857

The Cryosphere Discuss., 3, 857–893, 2009 www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/3/857/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

The Cryosphere Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of The Cryosphere

# Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard

## G. Moholdt, J. O. Hagen, T. Eiken, and T. V. Schuler

Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Box 1047 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway

Received: 17 September 2009 - Accepted: 23 September 2009 - Published: 13 October 2009

Correspondence to: G. Moholdt (geirmoh@geo.uio.no)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.







3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard

## Abstract

The dynamics and mass balance regime of the Austfonna ice cap, the largest glacier on Svalbard, deviate significantly from most other glaciers in the region and is not fully understood. We have compared ICESat laser altimetry, airborne laser altimetry, GNSS surface profiles and radio echo-sounding data to estimate elevation change rates for 5 the periods 1983-2007 and 2002-2008. The data sets indicate a pronounced interior thickening of up to  $0.5 \text{ m y}^{-1}$ , at the same time as the margins are thinning at a rate of  $1-3 \text{ m y}^{-1}$ . The southern basins are thickening at a higher rate than the northern basins due to a higher accumulation rate. The overall volume change in the 2002-2008 period is estimated to be  $-1.3\pm0.5$  km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> (or  $-0.16\pm0.06$  m w.e. y<sup>-1</sup>) where the entire 10 net loss is due to a rapid retreat of the calving fronts. Since most of the marine ice loss occurs below sea level. Austfonna's current contribution to sea level change is close to zero. The geodetic results are compared to in-situ mass balance measurements which indicate that the 2004–2008 surface net mass balance has been slightly positive  $(0.05 \text{ m w.e. y}^{-1})$  though with large annual variations. Similarities between local 15 net mass balances and local elevation changes indicate that most of the ice cap is dormant and not in dynamic equilibrium with the current climate. More knowledge is needed about century-scale dynamic processes in order to predict the future evolution of Austfonna based on climate scenarios.

#### 20 1 Introduction

Glaciers and ice caps are expected to be significant contributors to sea level rise in the 21st century (e.g. Meier et al., 2007). Traditionally, regional and global mass balances have been extrapolated from a series of local mass balance estimates acquired from annual stake and snow pit measurements (e.g. Dyurgerov and Meier, 1997; Dawdoswell et al., 1997; Hagan et al., 2002b). Remote capsing techniques like pho

<sup>25</sup> Dowdeswell et al., 1997; Hagen et al., 2003b). Remote sensing techniques like photogrammetry, altimetry and synthetic aperture radar (SAR) have made it possible to 3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard





expand mass balance measurements to vast and remote areas. Airborne laser altimetry has been widely used to measure elevation changes, e.g. in Alaska (Arendt et al., 2006), Arctic Canada (Abdalati et al., 2004) and Svalbard (Bamber et al., 2005). Glacier changes have also been quantified using spaceborne techniques like the Shut-

<sup>5</sup> tle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) (Rignot et al., 2003), the Ice, Cloud and Iand Elevation Satellite (ICESat) (Nuth et al., 2009) and the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) (Luthcke et al., 2008).

The Svalbard archipelago has a total glacier area of  $36\,000\,\text{km}^2$  (Hagen et al., 2003a) which is about 7% of the worldwide glacier coverage outside of Greenland and Antarc-

- tica (Lemke et al., 2007). Several studies have shown that the mass balance of western Svalbard glaciers has been negative over the last century (e.g. Hagen et al., 2003b; Nuth et al., 2007). Kohler et al. (2007) claim that the glacier thinning rates in western Svalbard have been accelerating over the last few decades. The mass balance of eastern Svalbard glaciers is more uncertain due to a lack of long-term mass bal-
- ance programs and few repeated geodetic observations. Nuth et al. (2009) compared topographic maps from 1965–1990 with recent ICESat altimetry to find that Svalbard glaciers have been thinning at an average rate of 0.36 m water equivalents (w.e.) over the last few decades. However, this study did not include Austfonna which at 8000 km<sup>2</sup> is the largest single ice body within the Svalbard archipelago.
- There have been several separate glaciological investigations at Austfonna (e.g. Schytt, 1964; Dowdeswell and Drewry, 1989; Pinglot et al., 2001), but no continuous records of mass balance exist until 2004 when an annual mass balance program was initiated. Photogrammetric records are also sparse over Austfonna due to the large extent and featureless topography which make image analysis extremely difficult.
- <sup>25</sup> Airborne laser altimetry from 1996 and 2002, indicated thickening of up to 0.5 m y<sup>-1</sup> in the summit area and thinning towards the margins (Bamber et al., 2004). The authors proposed that this growth was due to increased precipitation related to the loss of perennial sea ice cover in the adjacent Barents Sea. A mass build up in the accumulation area was also found by Bevan et al. (2007) who compared surface velocities

## TCD 3, 857-893, 2009 Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard G. Moholdt et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables 14 Close Back Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion



derived from differential SAR interferometry (DInSAR) with calculated balance velocities across the glacier equilibrium line altitude (ELA). They suggested an underlying dynamic component to the changes since 75% of the mass gain was attributed to three basins that are expected to be in the quiescent phase of their surge cycles.

- <sup>5</sup> We compare several sources of altimetric observations over Austfonna to estimate elevation change rates for the periods 1983–2007 and 2002–2008. The data sets include airborne radio echo-sounding (RES) data from 1983 and 2007, GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) surface profiles from 2004–2008, airborne laser altimetry from 2002, 2004 and 2007, and ICESat laser altimetry from 2003–2008. We use
- a combination of repeat track analysis and crossover points to estimate average elevation change rates, and then we combine them in a hypsometric way to derive volume change rates. Finally, the geodetic change rates are compared to in situ mass balance measurements from 2004–2008.

#### 2 Study site

<sup>15</sup> Austfonna is a polythermal ice cap located at 80° N on the Nordaustlandet island in the north-east corner of Svalbard (Fig. 1b). The ice cap geometry is characterized by one main ice dome which rises gently up to about 800 m a.s.l and feeds a number of drainage basins. Apart from a few fast flowing units, most of the ice cap is stagnant with typical velocities less than 10 m y<sup>-1</sup>. Surge advances have been reported for three
<sup>20</sup> of the basins (Fig. 1d), namely Etonbreen (1938), Braasvellbreen (1937) and Basin 3 (~1873) (Lefauconnier and Hagen, 1991). About 30% of the ice cap is grounded below sea level, with ice thicknesses typically ranging from <300 m in the marine south-east to ~500 m in the interior (Dowdeswell et al., 1986). The grounded calving fronts have a total length of 230 km and have been retreating during the last decades. Dowdeswell</li>
<sup>25</sup> et al. (2008) used satellite images from between 1973 and 2001 to estimate a mean area loss rate of 11 km<sup>2</sup>/year, of which 90% was due to marine retreat. By combining





this data with terminus ice thicknesses from 1983 BES and velocities from 1996 DIn-

SAR, they were able to estimate a total iceberg calving flux of 2.5±0.5 km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup>. Meteorological conditions at Austfonna are very variable due to its location at the confluence zone between cold and dry polar air masses from the north and more humid and warm air masses from the North Atlantic current to the south. Two automatic weather stations (AWS) collecting data on Austfonna since 2004 show that the mean daily winter temperatures range from about –30 to 0°C. The meteorological conditions during summer season are less variable; daily temperatures usually range from 0 to 5°C during the 1–2 months long ablation period. Most of the precipitation over the ice cap is originating from the Barents Sea in the south-east, resulting in a pronounced ac-

- <sup>10</sup> cumulation gradient with most snow in the south-east and least snow in the north-west (Schytt, 1964; Pinglot et al., 2001; Taurisano et al., 2007). This pattern is also recognized in the equilibrium line altitude (ELA) which is significantly lower in south-east than in north-west (Pinglot et al., 2001; Schuler et al., 2007). Pinglot et al. (2001) estimated an average net mass balance of 0.5 m w.e.  $y^{-1}$  in the summit area for the period 1986–
- 15 1998/99 by detecting the depth of the radioactive Chernobyl layer (1986) in 19 shallow ice cores. Since 2004, in-situ mass balance measurements have been carried out each spring along several transects which cover most of the ice cap (Fig. 1d). In addition to point measurements using mass balance stakes and snow pits, the annual snow cover has been mapped using an 800 MHz ground penetrating radar (GPR) supplemented
- <sup>20</sup> by manual probing to the previous summer surface. There is a large annual variation in accumulation magnitude but the spatial pattern remains more or less similar from year to year. Taurisano et al. (2007) used spatial multiple regression to compile an accumulation index map for the distribution of accumulation. This was further used by Schuler et al. (2007) to model the surface mass balance using a distributed temperature-index approach (Hock, 1999).

# **TCD** 3, 857–893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard





### 3 Data

Repeat track GNSS profiling was carried out along designated tracks each spring over a period of two weeks in late April, early May from 2004 to 2008 (Fig. 1a). A dualfrequency GNSS receiver (GPS/GLONASS) is mounted on a tripod on a sledge which

is pulled by a snowmobile at a constant speed of approximately 5 m s<sup>-1</sup>, resulting in one elevation measurement every ~5 m at a logging interval of 1 s. The measurements were differentially post-corrected against a base station at the summit, and the elevation accuracy has proven to be better than 0.1 m (Eiken et al., 1997; Hagen et al., 2005). The pre-defined survey tracks were usually repeated within a cross-track distance of less than 10 m.

Airborne laser altimetry was conducted across Austfonna by NASA in 1996/2002 (Bamber et al., 2004), by the National Space Institute at the Technical University of Denmark (NSI-DTU) in 2004/07 (Forsberg et al., 2002), and by the Alfred Wegner Institute (AWI) in 2005/06. We only use the data sets from 2002, 2004 and 2007 (Table 1

- <sup>15</sup> and Fig. 1a), because 1996–2002 elevation differences have already been published (Bamber et al., 2004), and the AWI data sets coincide largely with simultaneous GNSS ground profiles. The individual airborne laser instruments have slightly different characteristics though all provide a dense sampling (<10 m spacing) of elevation points within a 100–300 m wide ground track. Elevation errors mainly arise from the aircraft
- 20 GNSS positioning, the inertial navigation system attitude determination, and the laser ranging itself. Krabill et al. (2002) analysed crossover elevation differences and found a root-mean square (RMS) elevation accuracy better than 0.1 m for a similar instrument setup at the Greenland ice sheet.

The spaceborne Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS) onboard ICESat is designed to collect high precision surface elevations all over the globe. Since winter 2003, GLAS has been operating for three annual observation campaigns (two since 2006), each of approximately 35 days. Each laser pulse illuminates a footprint at the Earth's surface from which the return echo is used to retrieve an average surface elevation.

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard





The footprints are spaced at 172 m along-track and have a varying elliptical shape with average dimensions of  $52 \times 95$  m for Laser 1 and Laser 2 (from winter 2003 to summer 2004) and  $47 \times 61$  m for Laser 3 (since fall 2004) (Abshire et al., 2005). The single shot elevation accuracy was initially estimated to be 0.15 m over gentle terrain (Zwally et

- <sup>5</sup> al., 2002), although accuracies better than 0.05 m were achieved under optimal conditions (Fricker et al., 2005). However, the GLAS performance degrades over sloping terrain and under conditions favourable to atmospheric forward scattering and detector saturation. In cloudy regions like Svalbard, a considerable part of data is also lost due to signal absorption in optically thick clouds. We used the GLA06 altimetry product
- release 28 (Zwally et al., 2008) which contains a saturation range correction that we added to the elevations to account for the delay of the pulse centre in saturated returns (Fricker et al., 2005). We also converted ICESat data from the TOPEX/Poseidon ellipsoid to the WGS84 ellipsoid to ensure compatibility with GNSS and airborne altimetry data which refer to WGS84.
- <sup>15</sup> The data set with the best spatial coverage across Austfonna is from an airborne radio-echo sounding (RES) campaign carried out by the Scott Polar Research Institute (SPRI) and the Norwegian Polar Institute (NPI) in spring 1983 (Dowdeswell et al., 1986). Measurements were performed along a 5–10 km grid using a 60 MHz RES instrument (Fig. 1c) that registered both surface and bedrock returns. The position-
- ing of the aircraft relied on a ranging system from the airplane to four ground-based transponders of which the position was accurately determined by satellite geoceivers. A more precise airplane altitude was determined from a pressure altimeter which was frequently calibrated over sea level in order to minimize errors from temporal pressure variations. However, such a calibration does not account for potential biases arising
- from local pressure anomalies across the ice cap. Pressure measurements in spring 2008 indicated that the air pressure at the center of the ice cap can deviate significantly (~3 hPa) from the predicted pressure based on simultaneous measurements at the coast. The pressure at the center was typically higher than expected during periods of calm and clear weather. If such conditions were ambient during the 1983 RES survey,

## TCD 3, 857-893, 2009 Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard G. Moholdt et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion



this would have resulted in a systematic elevation underestimation of up to 20–30 m in the ice cap interior. To avoid inaccuracies in our elevation change estimates caused by this potential bias, we chose to use RES ice thickness data instead. Ice thickness was estimated from the time difference between tracked surface and bedrock echoes

- <sup>5</sup> multiplied by the signal velocity and should thus be independent of air pressure. A few profiles of 60 MHz RES were also obtained in spring 2007 by NSI-DTU (Fig. 1c) simultaneously with the laser profiling described earlier. The aircraft position was precisely determined by three onboard GNSS receivers, and the RES ice thickness processing was done in a semi-automatic way using a surface and bottom detection software (Kristensen et al., 2008).
- In order to convert volume changes into mass changes, information about the temporal evolution of the firn pack is needed. Several firn thickness and density profiles were obtained from shallow ice cores in spring 1998 and 1999 (Pinglot et al., 2001). A few shallow ice cores were also drilled in 2006 and 2007. Since 2004, the annual snow pack and glacier facies have been investigated by snow pits, probing and GPR profiling (Taurisano et al., 2007; Dunse et al., 2009). A neutron probe was used in spring 2007 to obtain four high resolution firn density profiles in connection with CryoSat-2 calibration work (Brandt et al., 2008). The bulk firn densities are typically ranging from 400 to 600 kg/m<sup>3</sup> with some ice layers of higher density and a general increase of

<sup>20</sup> density with depth.

The geodetic change rates were also compared to point mass balance measurements acquired in annual field campaigns from 2004 to 2008. Stake and snow pit measurements have been carried out in late April/early May along several transects (Fig. 1d). Stake heights were measured down to the snow surface and down to last year's summer surface. The density of the winter snow pack was determined from snow pit measurements, while detailed density data from the end of summer snow pack was not available. The only stake transect that has been repeated each year is that of Etonbreen (Fig. 1d).





### 4 Methods

The goal of this study was to determine long-term trends of geometric change of Austfonna. However, there are only a limited number of reliable elevation data sets prior to 2002, and the spatial coverage of individual data sets is too sparse for volume change

<sup>5</sup> calculations. To make best use of the available elevation data, we calculated elevation change rates (dh/dt) for all possible time intervals spanning three years or more within the 2002–2008 period. In order to avoid seasonal elevation biases in our analysis, we only compared data between similar seasons, e.g. winter to winter and fall to fall. Using average dh/dt rates from various elevation data sets and time spans allows for a robust estimation of the overall volume change and geometric change trend over the 2002–2008 period.

Elevation change rates were calculated at crossover points between two profiles (within and between GNSS, airborne laser and ICESat) and along repeated tracks (within GNSS or ICESat). Elevations at crossover points were determined by linear

- <sup>15</sup> interpolation of the measurements between the two closest footprints within 200 m distance in each profile (Fig. 2a). The elevation differences (*dh*) at each crossover point were divided by the number of years ( $dt \ge 3y$ ) between the two surveys to obtain elevation change rates (dh/dt). Repeat track profiles in the GNSS and ICESat data sets were compared separately. Surface GNSS profiles are generally repeated within
- <sup>20</sup> a stripe of 10–15 m width, and individual tracks cross each other frequently and randomly. Hence, we compared each GNSS point in one profile to the closest point in another profile within a radius of 5 m. This ensures a sufficiently dense sampling of dh/dt points. Furthermore, the vertical error due to cross-track slope is kept minimal since a typical Austfonna surface slope of 1° over 5 m would introduce a relative <sup>25</sup> elevation bias of less than 0.10 m.

It is difficult to compare repeat track ICESat profiles due to the relatively large crosstrack separation distance between repeating profiles. The average cross-track separation of 90 m at Austfonna with a 1° slope would introduce a relative elevation bias of

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard





1.6 m. We used a new digital elevation model (DEM) of 25 m horizontal resolution that was constructed from DInSAR and ICESat altimetry to correct for elevation differences caused by the cross-track slope. For each pair of repeat tracks, the oldest profile was chosen as the reference profile. The second profile was projected onto the reference

- profile using the cross-track elevation differences in the DEM. Elevation change was 5 then calculated at each DEM-projected point along the reference profiles by linearly interpolating neighbouring footprints along the reference profiles to the respective point locations (Fig. 2b). Repeat pass dh/dt points derived with a cross-track separation larger than 200 m or a DEM correction larger than 5 m were ignored.
- The calculated dh/dt points from crossover and repeat track analysis were unevenly 10 distributed in space and often represented change rates from different time spans and methods. In order to obtain a robust estimate of the overall elevation change trend over the 2002–2008 period, we averaged all dh/dt points within 2 km clusters for the data sets in Fig. 1a (crossover points and GNSS repeat track data) and Fig. 1b (ICE-
- Sat repeat track data). The clustered dh/dt points were then plotted as a function of 15 elevation (Fig. 3a), and higher order polynomial functions were fitted to the data (e.g. Kääb, 2008). The  $r^2$  coefficient of determination and the RMS error of the polynomial fits were typically stabilizing ( $r^2 \sim 0.7$  and RMS  $\sim 0.4$  m) after adding a third order coefficient. Thus, third order polynomial fits were used to parameterize all elevation change

- elevation relationships (Fig. 3). 20

Volume change rates dV/dt were estimated by integrating the polynomial functions over the glacier part of the DInSAR/ICESat DEM (Fig. 4). Area-averaged specific elevation change rates (dh/dt) were found by dividing dV/dt by the corresponding glacier area. We also tested discrete hypsometric approaches where volume changes are first calculated for separate elevation bins as the product between the elevation change rate 25 and the elevation bin area, and then summed up to provide the total volume change. The elevation change rate used for one elevation bin is typically the mean (e.g. Arendt et al., 2002) or the median (e.g. Abdalati et al., 2004) of the change rates within that bin or an extracted value from a polynomial fit (Nuth et al., 2009). The dh/dt estimates





from these three methods using 100 m elevation bins were all within  $0.02 \text{ m w.e. y}^{-1}$  of the continuous integration method used in this study.

It is expected that the geometric changes vary regionally and from basin to basin due to the accumulation gradient across Austfonna and the surge-type characteristics

of some drainage basins. Comparative *dh/dt* calculations were done for the southern basins versus the northern basins (Figs. 1d and 3c) and for surge-type basins versus basins without any reported surge history (Figs. 1d and 3b). Here, the crossover points and GNSS repeat track data (Fig. 1a) were mixed and clustered (2 km) with the ICESat repeat track data (Fig. 1b) in order to obtain a better spatial distribution of *dh/dt* points
 within the selected regions. The sum of the regional *dV/dt* rates was then used to

estimate the total dV/dt and the glacier-wide dh/dt.

To calculate mass balance from geodetic volume change data is complicated due to potential changes in firn thickness and density between the surveys. We considered this uncertainty by calculating a lower and an upper estimate of the area-averaged spe-

- <sup>15</sup> cific mass balance (*b*). For the upper mass balance estimate ( $b_{max}$ ) we applied Sorge's Law (Bader, 1954) assuming that the firn density and thickness have not changed significantly. Hence, the volumetric changes (dV/dt) were multiplied by the density of ice ( $\rho_{ice}$ =900 kg m<sup>-3</sup>) and divided by the glacier area to obtain the water equivalent  $b_{max}$ . For the lower mass balance estimate ( $b_{min}$ ) we accounted for a potential build-up of firn
- <sup>20</sup> during the 2002–2008 period. Dunse et al. (2009) derived from GPR profiling that the firn line elevation increased slightly from 2003 to 2004 (<50 m) and then lowered over the next two years from ~650 to ~550 m elevation in the north-west and from ~600 to 4–500 m in the south-east. Based on this, we calculated  $b_{\rm min}$  using the density of ice ( $\rho_{\rm ice}$ =900 kg m<sup>-3</sup>) for all changes below the firn line (super-imposed ice area and
- <sup>25</sup> ablation area) and the density of firn ( $\rho_{\rm firn} \sim 500 \,\rm kg \,m^{-3}$ ) for all changes above the firn line. Average firn line elevations of 600 and 500 m were used for the northern and the southern basins, respectively. The real mass balance is expected to lie somewhere between these two extreme cases of firn density conversion. We used the average of  $b_{\rm min}$  and  $b_{\rm max}$  as the final estimate of water equivalent mass balance (*b*).



The effect of glacier retreat and advance on area-averaged dh/dt rates is usually accounted for by dividing the total volume change by the average glacier area of the measurement period (e.g. Arendt et al., 2002). However, most of Austfonna is terminating into the sea, and ice volume changes below sea level can not be measured by

- <sup>5</sup> laser altimetry or GNSS. Elevation change measurements in the proximity of the 20– 40 m high calving fronts can also vary by tens of meters over short distances due to terminus fluctuations. Therefore, we excluded all observations below 25 m a.s.l. in the polynomial fitting. Instead we applied marine loss rates from Dowdeswell et al. (2008) to consider terminus changes in the total mass balance.
- <sup>10</sup> The RES ice thickness data sets from 1983 and 2007 were compared by calculating the difference in ice thickness at 75 crossover points and dividing by the 24 years time span (Fig. 1c). A linear regression curve ( $r^2$ =0.20) and a third order polynomial curve ( $r^2$ =0.23) were fitted to the ice thickness change points (dh/dt) in order to reveal possible elevation change trends over the 1983–2007 period (Fig. 3d). Volume change <sup>15</sup> and mass balance were not calculated from the RES measurements due to the high
  - noise level and the uncertainty about systematic errors.

Surface net mass balances were estimated for each stake from the difference in stake height down to the previous summer surface between two consecutive years. The density of ice ( $\rho_{ice}$ =900 kg m<sup>-3</sup>) was used to convert stake height changes into mass changes in the ablation or super-imposed ice areas, while the average density of

- <sup>20</sup> mass changes in the ablation or super-imposed ice areas, while the average density of firn ( $\rho_{\text{firn}} \sim 500 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ) was used for the stakes in the firn areas. The annual net mass balances were averaged over the 2004–2008 period. Only stake measurements that covered the entire time span were used in the analysis. We parameterized the mass balance – elevation relationship by fitting polynomial functions to the data (Fig. 5), sep-
- <sup>25</sup> arately for the southern and northern basins. The RMS and  $r^2$  of the fits stabilized after adding a second order coefficient. The second order polynomial functions were then integrated over the DInSAR/ICESat DEM (Fig. 4) in order to obtain estimates of the volume change rates excluding calving. Since all stake data were referenced to previous summer surfaces, the 2004–2009 spring measurements yielded mass balance





rates for the period between fall 2003 and fall 2008 (5 mass balance years).

Annual and seasonal mass balances were calculated for the Eton-/Winsnesbreen basin which is the only mass balance profile that has been measured each year since 2004. Winter mass balances at stakes were derived from the snow depth in the sub-

sequent spring considering the bulk density measured in a snow pit. Summer mass balances were obtained by subtracting the winter balances from the net balances. Second order polynomial functions were used to extrapolate the point observations to all elevations of the Eton-/Winsnesbreen part of the DEM (Fig. 4) and to calculate volume changes. Area-averaged specific winter, summer and net mass balances were calculated for each year from 2004 to 2008 (Fig. 6) by dividing the volume changes by the basin area.

#### 5 Error analysis

The uncertainty of an area-averaged elevation change rate  $(\varepsilon_{dh/dt})$  is equal the standard error of the polynomial fit assuming that elevation change points are randomly spatially distributed, have no spatial autocorrelation and no systematic elevation errors:

$$\varepsilon_{\frac{dh}{dt}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{fit}}}{\sqrt{f}} \tag{1}$$

where  $\sigma_{fit}$  is the RMS error of the polynomial fit and *f* is the degrees of freedom of the polynomial fit, i.e. the number of elevation change clusters (e.g. 208 in the south and 179 in the north) minus the number of coefficients in the polynomial function (4). The GNSS profiles are slightly biased towards the higher elevations in the central parts of the ice cap, whereas the airborne laser profiles and the ICESat profiles also cover the lower elevations. Altogether, the elevation change clusters provide a good coverage over most glacier basins (Figs. 1a and b). Averaging observations within 2 km clusters ensures that potential spatial biases are minimized and that the spatial autocorrelation

## 3, 857-893, 2009 Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard G. Moholdt et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

TCD



is low. Potential systematic elevation errors between the different observation techniques and periods are difficult to assess. A bias of 0.17 m was found between two overlapping GNSS and laser profiles obtained within a few days time in 2007. In the lack of more overlapping observations, we assume that systematic elevation errors are small and mainly random due to the variety of measurement techniques and time spans involved in the analysis. Thus, the three assumptions of the standard error equation are fulfilled.

The error budget of a geodetic dh/dt calculated from a limited sample of elevation change points can be divided into an observation error and an extrapolation error (e.g. Arendt et al., 2002; Nuth et al., 2009). The error of crossover dh/dt points can be quantified by analysing crossovers within short time spans where no significant elevation change is expected. Within individual ICESat observation periods (dt<30 d), the interquartile range (IQR) of 113 crossover points at Austfonna was 0.46 m. Similar findings have been reported for comparable slopes in Greenland and Antarctica

- <sup>15</sup> (Brenner et al., 2007). The airborne laser profiles have much fewer crossover points, but the precision should lie well within that of ICESat. For simplicity, we set an error estimate ( $\varepsilon_{cross}$ ) of 0.5 m for all crossover points. Errors in repeat track comparisons are mainly due to track divergence. Hagen et al. (2005) found that the precision of GNSS profiles that were measured twice during the same field campaign was better
- <sup>20</sup> than 0.3 m even when the tracks were up to 90 m apart. However, we also accept a generous error estimate ( $\varepsilon_{GNSS}$ ) of 0.5 m for the GNSS repeat track data. The main error component in the repeat track ICESat analysis is the cross-track DEM correction. This DEM error can be estimated by comparing elevation differences between pairs of altimetric points (e.g. the elevation difference between two neighbour ICESat points
- separated by ~170 m) with the elevation differences between the corresponding point pair locations in the DEM. The relative error of the DEM ranges from ~0.4 m (IQR) over a 50 m distance to ~1.4 m over a 170 m distance. The additional error due to alongtrack interpolation should be less than the crossover point error at 0.5 m. Based on an average cross-track separation of 90 m between repeating profiles, we accept 1 m for

## TCD 3, 857-893, 2009 Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard G. Moholdt et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion



the repeat track ICESat point error ( $\varepsilon_{ICESat}$ ). Assuming that all elevation change points within each 2 km cluster are fully correlated, the cluster error ( $\varepsilon_{clust}$ ) equals the mean of the individual point errors within that cluster:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{clust}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{PT}}}{dt} \right)_{i}$$

. .

<sup>5</sup> where  $\varepsilon_{PT}$  represents the error of one elevation change point ( $\varepsilon_{cross}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{GNSS}$  or  $\varepsilon_{ICESat}$ ), *dt* is the time span ( $\geq$ 3 years), and *n* is the number of elevation change points within the cluster. The estimated cluster errors can be used to weight the elevation change clusters in the polynomial fitting procedure according to the expected uncertainties (e.g.  $w=1/\varepsilon_{clust}^2$ ). In this study, the difference in dh/dt between using such a weighting scheme and using no weights at all was smaller than 0.02 m y<sup>-1</sup>.

When we assume that there is no spatial autocorrelation between the clusters, it implies that the effect of cluster errors on the overall elevation change rate is reduced with an increasing number of clusters (*N*). The cluster errors ( $\varepsilon_{clust}$ ) can thus be combined into an overall observation error ( $\varepsilon_{OBS}$ ) related to the uncertainty of the measurements:

15 
$$\varepsilon_{\text{OBS}} = \sqrt{1/\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\text{clust}_i}^2}}$$

The area-averaged elevation error  $\varepsilon_{dh/dt}$  is a combined result of the observation error  $(\varepsilon_{OBS})$  and the spatial extrapolation error  $\varepsilon_{EXT}$ . Since  $\varepsilon_{OBS}$  and  $\varepsilon_{EXT}$  are independent, they will combine as root-sum-squares (RSS) to form  $\varepsilon_{dh/dt}$ . Thus, the unknown extrapolation error  $(\varepsilon_{EXT})$  can be estimated from:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{EXT}} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\frac{dh}{dt}}^2 - \varepsilon_{\text{OBS}}^2}$$
(4)

The error introduced when converting elevation change to water equivalent mass change is more difficult to quantify due to the temporal variation in firn thickness and TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

(2)

(3)

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard





density. Instead, we provide a minimum  $(b_{min})$  and a maximum  $(b_{max})$  estimate of the mass balance. The range between these two extreme values can be used to estimate a density conversion error:

$$\varepsilon_{\rho} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{\max} - b_{\min})$$

<sup>5</sup> We also have to account for ice losses due to calving front retreat in the total estimate of Austfonna's mass balance. This adds an additional error ( $\varepsilon_{RETR} = E_{RETR}/A$ ) in the mass balance that follows from the volumetric retreat error estimate ( $E_{RETR}$ ) of Dowdeswell et al. (2008) and the glacier area (A). All the described error components can finally be combined as RSS to form the total mass balance error estimate:

10 
$$\varepsilon_b = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{OBS}}^2 + \varepsilon_{\text{EXT}}^2 + \varepsilon_{\rho}^2 + \varepsilon_{\text{RETR}}^2}$$

Volumetric errors (*E*) are easily obtained by multiplying the specific errors ( $\varepsilon$ ) with the glacier area. In order to see the influence of the errors at different elevations, the computations above can be done separately for a number of elevation bins. Figure 7 shows the different error components as a function of elevation for 50 m elevation bins. The RSS of the volumetric bin errors will be equal to the everall volumetric errors if the

<sup>15</sup> The RSS of the volumetric bin errors will be equal to the overall volumetric errors if the elevation change points are randomly distributed over the ice cap.

The ice thickness comparison between 1983 and 2007 (Fig. 3d) is too coarse for a thorough error analysis. The precision of the 1983 ice thickness data is 22 m based on the IQR of 167 crossover points, while the 2007 ice thickness data have a precision

of 8 m (IQR) and an accuracy of ~1 m (mean error) as compared to overlapping low-frequency (20 MHz GPR) surface profiles from 2008. Although the random errors are fairly well known, we can not exclude the possibility of systematic errors attributed to differences in the RES signal processing between the 1983 and 2007 campaigns. The RES data sets are thus better suitable for interpretation of geometric change than for calculation of volume change.

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

(5)

(6)

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard





#### 6 Results and discussion

Figures 1 and 3 show a pronounced interior thickening of up to  $0.5 \text{ m y}^{-1}$ , at the same time as the margins are thinning at a rate of  $1-3 \text{ m y}^{-1}$ . The 2002–2008 growth rates are consistent with those found by Bamber et al. (2004) along several laser altimetry profiles. The same elevation change pattern is also evident in the 1983–2007 com-

- <sup>5</sup> profiles. The same elevation change pattern is also evident in the 1983–2007 comparison of ice thicknesses although the actual change rates remain uncertain due to the low accuracy of the measurements (Fig. 3d). However, it seems that the interior of Austfonna has been growing at a faster rate during 2002–2008 than 1983– 2007. The total ice volume change (excluding calving front retreat) is estimated to be
- <sup>10</sup> 0.37±0.18 km<sup>3</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (or dh/dt=0.05 m y<sup>-1</sup>) for the 2002–2008 period (Table 2). When converting to water equivalent changes, the estimates range from 0.33 km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> (or  $b_{max}$ =0.04 m w.e. y<sup>-1</sup>) to -0.11 km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> (or  $b_{min}$ =-0.01 m w.e. y<sup>-1</sup>) for firn area density conversion factors between the densities of ice ( $\rho_{ice}$ =900 kg m<sup>-3</sup>) and firn ( $\rho_{firn}$ ~500 kg m<sup>-3</sup>), respectively.
- <sup>15</sup> Mass build up in the accumulation area combined with deficits in the ablation area is typical for glaciers in a quiescent phase of their surge cycle. Bevan et al. (2007) found that the accumulation areas of surge-type basins at Austfonna were thickening at a higher rate than most other basins. Our elevation change curves for known surge-type basins versus other basins (Fig. 3b) do not indicate a clear difference in
- 20 geometric change between these two glacier types at Austfonna. However, the areaaveraged elevation change is slightly more negative for the three surge-type basins due to their relatively large areas at low elevations, the aftermath of previous surges. Potential differences in geometric change between individual basins are probably of smaller magnitudes than the overall change pattern which is seen across all basins.
- The general trend towards surface steepening suggests that other basins might also be capable of surging in the future. Lefauconnier and Hagen (1991) suggest that up to 90% of Svalbard's glaciers are surge-type, although most of them lack historic records of surges.

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard



The southern basins are generally thickening at a faster rate than the northern basins (Fig. 1c). This is in agreement with the south-east to north-west accumulation gradient across Austfonna (Taurisano et al., 2007). The elevation change curves of the southern and northern basins are crossing the zero change line at elevations of 260 m and

- <sup>5</sup> 330 m, respectively (Fig. 3c). This is a slightly smaller south to north gradient than the ~100 m difference seen in ELA estimates from shallow ice cores (Pinglot et al., 2001) and from mass balance stakes (this study, Fig. 5). The average ELA for the 2004–2008 period is 300 m for the southern basins and 450 m for the northern basins which agrees well with the long-term lower boundary of the super-imposed ice area mapped and the super-impo
- <sup>10</sup> by Dunse et al. (2009). The southern and northern ELAs correspond to an average accumulation-area ratio (AAR) of 60%.

Elevation change curves can not be directly compared with surface mass balance curves due to ice submergence/emergence. However, if a glacier is stagnant or moves at very low velocities, the two curves will approach each other as was observed for

- <sup>15</sup> Kongsvegen, a surge-type glacier in western Spitsbergen (Melvold and Hagen, 1998). Velocity fields from DInSAR (Bevan et al., 2007; Dowdeswell et al., 2008) and repeat GNSS measurements of mass balance stakes show that, apart from a few fast flowing units (Dowdeswell et al., 1999), most of Austfonna is stagnant at velocities <10 m y<sup>-1</sup>. This is probably the main reason why the interior of Austfonna appears to be in a steady
- <sup>20</sup> phase of growth. Bevan et al. (2007) found that the overall ice flux across the ELA in winter 1994/96 was only half of the mean 1986–1999 annual net mass balance above the ELA (Pinglot et al., 2001). The elevation change curves in Fig. 3c are comparable in shape to the surface mass balance curves in Fig. 5, implying that the ice flow is far too slow to balance out the current accumulation rate. Hence, the main pattern of elevation elevation balance at Austforms is deminated by the surface mass balance with the ice
- elevation change at Austfonna is dominated by the surface mass balance with the ice submergence/emergence playing a secondary role.

Bamber et al. (2004) and Raper et al. (2005) linked the interior thickening between 1996 and 2002 to higher than normal precipitation rates. The mean net mass balance of the uppermost stakes can be compared with the long-term mean net mass bal-

## TCD 3, 857-893, 2009 Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard G. Moholdt et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables 14 Close Back Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion



ance derived from shallow ice cores (Pinglot et al., 2001). Figure 5 indicates a mean 2004–2008 summit mass balance between 0.5 and  $0.6 \,\mathrm{m\,w.e.\,y^{-1}}$  which is similar to the 1986–1999 rates from summit ice cores. Two of the drilling sites were revisited in 2006 and 2007 to retrieve comparable ice core samplings for the 1986–2006/07 period.

<sup>5</sup> The results did not indicate any significant changes in the mean annual accumulation rate between the two periods 1986–1999 and 1986–2006/07. Since there is no evidence of increased precipitation over the last few decades, we interpret the observed mass build-up in the accumulation area as a natural growth that has been going on for decades and will continue in the nearest future until it is compensated by glacier acceleration or a more negative mass balance regime.

The polynomial fits to the surface mass balance point measurements (Fig. 5) were integrated over the DEM in the southern and northern basins (Fig. 4), to obtain estimates of the water equivalent volume change excluding calving. The stake data yield an overall mean annual volume change rate of  $0.38 \text{ km}^3 \text{ w.e. y}^{-1}$  (or  $0.05 \text{ m w.e. y}^{-1}$ ) for the 2004–2008 mass balance years. The area-averaged surface mass balance 15 seems to be higher in the southern basins  $(0.09 \text{ m w.e. y}^{-1})$  than in the northern basins  $(-0.01 \text{ m w.e. y}^{-1})$ . Annual and seasonal mass balances for the Eton-/Winsnesbreen basin in the north-west are shown in Fig. 6. From 2004 to 2008, the annual net mass balance increased for each year from a very negative 2004  $(-0.51 \text{ m w.e. y}^{-1})$  to a very positive 2008 (0.49 m w.e. y<sup>-1</sup>). This remarkable trend is a combined effect of increased 20 winter accumulation and reduced summer ablation during the 5 years of observation. The concurrent lowering of the annual ELA at Eton-/Winsnesbreen was from 660 m (18% AAR) in 2004 to 120 m (97% AAR) in 2008. This lowering of the ELA coincides with the 2003–2006 firn area expansion mapped by Dunse et al. (2009), and must have caused an overall upbuilding of firn during the 2002-2008 geodetic observation 25 period. Still, using the density of firn ( $\rho_{\rm firn} \sim 500 \, \rm kg \, m^{-3}$ ) to convert elevation changes to mass changes in the firn area will probably cause an underestimation of the mass balance due to firn densification and internal refreezing over the 6 years time span

## TCD 3, 857-893, 2009 Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard G. Moholdt et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables



Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Close

Back

(e.g. Brandt et al., 2008). In order to provide one total number for the geodetic mass

balance *b* (excluding calving front retreat) during the 2002–2008 period (Table 2), we therefore took the average of the lower estimate  $b_{\min}$  ( $\rho_{\text{firn}} \sim 500 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ) and the upper estimate  $b_{\max}$  ( $\rho_{\text{firn}} = \rho_{\text{ice}}$ ).

- For tidewater glaciers, the iceberg calving flux must be included in the total mass
  <sup>5</sup> balance budget. Dowdeswell et al. (2008) estimated a total iceberg calving flux of 2.5±0.5 km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> for Austfonna, where ~1.1 km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> was due to the ice flux at the calving fronts and ~1.4 km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> was due to calving front retreat. Our geodetic volume change rates account for ice flux calving since the elevation change curve is integrated over the entire glacier area. Marine retreat loss on the other hand is not accounted for since all geodetic measurements below 25 m elevation were excluded from the analysis due to the discrete nature of elevation changes along a retreating calving front and the inability of laser altimeters to measure ice thickness changes below sea level. With almost no geodetic volume change above the calving fronts between 2002
- and 2008, the best estimate of Austfonna's total mass balance becomes almost similar to the proposed marine retreat loss, i.e.  $-1.3 \text{ km}^3 \text{ w.e. y}^{-1}$  (or  $-0.16 \text{ m w.e. y}^{-1}$ ). The stake measurements on the other hand yield a total mass balance of  $-2.1 \text{ km}^3 \text{ w.e. y}^{-1}$ (or  $-0.26 \text{ m w.e. y}^{-1}$ ) when the total iceberg calving flux ( $-2.5 \text{ km}^3 \text{ w.e. y}^{-1}$ ) is subtracted from the surface mass balance estimate ( $0.38 \text{ km}^3 \text{ w.e. y}^{-1}$ ). Before applying calving flux data from Dowdeswell et al. (2008), we have to assume that the marine
- retreat rates and the velocity fields of the ice cap have not changed significantly between the 1990s and the 2002–2008 period. GNSS observations of surface velocities at stakes and repeat pass ICESat observations of terminus retreat rates do not indicate any substantial changes, but the possibility can not be excluded since recent data on ice thickness and velocity along the calving fronts are lacking. The 0.9 km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> dif-
- ference between the total mass balance of the geodetic method and the in-situ method indicate that the ice flux calving rate is currently lower than what Dowdeswell et al. (2008) estimated. The discrepancy can also be related to the extrapolation of point measurements to the entire glacier area, to the slightly different time spans involved, as well as to the uncertainty of the density conversion factors.

## TCD 3, 857-893, 2009 Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard G. Moholdt et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion



The westerly location of most mass balance stakes could lead to a bias in the overall in-situ estimates towards lower surface mass balances due to less winter accumulation and a relatively higher firn line which exposes more ice to melting at a low albedo during the summer. Also, the surface mass balance at stakes will be underestimated if melt-<sup>5</sup> water or rain percolate through the last summer surface and refreeze in lower firn layers (Paterson, 1994). Brandt et al. (2008) found ice layers in the firn with thicknesses ranging from a few millimetres to more than 0.5 m, indicating substantial internal refreezing. Shallow ice core samples from the summit areas approach the density of ice already at 3–6 m depths, with no apparent change of firn thicknesses between 1999 (Pinglot et al., 2001) and 2007 (Brandt et al., 2008). Analysis of a deep ice core from 1987 indicated that 60–80% of the uppermost 50 m of ice was formed through refreezing (Zagorodnov and Arkhipov, 1990). Zagorodnov et al. (1990) also noted the presence

- of sub-surface water pockets that form in depressions during years of warm summers when the amount of meltwater exceeds the amount which can refreeze. Surface undulations at a scale of a few kilometres, which are commonly seen on Austfonna, are
- <sup>15</sup> dulations at a scale of a few kilometres, which are commonly seen on Austfonna, are probably preventing the efficiency of meltwater drainage in the firn area. If we assume that all meltwater in the firn area is retained within the firn pack (as refrozen ice or water pockets) and that there is no significant summer accumulation, then the net mass balance at stakes in the firn area will be equal to the winter mass balance. Applied to the stake measurements at Austfonna, this would raise the specific surface mass balance from 0.05 to 0.12 m w.e. y<sup>-1</sup>. The most realistic surface mass balance will probably lie

somewhere between these two extreme cases.

Our close to zero geodetic mass balance estimate of Austfonna is similar to the 1990–2005 geodetic mass balance estimate of the adjacent Vestfonna ice cap (Nuth

et al., 2009). If marine retreat loss is included in the mass budget, Austfonna becomes more negative than Vestfonna where the calving fronts only span 44 km (Blaszczyk et al., 2009). In any case, the recent mass balance of the ice caps on Nordaustlandet is much less negative than the long-term trends of most Spitsbergen glaciers which have been thinning considerably over the last decades (e.g. Kohler et al., 2007; Nuth et al.,

## **TCD** 3, 857–893, 2009

## Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard





2009). The current change rates at Austfonna could in theory differ considerably from the long-term decadal trends due to the short time span of this study and the large variability in annual net mass balances (Fig. 6). However, the good correspondence between the mean net mass balance in the summit area during 1986–1998/99 (Pinglot et al., 2001) and during 2004–2008 (this study) indicates that the most recent mass

balance conditions are also representative for the last few decades.

Austfonna's present contribution to sea level change is difficult to quantify due to the uncertain distinction between marine ice losses above and below sea level. If we assume an average ice thickness of 120 m at the calving fronts (derived from 1983 RES data) and an average ice shift height of 20 m above sea level (derived from 1055 at all

- data) and an average ice cliff height of 30 m above sea level (derived from ICESat altimetry), then the contribution from terminus retreat or advance to sea level change will be ~17% of the water equivalent ice volume change at the terminus. Thus, Austfonna's 1.4 km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> terminus retreat loss will only displace 0.2 km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> of seawater which is almost balanced by the 0.1 km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> volume gain above the calving fronts.
   Austfonna's negligible contribution to sea level rise is in sharp contrast to the rest of
- Svalbard's glaciers which have contributed with  $9.5\pm1 \text{ km}^3 \text{ w.e. y}^{-1}$  over the last few decades (Nuth et al., 2009).

#### 7 Conclusions and outlook

The geometric change pattern during 2002–2008 corresponds well to the elevation changes derived from 1996–2002 airborne laser altimetry (Bamber et al., 2004). Here, we show that the elevation changes are mainly driven by the surface mass balance with the response of surface thickening at high elevations and thinning at low elevations. This is a typical pattern for surge-type glaciers in their quiescent phase of a surge cycle (e.g. Hagen et al., 2005). The interior thickening rate of up to 0.5 m y<sup>-1</sup> is higher
than what is seen on quiescent phase surge-type glaciers in Spitsbergen over the last

than what is seen on quiescent phase surge-type glaciers in Spitsbergen over the last few decades (Nuth et al., 2009). The general trend of surface steepening at Austfonna may ultimately lead to surge activity in some of the drainage basins.



The mean mass balance of Austfonna in the 2002–2008 period is estimated to be  $-1.3\pm0.5$  km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> (or  $-0.16\pm0.06$  m w.e.) when taking into account the marine retreat loss of  $1.4\pm0.4$  km<sup>3</sup> w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> from Dowdeswell et al. (2008). It remains uncertain how representative these numbers are for the longer term mass balance of Austfonna.

- <sup>5</sup> However, ice cores and in-situ mass balance measurements do not indicate any significant changes in the mean surface mass balance in the summit area since the 1986–1998/99 period (Pinglot et al., 2001). The 2002–2008 elevation change pattern is also recognized in the 1983–2007 RES ice thickness data, although the accuracy of the RES data is too low for mass balance calculations. Since there is a lack of high quality recorded and the mean surface measurements area the decorded problem.
- geodetic data prior to 1996, further questions on the decadal evolution of the mass balance of the ice cap need to be addressed by means of other methods like e.g. mass balance models utilizing meteorological reanalysis data.

This study underlines that Austfonna in many ways needs to be treated separately from most other glaciers and ice caps in Svalbard when assessing the overall mass

- <sup>15</sup> budget of the archipelago. While the surface mass balance seems to be close to zero at present, the rapid retreat of the extensive calving fronts is still causing a significantly negative total mass balance. The widespread geometric changes imply that Austfonna is not in dynamic balance with its current climate. Geometric adjustments of drainage basins through glacier acceleration or surge activity are likely to occur on a century time
- scale. Such potential mass redistributions will also largely influence the mass balance regime of the ice cap, i.e. a more negative mass balance after a surge due to the expanded area at low elevations. Austfonna might therefore be out of phase with the surface mass balance regimes of many other glaciers and ice caps on Svalbard due to differences in their past dynamics. Numerical modelling of Austfonna's dynamics and
- <sup>25</sup> mass balance will be a key to gain more insight into the importance of these processes in the longer term mass balance evolution of the ice cap.

Acknowledgements. The fieldwork at Austfonna is a joint project between the University of Oslo and the Norwegian Polar Institute (NPI). Funding is mainly provided from the CryoSat calibration and validation experiment (CryoVEX) coordinated by the European Space Agency,





and the International Polar Year project GLACIODYN; the dynamic response of Arctic glaciers to global warming. G. Moholdt was also supported through the Arkisstipend grant from the Svalbard Science Forum (SSF). The authors are thankful to all participants in the annual field campaigns, and to the contributors of high quality altimetric data, namely J. Dowdeswell and

5 T. Benham (1983 RES data), J. Bamber (2002 ATM LIDAR data), NSI-DTU (2004/07 LIDAR and 2007 RES data) and the National Snow and Ice Data Center (ICESat data). Furthermore, we acknowledge C. Nuth, T. Dunse and I. Koch for useful comments and contributions to the paper manuscript.

#### References

<sup>10</sup> Abdalati, W., Krabill, W., Frederick, E., Manizade, S., Martin, C., Sonntag, J., Swift, R., Thomas, R., Yungel, J., and Koerner, R.: Elevation changes of ice caps in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth Surf., 109, F04007, doi:10.1029/2003JF000045, 2004.

Abshire, J. B., Sun, X. L., Riris, H., Sirota, J. M., McGarry, J. F., Palm, S., Yi, D. H., and Liiva, P.:

Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS) on the ICESat mission: On-orbit measurement performance, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L21S02, doi:10.1029/2005GL024028, 2005.

- Arendt, A., Echelmeyer, K., Harrison, W., Lingle, C., Zirnheld, S., Valentine, V., Ritchie, B., and Druckenmiller, M.: Updated estimates of glacier volume changes in the western Chugach Mountains, Alaska, and a comparison of regional extrapolation methods, J. Geophys. Res.-
- <sup>20</sup> Earth Surf., 111, F03019, doi:10.1029/2005JF000436, 2006.
  - Arendt, A. A., Echelmeyer, K. A., Harrison, W. D., Lingle, C. S., and Valentine, V. B.: Rapid wastage of Alaska glaciers and their contribution to rising sea level, Science, 297, 382–386, doi:10.1126/science.1072497, 2002.

Bader, H.: Sorge's Law of densification of snow on high polar glaciers, J. Glaciol., 2, 319–323,

25

1954.

Bamber, J., Krabill, W., Raper, V., and Dowdeswell, J.: Anomalous recent growth of part of a large Arctic ice cap: Austfonna, Svalbard, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L12402, doi:10.1029/2004GL019667, 2004.

Bamber, J. L., Krabill, W., Raper, V., Dowdeswell, J. A., and Oerlemans, J.: Elevation changes

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References Figures			
Tables				
	•			
Back	Close			
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				



measured on Svalbard glaciers and ice caps from airborne laser data, Ann. Glaciol., 42, 202–208, 2005.

Bevan, S., Luckman, A., Murray, T., Sykes, H., and Kohler, J.: Positive mass balance during the late 20th century on Austfonna, Svalbard, revealed using satellite radar interferometry, Ann.

#### <sup>5</sup> Glaciol., 46, 117–122, 2007.

Blaszczyk, M., Jania, J. A., and Hagen, J. O.: Tidewater glaciers of Svalbard: Recent changes and estimates of calving fluxes, Pol. Polar Res., 30, 85–142, 2009.

Brandt, O., Hawley, R. L., Kohler, J., Hagen, J. O., Morris, E. M., Dunse, T., Scott, J. B. T., and Eiken, T.: Comparison of airborne radar altimeter and ground-based Ku-band radar

<sup>10</sup> measurements on the ice cap Austfonna, Svalbard, The Cryosphere Discuss., 2, 777–810, 2008,

http://www.the-cryosphere.net/2/777/2008/.

Brenner, A. C., DiMarzio, J. R., and Zwally, H. J.: Precision and accuracy of satellite radar and laser altimeter data over the continental ice sheets, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 45, 321–331, 2007.

15 200

Dowdeswell, J. A., Drewry, D. J., Cooper, A. P. R., Gorman, M. R., Liestøl, O., and Orheim, O.: Digital mapping of the Nordaustlandet ice caps from airborne geophysical investigations, Ann. Glaciol., 8, 51–58, 1986.

Dowdeswell, J. A. and Drewry, D. J.: The dynamics of Austfonna, Nordaustlandet, Svalbard:

- <sup>20</sup> surface velocities, mass balance, and subglacial melt water, Ann. Glaciol., 12, 37–45, 1989. Dowdeswell, J. A., Hagen, J. O., Bjornsson, H., Glazovsky, A. F., Harrison, W. D., Holmlund, P., Jania, J., Koerner, R. M., Lefauconnier, B., Ommanney, C. S. L., and Thomas, R. H.: The mass balance of circum-Arctic glaciers and recent climate change, Quaternary Res., 48, 1–14, 1997.
- Dowdeswell, J. A., Unwin, B., Nuttall, A. M., and Wingham, D. J.: Velocity structure, flow instability and mass flux on a large Arctic ice cap from satellite radar interferometry, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 167, 131–140, 1999.

Dowdeswell, J. A., Benham, T. J., Strozzi, T., and Hagen, J. O.: Iceberg calving flux and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap on Nordaustlandet, Svalbard, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth Surf.,

<sup>30</sup> 113, F03022, doi:10.1029/2007JF000905, 2008.

Dunse, T., Schuler, T. V., Hagen, J. O., Eiken, T., Brandt, O., and Høgda, K. A.: Recent fluctuations in the extent of the firn area of Austfonna, Svalbard, inferred from GPR, Ann. Glaciol., 50, 155–162, 2009. 3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard

Title Page					
Abstract	Introduction				
Conclusions	References				
Tables	Figures				
•	•				
Back Close					
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version					
Interactive Discussion					



- Dyurgerov, M. B. and Meier, M. F.: Mass balance of mountain and subpolar glaciers: A new global assessment for 1961–1990, Arctic Alpine Res., 29, 379–391, 1997.
- Eiken, T., Hagen, J. O., and Melvold, K.: Kinematic GPS survey of geometry changes on Svalbard glaciers, Ann. Glaciol., 24, 157–163, 1997.
- <sup>5</sup> Forsberg, R., Keller, K., and Jacobsen, S. M.: Airborne lidar measurements for cryosat validation, in: Proceedings – Remote Sensing: Integrating Our View of the Planet, IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS 2002) and 24th Canadian Symposium on Remote Sensing, Toronto, Canada, 2002, 1756–1758, 2002.
  - Fricker, H. A., Borsa, A., Minster, B., Carabajal, C., Quinn, K., and Bills, B.: Assessment
- of ICESat performance at the Salar de Uyuni, Bolivia, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L21S06, doi:10.1029/2005GL023423, 2005.
  - Hagen, J. O., Kohler, J., Melvold, K., and Winther, J. G.: Glaciers in Svalbard: mass balance, runoff and freshwater flux, Polar Res., 22, 145–159, 2003a.
- Hagen, J. O., Melvold, K., Pinglot, F., and Dowdeswell, J. A.: On the net mass balance of the
   glaciers and ice caps in Svalbard, Norwegian Arctic, Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research,
   35, 264–270, 2003b.
  - Hagen, J. O., Eiken, T., Kohler, J., and Melvold, K.: Geometry changes on Svalbard glaciers: mass-balance or dynamic response? Ann. Glaciol., 42, 255–261, 2005.

Hock, R.: A distributed temperature-index ice- and snowmelt model including potential direct

- solar radiation, J. Glaciol., 45, 101–111, 1999.
  - Kohler, J., James, T. D., Murray, T., Nuth, C., Brandt, O., Barrand, N. E., Aas, H. F., and Luckman, A.: Acceleration in thinning rate on western Svalbard glaciers, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L18502, doi:10.1029/2007GL030681, 2007.

Krabill, W. B., Abdalati, W., Frederick, E. B., Manizade, S. S., Martin, C. F., Sonntag, J. G.,

- Swift, R. N., Thomas, R. H., and Yungel, J. G.: Aircraft laser altimetry measurement of elevation changes of the greenland ice sheet: technique and accuracy assessment, J. Geodyn., 34, 357–376, 2002.
  - Kristensen, S. S., Christensen, E. L., Hanson, S., Reeh, N., Skourup, H., and Stenseng, L.: Airborne ice-sounder survey of the Austfonna Ice Cap and Kongsfjorden Glacier at Svalbard,
- <sup>30</sup> 3 May 2007, Final Report, Danish National Space Center, Copenhagen, Denmark, 14 pp., 2008.
  - Kääb, A.: Glacier Volume Changes Using ASTER Satellite Stereo and ICESat GLAS Laser Altimetry. A Test Study on Edgeoya, Eastern Svalbard, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 46, 2823–

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard

Title Page					
Introduction					
References					
Figures					
•					
Close					
Full Screen / Esc					
Printer-friendly Version					
Interactive Discussion					



2830, doi:10.1109/tgrs.2008.2000627, 2008.

15

30

Lefauconnier, B. and Hagen, J. O.: Surging and calving glaciers in Eastern Svalbard, Meddelelser 116, Norwegian Polar Institute, Oslo, 130 pp., 1991.

Lemke, P., Ren, J., Alley, R. B., Allison, I., Carrasco, J., Flato, G., Fujii, Y., Kaser, G., Mote, P.,

- <sup>5</sup> Thomas, R. H., and Zhang, T.: Observations: Changes in Snow, Ice and Frozen Ground, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 337–383, 2007.
- <sup>10</sup> Luthcke, S. B., Arendt, A., Rowlands, D. D., McCarthy, J. J., and Larsen, C. F.: Recent glacier mass changes in the Gulf of Alaska region from GRACE mascon solutions, J. Glaciol., 54, 767–777, 2008.
  - Meier, M. F., Dyurgerov, M. B., Rick, U. K., O'Neel, S., Pfeffer, W. T., Anderson, R. S., Anderson, S. P., and Glazovsky, A. F.: Glaciers dominate Eustatic sea-level rise in the 21st century, Science, 317, 1064–1067, doi:10.1126/science.1143906. 2007.
- Melvold, K. and Hagen, J. O.: Evolution of a surge-type glacier in its quiescent phase: Kongsvegen, Spitsbergen, 1964–95, J. Glaciol., 44, 394–404, 1998.
  - Nuth, C., Kohler, J., Aas, H. F., Brandt, O., and Hagen, J. O.: Glacier geometry and elevation changes on Svalbard (1936–90): a baseline dataset, Ann. Glaciol., 46, 106–116, 2007.
- <sup>20</sup> Nuth, C., Moholdt, G., Kohler, J., and Hagen, J. O.: Geometric changes of Svalbard glaciers and contribution to sea-level rise, J. Geophys. Res., accepted, 2009.
  - Paterson, W. S. B.: The physics of glaciers, 3rd edn., Butterworth-Heinemann, Elsevier Science Ltd., Oxford, England, 1994.

Pinglot, J. F., Hagen, J. O., Melvold, K., Eiken, T., and Vincent, C.: A mean net accumulation

- pattern derived from radioactive layers and radar soundings on Austfonna, Nordaustlandet, Svalbard, J. Glaciol., 47, 555–566, 2001.
  - Raper, V., Bamber, J., and Krabill, W.: Interpretation of the anomalous growth of Austfonna, Svalbard, a large Arctic ice cap, Ann. Glaciol., 42, 373–379, 2005.
  - Rignot, E., Rivera, A., and Casassa, G.: Contribution of the Patagonia Icefields of South America to sea level rise, Science, 302, 434–437, 2003.
  - Schuler, T. V., Loe, E., Taurisano, A., Eiken, T., Hagen, J. O., and Kohler, J.: Calibrating a surface mass-balance model for Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard, Ann. Glaciol., 46, 241–248, 2007.
     Schytt, V.: Scientific Results of the Swedish Glaciological Expedition to Nordaustlandet, Spits-

3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard





bergen, 1957 and 1958, Geogr. Ann. A, 46, 242–281, 1964.

- Taurisano, A., Schuler, T. V., Hagen, J. O., Eiken, T., Loe, E., Melvold, K., and Kohler, J.: The distribution of snow accumulation across the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard: direct measurements and modelling, Polar Res., 26, 7-13, 2007.
- 5 Zagorodnov, V. S. and Arkhipov, S. M.: Studies of structure, composition and temperature regime of sheet glaciers of Svalbard and Severnaya Zemlya: methods and outcomes, Bull. Glacier Res., 8, 19–21, 1990 (in Russian).
  - Zagorodnov, V. S., Sinkevich, S. A., and Arkhipov, S. M.: Hydrothermal regime of the ice-divide area of Austfonna, Nordaustlandet, Data Glaciol. Stud., 68, 133-141, 1990, (in Russian).
- <sup>10</sup> Zwally, H. J., Schutz, B., Abdalati, W., Abshire, J., Bentley, C., Brenner, A., Bufton, J., Dezio, J., Hancock, D., Harding, D., Herring, T., Minster, B., Quinn, K., Palm, S., Spinhirne, J., and Thomas, R.: ICESat's laser measurements of polar ice, atmosphere, ocean, and land, J. Geodyn., 34, 405–445, 2002.

Zwally, H. J., Schutz, R., Bentley, C., Bufton, J., Herring, T., Minster, B., Spinhirne, J., and

Thomas, R.: GLAS/ICESat L1B Global Elevation Data V028, 20 February 2003 to 21 March 15 2008, Boulder, CO: National Snow and Ice Data Center, Digital media, 2008.

<b>TCD</b> 3, 857–893, 2009
Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard

G. Moholdt et al.

Title Page					
Abstract Introduction					
Conclusions	References				
Tables	Figures				
14 14					
Pool					
Back Close					
Full Screen / Esc					
Printer-friendly Version					
Interactive Discussion					

**Table 1.** Elevation data sets with years of measurements and estimated precision for single point measurements. Also shown are the methods of elevation change calculation and the associated accuracy of single point elevation changes. ICESat data has a *dh* accuracy of 0.5 m for crossover points and 1 m for repeat track points. The accuracy of RES ice thickness changes is not assessed due to potential systematic errors related to the RES signal processing in 1983 and 2007.

Data source	Year	h precision	dh accuracy	Method
ATM laser	2002	<0.10 m	0.5 m	Crossovers
DTU laser	2004, 2007	<0.10 m	0.5 m	Crossovers
GNSS	2004–2008	<0.10 m	0.5 m	Crossovers or repeat track
ICESat	2003–2008	<0.10 m	0.5 or 1 m	Crossovers or repeat track
SRPI RES	1983	~22 m	NA	Ice thickness crossovers
DTU RES	2007	~8 m	NA	Ice thickness crossovers

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

## Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard

Title Page					
Abstract Introduction					
Conclusions	References				
Tables Figures					
•	•				
Back	Close				
Full Screen / Esc					
Printer-friendly Version					
Interactive Discussion					

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

## Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard

G. Moholdt et al.

Title Page					
Abstract Introduction					
Conclusions References					
Tables Figures					
•					
Back Close					
Full Screen / Esc					
Printer-friendly Version					
Interactive Discussion					

**Table 2.** Geodetic estimates of area-averaged elevation change rates (dh/dt) and mass balances (*b*) for the southern basins, northern basins and the entire Austfonna. The total mass balance is estimated by adding the calving front retreat loss dV/dt (Dowdeswell et al., 2008) to the geodetic dV/dt and dividing by the corresponding glacier area. One standard deviation error estimates are provided for each change rate.

	Southern basins	Northern basins	Austfonna
Geodetic $\overline{dh/dt}$ (m y <sup>-1</sup> )	0.03±0.03	0.06±0.03	0.05±0.02
Geodetic $b$ (m w.e. y <sup>-1</sup> )	$-0.01\pm0.05$	0.04±0.03	$0.01 \pm 0.04$
Retreat <i>dV/dt</i> (km <sup>3</sup> w.e. y <sup>-1</sup> )	-1.1±0.03	-0.3±0.02	$-1.4\pm0.4$
Total mass balance (m w.e. $y^{-1}$ )	$-0.25 \pm 0.08$	$-0.04 \pm 0.06$	$-0.16 \pm 0.06$



**Fig. 1.** Clusters (2 km) of elevation change rates from 2002–2008 crossover points (ICESat, airborne laser and GNSS) and 2004–2008 repeat track GNSS (a), from 2003–2008 repeat track ICESat (b), and from 1983–2007 RES ice thickness crossover points (c). The spatial coverage of the different altimetry data sets is shown in grey (a and c). Figure (d) shows the location of mass balance stakes used in the analysis and the classification of drainage basins into southern, northern and surge type regions. The basins that are known to have surged are Etonbreen (S1), Braasvellbreen (S2) and Basin 3 (S3). The inset (in b) shows the location of Austfonna within the Svalbard archipelago.

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard







**Fig. 2.** The inset map shows all ICESat profiles on Austfonna and an example of two locations where elevation change can be estimated: (a) a crossover point is the location where two altimetry profiles (*A* and *B*) intersect each other. Linear interpolation between the two closest footprints was used to determine the crossover point elevation for each profile (*HA* and *HB*). The difference between the two elevations (dh=HB-HA) is the estimated elevation change at the crossover point. (b) Repeat track ICESat observations were compared stepwise: (1) Choose one profile as the reference profile (*C*). (2) Project each footprint from the other profile (*D*) perpendicularly to the reference profile (*C*) by means of the extracted elevation difference between the two locations in a DEM ( $HD_{REF}=HD_2+dH_{DEM}$ ). (3) Estimate the elevation of the reference profile ( $HC_{REF}$ ) at each DEM-projected point using linear interpolation between the two closest footprints ( $HC_1$  and  $HC_2$ ). (4) Calculate the elevation difference for each point pair along the reference profile to derive estimates of elevation change ( $dh=HD_{REF}-HC_{REF}$ ).

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard





**Fig. 3. (a)** Elevation change rates (2 km clusters) versus elevation for the two data sets in Fig. 1a (2002–2008 crossover points and 2004–2008 repeat track GNSS) and Fig. 1b (2003–2008 repeat track ICESat). **(b)** 2002–2008 elevation change rates for surge type basins versus other basins. **(c)** 2002–2008 elevation change rates for southern basins versus northern basins. The locations of the different basins are shown in Fig. 1d. The two data sets in (a) were mixed and clustered (2 km) before forming the data sets of (b) and (c). **(d)** shows 1983–2007 elevation change rates for RES ice thickness crossover points (Fig. 1c). Solid lines show third order polynomial fits to the dh/dt points (a–d), while the dashed line in (d) is a linear fit.

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard





**Fig. 4.** Glacier area per meter elevation for the southern basins, northern basins (Fig. 1d) and the Eton-/Winsnesbreen basin (Fig. 6). The three hypsometries were extracted from a new DInSAR/ICESat DEM which the polynomial fits of elevation change and mass balance were integrated over to obtain volume change rates. Note the relatively large glacier areas at low elevations in the southern basins.

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard





**Fig. 5.** Second order polynomials fitted to point observations of surface mass balance in the southern and northern basins. The area-averaged mass balance for the 2004–2008 mass balance years is  $0.09 \text{ m w.e. y}^{-1}$  for the southern basins and  $-0.01 \text{ m w.e. y}^{-1}$  for the northern basins, giving an overall specific mass balance of  $0.05 \text{ m w.e. y}^{-1}$  (or  $0.38 \text{ km}^3 \text{ w.e. y}^{-1}$ ). The average equilibrium line altitude (ELA) is 300 m in the south and 450 m in the north which corresponds to an overall accumulation-area ratio (AAR) of 60%.



Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 6.** Annual and seasonal surface mass balances for the Eton-/Winsnesbreen basin based on annual spring measurements. The inset map shows the basin location and the mass balance stakes that were used in the calculations. Annual ELAs are shown at the bottom. Note the rise in annual net mass balance and ELA from 2004 to 2008. The overall net mass balance of the basin is 0.02 m/yr w.e. and the overall ELA is 460 m (66% AAR) over the 5 years period.

## TCD

3, 857-893, 2009

Geometric changes and mass balance of the Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard







**Fig. 7.** Area-averaged elevation errors for 50 m elevation bins. There are three error components that vary with elevation; a spatial extrapolation error ( $\varepsilon_{EXT}$ ), an observation error ( $\varepsilon_{OBS}$ ), and a density conversion error ( $\varepsilon_{\rho}$ ). The two first ones ( $\varepsilon_{EXT}$  and  $\varepsilon_{OBS}$ ) are random errors that combine as a RSS in the overall error, while the last one ( $\varepsilon_{\rho}$ ) is a systematic error that must be summed up in the error budget. The overall area-averaged error components are 0.02 m w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> for  $\varepsilon_{EXT}$ , 0.01 m w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> for  $\varepsilon_{OBS}$ , and 0.03 m w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> for  $\varepsilon_{\rho}$ , resulting in a total RSS error of 0.04 m w.e. y<sup>-1</sup> in the area-averaged geodetic mass balance. An additional error component from the marine retreat loss ( $\varepsilon_{RETR}$ ) must be considered when assessing the total mass balance including calving front retreat (Table 2).



