

Interactive comment on “Seasonal and annual mass balances of Mera and Pokalde glaciers (Nepal Himalaya) since 2007” by P. Wagnon et al.

B. Raup

braup@nsidc.org

Received and published: 15 August 2013

I realize that it is fairly common in the literature to see mass balance numbers expressed in terms of “m w.e. yr⁻¹”, but this mix of SI units with a discipline-specific abbreviation goes against best practices as outlined by the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The document at <http://www.nist.gov/pml/pubs/sp811/> recommends putting the abbreviation in the text rather than in the units (see section 7.5), such as, “Mera Glacier has been losing mass at a water-equivalent rate of -0.1 m yr⁻¹,” rather than “Mera Glacier has been losing mass at a rate of -0.1 m.w.e. yr⁻¹.” Following such best practices makes our literature more accessible to those coming from other fields.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., 7, 3337, 2013.

TCD

7, C1471–C1472, 2013

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C1472

