

[Interactive
Comment](#)

Interactive comment on “Constraining the recent mass balance of Pine Island and Thwaites glaciers, West Antarctica with airborne observations of snow accumulation” by B. Medley et al.

R. Arthern (Referee)

rart@bas.ac.uk

Received and published: 20 March 2014

My recommendation is that this paper should be published in the Cryosphere after a few minor modifications listed below. The study is a valuable assessment of the mass imbalance of the Pine Island and Thwaites glaciers in West Antarctica. It is now well established that these two glaciers and several others in the region contribute each year to rising global sea level. By providing an accurate picture of this contribution, and how it has varied over recent decades, this study represents a very worthwhile contribution to the glaciological literature.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



Other assessments of the state of balance of this region have been published, using satellite altimetry, satellite gravity, and mass input-output methods, summarised in the recent IMBIE assessment (Shepherd et al. 2012). This study uses the input-output method, but is much more comprehensive in its treatment of the mass input from snow accumulation than previous assessments.

In particular a dedicated series of flights with airborne radar have allowed remotely-sensed observation of the accumulation rate along multiple flight lines, providing reasonable coverage of the whole drainage sector. The value of this is twofold. First, it allows the accuracy of earlier estimates of accumulation rate, either from meteorological models, or interpolated from field observations, to be assessed: a comprehensive comparison with many earlier studies is performed here. Second, the gridded accumulation map interpolated from the airborne radar observations is combined with velocity estimates from satellite interferometric radar to assess the mass budget of this region of Antarctica.

The result of the budget calculation is a time-resolved assessment of mass changes. A significant finding from this investigation is that while flow rates and hence ice losses are still much faster than they were in the 1990s, they have recently levelled off at these high rates of loss. These observations will be extremely valuable for testing models of glaciological changes underway in West Antarctica.

The paper is very clearly written, and the level of detail for ice cores, radar, and other techniques seems appropriate. The figures are good and the presentation is clear throughout the manuscript. I do think a number of additional points need to be addressed in the manuscript, and have listed these below.

Minor revisions

The interpolation techniques could probably be improved upon. For instance, the separate regression and Kriging steps could be combined using Universal Kriging. More consideration could also be given to whether the residuals from the regression surface

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

follow a Gaussian distribution or a log-normal distribution. For instance, it is quite possible that a different map would arise by Kriging residuals after a logarithmic transformation, and this might actually be a more appropriate procedure, depending upon the distribution of fluctuations in accumulation rate over short spatial scales. The scatter in the layer-to-layer regression clearly increases with accumulation rate (figure 5). This suggests that a logarithmic transformation of the observations similar to that used by Arthern et al. 2006 might be more appropriate. Otherwise observations of high accumulation rate from the tails of a log-normal distribution could be given disproportionate weighting in the interpolation. This would produce a map that is biased.

The possibility that the accumulation rate map is biased by neglecting to transform the data should be acknowledged in the discussion section. The logarithmic transformation can only be defined for accumulations above some positive threshold, so is not appropriate for ablation or blue-ice regions, but that should not be a serious limitation here. Ideally, the Kriging procedure would be performed with and without a logarithmic transformation to assess the difference that this makes.

The assumption that ice velocity is constant with depth for flux gates upstream of the grounding lines is questionable for both Pine Island and Thwaites glaciers. There are regions close to the grounding lines of both glaciers that show significant basal drag, and this would cause at least some shearing within the ice. The consequences of this for estimates of discharge should be discussed, and an attempt should be made to place a bound on the error in flux estimates from vertical shearing.

It would be good to provide more glaciological context for the observations. A similar rapidly accelerating loss followed by a plateau of sustained high loss has been seen in several model simulations of this sector of Antarctica (e.g. Joughin et al, 2010, Favier et al., Nature Climate Change, 4, 117–121, 2014, doi:10.1038/nclimate2094). It would be worth spending some time discussing this observation in the light of the model simulations. For instance, did the rapid acceleration of losses coincide with the retreat of the grounding line of Pine Island into much deeper water?

Other than these minor modifications, which I think should be addressed before publication, the paper represents a very valuable study of glacial change in this sector of Antarctica.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., 8, 953, 2014.

TCD

8, C261–C264, 2014

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C264

