

## ***Interactive comment on “Applicability of the Shallow Ice Approximation inferred from model inter-comparison using various glacier geometries” by M. Schäfer et al.***

**G. Gudmundsson (Editor)**

ghg@bas.ac.uk

Received and published: 6 January 2009

Your manuscript ‘Applicability of the Shallow Ice Approximation inferred from model inter-comparison using various glacier geometries’ has been reviewed and we have received your answer to issues raised by the reviewers.

Based on the two reviews, I cannot accept the manuscript for publication in The Cryosphere.

I find this unfortunate as there are a lot of interesting points in the manuscript. However, I agree with you that the manuscript has been submitted at an early stage, and, as you also point out in your response, some of the results are not new.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Both reviewers felt that a further discussion of relevant theoretical results was missing. They also questioned the particular design of some of the modelling experiments. The fact that the lead author now finds himself in a position where he can no longer invest large amount of time in improving the manuscript is not a justification for publishing the manuscript in its current status. Also, the fact that computer resources are currently not sufficient to do a full run of some of the experiments described in the manuscript cannot be used as a justification for publication of incomplete model runs.

I suggest not getting too discouraged by this rejection. Look carefully at the reviews and consider how the model experiments can be modified and redesigned for future model-intercomparison experiments.

Greetings

G. Hilmar Gudmundsson

Editor of The Cryosphere

---

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., 2, 557, 2008.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

