Blowing snow in coastal Adélie Land, Antarctica : three atmospheric moisture issues

Barral, Hélène^{1,3}, Genthon, Christophe^{1,2}, Trouvilliez, Alexandre⁴, Brun, Christophe³, and Amory, Charles^{1,5}

55

¹CNRS, LGGE (UMR5183), F-38000 Grenoble, France

²Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LGGE (UMR5183), F-38000 Grenoble, France

³Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LEGI (UMR5519), F-38000 Grenoble, France

⁴Cerema, DTecEMF, LGCE, F-29200 Brest, France

⁵Univ. Grenoble Alpes Irstea, F-38000 Grenoble, France

Correspondence to: Genthon, C, genthon@lgge.obs.ujf-grenoble.fr Barral, H, helene.barral@lgge.obs.ujf-grenoble.fr

Abstract. Three years of blowing snow observations and as- 30 sociated meteorology along a 7-m mast at site D17 in coastal Adélie Land are presented. The observations are used to address 3 atmospheric moisture issues related to the occur-

- ⁵ rence of blowing snow, a feature which largely affects many regions of Antarctica: 1) Blowing snow sublimation raises ³⁵ close to saturation the moisture content of the surface atmosphere, and atmospheric models and meteorological analyzes that do not carry blowing snow parameterizations are
- ¹⁰ affected by a systematic dry bias; 2) While snowpack modeling with a parameterization of surface snow erosion by wind ⁴⁰ can reproduce the variability of snow accumulation and ablation, ignoring the high levels of atmospheric moisture content associated with blowing snow results in overestimating
- ¹⁵ surface sublimation affecting the energy budget of the snow-pack; 3) the well-known profile method to calculate turbulent ⁴⁵ moisture fluxes is not applicable when blowing snow occurs, because moisture gradients are weak due to blowing snow sublimation, and the impact of measurement uncertainties
 ²⁰ are strongly amplified in case of strong winds.

Keywords. Antarctica, Snowpack, Surface Mass Balance, ⁵ Katabatic flow, Blowing snow, Sublimation, Latent Heat Fluxes, Moisture, Observation, Modelling, Profile method, Monin and Obukhov similarity theory, Uncertainty propagation

1 Introduction

25

In Antarctica, surface cooling and smooth sloping surfaces over hundreds of km induce strong, frequent and persistent

katabatic winds. More often than not, such winds transport snow and induce blizzards. Although some of the blizzards result from precipitating snow being transported by the wind, some of the blowing snow also originates from the erosion of previously deposited precipitation at the surface. In places, the contribution of eroding and blowing snow to the surface mass balance (SMB) of Antarctica is a major one to the extent that no snow can accumulate even though snow fall occurs (Genthon et al., 2007). These are the wind-induced "blue ice" areas which affect ~ 0.8 % of the surface of Antarctica (Ligtenberg et al., 2014). Over the bulk of Antarctica, although estimates have been suggested from remote sensing (Das et al., 2013), only meteorological / climate models including parameterizations for blowing snow are likely to provide a fully consistent evaluation of the contribution of blowing snow processes to the SMB of the ice sheet (Déry and Yau, 2002; Lenaerts et al., 2012b).Lenaerts et al. (2012a) computed that sublimation of blown particles removes almost 7% of the precipitation, considering the whole icesheet. Gallée et al. (2005) found about 30 % along a 600 km transect in Wilkes Land. Yet, because the processes are complex and varied, such parameterizations and models must be carefully evaluated with in situ observations.

The fact that Adélie Land is one of the windiest and most blizzard-plagued regions in the world (Wendler et al., 1997) was already recognized back in the early days of Antarctic exploration (Mawson, 1915). This is because of the long fetch from the plateau combined with topographic funnelling of the katabatic winds (Parish and Bromwich, 1991). Adélie Land is thus a favored region for an observational characterization of blowing snow. Yet, access and logistics are difficult in Antarctica in general, and operations in Adélie Land are no exception. In addition, to observe blowing snow one has to deploy and run measuring devices in the harsh weather conditions. One of the French permanent Antarctic stations

- 65 (Dumont d'Urville station) is located on an island 5 km 120 off-shore from the coast of Adélie Land, allowing significant logistical support in the area. Thanks to this support, a SMB monitoring program is run since 2004. The GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA observatory (http://www-lgge.ujf-grenoble.fr/
- ⁷⁰ ServiceObs/SiteWebAntarc/GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA.php) ¹²⁵ has collected annual SMB data from the coast to more than 150 km inland which, combined with historical data, have shown no significant SMB change over the last 40 years (Agosta et al., 2012). On the other hand, comparing the
- 75 GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA observations with various models, 130 including some that carry blowing snow modelling, suggests that blowing snow indeed contributes significantly to the SMB (Agosta et al., 2012).

To which extent climate models that do not take into ac-

- count blowing snow fail to reproduce the characteristics of 135 the surface meteorology and climate of Antarctica ? While blowing snow likely contributes to the SMB, it also impacts the near-surface atmosphere through further decreasing its negative buoancy and reducing turbulence (Gallée
- et al., 2013). The negative buoyancy of the air is further increased because it is cooled by the evaporation / sublimation of the airborne snow particles. This is a positive feedback for the katabatic flow. Besides transporting solid water, the near-surface atmosphere transports more water vapor than it
- would without blowing snow due to the sublimation of blown snow particles. Some authors demonstrated through modelling studies that snowdrift sublimation can exceed surface sublimation in coastal and windy Antarctic areas (Bintanja, 2001; Frezzotti et al., 2004). In fact, the issue of blowing
- snow is not limited to Antarctica, and historical studies first took places in mountaineous regions. On the basis of direct in situ measurements, Schmidt (1982) calculated that sublimation amounts to 13.1 % of the blowing snow transport rate in Southern Wyoming during blizzard events. Schmidt
- ¹⁰⁰ also cites results by Tabler (1975) in the same area, estimating that 57% of the winter snow fall is evaporated during transport after remobilization from the surface. This is over flat surfaces exempt of katabatic wind. On the Antarctic slopes, air compression due to down-slope gravity flow in-
- duces adiabatic warming (Gosink, 1989) : the air is warmer than it would be at rest or flowing over flat surfaces. As the air warms, it becomes more undersaturated. This is partially compensated by the sublimation of blowing snow. Thus models that do not account for blowing snow are very likely to underestimate surface air moisture in Antarctica.

Observations are needed to characterize not only the various aspects of the impacts of blowing snow on the SMB, but also surface meteorology, and potential biases in models. Background surface mass balance information from

115 GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA and good logistical support from

the nearby Dumont d'Urville research station were major assets to initiate a multi-year blowing snow monitoring campaign. Blowing snow and meteorological observation systems have been deployed and maintained since 2010. Instruments were deployed from the coast to 100 km inland (Trouvilliez et al., submitted). Here we concentrate on the data obtained at site D17, about 10 km inland from the coast, because this is where the most extensive observation system was deployed. This is described in the data and model section (Sect. 2). An analysis of the data in terms of the relationship of atmospheric moisture with the occurrence of blowing snow is made in Sect. 3. The inability of various models without blowing snow, to reproduce the observed atmospheric moisture is also demonstrated in this section. In Sect. 4, a snow-pack model with a parameterization of blowing snow is used to evaluate the importance and contribution of blowing snow at D17. In Sect. 5 latent heat fluxes are computed from profile observations and compared to the snow-pack model results. The uncertainties of the profile calculations are discussed. Sect. 6 provides the general conclusions.

2 Data and Model

2.1 Observation Data

Site D17 (66°43'26" S, 139°42'21" E, \sim 450 m a.s.l.) is located $\sim 10 \, \mathrm{km}$ inland from the coast of Adélie Land (Map 1). Access is relatively easy in summer but not accessible in winter. Thus, the bulk of the instruments deployed at D17 must run in an automatic mode. A 7-m mast was erected in early 2010 (Fig. 2). Profiles of wind, temperature and moisture are recorded along the mast. Temperature and moisture are measured using Vaisala HMP45 sensors in MET21 radiation shields. Temperature biases in unaspirated (i.e. only ventilated by wind) shields as reported by Genthon et al. (2011) on the Antarctic plateau are rare at D17 due to the remarkable persistance of strong winds. Texas Instrument NRG40C cup anemometers were initially used to sample wind. They proved to be insufficiently robust for the extreme Adélie Land environment and were later replaced with Vector A100 cup anemometers. Information on blowing snow was obtained using IAV Technologies FlowCapt sensors¹ . Although FlowCapts are very good at detecting blowing snow, the original design resulted in significant errors in estimating the blowing snow fluxes (Cierco et al., 2007). The sensors at D17 are of a more recent design which significantly improves, although not necessarily solves, problems with estimating blowing snow fluxes (Trouvilliez, 2013).

Data are sampled with a 10" time step, the 30-min statistics are stored by a Campbell CR3000 data logger. The 30' averaged data are used in the present work. All instruments were set up within manufacturer-stated operating range of temperature and wind at D17. The HMP45 are factory cali-

¹http://www.flowcapt.com/

brated to report relative humidity with respect to liquid water rather than to ice, even below 0 °C. Goff and Gratch (1945) formulae are used to convert to RH with respect to 220 ice (RH_{wri}), using the sensor temperature reports in the conversion. Conversion occasionally yield values above 100%.

- 170 version. Conversion occasionally yield values above 100 %. These values are attributed to instruments and Goff-Gratch conversion accuracy limitations. Indeed, while supersaturations have been reported in Antarctica (Anderson, 1996; 225 Genthon et al., 2013), they only occur in very cold clean at-
- mosphere devoid of cloud condensation nuclei. They cannot be sustained at D17 because of relatively warm temperatures. Moreover, while snow is blowing, snow crystal particles provide a large number of cloud condensation nuclei. Therefore, the result of the conversion is capped to 100 %. Some of
- the observations, after such post-processing, are shown Fig- $_{230}$ ure 3.

The elevation of the instruments above the surface has changed with time due to snow accumulation and ablation. The profile initially ranged from 87 to 696 cm. The instru-

- ¹⁸⁵ ments were raised back to original height each summer, ²³⁵ when access was possible. No information on local temporal variations is available before 2013 and the deployement of a Campbell SR50A acoustic depth gauge (ADG). A small stakes network (9 stakes over $\sim 200 \,\mathrm{m}$) was de-
- ployed in early 2011 but this is surveyed in summer only. A 240 basic automatic weather station (AWS, single level temperature, moisture and wind) equipped with an ADG is running about 500 m away. The AWS location is too remote from the mast for the snow height data to be confidently used to
- ¹⁹⁵ correct for changes in the elevation of the mast instruments ²⁴⁵ above the surface. Indeed, the GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA observations reveal very strong variability of accumulation at sub-kilometer scale in the area (Agosta et al., 2012), clearly related to the distribution of blowing snow by the wind.
- Nethertheless we used this data to compare results from a ₂₅₀ snow pack model in term of variability (Sect. 4); we checked that the way the data are used here is not strongly affected by sub-annual changes of the elevation of the instruments above the surface. Such changes are thus neglected and the initial,
- annually readjusted instruments heights are used.
 Data at standard levels (2 m for temperature and moisture, 10 m for wind) are necessary to compare with other sources of meteorological information (Sect. 2.2) and to force a snow model (Sect. 2.3). Reports from the 3rd mast level (256 cm)
 are used as proxy for 2-m meteorology. Because of instru-260
- mental uncertainties, and the fact that wind and turbulent mixing are often strong, this is considered an acceptable approximation to 2 m for our purpose. The 10-m wind is extrapolated using log-profile approximation :

²¹⁵
$$V_{10} = V_h \frac{\log(10/z_0)}{\log(h/z_0)}$$
 (1)

where V_h is wind speed h meters above surface (10 m for standard level, mast level for observation) and z_0 is the sur-

face roughness. This is an acceptable approximation for our purpose, since the boundary layer is under near neutral condition, most of the time (Sect. 5). Using $z_0 = 0.25$ cm, the 10-m wind would be very similar if extrapolated from the 4th or higher mast levels. Further discussion of this estimation for z_0 is provided in Sect. 4. Here the 5th level (4.8 m) wind, rather than the top one (~ 7 m), is extrapolated because of significant gaps in the record from the latter.

2.2 Meteorological analysis data

The ECMWF (European Center for Medium-range Weather Forecasts) operational meteorological analyzes (ECMWF, $(1989)^2$ compare well with the observation for temperature and reasonably for wind (Fig. 3). The meteorological analyzes are the results of the assimilation of in situ and satellite observation into a meteorological model. The daily radiosounding at Dumont d'Urville station, and reports from 2 Antarctic Meteorological Research Center (AMRC) AWSs³ within less than 100 km of D17, are both transmitted to the global telecommunication system and thus in principle available in time for operational analysis at ECMWF. This probably contributes to the good agreement with observation. On the other hand, atmospheric moisture is underestimated, suggesting that it is not properly assimilated. Persistent large discrepancies between the model and the observations may result in the rejection of the latter in the analysis process.

The operational analyzes are used here, rather than reanalyzes, because horizontal resolution is finer ($\sim 70 \, \text{km}$ for ERA-interim versus ~ 16 km for Operational analysis since 2010). Near the coast, resolution is an important issue with respect to contamination by the ocean surface: grid points that "see" the ocean, particularly when it is free of seaice, are likely affected by larger heat and moisture exchange than grid points located inland. Also, the katabatic winds do not persist over the ocean and may thus be underestimated. The meteorological analyzes from the grid point nearest to D17 on the model's T512 reduced Gauss grid, the surface of which is 100 % continental ice (no ocean), are used here. The grid point is centered within less than $20 \,\mathrm{km}$ of the real D17, model surface elevation being 540 m, close to that of D17. The ECMWF analyzes are used in Sect. 4, as surface atmospheric boundary conditions for a snow-pack model described in Sect. 2.2. The snow-pack model needs input of near-surface temperature, moisture and wind but also precipitation, radiation and cloudiness. For the first group, observational data are used alternatively with meteorological analyzes. For the second group, (comprehensive observational data sets are not available) only meteorological analysis are used. It may be important to note that cloudiness is really analysed whereas precipitation and radiation are not, they are

265

²http://data-portal.ecmwf.int/

³http://amrc.ssec.wisc.edu/

in fact forecast by the ECMWF model initialized by the ana-315 lyzes.

2.3 Snow-pack model

- 270 The Crocus snow-pack model (Brun et al., 1989, 1992) was 320 initially developed to simulate Alpine seasonal snow and assist in avalanche risk evaluation. Crocus has also been used in various studies outside the originally planned domain of application, including and in particular to simulate polar snow
- over ice sheets (Dang et al., 1997; Genthon et al., 2001, 325 2007). Crocus is a horizontally one-dimensional, vertically multi-layered physical model of the snow cover. It explicitly calculates the surface snow height, mass and energy budgets at hourly steps, including turbulent heat and moisture sur-
- face exchanges with the atmosphere and outgoing radiation, 330 and the internal balance of mass and energy. There are up to 50 subsurface layers through which mass and energy are exchanged to account for physical processes such as heat diffusion, radiation transfer or liquid water percolation. Phase
- changes are taken into account and snow densification and 335 metamorphism are parameterized, affecting mass and energy transfer and changing surface albedo.

3 Atmospheric moisture in relation to blowing snow, ³⁴⁰ observations and models

An analysis of the data in terms of the relationship between atmospheric moisture and occurrence of blowing snow is made in the present section.

3.1 Relationship between atmospheric moisture and occurrence of blowing snow in the observations

- Figure 4 shows the 2011-2012 records of observed relative ${}_{350}$ humidity with respect to ice (RH_{wri}) at the lower (0.87 m) and upper (6.96 m) levels on the mast. A 10-day running average is used to smooth out the shorter-term variability including diurnal and synoptic effects. All along the 2 years observations, relative humidity is very high in the range about ${}_{355}$
- $RH_{wri} \sim 70\%$, and 10% larger when measurements are performed close to the ground surface. A zoom on a summer episode and a winter episode is shown on Fig. 3. Very low RH values below $RH_{wri} = 30\%$ do occur, that one would
- expect to be related to katabatic winds, that is to be relatively dry in terms of RH, due to adiabatic warming as pressure in- 360 creases downslope. Observations show that RH values close to or at saturation occur frequently as well, which is not a direct effect of katabatic process. We presently analyse the
- effect of blowing snow on such increase of relative humidity. The *FlowCapt* instruments on the D17 mast allow to sort data according to occurrence of blowing snow. One of the instruments failed and data from this instrument were unavailable over a major portion of the observation campaign. Thus, only

one of the 2 instruments, the one near the surface, is used to evaluate blowing snow.

Atmospheric moistening by sublimation of blown snow is expected to depend on blown snow quantities. A large blowing snow flux threshold at $300 \,\mathrm{gm^{-2} \, s^{-1}}$ is used here to highlight the saturation effect, however this threshold is only passed 2% of the time.

Figure 5 shows the mean vertical profiles of RH_{wri} when large amounts of blowing snow are detected (flux > $300 \,\mathrm{gm^{-2} \, s^{-1}}$), respectively weaker amounts (flux < $300 \,\mathrm{gm^{-2} \, s^{-1}}$). Large blowing snow quantities and high relative humidity are clearly related, with a mean moisture content very close to saturation. RH_{wri} is strongly reduced when blowing snow is weaker and decreases more significantly with height, as well. This process is consistent with a major source of moisture by surface sublimation when there is no blowing snow. Moistening by the sublimation of the wind blown snow particles results in a vertical profile to be much more homogeneous. A residual gradient may be due to either a contribution of surface sublimation, or vertical gradients of blowing snow and thus of blown snow sublimation.

The present results are consistent with observations at the AMRC AWS at site D10, $\sim 7 \,\mathrm{km}$ downslope from D17, where RH_{wri} is above 90% more than 40% of the time. At D47, $\sim 100 \,\mathrm{km}$ upslope and reputedly one of the windiest places in Adélie Land (Wendler et al., 1993), it is above 90% more than 77% of the time. At Halley on the Brunt ice shelf in west Antarctica, RH_{wri} is reported to increase with wind speed as well (Mann et al., 2000). This is interpreted as the signature of the sublimation of blowing snow when the wind is strong enough to lift snow from the surface. In their study, relative humidity is shown to decrease along the vertical profile above the surface (between $z = 1.5 \,\mathrm{m}$ and $z = 11 \,\mathrm{m}$), and the vertical gradient reduces when the wind is stronger, consistently with observations at D17 (Fig. 5). The present results are qualitatively consistent as well with observations performed in southern Wyoming (continental USA) during nocturnal blizzard at 70 cm above the snow surface (Schmidt, 1982). They report events of blowing snow flux from 90 to $400 \,\mathrm{gm^{-2} s^{-1}}$ and RH_{wri} ranging from 80 to 88 %, and consider these are relatively high values of relative humidity that they attribute to sublimation of blowing snow. Differences in saturation level with the present study may be related to a shorter wind fetch and thus a weaker development of the blowing snow layer.

3.2 Relationship between atmospheric moisture and occurrence of blowing snow in atmospheric model

The atmospheric model used to produce ECMWF analyses ignores blowing snow and its moistening effect. This is likely the reason why relative humidity is underestimated and frequent saturation is not reproduced. Most meteorological and climate models ignore blowing snow, and are thus likely to similarly underestimate atmospheric moisture on the Antarctic slopes. Comparing simulations with a same meteorological model running without and with a parameterization for 420

- blowing snow including blown snow sublimation, Lenaerts et al. (2012a) report a significant increase of RH_{wri} at the coast of Queen Maud Land in better agreement with the observations in the latter run. The occurrence of blowing snow and the blown-snow quantity depend on various snow and 425
- atmosphere parameters (Gallée et al., 2013), including obviously wind speed. For models that do not parametrize blowing snow, the most straightforward proxy for blowing snow occurrence is probably wind speed. Figure 6 shows the distributions of RH_{wri} values for wind speed above or below 430
- ³⁸⁰ 12 m s^{-1} , an arbitrary blowing snow proxy threshold, and for all values of wind. The distribution is plotted for the observations and the ECMWF analyzes at D17, and for two climate models in the CMIP5 archive (Climate Model Intercomparison Project 5⁴). Their continental grid point closest to D17 is ⁴³⁵ used.

The data are sorted in 10% wide RH bins, from 0-10 to 100-110%, frequencies in the latter bin obviously being 0. A strong maximum of the distribution in the 90-100% RH_{wri} bin shows that conditions close to saturation occur frequently 440

- in the observations. The distribution shows lower frequency in the range 70-80 % for weaker winds, with still significant contributions in the 90-100 % bin. All models and analyzes are consistently dryer than the observations. None of the models or analyzes reproduce a distribution with large counts 445
- in the high RH bins as observed. ECMWF and CanAM4 tend to produce slightly higher, rather than lower, values of RH when the wind is weaker, possibly a signature of the relative dryness of the stronger katabatic winds. MRI-GCM3 is consistently too dry. All models thus lack a source of at-
- ⁴⁰⁰ mospheric moistening, and they fail to show a definite increase of atmospheric moisture with wind speed as observed. Among the possible interpretation is the fact that none of the ⁴⁵⁰ models account for occurrence and evaporation of blowing snow.

405 **3.3 Relationship between atmospheric moisture and** wind speed 455

Even the dry values in the ECMWF analyses may be surprising considering that, although the moisture holding capacity of the katabatic air increases through adiabatic compression,
the flow is a very turbulent one over an infinite source of potential sublimation at the surface. A number of AMRC AWSs report atmospheric moisture. AWSs D10, Gill and Bonaparte Point do. D10 is only ~7 km from D17, in a very similar environment although closer to the coast and the ocean.

⁴¹⁵ This is a proxy for D17 in the following intercomparison of data from AMRC AWSs. Station Gill $(178.59^{\circ} \text{ W}, 79.93^{\circ} \text{ S})_{465}$ is located on the Ross ice shelf. The mean temperature is lower by about 10° C , and the mean wind is about 1/3 of

that at D10. Bonaparte Point (64.07° W, 64.78° S) is the only AMRC AWS at a latitude close to that of D17 besides D10. It stands on an island on the western side of the Antarctic Peninsula. Temperature is about 10 °C higher, and the mean wind speed is about half that of D10. The 3 stations are near sea-level. Only D10 is exposed to strong katabatic flow. Figure 7 shows the distributions of RH_{wri} for wind speed above or below 8 m s^{-1} . The threshold wind is less than for Fig. 6 because the height of the wind sensor on the AMRC AWSs, although not well known due to snow accumulation between visits, is always significantly less than 10 m. A lower wind threshold is thus a very approximate correction for a lower sensor height.

The counteracting effects of the katabatic wind comes out for D10, similarly to D17 (Fig. 6), with a clear bimodal distribution of RH_{wri} . At Gill, moisture is much more consistently high, with virtually no sensitivity to wind speed. This indicates that blowing snow, if any, does not affect air moisture, which is anyway close to saturation because of surface sublimation and no katabatic drying. Sensitivity to wind speed is also very low at Bonaparte Point, and a broad distribution suggests that moisture is added to the air by a combination of surface sublimation and synoptic advection. The observations in Adélie Land (D10) are the only ones consistent with a major impact of blowing snow: values are high when the wind is strong and blowing snow occurs; they are lower with weaker winds, when less or no blowing snow occurs and the katabatic drying effect takes over.

4 Snow-pack modelling

In this section, the snow-pack model Crocus (Sect. 2.3) is used with a parameterization of surface snow erosion. Crocus requires 2-m atmospheric temperature and relative humidity, 10-m wind speed, precipitation quantity and phase, downwelling solar and thermal radiation, and cloud cover. This is all available from the ECMWF analyzes and short term forecasts as described in Sect. 2.2, but only partially from the observations. First, some parameters of the model, the surface snow erosion parameterization and input atmospheric fields have been adapted to Antarctic snow and conditions. Then, Crocus is alternately run with full input meteorology from ECMWF analyses, as in Genthon et al. (2007), or from a combination of the D17 mast observations and, where and when missing or not available, the ECMWF analyses. The input meteorology is interpolated to the required hourly time step from the 6-hour analyses, or sampled from the 30' observations.

4.1 Method : Model Adaptation for Antarctic snow and blowing snow parameterization

Various aspects of the Antarctic snow-pack significantly differ from that of Alpine snow. Previous works (Genthon et al.

⁴http://cmip-pcmdi.llnl.gov/cmip5/

(2007) for a comprehensive description) adapted the parameterizations for the roughness and albedo of surface snow,

- and snow density at deposition. A parameterization for snow erosion by wind was developed and implemented by Gen-₅₂₅ thon et al. (2007) to simulate accumulation and ablation on a stretch of blue ice at the coast of Adélie Land. Yet, be-
- 475 cause Crocus is a one dimensional model, it cannot explicitly handle the horizontal transport and exchange of blown snow. Over the blue ice, due to the proximity of the ocean, 530 blown snow was assumed to be fully exported. At D17, a large net contribution from snow blown upstream is param-
- 480 eterized. Along with other atmospheric surface parameters, air moisture is prescribed. Thus, the model has no explicit (and no need for) parameterization for the sublimation of air-535 borne snow. Observations reported in Sect. 3 show that blowing snow sublimation increases atmospheric moisture, often
- to saturation level. The feedback on surface sublimation is taken into account in the model when the observed meteorology is used as input.

Here, the same parameters as in Genthon et al. (2007) over ⁵⁴⁰ blue ice are used except for the following :

- Consistently with the evaluation of the 10-m wind from 490 mast observation (Sect. 2.1), a roughness length $z_0 =$ $0.25\,\mathrm{cm}$ is used in the calculations of the friction ve- ⁵⁴⁵ locity u_{\star} for bulk heat and moisture turbulent exchange at the surface and for the parameterization of snow erosion. This is significantly larger than over blue ice 495 $(0.016 \,\mathrm{cm})$ in Genthon et al. (2007) because snow dunes and sastrugi increase roughness, and also possibly be-550 cause of more significant topography (glacier through) upstream. Although z_0 has been suggested to increase with friction velocity (Bintanja and Broeke, 1995), this 500 results was challenged (Andreas, 2011). The value of z_0 is kept constant here.

- The short term forecasts of precipitation are amplified by a factor 1.2. No such multiplication factor was found necessary over blue ice. A precipitation formation (con-505 densation) increase of such amplitude, from the coast to D17 upslope only $\sim 10\,\mathrm{km}$ in distance and $\sim 400\,\mathrm{m}_{\,560}$ in elevation, is not likely. In Genthon et al. (2007), observations of the accumulation and ablation on blue ice were taken from a stake network which was surveyed 510 less than 10 times a year and only 2 to 4 times in winter. Here an ADG provides a continuous high-resolution 565 record of accumulation / ablation which, although of limited spatial significance, yields an accurate local estimate of snow height increase during events having time 515 scales of snow fall. The multiplication factor is necessary to, on average, account for the observed amplitude 570 of those events (Fig. 8). There are no in situ observations of precipitation to directly evaluate ECMWF in Antarctica. Palerme et al. (2014) report good agreement 520 between ECMWF ERA-I reanalyzes and annual mean

snow fall estimated from satellite, but not with the spatial resolution required for an assessment at the scales considered here.

Agosta et al. (2012) show a 5 to 25 % underestimation of precipitation minus surface sublimation in ERA-I reanalyzes compared to the GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA stakes observations of SMB averaged at the spatial resolution of the analyzes. On the other hand, the spatial variability within a model grid-box, at km scale, can be large. Over the 10 GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA stakes within 5 km of D17, the relative SMB variance is ~ 30 %. The strong katabatic winds transport and redistribute snow and can locally concentrate deposition, whether this is snow eroded from the surface or fresh snow fall. A significant yet local multiplication factor for snow fall is thus not inappropriate : The factor 1.2 is used to amplify the ECMWF short term forecasts of precipitation.

- On blue ice, the eroded snow was fully lost by the surface, either by sublimation or by export to the ocean right next the blue ice field. At D17, 11 % of the parameterized erosion only results in a net local loss, as some of the snow originating upstream feeds the local snow pack. This is an adjusted parameter in the model to produce rates of snow pack reduction during ablation periods which on average agree with observations (Fig. 8). A long snow pack reduction period in the first part of 2011 is overestimated though. On the other hand, shorter periods e.g. at the end of 2011 and beginning of 2012, agree well. Again, one has to keep in mind that the ADG data are very local observations, and may not have sufficient spatial significance to expect a consistent agreement. Also, uncertainties with the other components of the snow pack balance contribute to some disagreement.

4.2 Results

Crocus is alternately run with full input meteorology from ECMWF analyses or from a combination of the D17 mast observations and the ECMWF analyses. Figure 8 displays the observations and simulations of snow pack height variations at D17. The reference snow pack is that of the 1st January 2011, about when the D17 9-stakes network was deployed (green circles). Observation and model series are adjusted to this reference on the y axis. The GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA data confirm that the mean annual accumulation is positive at D17 (Agosta et al., 2012). The green squares in Fig. 8 show the measured snow accumulation at the GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA stake near D17 having the mean accumulation closest to that reported by the ADG (blue curve). This allows to extend stake information one year back in time, from the 9stake network at D17, showing significantly more accumulation in 2010 than in 2011 or 2012. In fact, the mean 2010 accumulation along the GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA stakes system was the highest on record.

- The ADG also reports larger accumulation in 2010 than in 2011 and 2012, although not quite as larger as the stake suggests. Both informations have very limited spatial significance though, and thus cannot be expected to fully compare due to small-scale spatial noise in accumulation (Gen-
- thon et al., 2005). A Crocus simulation using meteorological ₆₂₅ boundary conditions purely from the ECMWF analyses and short-term forecasts (red dashed curve) misses the stronger accumulation in 2010. On the other hand, a simulation using the observed meteorology as available (Sect. 2.1) com-
- plemented with ECMWF data when missing in the observa-630 tion (Sect. 4.1) reproduces the 2011-2012 mean accumulation and yields more accumulation in 2010 than in 2011 and 2012. Using the observed meteorology, rather than the analyzed, thus makes a difference. Sensitivity tests (not shown)
 swapping observed and ECMWF components of meteorol-635
- ogy show that differences in the wind on the one hand, and of the temperature and relative humidity (together) on the other hand, equally contribute (about 50 % each) to the differences in the model results.
- ⁵⁹⁵ One expects surface sublimation to differ when atmo-⁶⁴⁰ spheric moisture saturation differs. In particular, no sublimation can occur if the atmosphere is saturated. In fact, in that case, in a katabatic flow, inverse sublimation (direct solid condensation of atmospheric moisture) may even be ex-
- pected. Indeed, because the near-surface air is warmer than 645
 the snow surface due to compression, the near-surface relative humidity is greater than that of the overlying air. The mean simulated surface latent heat flux, and conversion in water equivalent, are given in Table 1 for four simulations
 that combine observed and analyzed meteorology differently.
- Differences between observed (S2) and analyzed wind (S3)⁶⁵⁰ have a small impact on sublimation. Thus, the high sensitivity of the snow pack model to small differences in wind (Fig. 3) are due to the high sensitivity of blowing snow erosion to
- wind. On the other hand, and not unexpectedly, differences in atmospheric moisture make up for most of the difference in surface sublimation. Using observed rather than analyzed 655 moisture cuts sublimation by almost 50 %.

5 Bulk and profile moisture flux calculations

⁶¹⁵ In this section, the moisture turbulent fluxes calculed by the ₆₆₀ snow-pack model are compared to fluxes calculated with the profile method. Then the impact of measurement uncertainties on flux calculations is discussed.

5.1 Method

⁶²⁰ Turbulent surface fluxes are computed in the Crocus model ⁶⁶⁵ (Table 1) using a bulk formulation, (Martin and Lejeune,

1998):

$$SHF = \rho c_p C u_a (T_a - T_s) \tag{2}$$

$$LHF = L_s \rho \frac{M_v}{M_a} C u_a (q_a - q_s) \tag{3}$$

 ρ is the air density, c_p the specific heat of air, L_s the ice latent heat of sublimation, $\frac{M_v}{M_a}$ is the ration of water vapor and dry air molecular weight. C is a turbulent transfer coefficient depending on surface roughness z_0 and on the stability of the surface boundary layer through a bulk richardson number (Martin and Lejeune, 1998). u_a , T_a , q_a are the forced atmosperic wind speed, temperature and specific humidity. The temperature T_s is calculated closing the surface energy balance (Brun et al., 1989). The atmospheric moisture at the surface q_s is assumed to be that of air saturation at the temperature of the snow surface T_s .

For u_a , T_a and q_a : the third level of the mast is used. The mast provides several observation levels, allowing an alternative and independent evaluation of the turbulent fluxes using the profile method.

The profile method is a largely used method for turbulent fluxes estimation using standard meteorological measurements at 2 levels. It is based on the 'flux-gradient' relationship of the Monin-Obukhov similarity theory for the atmospheric surface layer (Monin and Obukhov, 1954).

Berkowicz and Prahm (1982) outlined the procedure for the estimations of the sensible heat and the momentum fluxes, SHF and τ , it is adapted here for the latent heat flux LHF (Equation 5). In the present study, heat fluxes towards the snow surface are counted positive.

$$SHF = \rho c_p u_\star \theta_\star \tag{4}$$

$$LHF = \rho L_s u_\star q_\star \tag{5}$$

$$\tau = \rho u_{\star}^2 \tag{6}$$

where u_* , q_* and θ_* are characteristic scales of wind, specific humidity and potential temperature. They are computed from the measured gradients of wind speed, temperature and specific humidity, between levels z_2 and z_1 , solving iteratively the following set of equations :

$$u_2 - u_1 = \frac{u_{\star}}{\kappa} \left[\ln(z_2/z_1) - \psi_m(z_2/L) + \psi_m(z_1/L) \right]$$
(7)

$$\theta_2 - \theta_1 = \frac{\theta_{\star}}{\kappa} [\ln(z_2/z_1) - \psi_h(z_2/L) + \psi_h(z_1/L)]$$
(8)

$$q_2 - q_1 = \frac{q_{\star}}{\kappa} [\ln(z_2/z_1) - \psi_h(z_2/L) + \psi_h(z_1/L)]$$
(9)

$$L = \frac{u_{\star}^3}{\kappa \frac{g}{T_0} \theta_{\star} u_{\star}} \simeq \frac{\text{Mechanical Production}}{\text{Buoyant Production}} \qquad (10)$$

L is the Monin-Obukhov length. The ψ functions are the stratification corrections to the logarithmic profile (Berkowicz and Prahm, 1982; Andreas, 2002). We make the usual assumptions that ψ_h is the same for both temperature and humidity. In case of moist air, to account for the weight of 670

water vapor, the potential temperature is replaced by the virtual potential temperature in the buoancy term of the Monin Obukhov length. In our case, to a first-order, the air is approximately dry $q \sim 0.6 \text{g kg}^{-1} \Rightarrow \theta_v = (1+0.61q)\theta \sim (1+725) 0.37 \, 10^{-4} \theta$, so that we assumed $\theta_v \sim \theta$.

The MO theory on which the profile method is based was developed under the assumptions of horizontal homogeneity and stationarity. Both assumptions are questionable in a katabatic flow. In particular, in the MO theory, mechanical and buoyant forces are assumed to act only in the vertical direction, and the turbulent transport is neglected compared to the local mechanical and buoyancy productions. Munro 730 and Davies (1978) raised the point that horizontal buoy-

- ancy gradients are precisely the driving force of a katabatic flow. Coupling between the dynamics and thermodynamics should be taken into account but is not included in MO theory (Grisogono and Oerlemans, 2001). Denby and Greuell 735 (2000) compared fluxes obtained from profile and bulk cal-
- culation with results from a one dimensional second order closure boundary layer model. The model second order prognostic equations account for the turbulent transport terms and the two components of the buoyancy terms, parallel and perpendicular to the sloped surface (Denby, 1999). The model
- proved able to reproduce observed eddy fluxes on 2 high latitude glaciers. With the model as a reference, Denby and Greuell (2000) find a strong underestimation with the profile method, particularly when approaching the wind maximum. 745 They conclude that the profile method should be restricted to
- measurements at heights below 1/3 of the height of the wind maximum. Furthermore, Grisogono et al. (2007) pointed that for slopes larger than 5°, the MO length may be larger than the height of the wind maximum and may thus miss the jet- 750 related turbulence.
- This is not likely in our case. The observed katabatic flow at the coast of Adélie land is generated 1000 km upstream so that when reaching D17, the katabatic layer is thick. Radiosounding at Dumont d'Urville generally report a jet height 755 in the range 50 to 500 m above the surface (Fig. 10a). The
- ⁷⁰⁵ short mast is well below this. The first measurement point height is 2 order of magnitude greater than the roughness length z_0 , itself 2 order of magnitude greater than the viscosity length scale u_{\star}/ν : the flow is turbulent and rough, wind ⁷⁶⁰ profiles are expected to be logarithmic. Wind profiles are log-
- arithmic (Fig. 10b) and fairly consistent with the theoretical predictions of rough turbulent flow theories and in particular the MO theory. On the other hand, the mast shallowness limits the height over which gradients can be estimated, rais- 765 ing the issue of instrumental accuracy beyond blowing snow
 cases.

Factory stated instrumental accuracies are reported in Table 2 and compared with the observed gradients along the mast. Assuming that measurement errors follow a normal 770 distribution, the propagation of the uncertainty to the mois-

⁷²⁰ ture flux estimate using the profile method can be evaluated using a Monte-Carlo method. A set of 200 series based on the records artificially contaminated by measurement uncertainties are produced and the profile method applied. At each time in the record, the spread (standard deviation) of the flux with the 200-series set is used as an estimate of the induced error. The contamination errors for each meteorological variable are randomly drawn from a normal distribution of a given standard deviation.

5.2 Results and discussion

Figure 9 compares for November 2012 the latent heat flux from Crocus (bulk parameterization) and the profile method, the latter using wind, moisture and temperature at 2nd and 5th levels on the mast which are separated by 2.5 m. The 3rd level being used in the Crocus calculations, the bulk and profile evaluations are fully independent in terms of observation data in input. A diurnal cycle shows clearly in the Crocus data : sublimation is positive during the day and often slightly negative (inverse sublimation) at night when the snow surface cools. The profile calculations produce a less definite diurnal cycle and no inverse sublimation. The comparison emphasizes the large scatter of the profileestimated fluxes. The standard deviation is much larger $(60 \,\mathrm{Wm^{-2}})$ than in Crocus results $(22 \,\mathrm{Wm^{-2}})$. Profile fluxes reach $-300 \,\mathrm{Wm^{-2}}$ while the Crocus results range from -180 to $22 \,\mathrm{Wm^{-2}}$. In Fig. 9, occurrences with and without blowing snow are distinguished. A flowcapt threshold of $4 \text{ gm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is used to distinguish blowing from not blowing snow events. This is much lower than the threshold used in Sect. 3 to separate the strongest blowing snow cases. The threshold here allows to characterize a strong impact of even light quantities of blowing snow on flux estimation by the profile method. The agreement between bulk and profile evaluations tends to be better when no blowing snow is detected: both exhibit comparable daily variability and standard deviation (22 and $27 \,\mathrm{Wm^{-2}}$ respectively). One may expect confidence in the profile method to decrease during blowing snow events because the vertical moisture gradients are weaker (Fig. 5), raising instrumental accuracy as a serious issue. The fact that the profile fluxes particularly diverge when blowing snow is detected may indicate such a difficulty.

Sensitivity experiments with several assumptions on measurement errors have been performed for November 2012. The results are summarized in Fig. 11. A relative humidity error of 2.5% induces a standard deviation of \pm 50 W m⁻² on the latent heat flux, up to \pm 80 W m⁻² in case of strong winds. For a temperature error of 0.35 °C, the standard deviation on latent heat fluxes averages \pm 80 W m⁻², often exceeding \pm 200 W m⁻². Because the observed temperature gradients are very small, measurement uncertainties induce comparatively large flux uncertainties. Figure 11 shows that humidity and temperature measurement uncertainties have

the largest direct repercussions on latent heat flux computations. 830

The uncertainties due to the different types of meteorological measurements are not easily comparable. We choose to set, on the x-axis, the input errors for temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and sensors height as multiples of

- ⁷⁸⁰ a reference uncertainty for the corresponding variable. For ⁸³⁵ meteorological variables, the factory stated accuracy is taken for the reference uncertainty. The factory stated accuracies depend on the values of the measured quantities : temperature, wind and relative humidity. We choose the mean over the studied period (Table 2). Because variations of sensor⁸⁴⁰
- height are not measured in November 2012, an estimate of the height of fresh snow which could have accumulated until the next measure in December is made. Taking an average snowfall of 30 mm water equivalent per month in the
- area (Palerme et al., 2014), we estimate a height of snow ap-845
 proaching 10 cm. This is a debatable choice for the reference
 uncertainty of the sensor height but the fact that the impact
 of height errors are weak compared to those of temperature,
 wind velocity and humidity errors is way beyond this uncer tainty.

A relative humidity error of 2.5 % induces a standard deviation of \pm 50 W m⁻² on the latent heat flux, up to \pm 80 W m⁻² in case of strong winds. For a temperature error of 0.35 °C, the standard deviation on latent heat fluxes averages

 \pm 80 W m⁻², often exceeding \pm 200 W m⁻². Because the 855 observed temperature gradients are very small, measurement uncertainties induce comparatively large flux uncertainties. Figure 11 shows that humidity and temperature measurement uncertainties have the largest direct repercussions on latent heat flux computations.

The uncertainty propagation is amplified as the wind gets stronger, as illustrated in Fig. 12. This is primarily because fluxes are computed proportional to the wind scale ⁸⁶⁰ u_{\star} (Equation 5). Secondly, strong mixing and blowing snow during strong winds induce a decrease in the temperature and humidity gradients, so that measurement uncertainties become important compare to gradients, leading to an heightened uncertainty propagation. This is supported by ⁸⁶⁵ Fig. 12a and b which show that the propagated uncertainties are amplified with wind velocity or decreasing temperature

gradients.

820

825

In addition, strong wind episodes generally go along with an increase of relative humidity (Fig. 5). When approaching $_{870}$ 100 % of relative humidity, accuracy of the Humicap sensor deteriorates (± 2 % to ± 3 %).

This study demonstrates a strong sensitivity of the profile method to measurement errors. Particularly in case of 875 small gradients in conjunction with strong winds. Special attention has to be devoted to temperature measurements. In Fig. 9 discrepancies between the latent heat fluxes, calculated with the 2 methods, about the 7th and the 23rd of November may be explained by enhanced uncertainties permitted 880 by the strong wind episode (Fig. 10a). Nonetheless, discriminating the part of uncertainty propagation due to strong wind and that of computation inaccuracies due to the presence of blowing snow is not straightforward. The Crocus model uses both a bulk method which is essentially an integrated form of profile method, and surface energy budget closure to compute the surface temperature. As such, the Crocus calculations are less prone to measurement error amplifications and then more reliable in the present working conditions.

Finally, one more issue should be raised here with respect to the profile method calculations in case of blowing snow : the direct impact of airborne snow on vertical gradients of air density on the evaluation of the MO length. Snow evaporates, which cools the air, increases its density, and affect density gradients depending on blown snow concentration gradients: this is the temperature effect which is accounted for because the temperatures are measured. Density gradients are also affected because ice is denser than the air: the weight of an air parcel is the sum of that of the air and of the ice within the parcel. As the concentration of blown snow decreases with height, this has a stabilizing effect (Kodama et al., 1985; Gosink, 1989) and decreases the MO length. In that case, in the profile calculations, one should directly consider the density (including the blown snow effect), rather than the potential temperature. Uncertainties on blown snow concentration measurements (Sect. 2.1) are too large to expect for a reasonable estimation of the density gradient, consequently this particular point is not addressed here.

6 Discussion and conclusion

Stearns and Weidner (1993) report calculated latent heat flux for several AMRC AWSs, using the station recorded temperature, moisture and wind and bulk parameterization. The results range from close to 0 or even inverse sublimation (water deposition, positive heat flux for the surface), to - $21 \,\mathrm{Wm^{-2}}$, generally significantly less in absolute value than found here for D17 if the ECMWF meteorology is used. However, Stearns and Weidner (1993) results are for sites on the Ross ice shelf, none of which as directly exposed to katabatic winds as D17. A limited survey of published evaluations of monthly or seasonal observed latent heat flux in Antarctica is provided by van den Broeke (1997). The numbers again range from virtually 0 to $-22 \,\mathrm{Wm^{-2}}$, and again in better agreement with results in Table 1 if the observed rather than the analyzed meteorology is used. Quoting Genthon et al. (2007), who present observed and modeled time series of surface snow and ice balance over a coastal blue ice field in Adélie Land near D17, " sublimation [...] accounts for 38 cm [...] possibly overestimated due to missing sublimation of blown snow and saturation effect [in the model used] ". This is over 2-years in 2004-2005. Considering differences between the blue ice field and snow covered D17 site, including in particular differences in albedo (bare ice has a much lower albedo than snow), this is consistent with the numbers in Table 1. The words of caution about atmospheric moisture saturation prove appropriate.

The observations at D17 do confirm a strong saturation ef-

- fect of blowing snow in the near-surface atmosphere. This is 935 885 because the floated snow is efficiently ventilated and sublimation takes place in the full air layer. Snow particles that remain at the surface are much less well ventilated and subject to sublimation. If the ECMWF analyses provide a good 940
- estimate of the surface air moisture content if there was no blowing snow, surface sublimation would be much increased but would still only remove 40 to 50 cm of water over 3 years. This is estimated using a bulk parameterization of surface sublimation in the Crocus snow-pack model. Although 945
- profiles of meteorology including atmospheric moisture are 895 available, this cannot be confidently used for the evaluation of turbulent moisture flux and sublimation because the profile method is not strongly grounded in katabatic conditions, $_{_{950}}$ including and in the presence of blowing snow. In practice,
- it is highly sensible to measurement inaccuracies. In agree-900 ment with Denby and Greuell (2000), one can recommend using the bulk parameterization in such conditions. The issue of measuring surface temperature is avoided here, as this 955 is calculated by the Crocus model by closing the energy bal-
- ance equation. 905
 - The simulated/observed net snow accumulation is \sim 180 cm over 3 years. According to the model, which continuously calculates snow density in fair agreement with the sporadic in situ measurements near the surface, this con-
- verts into 93 cm of water equivalent (from the model run 910 combining ECMWF and observed meteorology). The cumulated precipitation (accounting for the multiplication factor 965 used in the model) amounts to 2 m. Thus, more than half of the snow fall, more than one meter water equivalent, is lost
- through either surface sublimation, or erosion and export (either solid or as evaporated moisture). The GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA data do show that the SMB increases from the coast⁹⁷⁰ to $\sim 30 \,\mathrm{km}$ inland (Agosta et al., 2012). This is not because condensation and snow fall largely increases over such short
- distance, but rather because surface sublimation and blowing 920 snow (including sublimation) remove a large part of the de-975 posited snow, in a way that varies with wind speed and other near-surface meteorological variables.
- Acknowledgements. This work was supported by funding by the ICE2SEA program from the European Union 7 frame-925 work program, grant number 226375. This paper is ICE2SEA contribution number 179. Additional support by INSU through 985 the LEFE/CLAPA project and OSUG through the CENA-CLAM/GLACIOLCIM observatory is also acknowledged. Field observations would not have been possible without the logistical 930
- support and additional funding by the French polar institute IPEV (program CALVA/1013). 990

References

references

980

- Agosta, C., Favier, V., Genthon, C., Gallée, H., Krinner, G., Lenaerts, J. T., and van den Broeke, M. R.: A 40-year accumulation dataset for Adelie Land, Antarctica and its application for model validation, Climate dynamics, 38, 75-86, 2012.
- Anderson, P. S.: Reply, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 13, 913-914, 1996.
- Andreas, E.: The Fallacy of Drifting Snow, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 141, 333-347, 2011.
- Andreas, E. L.: Parameterizing Scalar Transfer over Snow and Ice: A Review, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 3, 417–432, 2002.
- Berkowicz, R. and Prahm, L.: Evaluation of the profile method for estimation of surface fluxes of momentum and heat, Atmospheric Environment (1967), 16, 2809 - 2819, 1982.
- Bintanja, R.: Snowdrift sublimation in a katabatic wind region of the Antarctic ice sheet, Journal of Applied Meteorology, 40, 1952-1966, 2001.
- Bintanja, R. and Broeke, M.: Momentum and scalar transfer coefficients over aerodynamically smooth antarctic surfaces, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 74, 89-111, 1995.
- Brun, E., Martin, E., Simon, V., Gendre, C., and Coleou, C.: An energy and mass model of snow cover suitable for operational avalanche forecasting, Journal of Glaciology, 35, 333-342, 1989.
- Brun, E., David, P., Sudul, M., and Brunot, G.: A numerical model to simulate snow-cover stratigraphy for operational avalanche forecasting., Journal of Glaciology, 38, 13-22, 1992.
- Cierco, F.-X., Naaim-Bouvet, F., and Bellot, H.: Acoustic sensors for snowdrift measurements: How should they be used for research purposes?, Cold Regions Science and Technology, 49, 74 - 87, selected Papers from the General Assembly of the European Geosciences Union (EGU), Vienna, Austria, 25 April 2005, 2007.
- Dang, H., Genthon, C., and Martin, E.: Numerical modelling of snow cover over polar ice sheets, Annals of Glaciology, 25, 170-176, 1997.
- Das, I., Bell, R. E., Scambos, T. A., Wolovick, M., Creyts, T. T., Studinger, M., Frearson, N., Nicolas, J. P., Lenaerts, J. T. M., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Influence of persistent wind scour on the surface mass balance of Antarctica, Nature Geosci, 6, 367-371, 2013.
- Denby, B.: Second-Order Modelling of Turbulence in Katabatic Flows, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 92, 65-98, 1999.
- Denby, B. and Greuell, W.: The use of bulk and profile methods for determining surface heat fluxes in the presence of glacier winds, Journal of Glaciology, 46, 445-452, 2000.
- Déry, S. J. and Yau, M. K.: Large-scale mass balance effects of blowing snow and surface sublimation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107, 8.1-8.17, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2001JD00125110.1029/2001JD001251, 2002.
- ECMWF: Physical Parametrization, ECMWF Forecast Model, Research Manual RM-3, 3rd ed., Tech. rep., ECMWF, http:// data-portal.ecmwf.int/*, 1989.
- Frezzotti, M., Pourchet, M., Flora, O., Gandolfi, S., Gay, M., Urbini, S., Vincent, C., Becagli, S., Gragnani, R., Proposito, M., Severi, M., T., R., Udisti, R., and Fily, M.: New Estimations of Precipitation and Surface Sublimation in East Antarctica from Snow

Accumulation Measurements, Cimate Dynamics, 23, 803–813,1050 2004.

Gallée, H., Trouvilliez, A., Agosta, C., Genthon, C., Favier, V., and Naaim-Bouvet, F.: Transport of Snow by the Wind: A Compari-

son Between Observations in Adélie Land, Antarctica, and Simulations Made with the Regional Climate Model MAR, Boundary-1055 Layer Meteorology, 146, 133–147, 2013.

995

Gallée, H., Peyaud, V., and Goodwin, I.: Simulation of the net snow accumulation along the Wilkes Land transect, Antarctica, with a regional climate model, Annals of Glaciology, 41, 17–22, 2005.

 regional climate model, Annals of Glaciology, 41, 17–22, 2005.
 Genthon, C., Fily, M., and Martin, E.: Numerical simulations offoco Greenland snowpack and comparison with passive microwave spectral signatures, Annals of Glaciology, 32, 109–115, 2001.

 Genthon, C., Kaspari, S., and Mayewski, P.: Interannual variability
 of the surface mass balance of West Antarctica from ITASE cores and ERA40 reanalyses, 1958–2000, Climate Dynamics, 24, 759–1065 770, 2005.

Genthon, C., Lardeux, P., and Krinner, G.: The surface accumulation and ablation of a coastal blue-ice area near Cap Prudhomme,
 Terre Adélie, Antarctica, Journal of Glaciology, 53, 635–645, 2007.

Genthon, C., Six, D., Favier, V., Lazzara, M., and Keller, L.: Atmospheric Temperature Measurement Biases on the Antarctic Plateau, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 28, 1598–1605, 2011.

Genthon, C., Six, D., Gallée, H., Grigioni, P., and Pellegrini, A.: Two years of atmospheric boundary layer ob-1075 servations on a 45-m tower at Dome C on the Antarctic plateau, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.5012810.1002/jgrd.50128,
2013.

Goff, J. A. and Gratch, S.: Thermodynamics properties of moist air,1080 Transactions of the American Society of Heating and Ventilating Engineers, 51, 125–157, 1945.

Gosink, J.: The extension of a density current model of katabatic winds to include the effects of blowing snow and sublimation,

Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 49, 367–394, 1989. 1085 Grisogono, B. and Oerlemans, J.: A theory for the estimation of surface fluxes in simple katabatic flows, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 127, 2725–2739, 2001.

Grisogono, B., Kraljević, L., and Jeričević, A.: The low-level katabatic jet height versus Monin–Obukhov height, Quarterly Journahoso of the Royal Meteorological Society, 133, 2133–2136, 2007.

Kodama, Y., Wendler, G., and Gosink, J.: The effect of blowing snow on katabatic winds in Antarctica, Annals of Glaciology, 6, 59–62, 1985.

Lenaerts, J. T. M., van den Broeke, M. R., Déry, S. J., van¹⁰⁹⁵ Meijgaard, E., van de Berg, W. J., Palm, S. P., and Sanz Rodrigo, J.: Modeling drifting snow in Antarctica with a regional climate model: 1. Methods and model evaluation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 117, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011JD01614510.1029/2011JD016145,

- 2012a.
- Lenaerts, J. T. M., van den Broeke, M. R., van de Berg, W. J., van Meijgaard, E., and Kuipers Munneke, P.:

A new, high-resolution surface mass balance map of Antarctica (1979–2010) based on regional atmospheric climate modeling, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011GL05071310.1029/2011GL050713, 2012b.

- Ligtenberg, S., Lenaerts, J., Van den Broeke, M., and Scambos, T.: On the formation of blue ice on Byrd Glacier, Antarctica, Journal of Glaciology, p. 41–50, 2014.
- Mann, G. W., Anderson, P. S., and Mobbs, S. D.: Profile measurements of blowing snow at Halley, Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105, 24 491–24 508, 2000.
- Martin, E. and Lejeune, Y.: Turbulent fluxes above the snow surface, Annals of Glaciology, 26, 179–183, 1998.

Mawson, D.: The home of the blizzard, a true story of Antarctic survival: The story of the Australian Antarctic expedition 1911-1914, Wakefield Press, Australia, 1915.

Monin, A. and Obukhov, A.: Basic laws of turbulent mixing in the surface layer of the atmosphere, Tr. Akad. Nauk SSSR Geophiz, 24, 163–187, 1954.

Munro, D. and Davies, J.: On fitting the log-linear model to wind speed and temperature profiles over a melting glacier, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 15, 423–437, 1978.

Palerme, C., Kay, J. E., Genthon, C., L'Ecuyer, T., Wood, N. B., and Claud, C.: How much snow falls on the Antarctic ice sheet?, The Cryosphere Discussions, 8, 1279–1304, 2014.

Parish, T. R. and Bromwich, D. H.: Continental-Scale Simulation of the Antarctic Katabatic Wind Regime, J. Climate, 4, 135–146, 1991.

Schmidt, R.: Vertical profiles of wind speed, snow concentration, and humidity in blowing snow, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 23, 223–246, 1982.

Stearns, C. R. and Weidner, G. A.: Sensible and latent heat flux estimates in Antarctica, in Antarctic Meteorology and Climatology, Antarctic Research Series, 61, 109–138, 1993.

Tabler, R.: Estimating the Transport and Evaporation of Blowing Snow, Publication - Great Plains Agricultural Council, University of Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station, 1975.

Trouvilliez, A.: Observation et modélisation de la neige soufflée en Antarctique (in French), Ph.D. thesis, Grenoble University, 2013.

Trouvilliez, A., Naaim, F., Genthon, C., Piard, L., Favier, V., Bellot, H., Agosta, C., Palerme, C., Amory, C., and Gallée, H.: Blowing snow observation in Antarctica: A review including a new observation system in Adélie Land, Cold Regions Science and Technology, submitted.

van den Broeke, M. R.: Spatial and temporal variation of sublimation on Antarctica: Results of a high-resolution general circulation model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102, 29 765–29 777, 1997.

Wendler, G., Andre, J., Pettre, P., Gosink, J., and Parish, T.: Katabatic winds in Adélie Coast, in Antarctic Meteorology and Climatology, Antarctic Research Series, 61, 23–46, 1993.

Wendler, G., Stearns, C., Weidner, G., Dargaud, G., and Parish, T.: On the extraordinary katabatic winds of Adélie Land, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102, 4463–4474, 1997.



Fig. 1. Topography of the area, with the location of the D17 site. Altitude lines are reported in meters. figure

Table 1. Simulated 2010-2012 annual mean latent heat and water equivalent exchange at the surface in 4 Crocus snow-pack model runs using different input atmospheric surface boundary conditions:

S1: Purely ECMWF data

S2: Observed data of temperature, relative humidity and wind, otherwise ECMWF data

S3: Observed data for temperature and relative humidity, otherwise ECMWF data including wind speed

S4: Observed data for wind, otherwise ECMWF data including temperature and moisture.

table

Simulation	${\rm Wm^{-2}}$	cm
S1	-25.7	-31.3
S2	-11.1	-13.4
S3	-13.0	-15.8
S4	-23.9	-29.1



Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the meteorological profiling and blowing snow measurements at D17.



Fig. 3. D17 meteorology, 2-m temperature (T) and relative humidity with respect to ice (RH_{wri}), and 10-m wind, through two 30-day samples in 2011, in austral summer (left) and winter (right). Observations (D17 OB) are in black, ECMWF operational analyses (D17 EC) in red. See text for approximation and extrapolation to 2 and 10 m for the observations.

Table 2. The observed range and gradients (difference between levels 5 and 2 on the mast) of temperature, RH wri and wind speed, and factory stated range of instrumental accuracy.

	Sensor	Observations		Accuracy (+/-)	
		Range	Gradient	Range	Mean
Temperature (°C)	Vaisala HMP45	-20 to -2	-0.04 to 3.9	0.2 to 0.4	0.35
Relative humidity (% wri) Wind speed $(m s^{-1})$	Vaisala HMP45 Vektor A100LK	30 to 100 0 to 30	0 to -18 0 to 4	2 to 3 0.1 to 0.4	2.5 0.2



Fig. 4. Relative humidity with respect to ice (RH_{wri}) at the lower (87 cm) and upper (696 cm) measurement levels. A 10-day running average is used to filter out the faster (diurnal, synoptic) components of variability.



Fig. 5. Profiles of mean 2011-2012 observed relative humidity with respect to ice, when blowing snow occurs to large (blue) and weak or null (red) quantities.



Fig. 6. Frequency distribution of RH wri values, for 10-m wind speed above (red) or below (green) 12 m s^{-1} , or all cases (black), in the D17observations, the ECMWF operational analyses, and simulations by 2 general circulation models from the CMIP5 archive, CanAM4 and MRI-GCM3.

The simulations are of the AMIP type (Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project), that is, the atmospheric component of the climate models is used with prescribed observed monthly sea-surface boundary conditions, but turbulent fluxes on continental surfaces are simulated. Results are shown for two models in the archive for which the 3-hourly AMIP results for both surface wind and for RH_{wri} are available.



Fig. 7. Same as Fig. 6, for 3 AMRC automatic weather stations. A lower wind threshold (8 m s^{-1}) is used because the measurement height is less than 10 m.



Fig. 8. Observed (ADG in blue, GLACIOCLIM-SAMBA and D17 stakes in green) and simulated (Crocus model with ECMWF meteorology in red dashed line, with combined ECMWF and observed meteorology in red solid line) snow-pack height evolution over 2010-2012.



Fig. 9. Surface latent heat flux in November 2012, evaluated from bulk parameterization in the Crocus model (red line) and from the profile method when blowing snow occurs (blue dots) or not (green dots).



Fig. 10. a : Wind velocity profile from radiosounding performed at 8 am (local time) on 6 and 23 November. b. Normalized wind profile on a semi-log plot, from the 7 m mast data at 8 am on 6 and 23 November.



Fig. 11. Uncertainty propagation into the latent heat flux from measurements uncertainties via profile calculations. The mean uncertainties in LHF are represented by symbols. Vertical bars illustrate the spread around the mean (standard deviation). On the x-axis, the measurement uncertainties of temperature (red diamond), relative humidity (blue square), wind speed (green triangle) are reported as multiples of the factory stated accuracies.

For height instruments height (black star), the uncertainty is reported as a multiple of the estimated accumulation during the month ($\sim 10 \text{ cm}$).

Note that a logarithmic scale is used on the y-axis.



Fig. 12. Uncertainty propagation into the latent heat flux from measurements uncertainties via profile calculations.

Figure a : Propagated uncertainties into LHF versus wind speed : Results of a Monte-Carlo experiment starting with an error of \pm 2.5 % for relative humidity (blue square).

Figure b : Propagated uncertainties into LHF versus temperature gradients (difference between level 5 and level 2). Results of a Monte-Carlo experiment starting with an error of $\pm 0.35^{\circ}$ for temperature (red diamond).