# 1 Assessment of permafrost distribution maps in the Hindu

2 Kush Himalayan region using rock glaciers mapped in

# **3 Google Earth**

4 M. -O. Schmid<sup>1</sup>, P. Baral<sup>1</sup>, S. Gruber<sup>2,</sup> S. Shahi<sup>1</sup>, T. Shrestha<sup>1</sup>, D. Stumm<sup>1</sup> and P. Wester<sup>1,3</sup>

5 [1]{ICIMOD, International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development, GPO Box 3226,6 Kathmandu, Nepal}

7 [2]{Department of Geography & Environmental Studies, Carleton University, Ottawa,8 Canada}

9 [3]{Water Resources Management group, Wageningen University, Wageningen, the 10 Netherlands}

11 Correspondence to: M. -O. Schmid (marcolivier.schmid@gmail.com)

# 12 Abstract

13 The extent and distribution of permafrost in the mountainous parts of the Hindu Kush 14 Himalayan (HKH) region are largely unknown. Only on the Tibetan Plateau a long tradition of 15 permafrost research, predominantly on rather gentle relief, exists. Two permafrost maps are 16 available digitally that cover the HKH and provide estimates of permafrost extent, i.e. the 17 areal proportion of permafrost: The manually delineated Circum-Arctic Map of Permafrost 18 and Ground Ice Conditions (Brown et al., 1998) and the Global Permafrost Zonation Index, 19 based on a computer model (Gruber, 2012). This article provides a first-order assessment of these permafrost maps in the HKH region based on the mapping of rock glaciers. 20

Rock glaciers were used as a proxy, because they are visual indicators of permafrost, can occur near the lowermost regional occurrence of permafrost in mountains, and because they can be delineated based on high-resolution remote sensing imagery freely available on Google Earth. For the mapping, 4,000 square samples (approx. 30 km<sup>2</sup>) were randomly distributed over the HKH region. Every sample was investigated and rock glaciers were mapped by two independent researchers following precise mapping instructions. Samples with insufficient image quality were recorded but not mapped.

It is shown that mapping of rock glaciers in Google Earth can be used as first-order evidence for permafrost in mountain areas with severely limited ground truth. The minimum elevation of rock glaciers varies between 3,500 and 5,500 m a.s.l. within the region. The Circum-Arctic Map of Permafrost and Ground Ice Conditions does not reproduce mapped conditions in the HKH region adequately, whereas the Global Permafrost Zonation Index does so rather well.
Based on this, the Permafrost Zonation Index is inferred to be a reasonable first-order
prediction of permafrost in the HKH. In the central part of the region a considerable deviation
exists that needs further investigations.

#### 36 1 Introduction

37 Permafrost underlies much of the Earth's surface and interacts with climate, ecosystems and 38 human systems. The interaction between permafrost, or its thaw, and human activity is diverse and varies with environmental and societal conditions. Examples include ground 39 40 subsidence, vegetation change on pasture, slope instability, hydrological change, damage to 41 infrastructure, and special requirements for construction. This list is not exhaustive and it is 42 likely that climate change will bring about unexpected permafrost phenomena and societal 43 impacts in the future (cf. Gruber, 2012). A large proportion of the global permafrost region is 44 situated in mountain terrain. This includes densely populated areas especially in the 45 European Alps and Asian high-mountain ranges. While permafrost in European mountains and its associated climate change impacts are comparably well investigated, little is known 46 47 about permafrost in many Asian mountain ranges. In this study, we focus on the Hindu Kush 48 Himalayan (HKH) region, which we use as one of many possible ways for delineating a study region in the mountains of South and Central Asia (Fig 1). The HKH region includes 49 50 mountains in parts of Afghanistan, Bhutan, China, India, Myanmar, Nepal and Pakistan (Fig 51 1). Comprised mostly of high-elevation rugged terrain, including the Tibetan Plateau, the 52 Hindu Kush, Karakoram and Himalavan mountain ranges, more than half of its 4.5 million 53 km<sup>2</sup> are located above 3,500 m a.s.l. As the source of the ten largest Asian river systems, the 54 HKH region provides water, ecosystem services and the basis for livelihoods to an estimated 55 population of more than 210 million people in the mountains and 1.3 billion people when including downstream areas (Bajracharya and Shrestha, 2011). While glaciers and glacier 56 57 change have received considerable research attention in recent years (Bolch et al., 2012), 58 large areas of permafrost in the HKH region have barely or only partially been investigated. 59 The Tibetan Plateau, as the only part of the HKH region, has a long tradition of permafrost research (Cheng and Wu, 2007; Yang et al., 2010; Zhang, 2005), most of these studies, 60 61 however, focus on a narrow engineering corridor and/or on rather gentle relief. Ran et al. 62 (2012) provide an overview and comparison of the several Chinese permafrost maps that 63 include the Tibet Plateau and that reflect several decades of research and development in 64 this area. For locations with mountainous topography only sporadic information exists, especially along the southern flanks of the Himalayas (Owen and England, 1998, Shroder et 65 al., 2000, Ishikawa et al., 2001, Fukui et al., 2007a, Regmi, 2008). Only two permafrost maps 66

67 are available digitally that cover the HKH region and provide estimates of permafrost extent, i.e. the areal extend of permafrost: (A) The Circum-Arctic Map of Permafrost and Ground Ice 68 69 Conditions (cf. Heginbottom et al., 1993, Brown et al., 1998) published by the International 70 Permafrost Association (IPA map). It is based on manually delineated polygons of classes 71 (continuous, discontinuous, sporadic, isolated patches) of permafrost extent (Heginbottom, 72 2002). The map has been digitized and is available digitally from the Frozen Ground Data 73 Center at the National Snow and Ice Data Center, Boulder, Colorado, USA. (B) The Global Permafrost Zonation Index (PZI), available on a spatial grid of about 1 km resolution (Gruber, 74 75 2012). PZI is an index representing broad spatial patterns but it does not provide actual permafrost extent or probability of permafrost at a location. It is based on a mathematical 76 77 formulation of permafrost extent as a function of mean annual air temperature, a 1 km digital 78 elevation model and global climate data. The parameterization is based on rules similar to 79 those employed for the IPA map. Additionally, the uncertainty range is explored (a) with three 80 parameter sets describing a best guess as well as conservative and anti-conservative 81 estimates of permafrost extent, and (b) using spatial fields of air temperature derived from 82 global climate reanalysis (NCAR-NCEP) and from interpolated station measurements (CRU 83 TS 2.0). Uncertainty is expressed in the resulting map product with a 'fringe of uncertainty', referring to a permafrost extent greater than 10% in the coldest of the diverse simulations 84 85 performed.

86 The application of either map in the mountainous parts of the HKH region is not 87 straightforward, because (a) little information on mountainous permafrost exists to establish 88 their credibility, (b) the range of environmental conditions in the HKH region is large and subject to conditions (such as monsoonal summer precipitation, hyperaridity or extreme 89 90 elevation) for which only limited knowledge exists, and (c) only few remote, high elevation 91 meteorological stations exist, usually in valley floors, making the application of gridded 92 climate data or the estimation of conditions in remote high-elevation areas error-prone. The 93 required testing or calibration of models (maps) of permafrost extent, unfortunately, is difficult and often avoided (Gruber, 2012), both for lack of data and for lack of methods for comparing 94 point observations such as boreholes with spatial estimates of permafrost extent. 95

96 This study provides a first-order evaluation of these two permafrost maps in the mountainous 97 part of the HKH region. We use the qualifier "first-order" as only direct observation of 98 permafrost can provide a reliable evaluation. In the absence of reliable information on 99 permafrost in this region, such a first-order assessment is useful as it adds relevant 100 information on the approximate areas of permafrost occurrence. We use rock glaciers as a 101 proxy, because they are visual indicators of permafrost, they can exist near the lowermost regional occurrence of permafrost in mountains (Haeberli et al., 2006), and because they can be delineated based on high-resolution remote sensing imagery freely available on Google Earth. Our objectives are to (a) develop a rock glacier mapping procedure that is suitable for application on Google Earth, (b) map rock glaciers in randomly distributed square samples over the entire HKH region and perform quality control on the resulting data, and (c) based on the mapped rock glaciers assess available permafrost distribution maps.

Evaluation is understood here as testing whether a map has sufficient quality to serve a specific purpose (cf. 'validation' in Rykiel 1996). In the present study, the purpose of using a permafrost map in the HKH region is to (a) exclude areas without permafrost from further analysis, (b) to provide an indication of permafrost extent within the area likely to contain permafrost, and (c) to provide regionally aggregated estimates of permafrost extent.

#### 113 2 Background

114 The term rock glacier is used to describe a creeping mass of ice-rich debris on mountain 115 slopes (e.g. Capps, 1910; Haeberli, 1985). The presence of ground ice at depth, usually inferred from signs of recent movement, is indicative of permafrost. In areas with a 116 117 continental climate, commonly found in the HKH region, surface ice interacts with permafrost 118 and results in complex mixtures of buried snow or glacier ice and segregated ice formed in 119 the ground. In such environments all transitions from debris covered polythermal or cold 120 glaciers to ice cored moraines and deep-seated creep of perennially frozen sediments occur 121 (e.g. Owen and England, 1998, Shroder et al., 2000, Haeberli et al., 2006). In this paper we 122 use the term rock glacier for all features with the morphological appearance of creeping 123 permafrost. The most likely origin of the ice is not used as an exclusion criterion for glacier 124 derived ice. Here, we describe the status of rock glaciers as *intact* (containing ice) and *relict* 125 (no ice and no movement, cf. Cremonese at al. 2011, Boeckli et al. 2012). Other studies 126 quoted here use the terms active and inactive for further subdivision of what we here refer to 127 as intact rock glaciers.

128 The occurrence of rock glaciers is governed not only by the ground thermal regime but also 129 by the availability of subsurface ice derived from snow avalanches, glaciers, or ice formation 130 within the ground. Furthermore, sufficient supply of debris as well as topography steep 131 enough to promote significant movement is required. Therefore, the presence of intact rock 132 glaciers can be used as an indicator of permafrost occurrence, but the absence of intact rock 133 glaciers does not indicate the absence of permafrost. As intact rock glaciers contain ice 134 (latent heat) and move downslope, their termini can be surrounded by permafrost-free 135 ground. The frequently occurring cover of coarse clasts promotes relatively low ground

136 temperatures and thereby further retards the melting of the ice within the rock glacier. In 137 steep terrain, this makes termini of rock glaciers local-scale indicators for the presence of 138 permafrost, sometimes occurring at an elevation indicative of the lowermost regional 139 occurrence of permafrost in mountains (Haeberli et al., 2006). This tendency of being among 140 the lowermost occurrences of permafrost in an area is exploited in this mapping exercise. In 141 more gentle terrain, such as parts of the Tibetan Plateau, not the ground thermal conditions 142 (i.e. the presence of permafrost), but the slope angle is the limiting factor. As a consequence, 143 rock glaciers can be absent over large areas of permafrost due to the lack of debris, low 144 slope angles, lack of avalanche snow or the elevation of the valley floor.

145 The spatially heterogeneous ground thermal regime and the frequent existence of 146 permafrost-free areas directly adjacent to rock glaciers makes the concept of "permafrost 147 limits" impractical as these limits are neither measureable nor clearly defined and 148 consequently we avoid this concept despite its prevalence in the literature. As an example, 149 the data and statistical analyses presented by Boeckli et al. (2012) show that mean annual 150 ground temperature can vary by 10–15°C locally, i.e. while subject to the same mean annual 151 air temperature. In this varied pattern of ground temperatures, rock glaciers often are among 152 the lowest regional occurrences of permafrost, given sufficient moisture supply and 153 topography. At elevations lower than the lowest rock glaciers in a region, very little 154 permafrost is to be expected whereas the proportion (extent) of permafrost usually increases 155 towards higher elevations.

156 Inferring approximate patterns of permafrost occurrence from rock glacier mapping requires 157 four major steps: (a) identification of rock glaciers, (b) identification of their status (intact vs. 158 relict), (c) regional aggregation to obtain a minimum elevation or a low percentile of elevation, 159 and (d) a method to identify areas in which rock glaciers can be expected based on topography and other environmental conditions. Rock glaciers are usually identified based on 160 161 their morphology typical of a flowing mass. Their status is assessed based on the presence 162 of a steep front, which is usually visible in a differing colour and texture as fresh material 163 keeps tumbling down a slope that is kept at the angle of repose. In the European Alps, a 164 difference of about 2°C (Table 2 of Boeckli et al 2012) in mean annual air temperature has 165 been found between intact and relict rock glaciers, providing an order of magnitude for possible errors induced by misinterpretation of rock glacier status. Due to similar 166 167 morphology, lava flows could possibly be mistaken for rock glaciers. Only one high elevation 168 volcanic group, the Ashikule Volcano Group in the Western Kunlun Mountains at around 169 5000 m a.s.l. (Jiandong et al., 2011) exists within the mapped area. No rock glacier could be 170 seen nor was mapped in the vicinity.

171 Rock glaciers are a widespread feature in many parts of the HKH region, but very limited 172 research has been conducted on them. For the northern regions of India and Pakistan, in the 173 Karakorum Range, lowermost elevations of active rock glaciers vary between 3,850 and 174 5,100 m a.s.l. Inactive rock glaciers were even recorded at lower elevations with a minimum 175 elevation of 3,350 m a.s.l. in the Western Karakorum Range (Hewitt, 2014). A significant 176 increase in the number of rock glaciers is seen from monsoon-influenced regions in the east 177 to the dry westerly influenced regions with annual precipitation being below 1,000 mm (Owen 178 and England, 1998). From the Khumbu region in Nepal lowermost occurrences of active rock 179 glaciers are reported to be between 5,000 and 5,300 m a.s.l. (Jakob, 1992). Further east in 180 the Kangchenjunga Himal of Nepal, the distribution of rock glaciers varies from 4,800 m a.s.l. 181 on northern aspect to 5,300 m a.s.l. on south- to east-facing slopes (Ishikawa et al., 2001). 182 So far no studies have been conducted using rock glaciers as indicators for the presence of 183 permafrost on the northern side of the Himalaya. Further north, the extremely dry and cold 184 conditions on the Tibetan Plateau have resulted in a variety of permafrost related features for 185 which no occurrences in other mountain ranges are described (Harris et al., 1998).

186 Besides these sparse reports on rock glacier distribution, virtually no data on permafrost 187 occurrence in the mountainous part of the HKH is available. Gruber (2012) uses well-188 established approximations of permafrost occurrence based on mean annual air temperature 189 to estimate permafrost occurrence. At the same time, that publication shows differences of more than 4°C in long-term mean annual air temperature between differing gridded data 190 191 products. Given that this is likely a conservative estimate of the true error in these data 192 products and considering the spatially diverse lapse rates (e.g., Kattel et al. 2013), our uncertainty in pinpointing zones with permafrost in the mountainous HKH is likely to be much 193 194 larger than 6°C, or about 600–1000 m in elevation. Even with the uncertainty due to 195 imperfect identification of rock glaciers and their activity status, systematic mapping of rock 196 glaciers can reduce this uncertainty - or point to differences between the mapping and 197 simulations based on air temperature fields where additional research is needed. 198 Furthermore, the documentation of visible signs of permafrost throughout the region is 199 important in supporting the growing realization that permafrost really does occur in these 200 mountains.

For remote sensing based derivation of glacier outlines over large areas often ASTER and Landsat TM have been used. Data from higher resolution sensors have rarely been applied over larger areas due to costs and availability (e.g. Paul et al., 2013). With ASTER and Landsat TM images at resolution of 15 m and coarser, automated mapping of rock glaciers proved to be very challenging (Janke, 2001, Brenning, 2009). On a local scale rock glaciers 206 have been successfully mapped using aerial photography in the Chilean Andes (Brenning, 207 2005) the Russian Altai mountains (Fukui et al., 2007b) in Norway (Lilleøren and Etzelmüller, 208 2011) and in Iceland (Lilleøren et al., 2013). The release of freely available high-resolution 209 satellite images (i.e. Google Earth), which approach the quality of aerial photographs, 210 opened up new possibilities. The images used in Google Earth are SPOT Images or 211 products from DigitalGlobe (e.g. Ikonos, QuickBird), and they are georectified with a digital 212 elevation model (DEM) based on the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) data which 213 has a 90 m resolution in the research area. In mountain regions horizontal inaccuracy for the 214 SRTM DEM can be of the same order, as Bolch et al. (2008) reported from the Khumbu 215 region in Nepal.

216 Google Earth is frequently used to display scientific results (e.g. Scambos et al., 2007, 217 Gruber, 2012), but in some cases also as a data source (e.g. Sato & Harp, 2009). Neither 218 spectral nor spatial properties of the displayed satellite images are easily accessible. Thus 219 the accuracy of the used remote sensing images and any created output is hard to quantify. 220 Potere (2008) showed that the horizontal accuracy of 186 points in 46 Asian cities has a 221 mean root mean square error (RMSE) of 44 m when comparing them to Landsat GeoCover. 222 The accuracy of Google Earth is sufficient for our purposes as the inaccuracy thus arising 223 from horizontal misalignment between imagery and DEM is likely to be smaller than 100 m 224 vertical.

#### 225 3 Methods

The samples to map rock glaciers in Google Earth are created in the free statistical software R (R Core Team, 2014). Each sample consists of one square polygon with a specified latitudinal width [°]. The following approximate adjustment for the longitudinal width [°] has been applied, where LAT [°] is the latitude for the specific sample.

$$longitudinal width = \frac{latitudinal width}{\cos\left(\frac{\pi * LAT}{180}\right)}$$
(1)

To achieve a random distribution, the investigation area was tessellated with potential sample polygons, from which a predefined number of polygons were randomly selected using the R-function *sample*. Every sample received a unique name consisting of two capital letters and three numbers. With the R-function *kmlPolygons* from the *maptools* package (Bivand and Lewin-Koh, 2013) samples were exported into a Keyhole Markup Language (kml) file, which is the main data format supported by Google Earth. All sample polygons were inspected for rock glaciers. To support a systematic mapping of
every sample polygon, the grid view in Google Earth was activated during this process.
Historical images were browsed in order to find the most suitable one for detecting rock
glaciers.

240 Rock glaciers were visually identified based on their flow patterns and structure. These include transversal flow structures (ridges and furrows), longitudinal flow structures, frontal 241 242 appearance, and the texture difference of the rock glacier surfaces compared to the 243 surrounding slopes. The state of rock glaciers was assessed based on the visibility of a front 244 with the appearance of fresh material exposed as well as an overall convex and full shape. 245 These rules were formulated in guidelines containing example images. The mapping was 246 guided by the recording of attributes (Table 1). The recording of these attributes supports a 247 structured evaluation of each landform identified as a rock glacier and provides subjective 248 confidence scores.

The procedure for mapping in Google Earth was: (1) Assessment of whole sample polygon, (2) delineation of the rock glacier outlines and (3) labelling the rock glaciers with mapped attributes (Table 1). In the following these steps are described in more detail.

(1) If no rock glaciers could be detected, the label NR (no rock glacier) was added to the sample polygon name. If any rock glaciers were encountered the label RM (rock glacier(s) mapped) was added. If the visual detection of rock glaciers was not possible due to an insufficient resolution of the satellite image, excessive snow or cloud coverage in the whole or any part of the sample, then the label IQ (insufficient quality) was added.

(2) Rock glaciers found in each sample were digitized using the *Polygon* tool in Google
Earth. All features were mapped, also where they extend beyond the outlines of the sample
polygon. The names are composed of the name of the sample, followed by the letters RG
(rock glacier) and a number starting from 1 for the first mapped feature of a specific sample.
Therefore, every mapped feature has a unique name and can be traced to a specific sample.
Examples for the delineation of different rock glaciers are shown in Fig 2.

(3) After delineating a rock glacier, information regarding imagery date, its origin, activity,
flow structure, frontal appearance, outline clarity, snow coverage and the overall confidence
was estimated to support later analysis and filtering of mapping results (Table 1). This
information was written into the *Description* field of each rock glacier polygon.

267 Manually mapped outlines of debris covered glaciers based on high-resolution images vary 268 significantly, even if mapped by experts (Paul et al., 2013). Due to similar visual properties, the same kind of issues can be expected when mapping rock glaciers. To reducesubjectivity, every sample was mapped by two persons independently.

271 For the evaluation of permafrost maps, rock glaciers outside the signatures for permafrost in 272 a map indicate false negatives: the map indicates the likely absence of permafrost, but the 273 existence of permafrost can be inferred based on mapped rock glaciers. A comparison of 274 mapped rock glaciers with predicted permafrost extent, however, is only informative in 275 situations where the formation and observation of rock glaciers can be expected. As part of 276 the analysis we identify the 'potential candidate area', i.e. areas, where there is a chance to 277 map rock glaciers. This is important, as the absence of mapped rock glaciers from flat areas, 278 from glaciers, or in areas with insufficient image guality is to be expected. The potential 279 candidate area includes only sample areas, which fulfil all of the following three criteria: (a) 280 Topography: The standard deviation of the SRTM 90m DEM within the sample polygon is 281 larger than a threshold. (b) Image quality: Only samples with sufficient image quality are 282 taken into account. (c) Absence of glaciers: Glacier covered areas were excluded based on 283 the glacier inventory published by Bajracharya and Shrestha (2011), which largely covers the 284 HKH region with the exception of parts of China.

### 285 4 Mapping

We mapped 4,000 samples within the HKH region. Each sample consists of one square 286 287 polygon with a latitudinal width of 0.05 decimal degrees equivalent to 5.53 km. Due to the imperfect latitude correction of width, the area per sample varies from 26.1 km<sup>2</sup> in the south 288 289 to 32.2 km<sup>2</sup> in the north. After two months of specific training in rock glacier mapping, the 290 mapping was done during six months by three people with expertise in this field (two holding 291 a MSc in Glaciology and one holding a MSc in Environmental Science with a focus on 292 periglacial processes). One of them already had previous experience of mapping rock 293 glaciers. Each sample was mapped by two different persons, resulting in two comprehensive 294 mappings. Mapping guidelines were iteratively updated and improved and the final version of 295 the guidelines was applied consistently to all samples. Regular meetings were held to 296 resolve difficulties in the mapping.

The elevation characteristics of the mapped rock glaciers were extracted from SRTM DEM version 4.1 from CGIAR at a spatial resolution of 90 m (Jarvis et al., 2008) using ArcGIS 10. For the analysis only the mapped rock glacier area within the sample polygons were taken into account. Afterwards, extreme values (i.e. lowest and highest elevations of rock glacier snouts) were revisited and checked, ensuring plausible results from both mappings. Even though both mappings showed plausible and similar results, for the final analysis we chose to only use areas identified by both persons as rock glaciers. Thus the influence of subjectivity
 or blunders during the mapping process was further reduced, resulting in a much more
 conservative and firm data base.

306 5 Results

## 307 5.1 Data and data quality

308 Of the 4,000 samples 3,432 (86%) received the same classification by both mapping 309 persons: 70% did not have any rock glaciers, 12% had insufficient quality and 4% contained 310 rock glaciers (Fig 3). In 3% of all samples only one mapping contained rock glaciers but the 311 other did not.

312 The spatial distribution of classified samples shows that nearly all mapped rock glaciers are 313 located within the Himalayan arc (Fig 3). Only very few samples on the Tibetan Plateau 314 contained rock glaciers. Also, the samples with insufficient quality of the Google Earth 315 images show distinct patterns, concentrated along the Himalayan arc and eastern part of the 316 Tibetan Plateau. However, as the reasons for insufficient image qualities were not noted 317 down, no exact statements can be made. Impressions from the involved analysts were that in 318 the Himalayan arc this was mainly due to snow cover and on the Eastern Tibetan Plateau 319 mainly due to very coarse image resolutions. Clouds were only an issue in a few cases.

320 The high resolution of Google Earth images and the rigorous exclusion of samples with poor 321 image quality made it possible to discriminate rock glaciers from other (similar) landforms. It 322 was possible to assess visually the steepness or activity of the rock glacier front and the 323 characteristic of transversal and longitudinal flow structures, providing a subjectively 324 acceptable, but here not objectively testable, level of confidence in interpreting landforms as 325 indicators for the presence of permafrost. Vegetation coverage on a rock glacier was only 326 identified in two sample polygons in the whole HKH region and is either absent in the 327 investigation area, or not visible based on the imagery available. In European mountains, 328 vegetation cover has often been taken as an indication of relict rock glaciers (Cannone and 329 Gerdol, 2003) but this concept is difficult to generalize to other mountain ranges. The two 330 cases mapped here have been disregarded for further analysis.

331 On the scale of one sample polygon, the mapped outlines of rock glaciers varied 332 considerably between the two mappings by the analysts. Major differences occurred 333 especially in the somewhat arbitrary delineation of the upper boundary of rock glaciers and 334 the separation between individual objects, whereas a higher congruence existed for the 335 termini of mapped rock glaciers (Fig 4). This resulted in relatively small differences when comparing the mean minimum elevation of all mapped rock glaciers per sample from the two
mappings. The mean difference between the two mappings is 46 m (Fig 4). Samples with
high differences were mostly a result of a different number of mapped rock glaciers.

The differences in sample size with changing latitude are not expected to influence the results for the minimum elevation of rock glaciers per sample. A slight error biased towards a higher minimum elevation for rock glaciers can be expected due to rock glaciers which are only partially within the mapped sample. In those cases their lowest point has been taken at the sample boarder and not at the rock glacier snout. With respect to the comparable large data base, neither inaccuracies from Google Earth nor from the SRTM DEM should distort the further products.

#### 346 **5.2 Regional rock glacier distribution**

347 Minimum elevations reached by rock glaciers were expressed on the sample scale (approx. 348 30 km<sup>2</sup>), taking into account all mapped rock glaciers and thus resulting in a mean minimum 349 elevation per sample. This provided a more robust and conservative measure than a 350 minimum value, but also implies that some rock glaciers do reach lower elevations than 351 indicated by the sample mean value. Mean minimum elevations reached by rock glaciers per 352 sample vary significantly in the HKH region (Fig 5). The lowest elevation was recorded in 353 Northern Afghanistan at 3,554 m a.s.l. and the highest elevation at 5,735 m a.s.l. on the 354 Tibetan Plateau. If variations within close proximity occur, they follow regional patterns. The 355 most pronounced shift of the mean minimum elevation reached by rock glaciers occurs 356 between the southern and the northern side of the Himalaya, where the mean minimum 357 elevation rises several hundred meters within a short distance.

#### 358 **5.3 Assessment of permafrost distribution maps**

359 A vertical standard deviation of the SRTM 90m DEM in a sample of 85 m was used for the 360 identification of the potential candidate area. This threshold was chosen so as to be smaller 361 than the lowest observed value where rock glaciers were mapped, which is 89.5 m. Fig 6 and Fig 7 show how the terminus of all mapped rock glaciers relate to the signatures of the maps 362 evaluated. The mapped rock glaciers are distributed evenly over all classes of the PZI (Fig 363 364 6). Rock glacier density per class peaks for the medium PZI values and decreases towards 365 both ends of the spectrum. The decrease is more pronounced towards lower PZI values 366 (lower possibility of permafrost). Only 5 out of more than 700 mapped rock glaciers are 367 reaching areas outside the PZI. Thus the PZI is in good agreement with our study, based on 368 this summary evaluation.

When comparing the mapped rock glaciers with the IPA map (Fig 7) the investigation area and the mapped rock glaciers are predominantly in the two classes Discontinuous Permafrost and Sporadic Permafrost. A small part of the investigation area and a few mapped rock glaciers are in the class Isolated Permafrost. The class Continuous Permafrost does not exist in the HKH region. More than 250 of the mapped rock glaciers are outside the IPA map permafrost signature. Thus the IPA map does not coincide well with the findings from our study.

#### 376 **5.4 Regional comparison with the Permafrost Zonation Index**

377 Spatial patterns of the agreement between the PZI and the mapped rock glaciers are shown in Fig 8 aggregated to 1° x 1° resolution. Mapped rock glaciers are reaching low PZI values 378 379 in most parts of the investigation area and thus indicate a good agreement. Only for the 380 northern side of the central part of the Himalayan arc the lowest elevation of mapped rock 381 glacier remains in high PZI values, despite the presence of low PZI values, thus showing that 382 the minimum elevation reached by rock glaciers and the predicted lowermost occurrence of 383 permafrost are not in agreement. Therefore, either the PZI (due to its method or its driving 384 data) fails to reproduce the local permafrost conditions or the conditions for rock glacier 385 development in the particular area are different from other areas of the region. This may partially be caused by the topography of the Tibetan Plateau, where the lower elevations, 386 387 and thus lower PZI values, correspond with a flatter topography. Further, there are very 388 distinctive climatic conditions in this region, with a strong south-north precipitation gradient 389 due to the Himalaya blocking the summer monsoon on the southern slopes, resulting in 390 extremely dry and continental conditions on the Tibetan Plateau. Consequently, we assume 391 that rock glaciers may not reach the predicted lowermost occurrence of permafrost as they 392 may not form because of sparse supply of snow to be incorporated in aggrading debris. But 393 to test this hypothesis further investigations are needed.

#### 394 6 Discussion and conclusions

Comparison of the two rock glacier mappings showed relatively small differences, as described in Section 5.1, indicating that the proposed mapping procedure works consistently. By using only the intersected area from two independent mappings, subjectivity as described for the manual delineation of debris covered glaciers by Paul et al. (2013) could further be reduced. Thus the use of Google Earth as a data source to map rock glaciers in a data sparse region is shown to be feasible. 401 The diversity of the climate in the investigation area leads to a wide morphological range of 402 rock glaciers, or features of apparently moving debris, exceeding what is commonly 403 observed in Europe and North America. Minimum elevations reached by rock glaciers are a 404 few hundred meters lower than what previous more local studies have reported for Nepal 405 (Jakob, 1992, Ishikawa et al., 2001) and match well with previous reports from Pakistan 406 (Owen and England, 1998). Over the whole investigation area, the minimum elevation of rock 407 glaciers varies from 3,500 m a.s.l. in Northern Afghanistan to more than 5,500 m a.s.l. on the 408 Tibetan Plateau. A clear increase in the minimum elevation reached by rock glaciers can be 409 observed towards the Tibetan Plateau.

410 There are two permafrost distribution maps available for the HKH region, the IPA map with 411 manually delineated permafrost classes (Brown et al., 1998) and the PZI which is based on a 412 simple computer model (Gruber, 2012). Comparing these two maps with the mapped rock 413 glaciers from our study is a first step in assessing their quality for the remote and data sparse 414 mountainous parts of the HKH region. The IPA map falls short in adequately representing 415 local permafrost conditions with more than 250 of the mapped rock glaciers falling outside its 416 permafrost signature. This is likely due to simplification and subjectivity in the applied manual 417 mapping, but in part may stem from inaccuracies in the digitization and coordinate 418 transformation of the map into the digital product available from NSIDC. The PZI map and 419 the rock glacier mapping on the other hand are in good agreement, with only 5 mapped rock 420 glaciers being outside the PZI. Based on the information available, PZI does indicate areas 421 where no permafrost can be expected rather well and is currently the best prediction of the 422 permafrost distribution in the HKH region.

In most areas, the lowermost mapped rock glaciers coincide with low PZI values. There is, however, a disagreement in the central part of the region, where rock glaciers do not reach down to elevations with low PZI values. This disagreement can inform further research and it underscores the importance of using the presence of rock glaciers as an indicator of permafrost but to not use their absence as an indicator of permafrost free conditions. The comparison with the rock glacier mapping is a first step towards more thorough testing of the PZI, and other models and map products for this remote and data sparse region.

#### 430 7 Data availability

The rock glaciers mapping, the source code to create the random samples and the outline of the HKH region is published as supplementary material. Both mappings include all 4,000 samples and all mapped rock glaciers. Different colours indicate the different persons involved in the mapping. Those files come in KML (Keyhole Markup Language) and can be

- 435 opened with Google Earth and most GIS software. The file f.RandomPolygon.r contains the
- 436 R-function to create the samples.

## 438 Author contribution

M.O.S. developed the method; conducted the analysis and prepared the manuscript. S.G.
conceived the study, supervised the development of the method and the analysis, and
contributed significantly to the writing. P.B, S.S. and T.S. did the mapping and provided
general support. D.S. and P.W. contributed to conceiving the study, secured funding,
provided overall supervision and contributed to the writing.

## 444 Acknowledgments

This study was supported by ICIMOD through core funding by the Department for 445 446 International Development (DFID) of the United Kingdom and by the governments of 447 Afghanistan, Australia, Austria, Bangladesh, Bhutan, China, India, Myanmar, Nepal, Norway, 448 Pakistan, and Switzerland. The views and interpretations in this publication are those of the 449 authors. They are not necessarily attributable to ICIMOD and do not imply the expression of 450 any opinion by ICIMOD concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area of its 451 authority, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or the endorsement of 452 any product.

453

## 454 **References**

455 Bajracharya, S. and Shrestha, B.: The status of glaciers in the Hindu Kush-Himalayan 456 region., ICIMOD, Kathmandu., 2011.

Bivand, R. and Lewin-Koh, N.: maptools: Tools for reading and handling spatial objects,
[online] Available from: http://cran.r-project.org/package=maptools, 2013.

Boeckli, L., Brenning, A., Gruber, S. & Noetzli, J. 2012. A statistical approach to modelling
permafrost distribution in the European Alps or similar mountain ranges, The Cryosphere, 6:
125–140, doi:10.5194/tc-6-125-2012, 2012.

Bolch, T., Buchroithner, M., Pieczonka, T. and Kunert, A.: Planimetric and volumetric glacier
changes in the Khumbu Himal, Nepal, since 1962 using Corona, Landsat TM and ASTER
data, J. Glaciol., 54(187), 592–600, doi:10.3189/002214308786570782, 2008.

Bolch, T., Kulkarni, A., Kääb, A., Huggel, C., Paul, F., Cogley, J. G., Frey, H., Kargel, J. S.,
Fujita, K., Scheel, M., Bajracharya, S. and Stoffel, M.: The state and fate of Himalayan
glaciers., Science, 336(6079), 310–4, doi:10.1126/science.1215828, 2012.

Brenning, A.: Geomorphological, hydrological and climatic significance of rock glaciers in the
Andes of Central Chile (33-35°S), Permafr. Periglac. Process., 16(3), 231–240,
doi:10.1002/ppp.528, 2005.

Brenning, A.: Benchmarking classifiers to optimally integrate terrain analysis and
multispectral remote sensing in automatic rock glacier detection, Remote Sens. Environ.,
113(1), 239–247, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2008.09.005, 2009.

Brown, J., Ferrians, O., Heginbottom, J. A. and Melnikov, E.: Circum-Arctic Map of
Permafrost and Ground-Ice Conditions., Boulder, Color. USA Natl. Snow Ice Data Center.,
1998.

477 Cannone, N. and Gerdol, R.: Vegetation as an Ecological Indicator of Surface Instability in

478 Rock Glaciers, Arctic, Antarct. Alp. Res., 35(3), 384–390, doi:10.1657/1523-

- 479 0430(2003)035[0384:VAAEIO]2.0.CO;2, 2003.
- 480 Capps, S. R.: Rock Glaciers in Alaska, J. Geol., 18(4), 359–375, 1910.

481 Cheng, G. and Wu, T.: Responses of permafrost to climate change and their environmental
482 significance, Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, J. Geophys. Res., 112(F2), F02S03,
483 doi:10.1029/2006JF000631, 2007.

484 Cremonese, E., Gruber, S., Phillips, M., Pogliotti, P., Boeckli, L., Noetzli, J., Suter, C., Bodin,
485 X., Crepaz, A., Kellerer-Pirklbauer, A., Lang, K., Letey, S., Mair, V., Morra di Cella, U.,
486 Ravanel, L., Scapozza, C., Seppi, R. & Zischg, A.: Brief Communication: "An inventory of
487 permafrost evidence for the European Alps." The Cryosphere 5: 651–657, doi:10.5194/tc-5488 651-2011, 2011.

Fukui, K., Fujii, Y., Ageta, Y. and Asahi, K.: Changes in the lower limit of mountain
permafrost between 1973 and 2004 in the Khumbu Himal, the Nepal Himalayas, Glob.
Planet. Change, 55(4), 251–256, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.06.002, 2007a.

- 492 Fukui, K., Fujii, Y., Mikhailov, N., Ostanin, O. and Iwahana, G.: The lower limit of mountain
- 493 permafrost in the Russian Altai Mountains, Permafr. Periglac. Process., 18(2), 129–136, 494 doi:10.1002/ppp.585, 2007b.
- 495 Gruber, S.: Derivation and analysis of a high-resolution estimate of global permafrost 496 zonation, Cryosph., 6(1), 221–233, doi:10.5194/tc-6-221-2012, 2012.
- Haeberli, W.: Creep of mountain permafrost: internal structure and flow of alpine rock
  glaciers, Mitteilungen der Versuchsanstalt fur Wasserbau, Hydrol. und Glaziologie an der
  ETH Zurich, (77), 5–142, 1985.
- Haeberli, W., Hallet, B., Arenson, L., Elconin, R., Humlum, O. and Ka, A.: Permafrost Creep
  and Rock Glacier Dynamics, Permafr. Periglac. Process., 17, 189–214, doi:10.1002/ppp,
  2006.
- Harris, S. a., Zhijiu, C. and Guodong, C.: Origin of a bouldery diamicton, Kunlun Pass,
  Qinghai-Xizang Plateau, People's Republic of China: gelifluction deposit or rock glacier?,
  Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 23(10), 943–952, doi:10.1002/(SICI)10969837(199810)23:10<943::AID-ESP913>3.0.CO;2-7, 1998.
- 507 Heginbottom, J. A.: Permafrost mapping: a review, Prog. Phys. Geogr., 26(4), 623–642, doi:10.1191/0309133302pp355ra, 2002.
- 509 Heginbottom, J. A., Brown, J., Melnikov, E. S. and O.J. Ferrians, J.: Circum-arctic map of
- 510 permafrost and ground ice conditions, Proc. Sixth Int. Conf. Permafrost, 5–9
- 511 July,1993,Beijing, China, 255–260, 1993.
- 512 Hewitt, K.: Glaciers of the Karakoram Himalaya, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht., 2014.
- Ishikawa, M., Watanabe, T. and Nakamura, N.: Genetic differences of rock glaciers and the
  discontinuous mountain permafrost zone in Kanchanjunga Himal, Eastern Nepal, Permafr.
  Periglac. Process., 12(3), 243–253, doi:10.1002/ppp.394, 2001.
- 516 Jakob, M.: Active rock glaciers and the lower limit of discontinuous alpine permafrost, 517 Khumbu Himalaya, Nepal, Permafr. Periglac. Process., 3(April), 253–256, 1992.
- Janke, J. R.: Rock Glacier Mapping: A Method Utilizing Enhanced TM Data and GIS
  Modeling Techniques, Geocarto Int., 16(3), 5–15, doi:10.1080/10106040108542199, 2001.
- 520 Jarvis, A., Reuter, H. I., Nelson, A. and Guevara, E.: Hole-filled SRTM for the globe Version 521 4, [online] Available from: http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org, 2008.
- 522 Jiandong, X., Bo, Z., Liuyi, Z. and Zhengquan, C.: Field geological exploration of Ashikule 523 volcano group in western Kunlun Mountains, Earthq. Resarch China, 26(2), 2–9, 2011.
- 524 Kattel, D.B., Yao, T., Yang, K., Tian, L., Yang, G., and Joswiak, D.: Temperature lapse rate in 525 complex mountain terrain on the southern slope of central Himalayas, Theor. Appl.
- 526 Climatol.,113:671–682 doi:10.1007/s00704-012-0816-6, 2013.
- Lilleøren, K. S. and Etzelmüller, B.: A regional inventory of rock glaciers and ice-cored
  moraines in norway, Geogr. Ann. Ser. A, Phys. Geogr., 93(3), 175–191, doi:10.1111/j.14680459.2011.00430.x, 2011.

- 530 Lilleøren, K. S., Etzelmüller, B., Gärtner-Roer, I., Kääb, A., Westermann, S. and
- 531 Guðmundsson, Á.: The Distribution, Thermal Characteristics and Dynamics of Permafrost in
- 532 Tröllaskagi, Northern Iceland, as Inferred from the Distribution of Rock Glaciers and Ice-
- 533 Cored Moraines, Permafr. Periglac. Process., 24(4), 322–335, doi:10.1002/ppp.1792, 2013.

Owen, L. a and England, J.: Observations on rock glaciers in the Himalayas and Karakoram
Mountains of northern Pakistan and India, Geomorphology, 26(1-3), 199–213,
doi:10.1016/S0169-555X(98)00059-2, 1998.

- 537 Paul, F., Barrand, N. E., Baumann, S., Berthier, E., Bolch, T., Casey, K., Frey, H., Joshi, S.
- P., Konovalov, V., Bris, R. Le, Mölg, N., Nosenko, G., Nuth, C., Pope, A., Racoviteanu, A.,
  Rastner, P., Raup, B., Scharrer, K., Steffen, S. and Winsvold, S.: On the accuracy of glacier
  outlines derived from remote-sensing data, Ann. Glaciol., 54(63), 171–182,
- 541 doi:10.3189/2013AoG63A296, 2013.
- 542 Potere, D.: Horizontal Positional Accuracy of Google Earth's High-Resolution Imagery 543 Archive, Sensors, 8(12), 7973–7981, doi:10.3390/s8127973, 2008.
- 544 R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, [online] Available 545 from: http://www.r-project.org/, 2014.
- Ran, Y., Li, X., Cheng, G., Zhang, T., Wu, Q., Jin, H. and Jin, R.: Distribution of Permafrost in
  China: An Overview of Existing Permafrost Maps, Permafr. Periglac. Process., 23(4), 322–
  333, doi:10.1002/ppp.1756, 2012.
- Regmi, D.: Rock Glacier distribution and the lower limit of discontinuous mountain permafrost
  in the Nepal Himalaya, Proc. Ninth Int. Conf. Permafr. (NICOP), June 29–July 3, 2008,
  Alaska Fairbanks, 1475–1480, 2008.
- 552 Sato, H. P. and Harp, E. L.: Interpretation of earthquake-induced landslides triggered by the 553 12 May 2008, M7.9 Wenchuan earthquake in the Beichuan area, Sichuan Province, China 554 using satellite imagery and Google Earth, Landslides, 6(2), 153–159, doi:10.1007/s10346-555 009-0147-6, 2009.
- Scambos, T., Haran, T., Fahnestock, M. A., Painter, T. H. and Bohlander, J.: MODIS-based
  Mosaic of Antarctica (MOA) data sets: Continent-wide surface morphology and snow grain
  size, Remote Sens. Environ., 111(2-3), 242–257, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2006.12.020, 2007.
- Shroder, J. F., Bishop, M. P., Copland, L. and Sloan, V. F.: Debris-covered Glaciers and
  Rock Glaciers in the Nanga Parbat Himalaya, Pakistan, Geogr. Ann. Ser. A Phys. Geogr.,
  82(1), 17–31, doi:10.1111/j.0435-3676.2000.00108.x, 2000.
- Yang, M., Nelson, F. E., Shiklomanov, N. I., Guo, D. and Wan, G.: Permafrost degradation
  and its environmental effects on the Tibetan Plateau: A review of recent research, EarthScience Rev., 103(1-2), 31–44, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2010.07.002, 2010.
- 565 Zhang, T.: Historical Overview of Permafrost Studies in China, Phys. Geogr., 26(4), 279– 566 298, doi:10.2747/0272-3646.26.4.279, 2005.
- 567
- 568

569 Table 1: Attributes derived during rock-glacier mapping. They are recorded in the

570 Description field of each rock glacier outline as described in the supplement to this

571 **publication**.

Attributes	Classification	Code
Image date	MMDDYYYY	
Upslope Boundary	Glacial	BG
	Slope	BS
	Unclear	BU
Likelihood active	Virtually Certain	AVC
	High	AH
	Medium	AM
Longitudinal Flow Structure	Clear	LC
	Vague	LV
	None	LN
Transversal Flow Structure	Clear	тс
	Vague	ΤV
	None	TN
Front	Steep	FS
	Gentle	FG
	Unclear	FU
Outline	Clear	ос
	Fair	OF
	Vague	OV
Snow coverage	Snow	SS
	Partial Snow	SP
	No Snow	SN
Overall Confidence	Virtually Certain	CVC
	High	СН
	Medium	СМ

572



Fig 1: The HKH region as defined by ICIMOD which includes high mountains in
Afghanistan, Bhutan, China, India, Myanmar, Nepal and Pakistan. SRTM DEM version
4.1 from CGIAR at a spatial resolution of 90 m (Jarvis et al., 2008) shown in the WGS84
coordinate system.



579Fig 2: Examples of rock glaciers mapped by two different persons (red line = 100m).580Coordinates (Lat / Lon) are for A: 37.07 / 72.92; B: 29.71 / 84.54; C: 30.18 / 82.05; D:

**30.18 / 82.22. All copyrights Image © 2014 DigitalGlobe.** 



Fig 3: Overview of mapping results. All 3,432 samples with the same classification from both mappings are shown. In the barplots, identically classified samples are shown with filled bars and samples, which were classified differently in white. Note that the difference in scale between the samples containing rock glaciers on the left and all others samples on the right is one order of magnitude.



Fig 4: Example of differences between two mappings on the left (red line = 100m). Copyright Image © 2014 DigitalGlobe. For the boxplot on the right only samples where both analysts have mapped rock glaciers were taken into account. The samples with big differences typically have only few rock glaciers, therefore if one object got mapped by only one analyst the mean minimum elevation could change significantly.



595 Fig 5: Mean minimum elevation of rock glaciers per sample. The size of the square 596 indicates how many rock glaciers this value is based on. This is for 24% one rock 597 glacier, for 18% two rock glaciers and for 58% between three and 21 rock glaciers.



599 Fig 6: Mapped rock glaciers in relation to Permafrost Zonation Index summarized over 600 the mapped HKH region. Mapped candidate area refers to areas in where rock glaciers 601 can be expected to occur and to be observed; for each pixel, this is determined based 602 on (a) topography (standard deviation of SRTM90 > 85m in each sample), (b) sufficient 603 image quality in Google Earth, and (c) the absence of glacier cover. The same colours 604 as for the PZI map have been used where dark blue indicates permafrost in nearly all 605 conditions and bright yellow indicates permafrost only in very favourable conditions. 606 Green indicates the fringe of uncertainty. Intensive colours indicate the number of 607 rock glaciers and pale colours represent the density of rock glaciers within a certain 608 class. For more information on the PZI see Gruber (2012).



610 Fig 7: Comparison of all mapped rock glaciers with the Circum-Arctic Map of 611 Permafrost (IPA map). Note that the category Continuous Permafrost does not occur 612 in the investigation area. Mapped candidate area refers to areas in where rock glaciers 613 can be expected to occur and to be observed; for each pixel, this is determined based 614 on (a) topography (standard deviation of SRTM90 > 85m in each sample), (b) sufficient 615 image quality in Google Earth, and (c) the absence of glacier cover. Intensive colours 616 indicate the number of rock glaciers and pale colours represent the density of rock 617 glaciers within a certain class.



618

Fig 8: Spatial patterns of agreement between mapped rock glaciers and PZI. Colour indicates the lowest PZI value in the mapped rock glaciers within each 1° x 1° square. Green and yellow are signalling an apparent good agreement between lowest elevations reached by rock glaciers and predicted lowest possible elevations for permafrost by the PZI. The size of square symbols indicates the size of the mapped candidate area with PZI < 0.2. This is a proxy for whether or not rock glaciers with low PZI values can be expected in this area.