



Interactive  
Comment

## ***Interactive comment on “Relative effect of slope and equilibrium line altitude on the retreat of Himalayan glaciers” by T. N. Venkatesh et al.***

**M. Pelto**

mauri.pelto@nichols.edu

Received and published: 1 November 2011

Venkatesh et al (2011) provide a unique approach to assessing glacier terminus response in the Himalaya that utilizes the role of slope and ELA in quantifying recent terminus response. The approach merits further exploration by the authors and I look forward to this contribution examining more closely the glaciers in the Chenab, Parbati and Baspa regions. The paper at present suffers from two key simplifications that at this time prevent robust model results or validation. The first issue is the opinion stated in the introduction that the different rates of retreat in the region over which the climatic conditions to do not change significantly is due to ice dynamics. The climatic conditions cannot be considered the same for the entire region discussed, from the Karakoram to Zemu Glacier in Sikkim. For the Chenab, Parbati and Baspa region the statement

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Interactive  
Comment

is true. One of the authors, Kulkarni, has an excellent data set for closer examination of this group. The issue of terminus response time and longitudinal surface elevation profile also need more attention in order to control for their influence.

1) Climate differences: For the Karakoram, Hewitt (2005) notes that recent key climate changes include shifts in seasonal temperature, snowfall, and snow cover at high elevations. Further that the maximum precipitation occurs almost 2000 m higher than in the Nepal Himalaya. The glaciers are also not strictly summer accumulation type (Himalaya) or winter accumulation type but intermediate (Ageta 2001). Zemu Glacier is a summer accumulation type, but also has significant accumulation area between 6000 m and 8000 m and the Gangotri Glacier none above 6000 m. This leads to different climate conditions.

2) Response Time: The method shows promise in the Parbati glaciers where the size, characteristics and climate of the glaciers are comparable. Glacier length is a key parameter to control for as response time is crucial to terminus behavior. One means to determine response time (Johannesson et al, 1989) is from terminus region velocity and glacier length. Pelto and Hedlund (2001) applying aforementioned method noted that the much different terminus response on small North Cascade glaciers during the 1950-1980 period resulted from different response times that were indeed partly dependent on glacier slope. This suggests that the response time issue cannot be ignored unless the glaciers are of similar length, slope and climate setting. Certainly the response time of a glacier is dependent on its longitudinal stress gradient that cannot be determined simply from a mean slope of a glacier, the mean slope can be far different from the slope of a significant region near the terminus (Adhikari and Marshall, 2011). The slope in the lower ablation zone is key to terminus response to ice dynamics in the short term to recent climate warming. Kulkarni et al (2007), note that the smaller glaciers in the Parbati, Chenab and Baspa region have retreated more rapidly possibly due to the shorter response time. This can be controlled for by comparing glaciers of similar length, and surface elevation profile. Table 1 indicates a

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



group of glaciers with vastly different lengths.

3) Longitudinal surface elevation profile example: In the case of Zemu Glacier and Gangotri Glacier both have low slope terminus reaches that are debris covered. Neither is likely to be sensitive at this time to the slope in the accumulation zone over the last few decades. For Zemu Glacier it is 18 km from the terminus at 4200 m to 5200 m along the main glacier trunk, still below the ELA, and 7 km from 5200 m to 8000 m at the glacier head. For Gangotri Glacier it is 19 km from 4000 m to 5000 m, still below the ELA, and then 13 km to the head of the glacier at 6800 meters. The slope difference is all in the accumulation zone, not the lower ablation zone. Changes in slope could be the controlling influence if glacier profiles were similar. Kulkarni et al (2005) Figure 6 is a key diagram of the change in slope with elevation on Parbati Glacier that should be utilized to control for this variable or at least define it. Recent work by Raj (2011) on Milam Glacier is also worth referencing.

#### References:

Ageta Y.: Study project on the recent shrinkage of summer accumulation type glaciers in the Himalayas, 1997–1999. *Bulletin of Glaciological Research* 18:45–49, 2001.

Hewitt K.: The Karakoram anomaly? Glacier expansion and the “elevation effect,” Karakoram Himalaya. *Mountain Research and Development* 25(4): 332–340, 2005.

Johannesson, T., Raymond, C. and Waddington, E.: Time-scale for adjustment of glacier to changes in mass balance. *J. Glaciol.*, 35(121), 355–369, 1989.

Kulkarni, A. V., Rathore, B. P., Mahajan, S. and Mathur, P., Alarming retreat of Parbati Glacier, Beas basin, Himachal Pradesh. *Current Science*, 88, 1844–1850, 2005.

Kulkarni, A. V., Bahuguna, I. M., Rathore, B. P., Singh, S. K., Randhawa, S. S., Sood, R. K. and Dhar, S.: Glacial retreat in Himalaya using Indian Remote Sensing satellite data. *Current. Science*, 92, 69–74, 2007.

Peltz, M.S. and Hedlund, C.: Terminus behavior and response time of North Cascade

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



glaciers, Washington U.S.A. J. Glaciol., 47, 497-506, 2001.

Raj, K.B.G.: Recession and reconstruction of Milam Glacier, Kumaon Himalaya, observed with satellite imagery Current Science, 100(9) 1420-1425 2011.

---

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., 5, 2571, 2011.

TCD

5, C1196–C1199, 2011

---

Interactive  
Comment

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)

