

Canolfan Rhewlifeg Sefydliad Daearyddiaeth a Gwyddorau Daear Prifysgol Aberystwyth Ceredigion SY23 3DB Cymru, DU **Centre for Glaciology** Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences Aberystwyth University Ceredigion SY23 3DB Wales, UK

Professor Bryn Hubbard Director: Centre for Glaciology

Tel: +44(0) 1970 622783	Fax: +44 (0)1970 622659	Email: <u>byh@aber.ac.uk</u>
-------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------

Martin Schneebeli Editor The Cryosphere

RE: tc-2014-79

15.09.2014

Dear Martin,

I write in relation to the manuscript 'Glacier-like forms on Mars' submitted for publication in The Cryosphere. We thank you and the reviewers for your consideration and include below our responses (identical to those posted online) to the comments of both reviewers and the short comment posted online in relation to the manuscript in TCD. We have addressed each and every reviewer comment individually, I hope satisfactorily; the manuscript has certainly been improved as a result of the process.

Please do not hesitate to request any further information you might need. I look forward to hearing from you.

Best wishes,

Bryn (corresponding author)



Canolfan Rhewlifeg Sefydliad Daearyddiaeth a Gwyddorau Daear Prifysgol Aberystwyth Ceredigion SY23 3DB Cymru, DU **Centre for Glaciology** Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences Aberystwyth University Ceredigion SY23 3DB Wales, UK

Professor Bryn Hubbard Director: Centre for Glaciology

Tel: +44(0) 1970 622783	Fax: +44 (0)1970 622659	Email: <u>byh@aber.ac.uk</u>

RE: RC C883

26.08.2014

We write in relation to the manuscript 'Glacier-like forms on Mars' submitted for publication in The Cryosphere. We thank reviewer 1 (Michael Khun) for their expert comments and summarise our response to each suggestion that was raised below.

Comment (unedited)	Response
Reviewer 1 (RC C883:	
Michael Khun):	
This paper is very carefully	We now summarise this information by adding the following to the
written, but it would gain	Introduction of the revised manuscript:
from a brief summary of	
physical condition on Mars	"Although, in common with other interpretations of Mars' surface features, we
relevant to the existence	adopt a model based on terrestrial analogues, several fundamental controls
of glacier-like forms, their	over martian glaciation contrast sharply with those on Earth. For example,
lifetime, movement, mass	Mars' gravity, at ~3.7 m s ⁻² , is less than 40% of Earth's. Mars' surface
and energy balance. What	temperature varies between ~-130 and +27 $$ $\! \mathcal{C}$, with a mean of ~-60 $$ $\! \mathcal{C}$ (Read
are typical values of	and Lewis, 2004), ~75 ${}^\circ\!\!{\rm C}$ lower than on Earth. Finally, the partial pressure of
pressure, temperature,	H_2O in Mars' near-surface atmosphere is ~1 μ bar, making the planet's surface
gravity, what are the daily	~1000 times drier than Earth's."
and seasonal variations of	
solar irradiance and	
surface temperature?	
There are so many	While we agree that the visual appearance and morphometry of GLFs show
similarities of glacier-like	strong similarities with terrestrial glaciers, there is still some uncertainty
forms and moraine-like	relating to e.g. their composition, thermal regime, mass balance and dynamics
ridges with their terrestrial	(e.g., see Short Comment C1422). We therefore prefer, for now at least, to
counterparts that the	retain the '-like' suffix.
reader is tempted to think	
in terms of glaciers and	
moraines without the	
cautious "-like".	
As they mention boulders	We agree that reference should be made to rock glaciers and debris glaciers as
on top of the glacier-like	terrestrial analogues in this context (also see Short Comment C1422).
forms I encourage the	Therefore, the following statement has been added to Section 1.1.2.
authors to make a short	
reference to terrestrial	"Debate surrounding the amount of water ice involved in VFF composition
rock glaciers.	(including GLFs) has led to varying interpretations being made, including as ice
	assisted talus flow (~20 – 30% ice; Squyres 1978, 1979), rock-glaciers (~30 –

	80% ice; Colaprete and Jakosky, 1998; Mangold, 2003), and debris-covered glaciers (>80% ice; Head et al., 2005; Li et al., 2005). Since the distinctions between these forms – and between them and 'standard' glaciers - is not sharply defined even on Earth, we are not yet in a position to definitively attribute martian equivalents to any or all of them. We therefore follow the convention of much of the published literature and refer to these forms as 'glacier-like', accepting that they may eventually, when more information becomes available, be more accurately reclassified as some related form such as rock glaciers or mass flows. That said, the latter is unlikely to hold universally on Mars since many GLFs do not show distinctive source areas for their mass, many have lost substantial mass since their formation (Section 2.2 below), and many appear from radar data to be composed largely of water ice (this section)."
I would like to see more arguments for the statement on p2962, line 6, and again in the summary 2977/14 "current GLFs are the remnants of a once far larger ice mass".	As well as the existing references to three papers that present information to support this expanded former extent we now include the following statement of the nature of this evidence on p. 2962 line 9 (in the original manuscript): "Such an expanded former extent has been inferred from detailed regional geomorphological reconstructions, for example identifying former ice limits from variations in surface texture and the existence of distal moraine-like ridges. Allied to local topography such reconstructions have allowed the reconstruction of both former ice extent and local ice-flow directions (e.g., Dickson et al., 2010)."
2964/10 Use upper case	Altered as suggested.
for names. 2977/11 Mars'	Altered as suggested.
Fig 7c mark the bedrock protuberances in the figure.	We do not believe bedrock is visible in Fig. 7c; we believe the entire scene is the tongue of a deformed GLF.
Fig. 2 add color code.	Altered as suggested.
Fig. 6 the colors of MLRs and compressional ridges are difficult to distinguish.	We will look closely at this at the proof stage and amend one of the classes if the colours are indeed too close to be easily distinguished. We prefer to wait for final colour rendering because we are already using blue and green elsewhere in the figure.
Fig. 9 boulder instead of bounder.	Altered as suggested.
Fig. 10 distance scales are not readable.	We have amended the scale and text on this Figure (see also response to Referee Comment C1120)
It is obvious the authors of this paper (AOTPs) use many acronyms – this may be inconvenient to readers who are not so familiar	We agree that this may be inconvenient to the reader – but on the whole acronyms are probably preferable to repeating long names. To mitigate this issue we have inserted a table (Table 1) providing a summary of all acronyms used. Table 1 is introduced in the Introduction (p. 2959 line 4) with the following new text:

with these terms.	
	"Since this contribution is primarily intended for readers who are primarily interested in the terrestrial cryosphere, and who may not therefore be familiar with the literature relating to the martian cryosphere, a list of the acronyms used herein is given in Table 1."

Please do not hesitate to request any further information you might need. I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,

Bryn Hubbard (corresponding author)



Canolfan Rhewlifeg Sefydliad Daearyddiaeth a Gwyddorau Daear Prifysgol Aberystwyth Ceredigion SY23 3DB Cymru, DU **Centre for Glaciology** Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences Aberystwyth University Ceredigion SY23 3DB Wales, UK

Professor Bryn Hubbard Director: Centre for Glaciology

 Tel: +44(0) 1970 622783
 Fax: +44 (0)1970 622659
 Email: byh@aber.ac.uk

RE: RC C1120

26.08.2014

We write in relation to the manuscript 'Glacier-like forms on Mars' submitted for publication in The Cryosphere. We thank reviewer 2, Matthew Balme, for their expert comments and summarise our response to each suggestion that was raised below.

Comment (unedited)	Response
Reviewer 2 (RC C1120 Matthew Balme):	
The only major question I	We agree with the reviewer that this contribution includes elements of both a
have is whether the case	review and a research paper. It has been necessary to combine these two
studies provided are	elements in order to bring this information to the attention of the broader
sufficient, in and of	cryospheric community.
themselves, to support a	
research article. This lack	We also follow the reviewer's advice and have inserted references to the data
of weight is seen in the	or case studies presented herein to the appropriate statements in the paper's
summary, in which most	Summary (p. 2977 lines 5-24). Amended text is as follows:
of the bullet points	
reference "review"	"– Many GLFs were previously more extensive and thicker than at present,
aspects. However, having	possibly now representing the remnants of former large ice sheets. In Section
said that, the forward	2.2.1 (above) we identify a distinctive proglacial zone some 3 km wide
looking part of summary is	surrounding a GLF located in Phlegra Montes. This zone, bounded along its
extremely useful, and so	distal edge by moraine-like ridges is interpreted as having been recently
with	deglaciated and is likened to a similar proglacial region bounding Midre
a bit of 'beefing up' of this	Lovénbreen, Svalbard, on Earth.
section the paper would	– GLFs flow slowly downslope through a combination of ductile and (less
be improved.	common) brittle deformation. In Section 2.3.3 (above) we identify and interpret
	four slightly contrasting sets of crevasses located on two martian GLFs in terms
	of variable strain regimes. These crevasses are also shown to range from being
	relatively fresh in appearance, implying a correspondingly young age, to
	appearing blunt and degraded, implying earlier formation and possibly a relict
	current condition.
	- GLFs have the ability to transport debris, forming large bounding moraines
	and depositing boulder trains extending for several kilometres along-GLF. In Section 2.4.1 (above) we identify an extensive supra-GLF debris train which we
	interpret in terms of passive transport from specific ice-marginal supply points.
	Reconstructing boulder transport distances since GLF formation (over the range
	5.0 to 0.5 Ma ago, with a best estimate age of 2.0 Ma) yields an equivalent
	provisional GLF surface velocity range of 3 - 30 mm a^{-1} , with a best estimate of
	\sim 7.5 mm a ⁻¹ .
	~ 7.5 mm a ⁻¹ .

	- GLFs currently show little influence of liquid water, confined to postulated intermittent surface melting which is insufficient to form coherent supra-GLF drainage. In Section 3.1.1 (above) we illustrate that such supra-GLF incised channels occur on several GLFs and are not confined to the single instance at which they have hitherto been reported. However, more extensive former GLFs, and/or their predecessor ice masses, may have been partially wet-based."
P 2959 line24. What types of images were examined? What percentage of the global surface area was observed?	The survey was completed with CTX imagery and covered ~25% of the martian surface. For clarity this sentence has been amended to: 'In their inventory of Mars' GLFs, Souness et al. (2012) inspected >8,000 CTX images, covering ~25% of the martian surface, and identified 1,309 individual forms, reporting the location (Fig. 2) and basic morphometry of each.'
P 2960, line 1-3. Distribution of GLFs. Were these numbers normalised by (1) total area observed (i.e. is the coverage the same in both hemispheres (2) by surface area (i.e. higher latitudes have small	The reviewer is correct in pointing out that the coverage of 'parent' images reported by Souness et al. (2012) was not spatially uniform, and that inferred GLF clustering (which was not normalised) could therefore be to some extent an artefact of image clustering. That said, with over 8000 images, spatial coverage is good for the latitudinal bands investigated in both hemispheres and – although not statistically proven by Souness et al. (2012) - it is clear from inspection of Figure 2 that GLFs are spatially clustered.
surface area in a given latitude band)? If not, can this be done? This is specifically important for the discussion of clustering – does this	Since we only report these data as background review information (clearly attributed to Souness et al. (2012)) we choose not to revisit these data and attempt a statistical normalisation here. Instead, we amend the text to point explicitly to the raw nature of the data we report by amending the sentence on p. 2960 line 4-8 to:
reflect true clustering, or just a concentration of images? Without such normalisation, the results are not so compelling	"Although Souness et al. (2012) did not normalise their GLF count to (spatially variable) image coverage, inspection of Figure 2 strongly suggests that GLFs are locally clustered in both hemispheres, for example along the so-called "fretted terrains" (Sharp, 1973) of Deuteronilus Mensae, Protonilus Mensae and Nili Fossae in the north and around the Hellas Planitia impact crater in the south."
P 2960 Line 19. How do we know the regolith is dust-rich?	This raises an interesting point. This surface layer is generally assumed to be dust-rich, but there is some evidence to indicate that this surface material is at least easily deformed and boulder-poor. Evidence for the former is provided by incisions left behind boulders that have rolled onto the GLF's surface (see for example Fig. 5a in Hubbard et al. (2011)), while the latter boulders would be seen in HiRISE images (resolution ~25 cm), from which they are notably largely absent (as evidenced by Section 2.4.1 of this contribution). Nonetheless, we cannot be sure the surface regolith is 'dust' rich and we amend the adjective to 'fine-grained' where appropriate.
P 2961 Line 9-11. According to the Laskar model results, (Laskar, J., A.C.M. Correia, M. Gastineau, F. Joutel., B.	We agree that as written the section could cause confusion relating to short and medium scale orbital fluctuations. For clarity the section has been replaced with the following: <i>"While it is thought that Mars' last major ice age ceased when martian</i>

Levrard, and P. Robutel.	obliquity changed from $\sim 35^{\circ}$ to $\sim 25^{\circ}$ between four and six million years ago
'Long Term Evolution and	(Laskar et al., 2004), evidence of a subsequent, late-Amazonian ice age has
Chaotic Diffusion of the	been proposed (Head et al., 2003). It is thought that during periods of short
Insolation Quantities of	term obliquity cycles (~100 ka) between ~2 Ma BP to ~0.5 Ma BP, obliquity still
Mars'. Icarus 170, no. 2	exceeded 30°. During these intermittent periods increased solar radiation led to
(2004): 343–64.) mean	the melting of Mars' polar caps, the release of moisture into the atmosphere
obliquity decreased about	and its precipitation as snow or condensation above or within the ground at
5 Ma BP and has been	lower latitudes (e.g. Forget et al., 2006; Hudson et al., 2009; Schon et al.,
stable at around 25 \circ for \sim	2009)."
3 Ma. There have been	
numerous cyclic obliquity	
excursions since then,	
which might have	
triggered 'ice ages'. In the	
time period specified here,	
the obliquity was much	
more variable than in the	
preceding 0.5Ma,	
changing from nearly 15 to	
nearly 35 degrees on very	
short (~100ka) timescales.	
As written, this section	
appears to mix up these	
two concepts.	
P2965 Line 6. Is the	We do not have evidence to evaluate this possibility – but the smooth terrain
Smooth Terrain type	does appear to be ice-rich and older (from a visibly higher crater density).
related at all to GLFs?	Evaluation of large-scale regional glaciation in this and other sectors is beyond
	the scope of this submission, but remains to be evaluated as more images
	become available.
P2965 Line 17. The MLRs	We know of no examples on Mars of moraines crossing each other, indicating a
are all contained within	later advance taking a different path from an earlier one. This is to be expected
each other. Does this lack	since GLF growth would closely follow the geometry of the existing terrain,
of transgression tell us	leading to advances of similar morphometries.
anything? Do any MLRs	
record transgressions	Without any dating constraint, all we really can say from these nested
across a terminal moraine	moraine-like ridges is that (i) recession was punctuated and (ii) the innermost
by more recent glacier	ridges were formed later than the outermost ridges.
activity?	
Page 2967 Line 1. Does	Yes it does. Although pioneering and valuable, the model used was in fact one
this 'model restriction' to	dimensional (not 2-d; we have corrected this in the revised text) and restricted
2 dimensions make any	to a point analysis of the strain anticipated on the basis of local stress under a
difference? This is the sort	
	typical range of VFF conditions. This non-spatially-distributed approach
of thing where planetary	typical range of VFF conditions. This non-spatially-distributed approach showed that VFF flow could occur at the rates and timescales expected, but it
of thing where planetary	showed that VFF flow could occur at the rates and timescales expected, but it
of thing where planetary science can really learn	showed that VFF flow could occur at the rates and timescales expected, but it did not consider the spatial distribution of stresses present throughout the
of thing where planetary science can really learn from terrestrial expertise.	showed that VFF flow could occur at the rates and timescales expected, but it did not consider the spatial distribution of stresses present throughout the geometry of an actual VFF. In contrast, a spatially-distributed implementation

complex model?	 model can be used to investigate both its steady-state condition and its response to imposed environmental changes. Clearly, the development and application of such a spatially-distributed model would therefore represent a major glaciological advance. To correct, clarify and expand slightly we amend the revised text (p. 2966 line 21 to p. 2977 line 3 to: <i>"In an effort to shed some light on the likelihood of GLF motion, Milliken et al.</i> (2003) applied the multi-component constitutive relation of Goldsby and Kohlstedt (2001) to typical ranges of VFF temperature, slope and (assumed) ice grain size Although the application of this stress-strain relationship to martian VFF conditions represented a major advance, the model was not distributed spatially and was not therefore applied to, nor considered, any particular VFF geometry."
Page 2968 Line 12. Does it have to be exposed by excavation, it could be caused by a lack of regolith deposition in this area?	We agree, and amend the revised text accordingly to: "Crevassing therefore occurs, or is at least more readily visible (i.e. exposed by the absence or excavation of supraglacial regolith), in these specific areas."
Page 2971 Line 1. Why is the erosional headwall similar to a depositional lateral moraine? This needs to be explained more fully.	This statement makes reference to the work of Hubbard et al. (2011) as background information, preceding the case study in Section 2.4.1. The original text is clear in this ("These authors likened this 'incised headwall terrain' to ice- marginal lateral moraines on valley glaciers on Earth"). Nonetheless, it is an interesting point as to why this GLF's headwall is sediment-rich and therefore visually similar to terrestrial medial moraines. It could well be that higher-up the headwall is eroded into rock and lower down into deposited sediment. In this case we do not amend the text as the distinction is a small one (and possibly be read as unnecessarily confusing if made), while the text is accurate (stating the interpretation of the earlier published work).
Page 2973, line 10. It would be relatively simple to estimate the error on this velocity, or at least provide a realistic range in which the actual number would sit. This should be done. Without the acknowledgment that this is not a precise measure,	Although we do describe our method and assumptions in the text, we also agree that a 'hard' figure such as this should come with some caveat. Since the boulder travel distance is fairly well fixed the likely error involved comes from our original assumption – clearly stated – that the GLF's age is 2 Ma. In line with general consensus we now amend the calculation to consider a range of ages from 0.5 to 5.0 Ma, with a centre 'best estimate' of 2 Ma based on the onset of the proposed late Amazonian ice age (see revised Section 1.1.3 and response to C1120 comment above). We amend this text (and elsewhere, where appropriate) accordingly to:
this number could end up being used in future models etc without question.	"In the absence of any firm age constraint on this particular GLF, we adopt a 'best estimate' age for its formation of 2 Ma, at the onset of the proposed 'late Amazonian' ice age, and a likely age range from 5 Ma - the middle of the last major ice age on Mars - to 0.5 Ma - the end of the proposed 'late Amazonian' ice age (Section 1.1.3 above). Thus, if boulder transport was initiated at the time of GLF formation from point "I." on Fig. 8c it follows that, for those

Section 3.1.1. The evidence presented for these channels is the weakest part of the paper. It is very hard to differentiate between the background pattern of fractures and potential channel-like forms. In the sketch elements of fig 10, perhaps only the most convincing ones should be shown, and the matching features marked with arrows in the image? Also, use of the term "strongly indicate" seems to be overly confident	boulders to have been transported passively to the distal end of Population G, GLF #498's minimum centreline velocity was within the range of $3 - 30 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$, with a best estimate value of 7.5 mm a ⁻¹ ." We also amend references to these figures in the Summary (see response below) and Abstract accordingly. We take the reviewer's point entirely in this case. The problem, we believe, lies not in the evidence for the channels – which appear fairly clear on high- resolution screen images – but in illustrating them as small panels within a figure of limited resolution. We therefore amend Figure 10 to include only one additional case (panels a – c of the original Figure), and re-align them vertically to allow expansion.
Section 3.2. Could the authors discuss possible evidence for possible pro- glacial channels systems too? Do any GLFs have channels 'downstream' of them?	As far as we know, there is no evidence of pro-GLF fluvial activity (the martian equivalent of pro-glacial streams). This is stated in the original text at the start of Section 3.2 (p. 2975 lines 13-14) as follows: " present-day GLFs show little or no sign of the presence or influence of liquid water. For example, no evidence of pro-GLF fluvial activity has been reported"
Section 4. The 'current unknown aspects' part of the paper is very important, and the authors have identified some useful points. I think that they could expand upon each point to say which aspects could be determined using current (or planned future) data, and how. Thus, rather than just being a 'wish list', this part of the paper	We thank the reviewer for this insightful recommendation and have amended several of the itemised pointers for unknown aspects to include a brief indication of how they might be addressed. We have deliberately kept these pointers brief and general to avoid appearing at all prescriptive; there will almost certainly be cryospheric researchers with novel methods that we are not aware of but which are appropriate to address these issues. The revised text is as follows: <i>"– It is not known whether GLFs are currently active or whether they are decaying relics of previously active forms. Diagnostic indicators of such activity would include any indication of motion (addressed below) and for a GLF to have a surface profile that is in balance - as indicated by a spatially-distributed numerical model of GLF flow - with current climatic conditions.</i>
would read more like a	- The previous extent of GLFs, and their putative parent ice sheets, is still only

roadmap.	poorly understood. This requirement could be addressed through additional
Toaumap.	
	field mapping at a variety of spatial scales, based on CTX or High Resolution
	Stereo Camera (HRSC) images at the regional scale to HiRISE images at a local
	scale. Such mapping could be targeted at identifying markers of former ice
	extent such as specific surface terrains, subglacial deposits and ice-marginal
	moraines.
	– The thermal regime of former GLFs is unknown, and the possibility of partial
	wet-based conditions remains unproven and their extent unevaluated. This
	could be evaluated empirically or theoretically, ideally through a combination
	of both. Empirical evidence could include the identification of indicators
	diagnostic of wet-based conditions (e.g., bedforms such as mega-scale glacial
	lineations) or of subglacial drainage (e.g., meltwater channels or eskers).
	Theoretically, former thermal regime could be estimated from the application
	of a thermomechanically-coupled ice-flow model to reconstructed former ice
	mass geometries under realistic climatic conditions for the time.
	– The basic mass-balance regime of GLFs is unknown. Whatever the spatial
	expression of this regime, there is no compelling climatological reason for it to
	comply with the common terrestrial valley-glacier model of net accumulation
	at high elevations gradually giving way to net ablation at low elevations. This is
	possibly the most challenging unknown GLF property to elucidate, and would
	likely require several lines of evidence to be combined. Central to these might
	be a regional evaluation of GLF extent in the light of corresponding regional
	variations in meteorological conditions. A modelling approach may also shed
	some light of the mass-balance regime of GLFs, for example, through
	comparing modelled GLF geometries and flow with empirical data under a
	variety of modelled mass-balance patterns.
	– The 3-D geometry and internal structure of GLFs is unknown. Although
	SHARAD radar data are available and capable of mapping ice thickness, the
	data are of fairly coarse resolution and have limited spatial coverage. Very little
	information is therefore available to allow the basal interface of GLFs to be
	identified and mapped. This property is also critically important because
	spatially-distributed models of ice mass flow depend sensitively on accurate
	bed geometry. In this case, new and existing SHARAD data could usefully be
	mined to locate intersections with known GLFs – providing a first
	approximation of bed profiles. Further to that, modelling-based sensitivity
	analyses (to GLF depth) could also be used to constrain likely bed geometries.
	– Mechanisms of GLF motion are poorly known and, apart from the estimate of
	3 – 30 mm a^{-1} presented herein (Section 2.4.1 above), it has not yet been
	possible to measure surface velocities on any martian GLF. Further research
	based on indicators of surface displacement – such as the boulder analysis
	presented herein – could usefully be used to refine the range we propose. As
	the period of time between repeat HiRISE images of certain GLFs increases it
	may also become possible to identify contemporary GLF motion on the basis of
	feature or speckle tracking. Indeed, a single such measurement would provide a
	major advance in our understanding of the dynamic glaciology of martian GLFs

[and index if the CLE account of early the barrende line
	– particularly if the GLF concerned could also be modelled.
	- GLF-related landforms such as lineations, drumlin-like forms, surface cracks/gullies and possible eskers remain largely unexplored and their basic morphometric characteristics are unreported. Targeted mapping from HiRISE images remains the best way to identify and evaluate such landforms. The online inventory accompanying Souness et al. (2012) would provide a suitable starting point for identifying candidate regions of interest.
	– Although considered to be rich in water-ice, the internal composition of GLFs remains unknown, despite these material properties having important implications for GLF dynamics and our ability to model GLF behaviour accurately. Apart from direct sampling in the future, which is unlikely in the near-term, SHARAD data analysis may be combined with numerical modelling to further constrain the internal composition of GLFs. Opportunistic images, for example shortly following a meteorite impact, may also continue to yield information relevant to GLF sub-surface conditions."
Page 2958, Abstract, line	We agree and amend the sentence to:
2. "Visually similarbeing composed of". Referencing 'visual similarity' and 'composition' makes the sentence confusing as written, just needs a tweak in structure.	"These GLFs are predominantly composed of ice-dust mixtures and are visually similar to terrestrial valley glaciers, showing signs of downhill viscous deformation and an expanded former extent."
Page 2960 Line 13. How were the mean bearing calculated?	Souness et al. (2012) calculated the mean bearing as that from the centre pixel at the head of each GLF to the centre pixel at its terminus. Since we are summarizing the results of previous research here we do not add an explanation of this method to the revised text.
Page 2964 Line 1. "much- contested sinuous ridges". Presumably, this means that their formation mechanism is still hotly debated. If so, more detail is needed to explain what the debate consists of. Alternatively, this could be deleted as it doesn't add much here anyway.	We have deleted this statement from the revised manuscript as it is tangential and unnecessary to the argument.
Page 2967 Line 6. "relatively unambiguous, universal diagnostic indicator" is a contradiction.	We agree and have altered to: 'Fracturing is a universal diagnostic indicator'

Fib 7b. The contrast could be improved on this figure, and arrows added to show the features of interest. The same applies to several other figures, where features should be identified with arrows or labelled in some other	Agreed and, as well as Figure 10 (see comment above), Figures 7 and 8 have been amended to improve clarity.
way.	
Page 2973 Line 18. The idea that Mars was both significantly warmer and	We agree and have toned the text down and added reference to an alternative viewpoint as follows:
significantly wetter in the	"Although still debated (see Ehlmann, 2014; Robert, 2014), early Mars appears
past is still debated.	to have been both warmer and wetter than at present (Kargel, 2004). Current
Suggest toning down this	surface conditions are relatively cold and dry (see Section 1 above), and are
statement, or add	consequently no longer conductive to the survival of surface water."
reference to the alternate	
point of view.	
Page 2973 Line 23. Earlier, more fundamental RSL papers than Stillman (2014) exist. Suggest these should be cited too/instead.	We agree and have altered the reference to McEwen et al. (2011).
Page 2974 Line 1. Is there a reference for the gullies eroded into pro-GLF material?	Yes, we have added reference to Hubbard et al. (2011).

Please do not hesitate to request any further information you might need. I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,

Bryn Hubbard (corresponding author)

We thank Wilfried Haeberli and Sarah Springman for their insightful and helpful comments.

One of the aims of this paper was to bring issues such as these to the attention of the wider cryospheric sciences community, and we are encouraged by your comment. It is clear that more research is needed on GLFs in order to address issues such as their precise internal composition and their mass balance regime - as we call for in our concluding section. Such information would go some way to allowing alternative interpretations and sub-classifications to be presented and evaluated.

It is likely that what we and others refer to as 'GLFs' do in reality include a range of features extending from frozen mass flows to almost pure ice with a local mass-balance regime. However, we believe that many GLFs are not pure mass flows because they appear to (without formal morphometric analysis) lack a source area large enough to have supplied all of their mass (as argued by Hubbard et al., 2011). We explicitly accept this possibility and acknowledge it in our response to review comment C883 that landforms which we present as "similar in planform appearance to terrestrial valley glaciers" have previously been interpreted as ice assisted talus flow and rock glaciers. That said, the definition and differentiation between rock glaciers and glaciers, for example, on Earth is not always clear, even where direct field research has been carried out; we are certainly no closer to differentiating between such forms on Mars.

Finally, we note that in their criteria for recognition of glacier-like forms Souness et al. (2012) specified no compositional requirement, thereby not discounting alternate compositional forms under the umbrella of GLFs. Perhaps, this is ready for refinement.

References:

Hubbard, B., Milliken, R. E., Kargel, J. S., Limaye, A. and Souness, C. (2011) Geomorphological characterisation and interpretation of a mid-latitude glacier-like form: Hellas Planitia, Mars. *Icarus*, **211**, 330-346, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2010.10.021.

Souness, C., Hubbard, B., Milliken, R. E. and Quincy, D. (2012) An inventory and population-scale analysis of martian glacier-like forms. *Icarus*, **217**, 243-255, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2011.10.020.

Kind Regards,

Bryn Hubbard (Corresponding author)