

Interactive comment on “Changes in Imja Tsho in the Mt. Everest region of Nepal” by M. A. Somos-Valenzuela et al.

J. Ives (Referee)

jack.ives@carleton.ca

Received and published: 15 July 2014

A few tiny points re English language editing:

- a) Page 2379, lines 27-29. The word “uncertainty” is used four times in one sentence. This is not acceptable.
- b) Page 2386, lines 15/16. “The thickness varies. . . .” Not necessary to use the word “thick” in same sentence.
- c) Page 2387, line 8. As above the word “thick” in the same sentence is repetitive.
- d) Reference 30, Hagen et al. correct spelling of Führer-Haimendorf.
- e) Reference to Hammond. . . . better to state that MA thesis is unpublished.

C1286

f) I have learned from one or other of the authors (Byers or McKinney) that there is a proposal by one of the UN agencies (UNDP or UNEP) that the risk of a dangerous outburst could be reduced by artificial lowering of the level of Imja Lake by a few metres. They (my informants) believe this would be a waste of money and would achieve little. I would agree. But should this not be stated in the conclusion? By the same token, as a great deal of exaggeration about the imminence of a catastrophic outbreak has been extensively publicized, I would like to see this point discussed in the conclusion, at least briefly. However, I would defer to the authors on this point.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., 8, 2375, 2014.

C1287