

The Cryosphere Discuss., 4, 409–427, 2010  
www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/4/409/2010/  
© Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal The Cryosphere (TC).  
Please refer to the corresponding final paper in TC if available.

# Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu<sup>1</sup>, A. Ruiz-Columbié<sup>1</sup>, J. A. Phillipson<sup>1,\*</sup>, and S. Harshan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Atmospheric Science Group, Department of Geosciences, Texas Tech University,  
Lubbock, Texas, USA

\*now at: National Weather Service, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

Received: 14 March 2010 – Accepted: 28 March 2010 – Published: 31 March 2010

Correspondence to: S. Basu (sukanta.basu@ttu.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

TCD

4, 409–427, 2010

## Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Abstract

Over the past years, several studies have validated Nieuwstadt's local scaling hypothesis by utilizing turbulence observations from the mid-latitude, nocturnal stable boundary layers. In this work, we probe into the local scaling characteristics of polar, long-lived stable boundary layers by analyzing turbulence data from the South Pole region of the Antarctic Plateau.

## 1 Introduction

More than two and a half decades ago, Nieuwstadt (1984a,b, 1985) introduced the concept of local scaling for stably stratified atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) flows. Based on several second-order moment equations, he hypothesized that the dimensionless combinations of turbulent variables (fluxes, (co-)variances, eddy viscosities etc.), which are measured at the same height could be expressed as 'universal' functions of the so-called stability parameter ( $\zeta$ ). As a corollary to this hypothesis, he also deduced that in the asymptotic limit of  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$  (known as the z-less stratification regime; Wyngaard, 1973), the dimensionless turbulent quantities should approach constant values. Local scaling could be viewed as a generalization of the well established Monin-Obukhov (M-O) similarity theory (Monin and Yaglom, 1971; Sorbajn, 1989). The M-O similarity theory is strictly valid in the surface layer (lowest 10% of the ABL), whereas local scaling describes the turbulent structure of the entire stable boundary layer (SBL; Nieuwstadt, 1984a,b, 1985).

Local scaling has numerous ramifications for several fields in atmospheric science and meteorology. Foremost, it allows us to systematically merge field observations from different field experiments (with variable sensor heights) for extensive statistical analyses, and in turn, to gain valuable insights on SBL (Heinemann, 2004). Therefore, it is not surprising that it has been termed as a "powerful reductionist technique for analysis and prediction" by Brown et al. (1994). In the recent past, in the absence of a

TCD

4, 409–427, 2010

## Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence**S. Basu et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

formal (universally accepted) theory of SBL, local scaling-based results have quite frequently served as benchmarks for new-generation stably stratified turbulence modeling approaches (for an example see Basu et al., 2006). Local scaling is also an integral part of numerous local closure-based present-day atmospheric models (e.g., Steeneveld et al., 2006; Brown et al., 2008). Lastly, it has established its niche in the applied field of dispersion modeling (Scire et al., 2000).

When the local scaling concept was proposed, observational data from a 200 m tall tower at Cabauw in the Netherlands provided strong support for this remarkable hypothesis (Nieuwstadt, 1984a,b). Over the years, several studies have provided independent validation for the local scaling hypothesis (e.g., Lenschow et al., 1988; Basu et al., 2006). However, most of these studies have primarily focused on the mid-latitude SBLs. Only a handful have considered SBL turbulence in the polar regions (see Heineemann, 2004, and the references therein). The present study intends to shed more light on the local scaling characteristics of polar SBLs by performing rigorous statistical analyses of turbulence data from the South Pole region of the Antarctic Plateau.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In the following section, we briefly delineate the distinctive features of polar SBLs with respect to mid-latitude nocturnal SBLs. In Sect. 3, we provide the general characteristics of the South Pole region. Our data processing and quality control procedures are described in Sect. 4. Comprehensive results are given in Sect. 5. Section 6 concludes this paper.

## 2 A brief comparison of polar and mid-latitude SBLs

In many aspects, SBLs over the polar regions differ significantly from their mid-latitude counterparts (King, 1993). For example, in the mid-latitude, surface inversions over land predominantly form during the nighttime hours. In contrast, due to the mere shortage of insolation (and high surface albedo), the surface inversions over the polar regions are of long-lived (aka persistent) nature. Based on ten years of temperature data at the South Pole, Hudson and Brandt (2005) found that the lapse conditions within a

near-surface layer (2–22 m) were observed only 26% and 8% of the time during austral summer and winter, respectively. They also reported that throughout these years no signatures of diurnal cycles were found at the South Pole.

Over the mid-latitude, near-neutral residual layers (remnants of the previous day's mixed layer) typically form overlying the nighttime SBLs. Due to the dearth of lapse conditions and diurnal cycles, such layers are rarely present over the polar regions. As a result, quite frequently, the polar SBLs become directly coupled with the free atmosphere. This means that, in comparison to the mid-latitude SBL turbulence, the polar SBL turbulence gets significantly influenced by the gravity wave activities aloft (Zilitinkevich and Calanca, 2000; Zilitinkevich, 2002; Sodemann, 2002).

In spite of these physical differences, the local scaling properties of SBLs over an antarctic ice shelf (King, 1990) and the Greenland ice sheet (Heinemann, 2004) were found to be in amazing agreement with corresponding mid-latitude values. In this paper, we further assess the universality of the local scaling concept by analyzing data from the deep interior part of the antarctic continent, which is climatologically quite different from the coastal ice shelves.

### 3 Site characteristics

The South Pole region of the Antarctic Plateau provides an excellent site for the SBL research. The terrain has a gentle slope of  $\sim 0.001$  m/m, which virtually eliminates the influence of strong topographical forcings on the boundary layer evolutions. Furthermore, this region is devoid of several complicated atmospheric processes (e.g., hydraulic jump, barrier winds, flow splitting), which are omnipresent in some other parts of the antarctic continent. The predominant plateau “high” provides cold dry conditions throughout the year (King and Turner, 1997; Turner and Pendlebury, 2004). Some other notable site characteristics are: mostly clear skies, strong surface inversion, infrequent formation of precipitation, light ( $< 5$  ms<sup>-1</sup>) northeast winds, and an average annual temperature of  $-49.4$  °C (King and Turner, 1997; Turner and Pendlebury, 2004).

## Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 4 Description of data

One of the challenging tasks anyone faces when venturing out to do research on antarctic boundary layer turbulence is to find high-resolution datasets. We are fortunate to have access to an extensive public-domain archive of such data collected during the Investigation of Sulfur Chemistry in the Antarctic Troposphere (ISCAT) project. The ISCAT 2000 field campaign took place over the time period of 15 November to 31 December in the year 2000 at the South Pole (Davis et al., 2004). A 22 m instrumented meteorological tower located near the Atmospheric Research Observatory at the South Pole was used during this field campaign to measure eddy-covariance heat and momentum fluxes, as well as temperature gradients (Davis et al., 2004; Oncley et al., 2004). In this work, we primarily use high-frequency (sampling rate of 20 Hz) velocity and temperature<sup>1</sup> data from two sonic anemometers (ATI K-probes) mounted on the tower at heights of 3.1 m and 7 m above the snow surface.

Davis et al. (2004) provided detailed information on the synoptic scale meteorological conditions during ISCAT 2000 and this information will not be repeated here for brevity. From a micrometeorological perspective, it is important to point out that, during the early part of the ISCAT 2000 campaign, the surface layer flow condition was always stably stratified and the wind was coming from the clean-air sector (wind direction of 0–100°). After 12 December, both stable and unstable conditions were observed in conjunction with large fluctuations of wind direction (Oncley et al., 2004). However, it is quite evident from Fig. 1 that no sign of diurnal cycle was present in the near-surface air temperature data for the entire duration of the field campaign. In other words, the ISCAT 2000 archive, even though representative of the austral summer, (likely) contains substantial amount of long-lived SBL turbulence data.

Rigorous validation of ABL similarity theories (including local scaling) require turbulence measurements which are of pristine quality. Given that the ISCAT 2000 data

<sup>1</sup>Sonic anemometers measure acoustic virtual temperature ( $T_c$ ) which is related to air temperature ( $T$ ) as follows:  $T_c = T(1 + 0.51q)$ . Here  $q$  is specific humidity in  $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ .

### Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



were collected in the harsh environment of the South Pole, a significant portion of the present work was devoted to stringent quality control and preprocessing of the data. In this regard, we closely followed the suggestions of Vickers and Mahrt (1997), Mahrt (1998), and Basu et al. (2006). Specifically, we follow these steps (see flow chart in Fig. 2):

1. We divided the entire ISCAT 2000 dataset into individual runs of 30 min durations. At the outset we had a total of 1559 runs from the 3.1 m sonic anemometer and the same number of runs from the 7 m sonic anemometer. Each run consisted of four time-series: three components of velocity ( $u$ ,  $v$ , and  $w$ ) and sonic temperature ( $T_c$ ). We would like to emphasize that all the four time-series were needed to compute the stability parameter ( $\zeta$ ). So, if our quality control and preprocessing steps (elaborated below) detected any problem with one time-series, the entire corresponding run was discarded.
2. We discarded runs if they contained more than 1% of missing data.
3. Oncley et al. (2004) found spurious spikes in the 7 m sonic anemometer time-series. These spikes could be the manifestations of electronic interference or could be due to blowing snow/ice crystals. For despiking all the time-series data (including the data from the 3.1 m sonic anemometer), we utilized the algorithm by Vickers and Mahrt (1997). Since this algorithm involves computing localized standard deviations, it is susceptible to any low-frequency components (e.g., mesoscale motions) present in the time-series. So, we removed any mesoscale component from each time-series (see Step 5. below for further details) prior to the application of the despiking algorithm. Upon completion of the despiking procedure, the mesoscale component was added back to the time-series. A run was discarded if the total number of spikes detected and removed exceeded 1% of the total data points in that time-series.

---

## Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

---

**Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence**S. Basu et al.

---

4. It is well-known in the boundary-layer meteorology literature that small tilts in the sonic anemometer orientation (with respect to true vertical direction) can cause significant biases in the flux estimations. So, in this study, we performed tilt-corrections of the sonic anemometer data using the “planar-fit” method proposed by Wilczak et al. (2001). From every day’s collective runs (i.e., 48 runs of 30 min durations for each sonic anemometer, assuming no missing run in that day) one set of tilt angles were computed.
5. Mesoscale motions do not obey similarity theory and should be removed from the turbulent fluctuations when studying similarity relationships (Vickers and Mahrt, 2003). Following Basu et al. (2006), we partitioned turbulent-mesoscale motions (similar to high-pass filtering) using discrete wavelet transform (Symmlet-8 wavelet) with a gap-scale of 100 s (see Fig. 1 of Basu et al., 2006, for an illustration).
6. After all these steps, we arrived at a set of runs which were despiked, tilt-corrected, and filtered. Next, these runs were tested to detect possible instrument problems. Following Vickers and Mahrt (1997), the skewness and kurtosis values of each time-series were calculated. If for any time-series, the skewness was outside the range of  $(-2, 2)$  or the kurtosis was outside the range of  $(1, 8)$ , the corresponding run was discarded.
7. To check for nonstationarities, we subdivided each time-series in six equal intervals and computed the standard deviation of each sub-series ( $\sigma_i$ ,  $i = 1 : 6$ ). If  $\max(\sigma_i) / \min(\sigma_i) > 2$ , the time-series, and in turn, the corresponding run was discarded.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.

8. The runs were further restricted to satisfy the following constraints:

- $\Lambda > 0$  (to account for stably stratified conditions only);
- $\Theta_c^7 - \Theta_c^{3.1} > 0$  (to consider inversion conditions only);
- $M \geq 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  (to evade very weak wind conditions);
- $u_{*L} \geq 0.01 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  and  $|\langle w'\theta'_c \rangle_L| \geq 0.001 \text{ K m s}^{-1}$  (to avoid uncertain flux measurement conditions);

Here,  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Theta_c^7$ ,  $\Theta_c^{3.1}$ ,  $M$ ,  $u_{*L}$  and  $\langle w'\theta'_c \rangle_L$  signify local Obukhov length, mean potential (sonic) temperature<sup>2</sup> at 7 m, mean potential (sonic) temperature at 3.1 m, mean horizontal wind speed, friction velocity, and sensible heat flux, respectively. Throughout this paper, the subscript “<sub>L</sub>” on the turbulence quantities are used to specify evaluation using local turbulence quantities. The angular brackets denote averaging over 30 min period.

9. In Table 1, we summarized the number of runs discarded by the aforementioned quality control steps and constraints. Please note that some of the runs were rejected by more than one criterion. In the end, we were left with 776 and 754 reliable runs for the 3.1 m and 7 m sonic anemometers, respectively. Finally, we visually inspected these runs to make sure that other possible errors (e.g., drop outs, discontinuities) were not present. In the following section, we used these runs for testing the local scaling hypothesis.

<sup>2</sup>A constant surface pressure of 690 hPa was used to calculate potential (sonic) temperature from (sonic) temperature.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





## 5 Results

In Figs. 3 to 5, we plotted various normalized turbulence statistics as a function of local stabilities ( $\zeta = z/\Lambda$ ), where  $z$  is the sensor height.  $\Lambda$  is the local Obukhov length defined as:  $\Lambda = -\frac{\Theta_c u_{*L}^3}{\kappa g \langle w' \theta'_c \rangle_L}$ , where  $\kappa$  and  $g$  are the von Karman constant, and gravitational acceleration, respectively. We divided the entire range of stabilities into 25 bins of equal samples (e.g.,  $\sim 30$  data points for sonic anemometer at 7 m). For every normalized turbulence statistics (e.g.,  $\sigma_{u_i}/u_{*L}$ ), we calculated 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles from the samples of each bin. The 50th percentile (median) is represented as a solid line in all the figures. On the other hand, the 25th and 75th percentiles are depicted as dashed lines.

In Table 2 we further report the median values of some of the turbulence statistics corresponding to the highest stability bin. Loosely speaking, these median values could be considered as the asymptotic z-less values. For comparison, we also added the results from Basu et al. (2006), Nieuwstadt (1984b, 1985), and Heinemann (2004).

Based on Figs. 3 to 5 and Table 2, we summarize:

- All the turbulence statistics from the ISCAT 2000 field campaign portray local scaling and z-less stratification. Most of the statistics actually remain (almost) constant for the entire stability range considered.
- All the turbulence statistics seem to portray sensor height-independence, with the possible exception of  $r_{u\theta}$ .
- All the z-less values of turbulence statistics from the present study are in close agreement with the published results, with the possible exception of  $\sigma_\theta/\theta_{*L}$  and  $r_{w\theta}$ . Please note that  $\theta_{*L}$  is defined as:  $\theta_{*L} = -\frac{\langle w' \theta'_c \rangle_L}{u_{*L}}$ .
- Temperature related statistics (e.g.,  $\sigma_\theta/\theta_{*L}$ ) show some scatters in the near-neutral regime ( $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ ). This is to be expected since the temperature fluctuations

### Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence**

S. Basu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

are very small in the near-neutral regime, and thus the estimated statistics are not very reliable.

- Similar to Dias et al. (1995) and Basu et al. (2006), the nondimensionalized third-order moments obey local scaling and essentially remain constant ( $\sim 0$ ) for the entire stability range considered.

## 6 Conclusions

In this study, we performed rigorous statistical analyses of field observations from the South Pole region of the Antarctic Plateau to understand the local scaling characteristics of long-lived polar stable boundary layers. We found that the analyzed data strongly support Nieuwstadt's local scaling hypothesis. Based on other published work and the present study, it is perhaps prudent to claim that this remarkable hypothesis is very robust and seems to hold for every known types of stably stratified atmospheric boundary layer flows.

*Acknowledgements.* This work was partially funded by the National Science Foundation grant ANT-0538453. The authors are grateful to the National Center for Atmospheric Research for making the ISCAT 2000 data public.

## References

- Basu, S., Porté-Agel, F., Fofoula-Georgiou, E., Vinuesa, J.-F., and Pahlow, M.: Revisiting the local scaling hypothesis in stably stratified atmospheric boundary-layer turbulence: An integration of field and laboratory measurements with large-eddy simulations, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 119, 473–500, 2006. 411, 414, 415
- Basu, S. and Porté-Agel, F.: Large-eddy simulation of stably stratified atmospheric boundary layer turbulence: A Scale-Dependent Dynamic Modeling Approach, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 63, 2074–2091, 2006. 411, 417, 418

**Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence**

S. Basu et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Brown, A. R., Derbyshire, S. H., and Mason, P. J.: Large-eddy simulation of stable atmospheric boundary Layers with a revised stochastic subgrid model, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 120, 1485–1512, 1994. 410

Brown, A. R., Beare, R. J., Edwards, J. M., Lock, A. P., Keogh, S. J., Milton, S. F., and Walters, D. N.: Upgrades to the boundary-layer scheme in the Met Office numerical weather prediction model, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 128, 117–132, 2008. 411

Davis, D. D. and co-authors: An overview of ISCAT 2000, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 5363–5373, 2004. 413

Dias, N. L., Brutsaert, W., and Wesely, M. L.: Z-less stratification under stable conditions, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 75, 175–187, 1995. 418

Heinemann, G.: Local similarity properties of the continuously turbulent stable boundary layer over Greenland, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 112, 283–305, 2004. 410, 411, 412, 417

Hudson, S. R. and Brandt, R. E.: A look at the surface-based temperature inversion on the Antarctic Plateau, *J. Climate*, 18, 1673–1696, 2005. 411

King, J. C.: Some measurements of turbulence over an antarctic ice shelf, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 116, 379–400, 1990. 412

King, J. C.: Contrasts between the Antarctic stable boundary layer and the mid-latitude nocturnal boundary layer, in: *Waves and Turbulence in Stably Stratified Flows*, edited by: Mobbs, S. D. and King, J. C., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 105–120, 1993. 411

King, J. C. and Turner, J.: *Antarctic Meteorology and Climatology*, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 425 pp., 1997. 412

Lenschow, D. H., Li, X. S., Zhu, C. J., and Stankov, B. B.: The stably stratified boundary layer over the Great Plains. I. Mean and turbulence structure, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 42, 95–121, 1988. 411

Mahrt, L.: Flux sampling errors for aircraft and towers, *J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech.*, 15, 416–429, 1998. 414

Monin, A. S. and Yaglom, A. M.: *Statistical Fluid Mechanics: Mechanics of Turbulence*, Vol. 1, MIT Press, 769 pp., 1971. 410

Nieuwstadt, F. T. M.: Some aspects of the turbulent stable boundary layer, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 30, 31–55, 1984a. 410, 411

Nieuwstadt, F. T. M.: The turbulent structure of the stable, nocturnal boundary layer, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 41, 2202–2216, 1984b. 410, 411, 417

Nieuwstadt, F. T. M.: A model for the stationary, stable boundary layer, in: *Turbulence and*

Diffusion in Stable Environments, edited by: Hunt, J. C. R., Clarendon Press, 149-179, 1985. 410, 417

Onclay, S. P., Buhr, M., Lenschow, D. H., Davis, D., and Semmer, S. R.: Observations of summertime NO fluxes and boundary-layer height at the South Pole during ISCAT 2000 using scalar similarity, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 5389–5398, 2004. 413, 414

Scire, J. S., Strimaitis, D. G., and Yamartino, R. J.: A User's Guide for the CALPUFF Dispersion Model (version 5), Earth Tech, Inc., 2000. 411

Sodemann, H.: Evaluation of a parameterisation for turbulent fluxes of momentum and heat in stably stratified surface layers, Diploma thesis, University of Bayreuth, 2002. 412

Sorbjan, Z.: Structure of Atmospheric Boundary Layer, Prentice-Hall, 317 pp., 1989. 410

Sorbjan, Z.: Local structure of turbulence in stably stratified boundary layers, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 63, 1526–1537, 2006.

Steenefeld, G. J., van de Wiel, B. J. H., and Holtslag, A. A. M.: Modeling the evolution of the atmospheric boundary layer coupled to the land surface for three contrasting nights in CASES-99, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 63, 920–935, 2006. 411

Turner, J. and Pendlebury, S.: The international Antarctic weather forecasting handbook, 685 pp., available at: <http://www.antarctica.ac.uk/met/jtu/ftpinst.html>, 2004. 412

Vickers, D. and Mahrt, L.: Quality control and flux sampling problems for tower and aircraft data, *J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech.*, 14, 512–526, 1997. 414, 415

Vickers, D. and Mahrt, L.: The cospectral gap and turbulent flux calculations, *J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech.*, 20, 660–672, 2003. 415

Wilczak, J. M., Onclay, S. P., and Stage, S. A.: Sonic anemometer tilt correction algorithms, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 99, 127–150, 2001. 415

Wyngaard, J. C.: On surface layer turbulence, in: *Workshop on Micrometeorology*, edited by: Haugen, D. A., American Meteorological Society, Boston, 109–149, 1973. 410

Zilitinkevich, S. S.: Third-order transport due to internal waves and non-local turbulence in the stably stratified surface layer, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 128, 913–925, 2002. 412

Zilitinkevich, S. S. and Calanca, P.: An extended similarity theory for the stably stratified atmospheric surface layer, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 126, 1913–1923, 2000. 412

TCD

4, 409–427, 2010

## Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.

**Table 1.** Number of runs discarded by quality control and other criteria.

Criterion	3.1 m	7 m
Missing data	196	201
Spike	12	113
Skewness	17	17
Kurtosis	44	56
Nonstationarity	238	260
$\Lambda \leq 0$ m	345	329
$\Theta_c^7 - \Theta_c^{3.1} \leq 0$ K	34	34
$M < 1$ m s <sup>-1</sup>	63	52
$u_{*L} < 0.01$ m s <sup>-1</sup>	3	6
$ \langle w'\theta'_c \rangle_L  \leq 0.001$ K m s <sup>-1</sup>	146	122

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[⏪](#)
[⏩](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence**

S. Basu et al.

**Table 2.** The z-less values of turbulence statistics.

Turbulence statistics	ISCAT 2000		Basu and Porté-Agel (2006)			Nieuwstadt (1984b, 1985)	Heinemann (2004)
	3.1 m	7 m	Field observations	Wind-tunnel measurements	Large-eddy simulations		
$\sigma_u/u_{*L}$	2.2	2.2	2.7	2.5	2.3	2.0	–
$\sigma_v/u_{*L}$	1.9	1.9	2.1	–	1.7	1.7	–
$\sigma_w/u_{*L}$	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.2 to 1.6
$\sigma_\theta/\theta_{*L}$	1.8	1.7	2.4	2.7	2.4	3.0	4.5 to 7.3
$r_{uw}$	–0.32	–0.27	–0.21	–0.28	–0.32	–	–0.15 to –0.30
$r_{u\theta}$	0.54	0.50	0.51	0.55	0.56	–	–
$r_{w\theta}$	–0.38	–0.39	–0.27	–0.24	–0.30	–0.24	–0.10 to –0.20

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence**

S. Basu et al.




**Fig. 1.** Time-series (left panel) and diurnal cycle (right panel) of potential (sonic) temperature observed during the ISCAT 2000 field campaign. It is quite clear that throughout the duration of the campaign surface inversion prevailed. No sign of diurnal cycle can be seen.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence**


S. Basu et al.

**Fig. 2.** Flow chart of the quality control and preprocessing steps.[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



## Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.



**Fig. 3.** The panels from left to right represent normalized variances  $\sigma_u/u_{*L}$ ,  $\sigma_v/u_{*L}$ ,  $\sigma_w/u_{*L}$ , and  $\sigma_\theta/\theta_{*L}$ , respectively. The upper and lower panels correspond to the 3.1 m and the 7 m sonic anemometers, respectively. All the panels have identical abscissa and ordinate limits.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.




**Fig. 4.** The panels from left to right represent correlation coefficients  $r_{UW}$ ,  $r_{U\theta}$ , and  $r_{W\theta}$ , respectively; where  $r_{UW} = \frac{\langle u'w' \rangle}{\sigma_u \sigma_w}$ ,  $r_{U\theta} = \frac{\langle u'\theta' \rangle}{\sigma_u \sigma_\theta}$ , and  $r_{W\theta} = \frac{\langle w'\theta' \rangle}{\sigma_w \sigma_\theta}$ . The upper and lower panels correspond to the 3.1 m and the 7 m sonic anemometers, respectively. All the panels have identical abscissa and ordinate limits.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

Local scaling characteristics of Antarctic surface layer turbulence

S. Basu et al.



**Fig. 5.** The panels from left to right represent third-order moments  $\phi_{\theta\theta\theta}$ ,  $\phi_{w\theta\theta}$ , and  $\phi_{ww\theta}$ , respectively; where  $\phi_{\theta\theta\theta} = \frac{\langle \theta'^3 \rangle}{\theta_{*L}^3}$ ,  $\phi_{w\theta\theta} = \frac{\langle w' \theta'^2 \rangle}{u_{*L} \theta_{*L}^2}$ , and  $\phi_{ww\theta} = \frac{\langle w'^2 \theta' \rangle}{u_{*L}^2 \theta_{*L}}$ . The upper and lower panels correspond to the 3.1 m and the 7 m sonic anemometers, respectively. All the panels have identical abscissa and ordinate limits.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

