The Cryosphere Discuss., 6, C381–C382, 2012 www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/6/C381/2012/ © Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**TCD** 

6, C381-C382, 2012

Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "Monte Carlo ice flow modeling projects a new stable configuration for Columbia Glacier, Alaska, by c. 2020" by W. Colgan et al.

## Dr. Colgan

william.colgan@colorado.edu

Received and published: 24 April 2012

Dear Dr. Truffer – Thank you for taking the time to review our work. I believe we can incorporate all of your comments and suggestions.

I agree that our most significant a priori assumption is limiting the alpha value in the basal sliding parameterization. This stems from the notion that the basal sliding regime of a tidewater glacier is fundamentally different from that of a land-terminating glacier. We can be more explicit in saying that once Columbia Glacier retreats from the over-deepening downstream of km 50, we are assuming it that will behave more akin to a land terminating glacier, as the water depths influencing basal sliding are relatively

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



small ( $\sim$  50 m) in comparison to the pre-retreat water depths influencing Columbia's basal sliding (up to 500 m). I suppose a sensitivity run with a different bound on alpha would be the most reasonable way to explore this assumption.

Regarding the final portion of the discussion, I was previously unaware of Will Harrison's timescale work, and I will seek it out.

Thanks, Liam Colgan

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., 6, 893, 2012.

## TCD

6, C381-C382, 2012

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

