were investigated for three layers of surface hoar in the Columbia Mountains of Canada. The distribution of crystals over different

- 5 elevations and aspects was observed on 20 days of field observations during a period of high pressure. The same layers were modelled over simplified terrain on a 2.5 km horizontal grid by forcing the snow cover model SNOWPACK with forecast weather data from a numerical weather prediction model. Modelled surface hoar growth was associated with warm air temperatures, high humidity, cold surface temperatures, and low wind speeds. Surface hoar was most developed in regions and el-
- 10 evation bands where these conditions existed, although strong winds at high elevations caused some model discrepancies. SNOWPACK simulations on virtual slopes systematically predicted smaller surface hoar on south-facing slopes. In the field, a complex combination of surface hoar and sun crusts were observed, suggesting the simplified model did not adequately resolve the surface energy balance on slopes. Overall, a coupled weather–snow cover model could benefit avalanche forecasters
- 15 by predicting surface hoar layers on a regional scale over different elevation bands.

1 Introduction

20

Surface hoar (frost) is a type of ice crystal that forms on the snow surface (Fierz et al., 2009). Failure in layers of buried surface hoar crystals can release hazardous snow slab avalanches. The formation of surface hoar crystals is sensitive to micro-meteorological conditions, which makes their distribution in complex terrain difficult to predict.

Surface hoar forms when water vapour deposits onto the snow surface. The dominant method of vapour transport is believed to be the turbulent flux of latent heat (Foehn, 2001; Hachikubo and Akitaya, 1997; Horton et al., 2014; Stoessel et al., 2010). Snow cover models such as the Swiss snow cover model SNOWPACK (Lehning et al., 2002) and the French model CROCUS (Brun et al.,

- 25 1992) simulate surface hoar formation by calculating vapour fluxes with meteorological data. Vapour fluxes and the formation of surface hoar depend on meteorological conditions Slaughter et al. (2011). Slaughter (2010, p. 199) performed a sensitivity analysis on modelled vapour fluxes and found incoming longwave radiation was the most important input, as it regulated surface cooling. In the field, surface hoar often forms on surfaces with open sky view and clear skies (Shea and Jamieson, 2010).
- Light wind speeds also influence vapour fluxes, as Hachikubo (2001) found the strongest fluxes at speeds between 0.5 and 3.5 m s⁻¹. Faster wind speeds tend to transport too much sensible heat to the surface, or even knock over the crystals. Accordingly, the distribution of surface hoar often depends on wind exposure (Feick et al., 2007). Solar radiation can also melt or sublimate surface hoar crystals, making their distribution sensitive to slope incline and aspect (Helbig and van Herwijnen, 2012; Shea and Jamieson, 2010) and shading by terrain and vegetation (Lutz and Birkeland, 2011).
- The meteorological factors that affect surface hoar formation apply over various spatial scales (Schweizer and Kronholm, 2007). Layers often form across entire mountain ranges (> 100 km) during periods of high pressure (Haegeli and McClung, 2003), and vary at regional scales (10 km) due to local air masses and clouds. At basin or drainage scales (1 km), layers vary with slope aspect,
- 40 incline, and elevation due to variations in wind, radiation, and valley clouds (Feick et al., 2007; Colbeck et al., 2008; Schweizer and Kronholm, 2007). At a slope scale (100 m), layers can vary due to vegetation, ground roughness, and local winds (Bellaire and Schweizer, 2011). In Canada, public avalanche forecasters communicate the distribution of hazardous surface hoar layers for general elevation and aspect bands on a regional scale.
- 45 Surface hoar layers could potentially be mapped with spatial weather and terrain inputs. The SNOWPACK model has been applied on a grid in complex terrain with downscaled weather inputs in Alpine3D (Lehning et al., 2006); however, gridded surface hoar formation with such a model has not been verified. Helbig and van Herwijnen (2012) developed a gridded surface hoar model using terrain-based rules (i.e. sky view and sun exposure), but did not account for meteorological
- 50 conditions. Meteorological data from a regional scale numerical weather prediction (NWP) model has been used to model surface hoar (Bellaire et al., 2011, 2013; Bellaire and Jamieson, 2013; Horton et al., 2014). Forecast data from a NWP model with 15 km resolution were input into SNOWPACK, and the resulting surface hoar layers were verified with study plot observations from Mt. Fidelity in Glacier National Park, Canada. While the results were promising, they did not take advantage of the
- 55 spatially continuous data available from NWP models. Furthermore, Schirmer and Jamieson (2015) suggest high resolution NWP models (e.g. 2.5 km) offer large improvements over regional models in complex terrain.

The goal of this study was to investigate how meteorological and terrain factors influence surface hoar formation. Surface hoar layers were spatially modelled over simplified terrain by forcing

60 SNOWPACK with weather data from a high resolution NWP model (2.5 km horizontal resolution). The model results are compared to field observations to explain when and where surface hoar formed, and to determine whether hazardous layers could be predicted with a coupled weather–snow cover model.

2 Methods

65 2.1 Field studies

Field studies were done in the Columbia Mountains of British Columbia at Glacier National Park (GNP) and around the town of Blue River (Fig. 1). The mountains have a transitional snow climate with deep snowpacks and critical layers of surface hoar and melt-freeze crusts (Haegeli and Mc-Clung, 2003). Valleys are densely forested up to treeline elevations around 2000 m, with expansive

- 70 alpine and glaciated regions above treeline, and rocky peaks reaching elevations greater than 3000 m. The distribution of surface hoar crystals was observed during 20 field campaigns between 15 January and 10 February 2014. Three layers formed over this period of relatively high pressure. Snowfall buried the layers on 22, 29 January, and 10 February, which are the dates used to identify each layer. A typical field campaign consisted of travelling by ski from valley bottom to the top of
- 75 the treeline (between elevations of 1000 and 2300 m). Between 5 and 15 sites were chosen along the routes to sample surface hoar over a range of elevations and slope aspects.

The sites were either uniform flat fields or large open slopes with inclines between 20 and 30° (median incline of 28°). Site parameters including location, elevation, aspect, and incline were recorded. The sky view factor at each site was estimated and was typically greater than 75 %, except for some

- 80 sites at low elevations where large openings did not exist. Wind exposure was subjectively estimated with an ordinal scale. Sites below 2000 m were typically sheltered by sparse forests, while sites at higher elevations were exposed to some prevailing winds. Overall, the sites were chosen to be representative of potential avalanche start zones at that elevation. Test profiles were done at each site to identify layers of surface hoar and melt-freeze crusts in the upper 10 cm of the snowpack. Layer
- 85 boundaries, grain shape, and grain size were observed in 255 profiles of the upper snowpack during the 20 field campaigns (CAA, 2014).

2.2 Numerical weather forecasts

Numerical weather data were collected from 225 grid points in GNP and 168 grid points around Blue River (Fig. 1). The data were produced by the high resolution deterministic prediction system
90 (HRDPS) operated by Environment Canada (Erfani et al., 2005). The HRDPS, also known as GEM-LAM, is a numerical weather prediction model with a 2.5 km horizontal grid. The model is initiated

3

four times a day to provide operational forecasts over southwestern Canada. Time series were produced with the data from the 06:00 and 18:00 coordinate universal time initiations, and included air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, wind direction, incoming shortwave radiation, incom-

- 95 ing longwave radiation, and precipitation. The first six hours of forecast values were neglected to minimize errors from model spin-up (Weusthoff et al., 2010). Air temperature and humidity were forecast for 2 m above ground, while wind speed and wind direction were forecast for 10 and 40 m above ground.
- Forecasts were compared with air temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed measurements 100 from automatic weather stations in GNP (Fig. 1). The park operates nine automatic weather stations at elevations relevant for avalanche forecasting along the Trans-Canada Highway corridor (Schweizer et al., 1998). The 10 and 40 m wind speeds were compared because operational experience found 10 m HRDPS wind speeds were unreliable in GNP. The forecast wind speeds were fit to two-parameter Weibull distributions (Table 1), where the location parameter describes the centre of
- 105 the distribution and the shape parameter describes the spread (Stull, 2014, p. 645). Weibull distributions were also fit to wind speed measurements from eight stations with anemometers roughly 10 m above ground. Two of the stations were located on wind exposed ridgetops, while the other six were relatively sheltered. The 10 m forecasts winds were lighter and did not have as much spread as the station measurements (i.e smaller location parameter and larger shape parameter). The 40 m fore-
- 110 cast winds had a similar location parameter to the sheltered stations (2.0 m s^{-1}) and a more realistic shape parameter. Accordingly, surface hoar was modelled with 40 m HRDPS winds, as they better represented typical 10 m speeds at sheltered sites.

2.3 Surface hoar model

Surface hoar formation was modelled with the Swiss snow cover model SNOWPACK (version

- 115 3.2.1). The model uses weather inputs to reconstruct the structural, thermal, and mechanical properties of the snow cover over the winter season (Lehning et al., 2002). Layers of surface hoar are added by the deposition of water vapour onto the surface. Deposition is driven by the turbulent flux of latent heat, which is modelled with a bulk method. The bulk method assumes down-gradient fluxes proportional to a turbulent transfer coefficient. The transfer coefficient is calculated each time step using
- 120 wind speed and assuming neutral atmospheric stability (as verified by Stoessel et al., 2010). Surface hoar can be removed from the surface by vapour fluxes away from the surface, surface melting, or when wind speeds surpass a user defined threshold (3.5 m s^{-1}) . Hachikubo (2001) found vapour deposition rates decreased at wind speeds greater than 3.5 m s^{-1} . Crystal size was calculated from the deposit mass by assuming a layer density of 30 kg m^{-3} (Horton et al., 2014).
- 125 SNOWPACK simulations were done with forecast weather data from HRDPS grid points in GNP and around Blue River. Flat field simulations at each grid point were used map the regional distribution of surface hoar layers (Sect. 3.3.1) and examine the effect of grid point elevation (Sect. 3.3.2).

Virtual slope simulations were done at each grid point to model the predominant effects of slope incline and aspect (Sect. 3.3.3). Slope simulations in SNOWPACK adjust the incoming shortwave and

130 longwave radiation based on slope geometry (Helbig et al., 2010), while other weather inputs remain constant. Sky view factor, terrain shading, and effects of wind direction such as snow transport are neglected. To illustrate the effects of slope incline, virtual slope simulations were done on north- and south-facing slopes with inclines of 15, 30, and 45°. To illustrate the effects of aspect, simulations were done on 30° slopes in eight cardinal directions.

135 2.4 Analysis of meteorological inputs

The meteorological inputs from flat field simulations were analyzed to determine the weather conditions associated with surface hoar formation. The analysis used simulations between October 2013 and March 2014 at all 393 HRDPS grid points in GNP and Blue River. Only time steps with surface hoar on the surface were included in the analysis to focus on conditions that directly influenced

- 140 formation. Meteorological inputs at each time step were compared to the change in modelled surface hoar crystal size over that time step (i.e. hourly crystal growth rate). Growth rates were positive when crystals increased in size and were negative when crystals shrank or disappeared. The pooled set of meteorological inputs and modelled growth rates consisted of 448,651 time steps with positive growth rates, 189,269 time steps with negative growth rates, and 32,126 time steps with no change
- 145 in crystal size. Modelled growth rates were compared to input values of air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, and incoming longwave radiation, along with modelled values of snow surface temperature.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Meteorological effects

- 150 The distribution of surface hoar layers depends on changes in meteorological conditions over space and time. In this section, the meteorological conditions associated with surface hoar formation modelled by SNOWPACK are summarized. The amount of water vapour available to form surface hoar depended on both relative humidity and air temperature, as cold air held less moisture (i.e. lower absolute humidity). The highest modelled growth rates were associated with air temperatures between
- 155 −10 and 0 °C (Fig. 2a), with less growth at colder air temperatures. The highest growth rates were also associated with relative humidity between 70 and 90 % (Fig. 2b). While high relative humidity should favour surface hoar growth, values greater than 90 % may have occurred during periods with more cloud cover and therefore less radiative cooling. Surface hoar shrinkage was common when the relative humidity was less than 50 %.
- 160 Cold surface temperatures also favour surface hoar growth, such as on nights with low incoming longwave radiation when radiative cooling is dominant (Slaughter et al., 2011). The highest surface

hoar growth rates were associated with incoming longwave radiation between 175 and 200 $W m^{-2}$ and modelled surface temperatures between -20 and -10 °C (Fig. 2c–d). Growth may have slowed during periods with less incoming longwave radiation and colder surface temperatures because the

165 absolute humidity of the air would likely be lower. Surface temperatures above -5 °C were associated with surface hoar shrinkage, as the vapour fluxes may have been away from the surface or the crystals would melt at 0 °C.

Wind speeds below 1.5 m s^{-1} typically resulted in surface hoar growth (Fig. 2e), while wind speeds between 1.5 and 3.5 m s^{-1} resulted in either growth or shrinkage. Since SNOWPACK cal-

- 170 culates both sensible and latent heat fluxes, the snow surface is likely to warm from sensible heat transport at higher wind speeds (Hachikubo and Akitaya, 1997), which could shrink surface hoar. Surface hoar never grew in the model when the wind speed exceeded the $3.5 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ threshold. While the concept of a threshold wind speed agrees with field experience, the interactions between surface hoar and strong winds should be investigated further, ideally under controlled laboratory settings.
- 175 Modelled surface hoar growth was associated with meteorological conditions that agree with common field experience, such as during clear and calm nights (Slaughter et al., 2011). The largest growth rates occurred with warm temperatures, humid air, cold surface temperatures, and low wind speeds. However, these conditions did not always coexist, as interactions between meteorological inputs appeared to limit growth in some cases. For example, cold temperatures and clear skies limited
- 180 the potential moisture supply. Such interactions are evident in Fig. 2 and likely affect the distribution of surface hoar layers, as meteorological conditions vary over complex terrain.

Whether using measured or forecast weather data, errors in the meteorological inputs would affect modelled surface hoar formation. The impact would depend on the sensitivity of the model, which would likely be similar to sensitivity analysis of Slaughter (2010, p. 176), who found mod-

185 elled vapour fluxes were sensitive to incoming longwave radiation, air temperature, wind speed, and relative humidity. The following section evaluates the forecast meteorological inputs.

3.2 Weather forecast evaluation

Weather conditions measured at stations in GNP during a high pressure period were compared to HRDPS forecasts at corresponding elevation bands in Fig. 3–5. Measured air temperatures were

- 190 warmer when the 22 and 29 January layers formed than when the 10 February layer formed (Fig. 3). Forecast air temperatures had similar temporal trends. Weather station measurements suggest the atmosphere was stable during the study period, with obvious temperature inversions between 17 and 22 January and between 6 and 9 February. Lapse rates forecast by the HRDPS were close to neutral for most of the period (median value of $-6.0 \,^{\circ}\text{C}\,\text{km}^{-1}$), although they were slightly weaker during
- 195 the inversions (-3 to 5 °C km⁻¹). It appears the HRDPS underestimated cool air pooling in the valleys, which agrees with Vionnet et al. (2014) who found HRDPS forecasts had warm biases in valleys and cold biases in the mountains. Cold air pooling could slow surface hoar growth in valley

bottoms by reducing the moisture content of the air and by causing katabatic winds (Feick et al., 2007).

- 200 Relative humidity measured in GNP fluctuated between 20 and 80 % during clear weather periods and were higher during precipitation events on 15, 22, and 29 January, and 10 February (Fig. 4). Forecast humidity was typically drier than measured values, but had similar temporal trends. Dry biases have been reported in NWP forecast verifications over western Canada (Bellaire et al., 2011; Mailhot et al., 2012; Vionnet et al., 2014). Valley clouds were observed in GNP between 23 to 25
- 205 January and may explain some of the dry biases. Valley clouds likely caused higher humidity at the stations, but forecast humidity remained low, suggesting the HRDPS did not predict valley clouds (Mo et al., 2012). Valley clouds affect surface hoar formation by providing moisture near the top of the cloud (Colbeck et al., 2008), but this process would be difficult to model without precise and accurate cloud forecasts, and thus was probably not resolved. Relative humidity measured at the
- 210 stations typically decreased with elevation, while forecast humidity increased slightly (Fig. 4). In both cases, the absolute humidity usually decreased because of colder air temperatures. Winds were typically light over the period, but stronger winds were measured on 16, 18, and 30

January and 3 to 7 February (Fig. 5). Some of the major wind events were forecast by the HRPDS, particularly at alpine grid points, but were usually less pronounced than station measurements. While

- 215 forecast wind speeds usually increased with elevation, measured winds were primarily influenced by local topography. For example, the low elevation station at Rogers Pass experiences gap winds and was often windier than sheltered stations at higher elevations. Such local effects were not expected to be resolved with wind forecasts on a 2.5 km horizontal grid (Vionnet et al., 2014). Previous studies required grid resolutions on the order of 5 to 100 m to resolve phenomenon in complex terrain
- 220 such as thermal winds (Chow et al., 2006; Mott et al., 2014) and ridgetop recirculations (Raderschall et al., 2008). Furthermore, even when these phenomena are resolved, they cannot be forecast without precise initial conditions. Feick et al. (2007) commented that the inability to forecast wind at relevant scales is one of the biggest limitations in forecasting surface hoar size. In recognition of this limitation, HRDPS wind speeds should be considered regional rather than local forecasts.
- 225 While not shown, high elevation HRDPS grid points also had more precipitation, less incoming longwave radiation, and more incoming shortwave radiation. This resulted in large diurnal radiation fluctuations and colder snow surface temperatures at high elevation grid points. Radiation forecasts were not verified with station measurements, but agree with common experience (Liston and Elder, 2006).

230 3.3 Surface hoar distribution

Surface hoar crystals observed between 15 January and 10 February varied with local site characteristics. The 22 and 29 January layers typically had larger crystals than the 10 February layer, but exceptions were common. Surface hoar was modelled with HRDPS data over simplified terrain on a regional scale, making it difficult to verify with individual slope-scale field observations. Despite

235 these challenges, the observed distributions were partially explained by regional, elevation, and slope effects.

3.3.1 Regional effects

Surface hoar modelled on the HRPDS grid was clustered in sub-regions in GNP (Fig. 6). For example, surface hoar was only modelled in the west end of park on 22 January and was larger in
the west end on 29 January. Regional patterns usually corresponded with patterns in the meteorological inputs. In this case, forecast humidity was typically higher in the west due to orographic lift, which caused more surface hoar growth. Similarly, regions with strong winds or above-freezing temperatures had less surface hoar.

- While field campaigns were done in different sub-regions, it was difficult to get a single representative crystal size to compare with the model. In general, the field campaigns found larger surface hoar in the west end of the park. For example, on 21 January, the largest surface hoar observed in the west end of the park (Mt. Fidelity) was 15 mm, but the following day the largest surface hoar in the centre of the park (Hermit Mountain) was only 8 mm. Similarly, on 28 January the largest surface hoar observed on Mt. Fidelity was 18 mm, compared to 14 mm the previous day in the centre of the
- 250 park (Ursus Minor Mountain). On 6 February, surface hoar up to 12 mm was observed in the east end of the park (Tupper Mountain), compared to 8 mm the following day in the center of the park (Ursus Minor Mountain). While only point observations, the field campaigns support some of the trends in Fig. 6 and suggest layers of surface hoar could be mapped on a regional scale.
- Given the clustering of weather inputs and modelled surface hoar, the HRDPS probably did not
 resolve processes at 2.5 km resolution (i.e. basin-scale). Semi-variogram analysis (not shown) found forecast variables were usually autocorrelated up to 20 km away. This distance may correspond to the effective resolution of the HRDPS, or perhaps the actual scale of weather systems. Variations within sub-regions primarily resulted from elevation differences between neighbouring grid points. Accordingly, field observations are compared to surface hoar modelled at HRPDS grid points within a 10 km radius in the upcoming sections (roughly 50 grid points).

3.3.2 Elevation effects

Surface hoar modelled with HRDPS data was often influenced by grid point elevation (Fig. 7). The 22 and 29 January layers were largest at treeline elevation grid points (1800 to 2200 m), while the 10 February layer was largest at below treeline grid points. The weather forecast over different elevations clearly impacted the model. Warmer forecast temperatures at low elevations caused surface hoar to melt, evident by the diurnal pattern of growth and melt between 18 and 22 January. Winds at high elevations destroyed surface hoar when wind speeds exceeded $3.5 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$, such as on 18 and 27 January. High elevation grid points had colder surface temperatures but lower absolute humidity,

which had offsetting effects on surface hoar growth. Favourable conditions existed at treeline eleva-

- 270 tions for the 22 and 29 January layers (Fig. 7). However, favourable conditions for the 10 February layer were at low elevations, because high elevations were very cold and therefore had low absolute humidity. Accordingly, growth was favoured at low elevations, such as the valleys in the northeast corner of the park (Fig. 6c).
- Surface hoar was observed over a range of elevations in flat fields on Mt. St. Anne near Blue River and Ursus Minor Mountain in GNP. Observed crystal sizes on Mt. St. Anne typically decreased with 275 elevation on 21 January (Fig. 8a). Strong winds appeared to limit growth at the high elevation sites, as signs of recent wind transport were evident in the field. At nearby HRDPS grid points, large forecast wind speeds limited surface hoar formation at many points, resulting in little to no modelled surface hoar. A subset of the grid points were not affected by strong winds and modelled crystal sizes increased with elevation. The choice of the $3.5 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ threshold wind speed clearly impacted 280

which grid points had modelled surface hoar.

The same sites on Mt. St. Anne were visited on 27 January (Fig. 8b), but this time surface hoar increased in size with elevation. No signs of wind transported snow were evident at the high elevation sites. The same day on Ursus Minor Mountain in GNP, observed surface hoar also increased in size

- 285 with elevation (Fig. 8c). Mild temperatures and calm winds over this period likely allowed surface hoar growth at alpine sites. Sizes modelled at HRDPS grid points near each mountain on 27 January were variable, but typically increased with elevation. An exception was some of the highest elevation grid points near Mt. St. Anne, where modelled surface hoar was smaller. On 7 February, observed and modelled crystal sizes typically decreased with elevation on Ursus Minor Mountain (Fig. 8d), as high elevation sites experienced cold, dry, and windy conditions.
- 290

While the HRDPS modelled general elevation patterns, surface hoar sizes at neighbouring grid points were highly variable and sensitive to the wind speed threshold. Furthermore, the field observations were also variable, making quantitative verification difficult. Avalanche practitioners often observe surface hoar layers over specific elevation bands where specific meteorological conditions exist. Accurate and precise NWP model forecasts are needed to model these effects. The HRDPS

295 appears to forecasts some general elevation trends, but will probably not pinpoint specific elevation bands.

3.3.3 Slope effects

SNOWPACK simulations on virtual slopes systematically predicted less surface hoar on slopes ex-300 posed to solar radiation (Fig. 9). North-facing slopes consistently had large surface hoar regardless of slope incline, but south-facing slopes were very sensitive to slope incline. Steep south slopes had a diurnal cycle of surface hoar growth and melt, particularly during warm periods such as 24 to 26 January. As a result, extended periods of clear weather often resulted in major differences between surface hoar modelled on north and south slopes, such as the 29 January layer.

- 305 Surface hoar observed on large open slopes had less consistent patterns over different aspects. Slopes at treeline elevations often had comparable or even larger surface hoar on south slopes than on adjacent north slopes (Fig. 10). Although care was taken to chose slopes with similar sky view (>75 %), slope angle (20 to 30°), and wind exposure, minor variations in these parameters between the slopes made isolating aspect effects difficult.
- A more prominent impact of solar radiation was the formation of sun crusts beneath the surface hoar crystals due to sub-surface warming (Birkeland, 1998). Sun crusts were regularly observed on south slopes between 15 and 29 January (e.g. Fig. 10a–f), but were rarely observed afterwards (e.g. Fig. 10g). Colder air temperatures in February likely offset radiative warming. Surface hoar crystals were not necessarily smaller on south slopes after sun crusts formed. For example, on 21
- 315 January, the south slope on Mt. Fidelity had an 18 mm thick sun crust underneath 9 mm surface hoar crystals, which were larger than crystals observed at any of the adjacent slopes (Fig. 10a). In other cases, surface hoar was smaller when overlying sun crusts (e.g Fig. 10b–f), particularly when overlying thick crusts. SNOWPACK simulations on south-facing slopes rarely modelled sun crusts, suggesting sub-surface melting by solar radiation may not have been accurately simulated.
- 320 Virtual slope simulations in SNOWPACK may exaggerate radiation effects on surface hoar. Incoming radiation on slopes is adequately modelled in SNOWPACK (Helbig et al., 2010), but the complete surface energy balance may not be. A major simplification is that turbulent fluxes on slopes are modelled with the same turbulent transfer coefficient as a flat field. In reality, turbulent fluxes (including vapour fluxes) are influenced by slope factors such as small-scale terrain features, vege-
- 325 tation, and local winds. These factors likely influenced the different sizes of surface hoar observed on slopes (Fig. 10). Also, turbulent fluxes on slopes likely offset the effects of radiation fluxes, as observed in snow melt studies (e.g., Mott et al., 2011). While SNOWPACK has a relatively sophisticated snow surface energy balance model, processes that affect surface hoar formation on slopes are clearly more complex. A comprehensive model would need to resolve high resolution wind fields,
- along with improved modelling of turbulent fluxes, radiation absorption by the surface, snow melt, terrain and vegetation shading, and local sky view effects from topography and vegetation.

4 Conclusions

335

warm air temperatures, high humidity, cold surface temperatures, and low wind speeds. Meteorological factors influenced which surface hoar layers had large crystals, as well as the regions and elevation bands where they formed. Low elevations typically had favourable humidity and wind speeds, while high elevations had favourable surface temperatures. These offsetting effects made surface hoar formation favourable at treeline elevations for two layers, and at below treeline elevations for

Surface hoar formation modelled with the snow cover model SNOWPACK was associated with

another layer. Field observations typically agreed with modelled elevation patterns, although there

- 340 were some discrepancies at high elevations where the effect of strong winds was difficult to model. Factors affecting surface hoar formation on slopes were highly variable and thus difficult to model by only accounting for slope incline and aspect. SNOWPACK systematically predicted less surface hoar on slopes exposed to solar radiation; however, this was not necessarily observed in the field, as solar radiation tended to form sun crusts under surface hoar rather than reduce surface hoar growth.
- 345 Avalanche forecasters could benefit from such a model chain by spatially tracking layers prone to releasing slab avalanches. The high resolution NWP model appeared to have sufficient quality to forecast surface hoar on a regional scale during a period of high pressure. Some elevation effects were modelled, but improving the modelling of surface hoar formation under windy conditions would help simulations at high elevations. Finer scale meteorological phenomenon, such as valley
- 350 clouds and local winds, were not adequately resolved by the weather model, but should improve in the future with better quality NWP models. The surface hoar model could be improved by downscaling meteorological data to account for local terrain features (e.g., Liston and Elder, 2006), modelling sky view effects such as vegetation and terrain shading (e.g. Helbig et al., 2010; Lutz and Birkeland, 2011), and improving modelled fluxes on slopes.
- 355 Acknowledgements. We thank the ASARC field team for collecting field data and our host operations Avalanche Control Section of Glacier National Park and Mike Wiegele Helicopter Skiing. We are grateful to Miguel Trembley and Catherine Brown for providing data, and Erik Kulyk for preparing the NWP data. We thank Charles Fierz and Mathias Bavay for help with SNOWPACK. For their financial support we thank TECTERRA, Canadian Pacific Canadian Avalanche Association, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research
- 360 Council of Canada, HeliCat Canada, Canadian Avalanche Foundation, Parks Canada, Mike Wiegele Helicopter Skiing, Canada West Ski Areas Association, Backcountry Lodges of BC Association, Association of Canadian Mountain Guides, Teck Mining Company, Canadian Ski Guide Association, Backcountry Access, and the BC Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure Avalanche and Weather Programs. We also thank the reviewers of this paper.

365 References

385

- Bellaire, S. and Jamieson, B.: Forecasting the formation of critical snow layers using a coupled snow cover and weather model, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 94, 37–44, 2013.
- Bellaire, S. and Schweizer, J.: Measuring spatial variations of weak layer and slab properties with regard to snow slope stability, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 65, 234–241, 2011.
- 370 Bellaire, S., Jamieson, J. B., and Fierz, C.: Forcing the snow-cover model SNOWPACK with forecasted weather data, The Cryosphere, 5, 1115–1125, doi:10.5194/tc-5-1115-2011, 2011.
 - Bellaire, S., Jamieson, J. B., and Fierz, C.: Corrigendum to "Forcing the snow-cover model SNOWPACK with forecasted weather data" published in The Cryosphere, 5, 1115–1125, 2011, The Cryosphere, 7, 511–513, doi:10.5194/tc-7-511-2013, 2013.
- 375 Birkeland, K.: Terminology and predominant processes associated with the formation of weak layers of nearsurface faceted crystals in the mountain snowpack, Arctic Alpine Res., 30, 1–12, 1998.
 - Brun, E., David, P., Sudul, M., and Brunot, G.: A numerical model to simulate snow-cover stratigraphy for operational avalanche forecasting, J. Glaciol., 38, 13–22, 1992.
 - Canadian Avalanche Association: Observation Guidelines and Recording Standards for Weather, Snowpack and

380 Avalanches, Canadian Avalanche Association, Revelstoke, Canada, 2014.

- Chow, F. K., Weigel, A. P., Street, R. L., Rotach, M. W., and Xue, M.: High-resolution large-eddy simulations of flow in a steep Alpine valley. Part I: Methodology, verification, and sensitivity experiments, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 45, 63–86, 2006.
- Colbeck, S.: On the micrometeorology of surface hoar growth on snow in mountainous area, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 44, 1–12, 1988.
- Colbeck, S., Jamieson, B., and Crowe, S.: An attempt to describe the mechanism of surface hoar growth from valley clouds, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 54, 83–88, 2008.
- Erfani, A., Mailhot, J., Gravel, S., Desgagné, M., King, P., Sills, D., McLennan, N., and Jacob, D.: The high resolution limited area version of the global environmental multiscale model and its potential operational
- applications, Preprints, 11th Conf. on Mesoscale Processes, Albuquerque, NM, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 2005.
 - Feick, S., Kronholm, K., and Schweizer, J.: Field observations on spatial variability of surface hoar at the basin scale, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth, 112, F02002, doi:10.1029/2006JF000587, 2007.
 - Fierz, C., Armstrong, R., Durand, Y., Etchevers, P., Greene, E., McClung, D., Nishimura, K., Satyawali, P., Sokratov, S.: The International Classification of Seasonal Snow on the Ground, IHP-VII Technical Docu-
- ments in Hydrology No. 83, IACS Contribution No. 1, UNESCO-IHP, Paris, 2009.
 - Foehn, P.: Simulation of surface-hoar layers for snow-cover models, Ann. Glaciol., 32, 19–26, 2001.
 - Hachikubo, A.: Numerical modelling of sublimation on snow and comparison with field measurements, Ann. Glaciol., 32, 27–32, 2001.
- Hachikubo, A. and Akitaya, E.: Effect of wind on surface hoar growth on snow, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 102,
 4367–4373, 1997.
 - Hachikubo, A. and Akitaya, E.: Daytime preservation of surface-hoar crystals, Ann. Glaciol., 26, 22–26, 1998.
 Helbig, N. and van Herwijnen, A.: Modeling the spatial distribution of surface hoar in complex topography, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 82, 68–74, 2012.

Helbig, N., Loewe, H., Mayer, B., and Lehning, M.: Explicit validation of a surface shortwave radiation balance

- 405 model over snow-covered complex terrain, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D18113, doi:10.1029/2010JD013970, 2010.
 - Horton, S., Bellaire, S., and Jamieson, B.: Modelling the formation of surface hoar layers and tracking postburial changes for avalanche forecasting, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 97, 81–89, 2014.
- Haegeli, P. and McClung, D. M.: Avalanche characteristics of a transitional snow climate Columbia Mountains, British Columbia, Canada, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 37, 255–276, 2003.
 - Lehning, M., Bartelt, P., Brown, B., and Fierz, C.: A physical SNOWPACK model for the Swiss avalanche warning: Part III: Meteorological forcing, thin layer formation and evaluation, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 35, 169–184, 2002.
 - Lehning, M., Voelksch, I., Gustafsson, D., Nguyen, T. A., Staehli, M., and Zappa, M.: ALPINE3D: a detailed
- model of mountain surface processes and its application to snow hydrology, Hydrol. Process., 20, 2111–2128, 2006.
 - Liston, G. E. and Elder, K.: A meteorological distribution system for high-resolution terrestrial modeling (MicroMet), J. Hydrometeorol., 7, 217–234, 2006.

Lutz, E. R. and Birkeland, K. W.: Spatial patterns of surface hoar properties and incoming radiation on an inclined forest opening, J. Glaciol., 57, 355–366, 2011.

420

- Mailhot, J., Milbrandt, J., Giguère, A., McTaggart-Cowan, R., Erfani, A., Denis, B., Glazer, A., and Vallée, M.: An experimental high-resolution forecast system during the Vancouver 2010 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games, Pure Appl. Geophys., 171, 209–229, 2012.
- Mo, R., Joe, P., Isaac, G., Gultepe, I., Rasmussen, R., Milbrandt, J., McTaggart-Cowan, R., Mailhot, J., Brug-
- 425 man, M., and Smith, T.: Mid-mountain clouds at Whistler during the Vancouver 2010 Winter Olympics and Paralympics, Pure Appl. Geophys., 171, 157–183, 2012.
 - Mott, R., Egli, L., Grünewald, T., Dawes, N., Manes, C., Bavay, M., and Lehning, M.: Micrometeorological processes driving snow ablation in an Alpine catchment, The Cryosphere, 5, 1083–1098, doi:10.5194/tc-5-1083-2011, 2011.
- 430 Mott, R., Daniels, M., and Lehning, M.: Atmospheric Flow Development and Associated Changes in Turbulent Sensible Heat Flux over a Patchy Mountain Snow Cover, J. Hydrometeorol., 16, 1315–1340, doi:10.1175/JHM-D-14-0036.1, 2014.
 - Raderschall, N., Lehning, M., and Schaer, C.: Fine-scale modeling of the boundary layer wind field over steep topography, Water Resour. Res., 44, W09425, doi:10.1029/2007WR006544, 2008.
- 435 Schirmer, M. and Jamieson, B.: Verification of analysed and forecasted winter precipitation in complex terrain, The Cryosphere, 9, 587–601, doi:10.5194/tc-9-587-2015, 2015.

Schweizer, J. and Kronholm, K.: Snow cover spatial variability at multiple scales: characteristics of a layer of buried surface hoar, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 47, 207–223, 2007.

- Schweizer, J., Jamieson, J. B., and Skjonsberg, D.: Avalanche forecasting for transportation corridor and back-
- country in Glacier National Park (BC, Canada), 25 Years of Snow Avalanche Research, Voss, Norway, 12–16
 May 1998, 238–243, 1998.
 - Shea, C. and Jamieson, B.: Spatial distribution of surface hoar crystals in sparse forests, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 10, 1317–1330, doi:10.5194/nhess-10-1317-2010, 2010.

Shea, C. and Jamieson, B.: Sun effect on surface hoar illustrated by three case studies, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.,

445 68, 99–105, 2011.

- Slaughter, A. E.: Numerical analysis of conditions necessary for near-surface snow metamorphism, Ph.D. thesis, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, USA, 2010.
- Slaughter, A., Adams, E., Staron, P., Shertzer, R., Walters, D., McCabe, D., Catherine, D., Henninger, I., Leonard, T., Cooperstein, M., and Munter, H.: Field investigation of near-surface metamorphism of snow, J.

450 Glaciol., 57, 441–452, 2011.

- Stull, R.: Practical Meteorology: an Algebra Based Survey of Atmospheric Science, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, 2014.
- Stoessel, F., Guala, M., Fierz, C., Manes, C., and Lehning, M.: Micrometeorological and morphological observations of surface hoar dynamics on a mountain snow cover, Water Resour. Res., 46, W04511,
- 455 doi:10.1029/2009WR008198, 2010.
 - Vionnet, V., Bélair, S., Girard, C., and Plante, A.: Wintertime sub-kilometer numerical forecasts of near-surface variables in the Canadian Rocky Mountains, Mon. Weather Rev., 143, 666–686, 2014.
 - Weusthoff, T., Ament, F., Arpagaus, M., and Rotach, M. W.: Assessing the benefits of convection-permitting models by neighborhood verification: examples from MAP D-PHASE, Mon. Weather Rev., 138, 3418–3433, 2010.

460 20

 Table 1. Weibull distribution parameters fitted to station and forecast wind speeds (ridgetop station values in brackets).

Source	Location parameter $(m s^{-1})$	Shape parameter
Stations	0.5, 1.1, 1.7, 2.0, 2.3, 2.6, (4.0), (8.1)	0.9, 1.0, 1.2, 1.2, (1.4), 1.5, 1.8, (1.9)
$10\mathrm{m}$ forecast	0.9	2.6
$40\mathrm{m}$ forecast	2.0	1.7



Figure 1. Map of Glacier National Park (GNP) with the locations of field campaigns, High Resolution Deterministic Prediction System (HRDPS) grid points, and weather stations (30 m digital elevation model basemap from DMTI Spatial). Inset map shows southwestern Canada and the location of GNP and Blue River.



Figure 2. Surface hoar growth rates modelled by SNOWPACK for input values of (**a**) air temperature, (**b**) relative humidity, (**c**) modelled snow surface temperature, (**d**) incoming longwave radiation, and (**e**) wind speed. Plots are based on hourly SNOWPACK inputs and outputs over six months at 393 HRDPS grid points (670,046 total growth rates). For a given range of input values the median growth rate is shown with a black line, boxes span the interquartile range of growth rates, whiskers span growth rates within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and outliers are not shown. Box widths are proportional the square root of the number of inputs in each group.



Figure 3. Air temperatures (a) measured at stations and (b) forecast by the High Resolution Deterministic Prediction System (HRDPS) in Glacier National Park. Forecasts were grouped by grid point elevation, with 35 alpine points (> 2200 m), 92 treeline points (1800 to 2200 m), and 98 below treeline grid points (< 1800 m). The median temperature in each band is shown. Surface hoar crystals were buried on 22, 29 January, and 10 February as indicated by the vertical dashed lines.



Figure 4. Relative humidity (**a**) measured at stations and (**b**) forecast by the High Resolution Deterministic Prediction System (HRDPS) in Glacier National Park. Same format as Fig. 3.



Figure 5. Wind speeds (a) measured at stations and (b) forecast by the High Resolution Deterministic Prediction System (HRDPS) in Glacier National Park. The 3.5 m s^{-1} threshold is shown with a horizontal line. Same format as Fig. 3.



Figure 6. Modelled surface hoar sizes at High Resolution Deterministic Prediction System (HRDPS) grid points in Glacier National Park on (a) 22 January, (b) 29 January, and (c) 10 February. Black contour lines show the topography of Glacier National Park resolved by the model.



Figure 7. Modelled surface hoar sizes at High Resolution Deterministic Prediction System (HRDPS) grid points grouped by elevation bands in Glacier National Park. Same format as Fig. 3.



Figure 8. Surface hoar sizes observed over different elevations on Mt. St. Anne and Ursus Minor Mountain (red dots). Black dots show the sizes modelled at grid points within a 10 km radius of the mountains, and the moving average with a black line.



Figure 9. Modelled surface hoar sizes on north- and south-facing slopes with various inclines. Simulations were done with SNOWPACK using forecasts from the High Resolution Deterministic Prediction System at 92 grid points at treeline elevations (1800 to 2200 m) in Glacier National Park. The median crystal sizes for each slope are shown.



Figure 10. Surface hoar sizes observed at treeline elevations over different slope aspects during 7 field campaigns. Surface hoar observed without underlying sun crusts are shown with solid red dots, while surface hoar observed with underlying sun crusts are shown with hollow red dots (and the thickness of the crust to the right). Black lines show the median size modelled on slopes at 8 cardinal aspects with 30° inclines. Slope simulations were done with forecast data from grid points at treeline elevations within a 10 km radius of the mountain.