# <sup>2</sup> Brief Communication:

# Contending estimates of 2003-2008 glacier mass balance over the Pamir-Karakoram-Himalaya

Andreas Kääb<sup>1</sup>, Désirée Treichler<sup>1</sup>, Christopher Nuth<sup>1</sup>, Etienne Berthier<sup>2</sup>

8 [1] {Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1047, Oslo, Norway}

9 [2] {CNRS, Université de Toulouse, LEGOS, 14 avenue Ed. Belin, Toulouse 31400, France }

10 Correspondence to: Andreas Kääb (kaeaeb@geo.uio.no)

11

1

5

6

7

## 12 Abstract

We present glacier thickness changes over the entire Pamir-Karakoram-Himalaya arc based 13 on ICESat satellite altimetry data for 2003-2008. We highlight the importance of C-band 14 penetration for studies based on the SRTM elevation model. This penetration seems to be of 15 potentially larger magnitude and variability than previously assumed. The most negative rate 16 of region-wide glacier elevation change ( $< -1 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$ ) is observed for the East 17 Nyaingêntanglha Shan. Conversely, glaciers of the West Kunlun Shan are slightly gaining 18 volume, and Pamir and Karakoram seem to be on the western edge of this mass gain anomaly 19 rather than its centre. For the Ganges, Indus and Brahmaputra basins, the glacier mass change 20 reaches  $-22 \pm 3$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, about 10% of the current glacier contribution to sea-level rise. For 21 selected catchments, we estimate glacier imbalance contributions to river runoff from a few 22 percent to greater than 10%. 23

### 25 1 Introduction and Methods

Region-wide measurements of glacier volume or mass change are limited for the Pamir-26 Karakoram-Himalaya region, leaving room for speculation about the glacier response to 27 28 climate change and its hydrological significance. Glacier mass change in high mountain Asia (or some part of it) have been obtained by (i) extrapolating the few existing in-situ mass 29 balance series (Cogley, 2011; Bolch et al., 2012; Yao et al., 2012), (ii) using space gravimetry 30 (Jacob et al., 2012; Gardner et al., 2013), (iii) laser altimetry (Kääb et al., 2012; Gardner et al., 31 2013; Neckel et al., 2014), and (iv) the differencing of digital elevation models (Gardelle et 32 al, 2013). Between these studies that narrow down the range of uncertainties for core parts of 33 this remote mountain region, significant inconsistencies remain. 34

The aims of this study are to provide (i) a new consistent regional-scale data set from the ICESat autumn laser campaigns (2003-2008) by extending Kääb et al. (2012) to completely cover the study region by Gardelle et al. (2013) and several major river basins, (ii) to compare the results to other previous estimates of the Pamir-Karakoram-Himalaya glacier volume change, and (iii) to roughly evaluate the contribution of glacier mass change to river runoff.

We follow the methods explained in Kääb et al. (2012) with a considerable extension towards 40 the East Nyaingêntanglha Shan, the Pamir and part of the Tibetan Plateau (Fig. 1). In short, 41 ICESat footprints are intersected with the February 2000 SRTM DEM and overlaid on the 42 most snow-free multispectral Landsat images over ~2000-2013 to manually classify footprints 43 into three classes; glaciers, non-glaciers and water. Glacier elevation difference trends are 44 then estimated regionally and at a 1°×1° geographic grid by fitting a robust linear temporal 45 trend to the time series of elevation differences between the SRTM DEM and individual 46 ICES at footprint elevations. Trends are derived from autumn ICES at campaigns only (2009 47 ICESat winter campaigns excluded), because combined autumn and winter trends are 48 sensitive to temporal variations in accumulation amount and timing, potentially introducing 49 bias (see Supplement of Kääb et al., 2012). We confirm that our trends are not due to 50 sampling bias of ICESat elevations by comparing ICESat elevation histograms with glacier 51 hypsometry. The resulting elevation difference trends for all our zones are given in Tab. 1. 52

## 53 2 Glacier thickness changes

54

#### 2.1 Thickening in the Karakoram and West Kunlun Shan

A first striking feature in the regional map of elevation difference trends (Fig. 1) is glacier 55 thickness gain in the West Kunlun Shan (~  $+0.1 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$ ), agreeing with in-situ mass balance 56 and length change measurements (Yao et al., 2012). There is a southwest to northeast gradient 57 from considerably negative glacier mass balances in Hindu Kush and Spiti Lahaul to positive 58 values in the Pamir-Karakoram-West Kunlun Shan region (Fig. 1). This suggests the so-called 59 Karakoram glacier mass-balance anomaly (Hewitt, 2011; Gardelle et al., 2012), or Pamir-60 Karakoram anomaly (Gardelle et al., 2013), is rather the edge or southwest limit of an 61 anomaly centred more to the northeast over the West Kunlun Shan, or Tarim Basin. The 62 anomaly seems thus indeed the result of a larger-scale meteorological or climatic feature, and 63 peculiarities of the Karakoram topography or glaciers (e.g., surge type, hypsometry, 64 avalanche contribution; Hewitt, 2011) do not necessarily play a decisive role. Combined, the 65 results by Gardner et al. (2013), Neckel et al. (2014), and the glacier elevation change pattern 66 of Fig. 1 suggest the centre of the anomaly could be located over the Tibetan Plateau. 67

Direct precipitation measurements in this region are scarce thus trends are uncertain. Satellite-68 retrieved precipitation and gauge data (Global Precipitation Climatology Project) suggest an 69 increase of precipitation over the study region north of Karakoram and east of Pamir (Yao et 70 al., 2012). Chinese measurements show increased precipitation over the Tibetan Plateau 71 (personal communication Chong-Yu Xu), and Tao et al. (2014) suggest wetter conditions over 72 the Tarim Basin since the mid 1980s. A number of abnormally wet years occurred during the 73 early 21st century over the Tarim Basin and the Tibetan Plateau (Becker et al., 2013), in 74 particular for the hydrological years 2003/4 and 2005/6. A recent climate modelling study 75 proposes that stable or increasing snowfalls characterise the Karakoram anomaly on a 76 background of increasing air temperatures (Kapnick et al., 2014). Despite the available 77 studies and data, further research seems necessary to consolidate the precipitation and 78 temperature trends, and the reason behind the slight glacier volume gains. 79

80

## 2.2 Massive thinning in East Nyainqêntanglha Shan and Spiti Lahaul

The other striking feature in Fig. 1 is the massive glacier thickness loss in the East Nyainqêntanglha Shan (between -1 m yr<sup>-1</sup> and -1.7 m yr<sup>-1</sup>), also consistent with the large negative mass balances and frontal retreats in this zone (Yao et al., 2012). The glaciers of East Nyainqêntanglha Shan have the smallest total elevational range in our study region, indicating

a large sensitivity to fluctuations in the equilibrium line altitude (Pelto, 2010; Loibl et al., 85 2014). The few available in-situ mass balance measurements in the area suggest that the 86 equilibrium line was over the vertical limits of the monitored glaciers in the late 2000s, and 87 precipitation in this zone shows the strongest long-term decrease over the entire Pamir-88 Karakoram-Himalaya region (Yao et al., 2012; Becker et al. 2013). A similar pattern of 89 glacier shrinkage, though less distinct, is found at the western end of the Great Himalaya 90 Range within our Spiti Lahaul zone and forms the cluster of second-largest thickness loss 91 rates in this study (-0.5 to -0.7 m yr<sup>-1</sup>). Also here, Landsat data indicate that firn lines have in 92 several years risen towards high glacier elevations resulting in very small accumulation areas 93 or even their complete loss. 94

The 2003-2008 glacier thickness changes in the other study zones are all similar, on the order 95 of ~ -0.4 to -0.5 m yr<sup>-1</sup> (Tab.1), with more negative values in the Bhutan zone at the transition 96 between the East Nyaiqêntanglha and Everest zones. We note that glaciers dominated by the 97 summer monsoon (i.e. east of the Spiti Lahaul) all show thickness losses (summer-98 accumulation type glaciers; Fujita, 2008; Kapnick et al., 2014; Maussion et al., 2014). East 99 Nyaiqêntanglha Shan, the zone with strongest glacier thickness loss, receives most 100 accumulation during March-May (spring-accumulation type; Maussion et al., 2014). The 101 glaciers with considerable winter accumulation under influence of the Westerlies show a more 102 mixed picture with stable or growing thicknesses in the Karakoram and West Kunlun Shan, 103 but thickness losses for instance in the Hindu Kush. 104

#### 105 **2.3 Comparison to previous thickness change studies**

The following comparison to other studies uses average glacier thickness changes rather than total mass changes in order to minimize effects from different delineations of study zones, glacier cover areas, and density assumptions. From Hindu Kush and Karakoram in the west to Nepal in the east, results of all studies agree within their errors (Tab.1). Results are most sensitive to zone delineation in the Hindu Kush, reflecting the strong spatial variability of glacier thickness change rates in this area (Fig. 1) and presumably also locally heterogeneous glacier behaviour (Sarikaya et al., 2012; see also below for Pamir).

Significant differences between the results of all studies are found over East Nyainqêntanglha Shan. Our results and those from Neckel et al. (2014) agree within the errors, but not with Gardner et al. (2013) although all three studies are based on ICESat. While our study and Neckel et al. use ICESat footprint classifications from contemporary satellite images, Gardner et al. use Randolph Glacier Inventory outlines (RGI version 2.0; Pfeffer et al., 2014), which

contain considerable errors of commission and omission in this zone (see Table 1 in Gardelle 118 et al., 2013). Repeating our analysis with footprint classifications based on the Randolph 119 Glacier Inventory results in less negative elevation difference trends on glaciers (~ 20% less 120 negative) due to inclusion of non-glacier footprints. Vice versa, the elevation difference trends 121 on land are close to 0 when using our own footprint classification, but become negative if 122 ICESat footprints are classified using RGI due to inclusion of glacier footprints. The 123 remaining discrepancy is presumably due to the fact that the ICESat-based results of Gardner 124 et al. (2013) are averaged from three different methods. Their results based on autumn 125 footprints only (method B, Gardner et. al., 2013) suggest a thickness change rate of -0.86 m 126 yr<sup>-1</sup>, which is in closer agreement with our results. 127

At a first glance, East Nyaingêntanglha Shan results from Gardelle et al. (2013; zone called 128 there Hengduan Shan) and Gardner et al. (2013) seem to agree, but we believe this might be a 129 coincidence. First, above we argue why the Gardner et al. (2013) results might be less 130 negative. Second, the results in Gardelle et al. (2013) rely crucially on an estimate of SRTM 131 C-band penetration. Over any glacier globally, the SRTM radar waves will typically have 132 penetrated into the snow and ice, with potential largest penetration depths through snow and 133 firn, and smallest through ice (Kääb et al, 2012; Dall et al., 2001; Rignot et al. 2001). As a 134 consequence, SRTM glacier elevations do not, in general, reflect real mid-February 2000 135 glacier surface elevations but some lower horizon, the elevation of which depends among 136 others on the dielectric properties and structure of the penetrated glacier volume during the 137 SRTM campaign. For elevation difference studies where one of the elevation data sets is the 138 SRTM, its penetration depth needs to be estimated for correction, and biases in this estimate 139 translate directly into offsets in thickness change. Gardelle et al. (2013) used an average C-140 band penetration of 1.7 m for East Nyainqêntanglha Shan estimated from the difference of 141 SRTM C-band and X-band DEMs (Gardelle et al., 2012). Here, we extrapolate our ICESat 142 elevation trends over 2003-2008 and their uncertainty back in time to the SRTM acquisition 143 period in February 2000. Under the coarse assumption that the 2000-2003 trends equal the 144 2003-2008 ones, the extrapolation should at February 2000 result in a zero elevation 145 difference to ICESat since the SRTM DEM was used as elevation reference. Offsets in this 146 elevation difference for February 2000 are mainly attributed to SRTM radar penetration into 147 ice and snow (for method and discussion see Kääb et al., 2012). For East Nyainqêntanglha 148 Shan this analysis indicates an average penetration of 8-10 m (7-9 m if based on the winter 149 trends that might alternatively be assumed to reflect February conditions), much more than 150 the 1.7 m assumed in Gardelle et al. (2013), while the corresponding off-glacier penetration is 151

152 not discernible from zero. Clearly, our penetration depth lies at the high end, but remains within the range of possible C-band phase-centre penetrations (Kääb et al, 2012, Dall et al., 153 2001, Rignot et al. 2001). Sakai et al. (2014) suggest the highest accumulation rates of the 154 entire study region occur in East Nyainqêntanglha Shan, together with Hindu Kush. 155 Correction of the Gardelle et al. (2013) results by our present C-band penetration estimate 156 completely reconciles their results with ours. Note, however, that extrapolation of our 2003-157 2008 elevation difference trend back to 2000 is based on the risky assumption that the 2000-158 2003 trend equals the 2003-2008 trend. 159

- For the Bhutan zone, Gardelle et al. (2013) estimated a C-band penetration for February 2000 of 2.4 m whereas our extrapolation of ICESat trends suggests around 6 m, which again reconciles the results of both studies for this zone.
- In the Pamir, our results are more negative than Gardner et al. (2013) and in particular 163 Gardelle et al. (2013). As above, we suggest that our manual classification of ICESat 164 footprints versus the Randolph Glacier Inventory contributed to the difference between this 165 study and Gardner et al. (2013; remark: Gardelle et al., 2013, used their own inventory). Also, 166 the difference between our study and Gardner et al. (2013) is reduced if only the results from 167 their Method B (similar to ours) is considered. Gardelle et al. (2013) find glacier thickness 168 changes of  $+0.16 \pm 0.15$  m yr<sup>-1</sup> over the Pamir whereas the present study suggests  $-0.48 \pm$ 169 0.08 m yr<sup>-1</sup>. Again, we find larger SRTM C-band penetration of 5-6 m compared to 1.8 m 170 (Gardelle et al., 2013). Applying the average C-band penetration from the present study again 171 reconciles the results of both studies. However, comparison of both studies in Pamir is 172 complicated by a number of glacier surges (Gardelle et al., 2013) in connection with 173 particularly sparse ICESat glacier coverage. Superimposing ICESat tracks over Landsat 174 images and the elevation change map of Gardelle et al. (2013) reveals that they cross areas of 175 either strongly positive or negative elevation change zones from surge waves. The ICESat 176 trends thus become biased depending on where they sample surges, and the total ICESat 177 sample size over Pamir is not large enough to compensate for these effects. The different 178 observation periods for both studies (2000-2011 versus 2003-2008) may also have 179 considerable impact due to surge activities and climate inter-annual variability (Yi and Sun, 180 2014). 181

# **3** Glacier mass changes and water resources

We assume an average density of 850 kg m<sup>-3</sup> for all 2003-2008 volume changes to convert the 183 thickness changes to water equivalent quantities (Huss, 2013; see Kääb et al., 2012, for 184 different density scenarios). The total glacier area is estimated using a simple cross-product: 185 we multiply the number of ICES at glacier footprints in each zone with the ratio between the 186 total zone area and total number of ICESat footprints. Our method to estimate the total glacier 187 areas is certainly open to discussion, but we prefer the above procedure over using areas from 188 the Randolph Glacier Inventory because of the large deviations to our estimates, mainly for 189 East Nyaingêntanglha and Pamir, from obviously outdated glacier outlines and voids in the 190 Randolph inventory (Nuimura et al., 2014). The uncertainty of water equivalent quantities 191 includes the standard error of the elevation difference trend fit, the off-glacier trends, an error 192 due to temporal offset of the ICESat autumn campaigns from maximum cumulative ablation 193 conditions, an uncertainty of  $\pm 20\%$  for the glacier cover areas, and an uncertainty of  $\pm 60$  kg 194 m<sup>-3</sup> for density (Kääb et al. 2012; Huss, 2013). The effects of these individual sources of 195 uncertainty, all converted to error in mass change, are combined through the root sum of 196 squares to arrive at the total uncertainty. Note that water equivalent results from this study are 197 not identical to Kääb et al. (2012), even if elevation difference trends agree, due to the 198 simplified density assumption and the different glacier area estimates used. 199

#### 200

## 3.1 Comparison to gravimetric mass loss

For the Pamir, Kunlun Shan and Karakoram (zone 8b of Jacob et al., 2012; note that the 201 Karakoram is part of their zone 8b, not 8c as suggested by their zone names) we estimate a 202 glacier mass change of  $-6\pm 2$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> for 2003-2008 that agrees well within the error with Jacob 203 et al. (2012) results from satellite gravimetry of -5±10 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> (Jan 03-Dec 07) and -8±9 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> 204 <sup>1</sup> (Jan 04-Dec 08). For the Himalayas and East Nyaingêntanglha Shan (zone 8c of Jacob et al. 205 2012) we estimate a 2003-2008 glacier mass change of  $-19\pm3$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> that compares to  $-3\pm12$ 206 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> (Jan 03-Dec 07) and -2±10 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> (Jan 04-Dec 08) from satellite gravimetry. Given 207 their fundamentally different approaches, it is challenging to discuss potential sources of 208 209 disagreement between the two studies in the Himalayas and East Nyainqêntanglha. Groundwater depletion (Rodell et al., 2009), glacier imbalance runoff into endorheic basins 210 (Zhang et al., 2013), and errors and biases in the ICESat-derived trends as discussed above 211 and in Kääb et al. (2012) are all likely explanations. Note that Gardner et al. (2013) offer a 212 second, more negative gravimetric estimate for the entire combined High Mountain Asia that 213

is, though, not spatially resolved enough to compare to our results. The uncertainties of our results in this entire paragraph are given at  $2\sigma$  confidence level to better agree with the uncertainty level in Jacob et al. (2012), whereas elsewhere in this contribution uncertainty is provided at  $1\sigma$  confidence level.

#### 218 **3.2** *River runoff*

The glaciers of the Tarim Basin (only 40% of its total glacier area is covered here, with notably Tien Shan missing) and the Amu Darya basin (all glacier areas covered) drain into endorheic basins and thus their mass changes do not contribute to sea-level changes (Tab. 2). The glacier mass changes in the Indus, Ganges and Brahmaputra basins from the present study contributed together ~0.06  $\pm$  0.01 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> to eustatic sea-level rise, that is ~10% of the current sea level contribution of 0.71  $\pm$  0.08 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> from glaciers outside the ice sheets (Gardner et al., 2013).

The discharge equivalent of these mass changes, that is the annual average glacier imbalance contribution to river runoff, is given in Tab. 2 for the major river basins covered. Note that computation of our discharge equivalents is a pure unit conversion from Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> to m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, neglecting any hydrological processes and with the sole aim to roughly evaluate the relative importance of glacier mass changes for river flow in the catchments.

The Tarim Basin glaciers most likely stored water over 2003-2008 (+24  $\pm$  33 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> discharge 231 equivalent, DE). The glacier imbalance contribution to runoff is largest for Brahmaputra (-400 232  $\pm$  60 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> DE), followed by the Indus (-220 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> DE), and Ganges and Amu Darya (each -233 130 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> DE). Comparison of the discharge equivalent of glacier imbalance to measured 234 river runoff is increasingly biased the further downstream the gauging stations are situated 235 from the glaciers due to cumulative natural and man-made losses. It is also important to note 236 that the available runoff data from literature and databases refer to various time periods, in 237 parts considerably older than the ICESat period. Figure 2 illustrates thus only roughly the 238 hydrological significance of the 2003-2008 glacier mass change in selected gauged 239 catchments. (For details on the gauging stations used and the uncertainty of the contributions 240 see Supplement). As an example, the 2003-2008 glacier imbalance within the Upper Indus 241 basin at Besham Qila contributes ~6% to annual average river discharge (Fig. 2; Supplement), 242 and we roughly estimate a very similar number for the Amu Darya (Supplement). For the 243 Upper Indus basin, the hydrological balance is under ongoing discussion (cf. Reggiani and 244 Rientjes, 2014) and we hope that our glacier mass change estimates can contribute towards 245

balance closure and better understanding of spatial-temporal patterns of run-off or highelevation precipitation amounts in the region (e.g. Immerzeel et al., 2012).

The modelling results for "non-renewable glacier runoff" of Savoskul and Smakhtin (2013) agree well with ours for Amu Darya, less for Indus (they obtain -0.55 m w.e.  $yr^{-1}$  specific mass loss rate over 2001-2010, we -0.28 m w.e.  $yr^{-1}$ ) and Ganges (they obtain -0.77 m w.e.  $yr^{-1}$ ) <sup>1</sup>, we -0.37 m w.e.  $yr^{-1}$ ), and not very well for Brahmaputra (they obtain -0.36 m w.e.  $yr^{-1}$ , we -0.90 m w.e.  $yr^{-1}$ ).

## **4** Conclusions

From 2003-2008 ICESat-derived elevation difference trends over Pamir-Karakoram-Himalaya and from comparison to geographically overlapping studies we draw the following conclusions:

- Glacier thickness loss over the study region is most pronounced for the East Nyainqêntanglha Shan, followed by the western end of the Great Himalaya Range.
  Glaciers in and around the West Kunlun Shan are in balance or even gaining volume, and Pamir and Karakoram seem to be on the western limit of this mass balance anomaly rather than its centre. This suggests it is a meteorological or climatic anomaly (rise in precipitation). But the cause and duration of this regional glacier anomaly is not fully understood yet.
- Our glacier volume changes seem especially uncertain in Pamir and, to a lesser extent Hindu Kush. The heterogeneous behaviour of individual glaciers in these two zones, for instance from glacier surges, may lead to biases when extrapolating elevation difference trends from particularly sparse ICESat tracks, or areas covered by differential DEMs, to the entire zones.
- Extrapolation of ICESat trends back in time to the SRTM acquisition date suggests a • 269 much larger potential magnitude and variability of SRTM C-band phase-centre 270 penetration than often assumed. Given the crucial importance of radar penetration for 271 glacier thickness change studies based on radar DEMs, such as the SRTM or the 272 upcoming TanDEM-X, we recommend to be critical against penetration assumptions 273 used in previous studies and to investigate the issue more extensively and systematically 274 (Langley et al., 2007; chapter 7 in Müller, 2011). The problem is complicated by the fact 275 that radar penetration has to be known specifically for certain dates from the past. 276

- The glacier mass changes in the Tarim and Amu Darya Basins of  $+0.7 \pm 1.0$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> and -4.0  $\pm$  0.8 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> do not contribute to sea level rise. The combined Ganges, Indus and Brahmaputra basin glacier mass change is  $-23.7 \pm 2.1$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, almost 10% of the glacier contribution to sea-level rise.
- Neglecting water losses downstream of the glaciers, the 2003-2008 glacier imbalances amount to ~6% of the annual discharge of Amu Darya and Upper Indus where they leave the mountains. This is a considerable amount given the significance of the rivers for the Aral Sea (Amu Darya), and massive irrigation schemes and household use in these dry climate regions. Maximum glacier imbalance contributions to annual average river runoff of up to ~17% are found for the Shyok (Indus) and ~10% Vaksh (Amu Darya), minimum contributions are only ~1-3% for the monsoon-type catchments in Nepal.
- Our results on glacier mass loss agree with those from satellite gravimetry (Jacob et al. 2012) over Pamir, West Kunlun Shan and Karakoram, but significantly diverge over the Himalaya and East Nyainqêntanglha Shan.
- It is important to note that our results only cover 5 yr, 2003-2008, and it remains open to what extent those years are representative for longer periods, such as the 10 yr covered by Gardelle et al. (2013). For short mass balance series, single anomalous years may have large impacts on trends. Our water equivalent results are also sensitive to density and glacier area assumptions. We find that glacier outlines and areas in the study region are still quite uncertain and invite the reader to use improved glacier area estimates for upscaling our results, and their own assumptions for the conversion of volume changes to mass changes.

#### 298 Acknowledgement

Sincere thanks are due to Duncan Quincey, another anonymous referee, colleagues that 299 commented on the study, and the editor Andreas Vieli for their valuable feedback that 300 certainly improved our work. The study was funded by the European Research Council under 301 the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013) / ERC grant 302 agreement no. 320816, the ESA project Glaciers\_cci (4000109873/14/I-NB), and the 303 Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo. E. Berthier acknowledges support from 304 TOSCA (CNES). We are very grateful to NASA and NSIDC for free provision for the ICESat 305 data, and the USGS for the SRTM DEM and Landsat imagery. 306

## 307 Author contributions

A.K. designed the study, performed the data analysis and wrote the paper. D.T., C.N and E.B. contributed to data analysis, performed supporting analyses and edited the paper.

# 312 Figures and Tables



Fig. 1 Study region and trends of elevation differences during 2003–08. Data are shown on a 1° grid with overlapping rectangular geographic averaging cells of 2° × 2°. Trends are based on autumn ICESat acquisitions. Only ICESat footprints over glaciers are indicated. The zones indicated by black outlines are equivalent to the ones of Gardelle et al. (2013) with the W Kunlun Shan-Tarim zone (dashed outline) being the only additional one. Trends for all cells (coloured data circles) are statistically significant except for the cells that are marked with grey centres. The uncertainty of the temporal trends per cell is indicated through circle sizes indirectly proportional to the standard error of trends at 68% level.



Fig. 2 The percentage of discharge equivalent from annual glacier imbalance to measured average river runoff for selected catchments. Note that the actual numbers will be somewhat lower due to unaccounted water losses such as from evaporation or to groundwater. For details on the gauging stations used and the uncertainty of the contributions see Supplement.

Table 1: Glacier elevation difference trends over the Pamir-Karakoram-Himalaya from this and other studies. Note that Gardelle et al. (2013) cover the period 2000 to ~2010, while the other studies cover 2003 to 2008/9. Note also that the zones of this study and Gardelle et al. (2013) coincide, whereas the zones of the other do so only roughly, which can potentially explain parts of the disagreements. See text in sections 3 and 4 for an explanation of how the glacier areas were estimated. \* named Hengduan Shan in Gardelle et al.(2013); \*\* two zones of Gardner et al. (2013) overlap with our zone and both their values are given.

341

	-	•	-		
Zone	Glacier area (km²)	This study (m yr <sup>-1</sup> , ± at 1σ-level)	Gardner et al. (2013; m yr⁻¹, ± at 2σ-level)	Neckel et al. (2014; m yr <sup>-1</sup> , ± at 1σ-level)	Gardelle et al. (2013; m yr <sup>-1</sup> , ± at 1σ-level)
East Nyainqêntanglha *	6000	-1.34 ±0.29	-0.30 ±0.13 -0.40 ±0.41 **	-0.81±0.32	-0.39 ± 0.16
Bhutan	3500	-0.89 ±0.16	-0.89 ±0.18	0.78 ±0.27	-0.26 ± 0.15
Everest	8500	-0.37 ±0.10	0.44.0.20	-0.76 ±0.27	-0.30 ± 0.16
West Nepal	7500	-0.43 ±0.09	-0.44 ±0.20	-0.44 ±0.26	-0.38 ± 0.16
Spiti Lahaul	9500	-0.49 ±0.12	-0.53 ±0.13		-0.53 ± 0.16
Karakoram	21000	-0.10 ±0.06	0 10 .0 15		+0.12 ± 0.19
Hindu Kush	5500	-0.49 ±0.10	-0.12 ±0.15		-0.14 ± 0.19
Pamir	6500	-0.48 ±0.14	-0.13 ±0.22		+0.16 ± 0.15
West Kunlun Shan - Tarim	12500	+0.05 ±0.07	+0.17 ±0.15	+0.04 ±0.29	
Area-weighted mean	80500	-0.37 ±0.10	•		

342

Table 2: Glacier thickness and mass changes over the major river basins of the study area. The discharge equivalent is a unit conversion from mass change and neglects any losses such as by evaporation or to groundwater. (i) The Tarim Basin is endorheic. Only parts of the glacier area (~40%) within the Tarim Basin are covered in this study. (ii) Endorheic basin.

349

Major river basin	Glacier area (km²)	Elevation difference trend (m yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Mass change (Gt yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Discharge equivalent DE (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
Tarim <sup>(i)</sup>	15000	$+0.06 \pm 0.08$	+0.7 ± 1.0	+24 ± 33
Amu Darya <sup>(ii)</sup>	11000	$-0.43 \pm 0.08$	$-4.0 \pm 0.8$	-128 ± 25
Indus	25000	-0.33 ± 0.04	-7.0 ± 0.8	-220 ± 26
Ganges	11000	-0.44 ± 0.07	-4.1 ± 0.6	-130 ± 20
Brahmaputra	14000	-1.06 ± 0.15	-12.6 ± 1.9	-400 ± 60

350

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

## 353 **References**

- Becker, A., Finger, P., Meyer-Christoffer, A., Rudolf, B., Schamm, K., Schneider, U., and Ziese, M.: A description of the global land-surface precipitation data products of the Global Precipitation Climatology Centre with sample applications including centennial (trend) analysis from 1901–present, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 5, 71-99, 10.5194/essd-5-71-2013, 2013.
  - Bolch, T., Kulkarni, A., Kääb, A., Huggel, C., Paul, F., Cogley, J.G., Frey, H., Kargel, J.S., Fujita, K., Scheel, M., Bajracharya, S. and Stoffel, M. The state and fate of Himalayan glaciers. Science. 336, 310-314, 2012.
    - Cogley, J. G.: Present and future states of Himalaya and Karakoram glaciers, Ann. Glaciol., 52, 69-73, 2011.
    - Dall, J., Madsen, S. N., Keller, K. and Forsberg, R.: Topography and penetration of the Greenland Ice Sheet measured with airborne SAR interferometry, Geophysical Research Letters, 28(9), 1703–1706, 2001.
    - Fujita, K.: Effect of precipitation seasonality on climatic sensitivity of glacier mass balance, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 276, 14-19, 10.1016/j.epsl.2008.08.028, 2008.Gardelle, J., Berthier, E. and Arnaud, Y. Slight mass gain of Karakoram glaciers in the early 21st century. Nature Geoscience. 5, 322-325, 2012.
    - Gardelle, J., Berthier, E. and Arnaud, Y.: Impact of resolution and radar penetration on glacier elevation changes computed from multi-temporal DEMs, Journal of Glaciology, 58(208), 419–422, 2012.
    - Gardelle, J., Berthier, E., Arnaud, Y. and Kääb, A. Region-wide glacier mass balances over the Pamir-Karakoram-Himalaya during 1999-2011. The Cryosphere. 7, 1263-1286, 2013
  - Gardner, A.S., Moholdt, G., Cogley, J.G., Wouters, B., Arendt, A.A., Wahr, J., Berthier, E., Hock, R., Pfeffer, W.T., Kaser, G., Ligtenberg, S.R.M., Bolch, T., Sharp, M.J., Hagen, J.O., van den Broeke, M.R. and Paul, F. A reconciled estimate of glacier contributions to sea level rise: 2003 to 2009. Science. 340(6134), 852-857, 2013.
- Hewitt, K. Glacier change, concentration, and elevation effects in the Karakoram Himalaya, Upper Indus Basin. Mountain Research and Development. 31(3), 188-200, 2011.
  - Huss, M. Density assumptions for converting geodetic glacier volume change to mass change. The Cryosphere. 7(3), 877-887, 2011.
    - Immerzeel, W.W., Pellicciotti, F. and Shrestha, A.B. Glaciers as a proxy to quantify the spatial distribution of precipitation in the Hunza basin. Mountain Research and Development. 32(1), 30-38, 2012.
    - Jacob, T., Wahr, J., Pfeffer, W.T. and Swenson, S. Recent contributions of glaciers and ice caps to sea level rise. Nature. 482, 514-518, 2012.
  - Kääb, A., Berthier, E., Nuth, C., Gardelle, J. and Arnaud, Y. Contrasting patterns of early twenty-first-century glacier mass change in the Himalayas. Nature. 488(7412), 495-498, 2012.
- Kapnick, S. B., Delworth, T. L., Ashfaq, M., Malyshev, S., and Milly, P. C. D.: Snowfall less sensitive to warming in Karakoram than in Himalayas due to a unique seasonal cycle, 7, 834-840, 10.1038/ngeo2269, 2014

Langley, K., Hamran, S. E., Hogda, K. A., Storvold, R., Brandt, O., Hagen, J. O., and Kohler, 397 J.: Use of C-band ground penetrating radar to determine backscatter sources within 398 glaciers, Ieee T Geosci Remote, 45, 1236-1246, Doi 10.1109/Tgrs.2007.892600, 2007. 399 Loibl, D., Lehmkuhl, F., and Griessinger, J.: Reconstructing glacier retreat since the Little Ice 400 Age in SE Tibet by glacier mapping and equilibrium line altitude calculation, 401 Geomorphology, 214, 22-39, DOI 10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.03.018, 2014. 402 Maussion, F., Scherer, D., Mölg, T., Collier, E., Curio, J., and Finkelnburg, R.: Precipitation 403 seasonality and variability over the Tibetan Plateau as resolved by the High Asia 404 Reanalysis, J Climate, 27, 1910-1927, Doi 10.1175/Jcli-D-13-00282.1, 2014. 405 Müller, K.: Microwave penetration in polar snow and ice: implications for GPR and SAR, 406 Dissertation, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences. University of Oslo, 407 available from http://www.duo.uio.no/, 2011. 408 Neckel, N., Kropacek, J., Bolch, T. and Hochschild, V. Glacier mass changes on the Tibetan 409 Plateau 2003-2009 derived from ICESat laser altimetry measurements. Environmental 410 Research Letters. 9(1), 2014 411 Nuimura, T., Sakai, A., Taniguchi, K., Nagai, H., Lamsal, D., Tsutaki, S., Kozawa, A., 412 Hoshina, Y., Takenaka, S., Omiya, S., Tsunematsu, K., Tshering, P., and Fujita, K.: 413 The GAMDAM Glacier Inventory: a quality controlled inventory of Asian glaciers, 414 The Cryosphere, 8, 2799-2829, 10.5194/tcd-8-2799-2014, 2014. 415 Pelto, M. S.: Forecasting temperate alpine glacier survival from accumulation zone 416 observations, The Cryosphere, 4, 67-75, 2010. 417 Pfeffer, W. T., Arendt, A. A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J. G., Gardner, A. S., Hagen, J. O., 418 Hock, R., Kaser, G., Kienholz, C., Miles, E. S., Moholdt, G., Molg, N., Paul, F., 419 Radic, V., Rastner, P., Raup, B. H., Rich, J., Sharp, M. J., Andeassen, L. M., 420 Bajracharya, S., Barrand, N. E., Beedle, M. J., Berthier, E., Bhambri, R., Brown, I., 421 Burgess, D. O., Burgess, E. W., Cawkwell, F., Chinn, T., Copland, L., Cullen, N. J., 422 Davies, B., De Angelis, H., Fountain, A. G., Frey, H., Giffen, B. A., Glasser, N. F., 423 Gurney, S. D., Hagg, W., Hall, D. K., Haritashya, U. K., Hartmann, G., Herreid, S., 424 Howat, I., Jiskoot, H., Khromova, T. E., Klein, A., Kohler, J., Konig, M., Kriegel, D., 425 Kutuzov, S., Lavrentiev, I., Le Bris, R., Li, X., Manley, W. F., Mayer, C., Menounos, 426 B., Mercer, A., Mool, P., Negrete, A., Nosenko, G., Nuth, C., Osmonov, A., 427 Pettersson, R., Racoviteanu, A., Ranzi, R., Sarikaya, M. A., Schneider, C., Sigurdsson, 428 O., Sirguey, P., Stokes, C. R., Wheate, R., Wolken, G. J., Wu, L. Z., Wyatt, F. R., and 429 Consortium, R.: The Randolph Glacier Inventory: a globally complete inventory of 430 glaciers, J Glaciol, 60, 537-552, Doi 10.3189/2014jog13j176, 2014. 431 Reggiani P. and T. H. M. Rientjes (2014). A reflection on the long-term water balance of the 432 Upper Indus Basin. Hydrology Research. In press. 433 Rignot, E., Echelmeyer, K. and Krabill, W. Penetration depth of interferometric synthetic-434 aperture radar signals in snow and ice. Geophysical Research Letters. 28(18), 3501-435 3504, 2001. 436 Rodell, M., Velicogna, I. and Famiglietti, J.S. Satellite-based estimates of groundwater 437 depletion in India. Nature. 460(7258), 999-1002, 2009. 438 Sakai, A., Nuimura, T., Fujita, K., Takenaka, S., Nagai, H., and Lamsal, D.: Climate regime 439 of Asian glaciers revealed by GAMDAM Glacier Inventory, The Cryosphere, 8, 3629-440 3663, 10.5194/tcd-8-3629-2014, 2014. 441 Sarikaya, M.A., Bishop, M.P., Shroder, J.F. and Olsenholler, J.A. Space-based observations 442 of Eastern Hindu Kush glaciers between 1976 and 2007, Afghanistan and Pakistan. 443 Remote Sensing Letters. 3(1), 77-84, 2012. 444 Savoskul, O. S., and Smakhtin, V.: Glacier systems and seasonal snow cover in six major 445 Asian river basins: hydrological role under changing climate, International Water 446 Management Institute (IWMI), Colombo, Sri Lanka. 150, 2013. 447

448	Yao, T.D., Thompson, L., Yang, W., Yu, W.S., Gao, Y., Guo, X.J., Yang, X.X., Duan, K.Q.,
449	Zhao, H.B., Xu, B.Q., Pu, J.C., Lu, A.X., Xiang, Y., Kattel, D.B. and Joswiak, D.
450	Different glacier status with atmospheric circulations in Tibetan Plateau and
451	surroundings. Nature Climate Change. 2(9), 663-667, 2012.
452	Yi, S. and Sun, W.: Evaluation of glacier changes in high-mountain Asia based on 10 year
453	GRACE RL05 models, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 119(3),
454	2013JB010860, 2013.
455	Tao, H., Borth, H., Fraedrich, K., Su, B., & Zhu, X. Drought and wetness variability in the
456	Tarim River Basin and connection to large-scale atmospheric circulation. International
457	Journal of Climatology, 34: 2678 – 2684, 2014.
458	Zhang, G.Q., Yao, T.D., Xie, H.J., Kang, S.C. and Lei, Y.B. Increased mass over the Tibetan
459	Plateau: From lakes or glaciers? Geophysical Research Letters. 40(10), 2125-2130,
460	2013.
461	
462	

# 463 Supplement

The gauging stations used for the results shown in Fig. 2 are listed in Tab. S1. Reliable river 464 runoff data are notoriously difficult to obtain over and around the Himalayas. Even if 465 available, their use and distribution are sometimes restricted. As example catchments we 466 select therefore only the ones where discharge data stem from peer-reviewed studies, or where 467 the data were used in peer-reviewed studies, and where the data cover sufficiently long time 468 periods. It is outside the focus of the present brief communication to compile a geographically 469 complete set of catchment discharge data. The uncertainty of the glacier imbalance 470 contribution to river runoff (Fig. 2) is estimated in the same way as the uncertainty of glacier 471 mass changes, but uncertainties in the river runoff data used are neglected. 472

473

474

475

**Table S1.** Gauging stations indicated in Fig. 2 and uncertainty of our percentage discharge contributions of glacier imbalance to river runoff at  $1\sigma$ -level.

River	Gauging station	Annual discharge (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	Period of measurements	Source	Uncertainty of percentage discharge contributions
Vaksh	Garm	320	1933-1990	Global Runoff Data Centre (GRDC)	±5%
Gilgit	Gilgit	287	1980-2010	Mukhopadhyay and Khan (2014)	±2%
Hunza	Dainyor Bridge	332	1966-2010	II	±2%
Shigar	Shigar	203	1985-1998	n	±2%
Astore	Doyian	136	1974-2009	"	±2%
Upper Indus	Kharmong	452	1982-2010	"	±3%
Shyok	Yogo	362	1973-2010	"	±6%
Upper Indus	Besham Qila	2431	1969-2010	II	±2%
Chenab	Prem Nagar	626	1968-1986	Hofer (1993)	±3%
Beas	Thalout	190	1997-2001	Liu et al. (2013)	±2%
Karnali	Chisapani	1350	1962-1993	GRDC	±1%
Narayani	Narayangh	1590	1963-2006	Collins et al. (2013)	±1%
Sapt Koshi	Chatara	1537	1977-	GRDC	±1%
Brahmaputra	Pasighat	5870	1949-1962, 1976-1978	Sarma (2005)	±2%
Amu Darya	ungauged	~2300	"long-term mean"	http://www.cawater- info.net; Agal'tseva et al. (2011)	±1%

479 480 481	Agal'tseva, N.A., Bolgov M.V., Spektorman T.Yu., Trubetskova M.D., and Chub V.E. Estimating hydrological characteristics in the Amu Darya River basin under climate change conditions. Russian Meteorology and Hydrology. 36(10), 681-689, 2011.
482 483 484	Collins, D. N., Davenport, J. L. and Stoffel, M Climatic variation and runoff from partially- glacierised Himalayan tributary basins of the Ganges. Science of the Total Environment, 468, 48-59, 2013.
485 486	GRDC. Global Runoff Data Centre. Composite runoff fields v1.0. http://www.grdc.sr.unh.edu/index.html.
487 488	Hofer, T. Himalayan deforestation, changing river discharge, and increasing floods: myth or reality? Mountain Research and Development, 213-233, 1993.
489 490 491 492 493 494	Li Lu, Engelhardt M., Xu Chong-Yu., Jain S. K. and Singh V. P. Comparison of satellite- based and reanalyzed precipitation as input to glacio-hydrological modeling for Beas river basin, Northern India. – Cold and Mountain Region Hydrological Systems Under Climate Change: Towards Improved Projections, Proceedings of H02, IAHS-IAPSO- IASPEI Assembly, July 2013, Gothenburg, Sweden), International Association of Hydrological Sciences (IAHS) Publication number 360, 45-52, 2013.
495 496 497	Mukhopadhyay, B., and Khan, A.: A quantitative assessment of the genetic sources of the hydrologic flow regimes in Upper Indus Basin and its significance in a changing climate, J Hydrol, 509, 549-572, DOI 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.11.059, 2014.
498 499 500	Sarma, J. N. Fluvial process and morphology of the Brahmaputra River in Assam, India. Geomorphology, 70(3), 226-256, DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.02.007, 2005.