

The Cryosphere Discuss., 2, 153–184, 2008
www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/2/153/2008/
© Author(s) 2008. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.



The Cryosphere Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of *The Cryosphere*

Evaluation of the ground surface Enthalpy balance from bedrock shallow borehole temperatures (Livingston Island, Maritime Antarctic)

M. Ramos¹ and G. Vieira²

¹Department of Physics, University of Alcalá, 28871 Alcalá de Henares, Spain

²Centre for Geographical Studies/Department of Geography, University of Lisbon, 1600-214 Lisbon, Portugal

Received: 22 February 2008 – Accepted: 4 March 2008 – Published: 31 March 2008

Correspondence to: M. Ramos (miguel.ramos@uah.es)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

TCD

2, 153–184, 2008

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

The annual evolution of the ground temperatures from Incinerador borehole in Livingston Island (South Shetlands, Antarctic) is studied. The borehole is 2.4 m deep and is located in a quartzite outcrop in the proximity of the Spanish Antarctic Station Juan Carlos I. In order to model the movement of the 0°C isotherm (velocity and maximum depth) hourly temperature profiles from: (i) the cooling periods of the frost seasons of 2000 to 2005, and (ii) the warming periods of the thaw seasons of 2002–2003, 2003–2004 and 2004–2005, were studied. In this modelling approach, heat gains and losses across ground surface are considered to be the causes for the 0°C isotherm movement.

A methodological approach to calculate the Enthalpy change based on the thermodynamic analysis of the ground during the cooling and warming periods is proposed. The Enthalpy change is equivalent to the heat exchange through the ground surface during each season, thus enabling to describe the interaction ground-atmosphere and providing valuable data for studies on permafrost and periglacial processes. The bedrock density is considered to be constant in the borehole and initial isothermal conditions at 0°C are assumed to run the model. The final stages correspond to the temperatures at the end of the cooling and warming periods (annual minima and maxima).

1 Introduction

Climate change and permafrost in the Antarctic

Mesoscale modelling results indicate that air temperature increase will be highest in the high latitudes, with rapid changes prone to occur in the Polar Regions (Anisimov et al., 1997). In the Antarctic, the 50 last years of meteorological observations show that the climate variability was not homogenous. The climate of the Antarctic Peninsula region has experienced a major warming trend over the last 50 years with annual mean air temperatures at Faraday/Vernadsky station having increased at a rate of

TCD

2, 153–184, 2008

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Ground surface
Enthalpy balance**

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

0.56°C/decade and 1.09°C/decade during the winter (King, 1994; Turner et al., 2005). In this region the surface mass balance has increased at isolated sites (Peel, 1992), the number of winter precipitation events in Rothera has increased by 50% (Turner et al., 1997), and a number of ice shelves have retreated and disintegrated (Vaughan and Doake, 1996; Scambos et al., 2003). Several factors contributing to the anomalous warming in the Antarctic Peninsula and the Weddell Sea region have been proposed, some of them related to the increase in westerlies observed over the last 30 years (Marshall, 2002).

Increasing air temperatures and precipitation may cause the degradation or even the disappearance of permafrost in the sporadic permafrost zone, where current climatic conditions produce near-zero annual air temperatures, such as the South Shetlands Islands, north of the Antarctic Peninsula.

The energy exchange between the ground surface and the atmosphere depends on the radiation balance, ground heat fluxes and turbulent heat fluxes at the ground and snow surfaces. These are especially complex in the alpine or polar maritime areas, where the relief is mountainous and snow cover influence is particularly strong (Van Lipzig et al., 2004; King and Turner, 1997; King et al., 2003). The seasonal snow cover, which presents a barrier to ground heat loss in winter, is a leading factor in the ground thermal regime and active layer depth (Lachenbruch, 1959; Outcalt et al., 1975; Goodrich, 1982; Williams and Smith, 1989; Zhang et al., 1996; Romanosky and Osterkamp, 2000; Ling and Zhang, 2004). Snow has a high surface albedo and high emissivity, inducing cooling of the snow surface, while its low thermal conductivity makes it a good insulator. The ground heat flux is another important magnitude in the energy balance and the main factors that control it in permafrost terrain are: (i) moisture content in the active layer, (ii) thaw effects at the free boundary, and (iii) non-conductive heat transfer effects (variable thermal diffusivity).

The active layer thickness and dynamics are extremely important factors in polar ecology. Since most exchanges of energy, moisture, and gases between the atmospheric and terrestrial systems occur through the active layer, its thickening has im-

portant effects on physical, geomorphic, hydrologic and biological processes (Nelson et al., 1993). Furthermore, the issue of active layer response to climate change is of increasing concern, particularly in respects to its degradation and consequent physico-chemical influences on the biogeochemical cycle of carbon and on global change modelling (Anisimov et al., 1997; Osterkamp, 2003).

Compared to the Arctic, very little is known about Antarctic permafrost (Bockheim, 1995). In 2004 only 4 active layer boreholes were being monitored in the Antarctic Peninsula Region and a number as small as 21 in the whole Antarctic Region (Bockheim, 2004). Complex logistical and maintenance problems and the remoteness of the Antarctic are the main causes for this scarcity. The limited knowledge of the ground temperature conditions led to a recent effort to increase active layer and permafrost research in the Antarctic under the framework of international programs. Two core projects of the International Polar Year 2007–2008 where Antarctic permafrost plays a central role are under way: ANTPAS – Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic Permafrost, Soils and Periglacial Environments and TSP – Permafrost Observatory Project – Thermal State of Permafrost (Guglielmin et al., 2001; Bockheim, 2004). The present research is integrated in these projects and intends to monitor and model the active layer temperature regime in a shallow borehole in Livingston Island (South Shetland Islands, Antarctic Peninsula) (Ramos and Vieira, 2003).

The objective of this paper is to present a one-dimensional stationary heat transfer model without phase-change developed to calculate the seasonal (freezing and thawing) energy balance (Enthalpy) of the ground-atmosphere interface during the annual periods of ground warming and cooling. The methodology is based on the measurements of the temperature gradient evolution in a shallow borehole drilled in bedrock. For modelling purposes we consider that the bedrock has negligible water content, there is no advective heat transfer and phase-change effects during freezing and thawing are not included in the modelling.

**Ground surface
Enthalpy balance**

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

2 Study area

2.1 Geological and geomorphological setting

Hurd Peninsula is a mountainous area located in the south coast of Livingston Island, South Shetlands, Antarctic (62°39' S, 60°21' W). About 90% of the island is glaciated with ice-free areas occurring at low altitude, generally in small but rugged relief peninsulas. The study focuses on the ice-free areas of the north western part of Hurd Peninsula in the vicinity of the Spanish Antarctic Station (SAS) Juan Carlos I (Fig. 1). The borehole where ground data is collected is located at 35 m a.s.l. at Incinerador Point.

The bedrock is a low-grade metamorphic turbidite sequence with alternating layers of fine sandstones and shales, with conglomerates and breccias in some areas (Miers Bluff Formation – Arche et al., 1992). The succession dips 45° NW and is affected by open folds, mainly overturned (Pallàs, 1996). Dolerite dykes and quartz veins are frequent (Arche et al., 1992). The surficial lithology is very heterogeneous inducing different weathering styles and products.

During Marine Isotope Stage 2 Livingston Island was covered by an extensive ice-cap. It was only in the Holocene that deglaciation started and most ice-free areas of the peninsulas became ice-free only after ca. 6.4 ka BP. Two glacier advances have been reported for the Holocene, the first between 720 and 330 BP and the other after 300 BP. This has been interpreted as correlative to the Little Ice Age (Pallàs, 1996). Glaciers are retreating steadily today.

2.2 Climate

The circum-Antarctic low-pressure system controls the climate, which is cold-oceanic with frequent summer rainfall at low altitudes and moderate annual temperature range. Relative humidity is very high with average values from 80 to 90% (Simonov, 1977; Styszynska, 2004). Mean air annual temperatures in the Antarctic Peninsula region vary between -5.2°C (Esperanza) and -1.6°C (Arctowski) and annual precipitation

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



is ca. 500 mm (<http://www.antarctica.ac.uk/met/READER/surface/stationpt.html>) (King, 1994).

Continuous meteorological series for Livingston Island are lacking. Air temperature data from loggers installed at a 15, 165 and 275 m a.s.l. in the study area show mean annual air temperatures from 2003 to 2005 of -1.5 to -3.0°C . From April to November mean daily air temperatures are generally below 0°C and from December to March temperatures are slightly positive (Fig. 2). Two contrasting seasons in what concerns to freezing and thawing are well-defined.

2.3 Permafrost distribution

Permafrost distribution in Livingston Island has been studied using geomorphological evidence (Serrano and Lopez-Martinez, 2000; Vieira and Ramos, 2003), ground temperature monitoring in shallow boreholes (Ramos and Vieira, 2003; Ramos et al., 2007) and geophysical surveying (Hauck et al., 2007). Geomorphological and geophysical observations indicate that permafrost occurs immediately above sea-level associated to ice-cored moraines and rock glaciers, but in bedrock terrain its identification is more complex. Borehole data and excavations at Reina Sofia Hill (275 m a.s.l.) show the presence of permafrost and an active layer ca. 90 cm thick in a boulderly diamicton.

Soil temperature data from 2000 to 2005 illustrate the significant control caused by the type of substratum on the active layer thickness as shown also by other authors in different regions (Washburn, 1979; Williams and Smith, 1989; French, 1996; Hoelzle et al., 2001). At Incinerador borehole, drilled in quartzite bedrock, a lithology showing high thermal diffusivity (density – 2650 kg/m^3 , specific heat – 720 J/kgK , thermal diffusivity – $1.23 \times 10^{-6}\text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, thermal conductivity – 2.35 W/mK – Schön, 1996) and negligible water content at this site, there is no zero-curtain effect related to latent heat exchanges (Figs. 3 and 4). In these conditions the estimated active layer thickness is in the order of 2 to 5 m.

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



3 Shallow borehole temperature data

This study focus on data from a shallow borehole installed in the vicinity of the Spanish Antarctic Station Juan Carlos I at Incinerador Point (35 m a.s.l.). The borehole is 2.4 m deep and is drilled in massive quartzite (very high thermal diffusivity) showing only minor joints. The topographical position of the borehole in a small step reduces the possibility of water flow along the joints and the convex shape of the landform also diminishes the water presence in the joints. The absence of freezing curtain effects in the temperature series supports the negligible effect of ground moisture.

The borehole is cased with a plastic cylinder 90 mm in diameter. Ground temperatures at different levels are recorded at hourly intervals since 2000, but only after 2003 continuous annual temperature series were recorded. Miniature single-channel data loggers (Tiny Talk (Gemini Co., <http://www.geminidataloggers.com/>)) with a NTC-10K thermistor with a resolution better than 0.05°C and an accuracy of 0.1 to 0.2°C have been used. It was not possible to install a large number of temperature sensors in 2000 and only the subsequent years the number was increased. This fact and an error in the reinstallation of the chain in 2003 gave origin to changes of measuring depths during the initial period.

In the slopes near the Incinerador borehole at ca. 20 m a.s.l. frozen ground has been found in talus materials (Bergamin et al., 1997) suggesting that permafrost in bedrock may also be present. Geophysical surveying in bedrock at the borehole site using 2-D electrical tomography resistivity, ground penetrating radar and refraction seismics were inconclusive (Hauck et al., 2007). The borehole shows an annual cycle of freezing and thawing down to 2.3 m, which could be representative of seasonal frost or of a very thick active layer. This is related to the high diffusivity of quartzite, that shows values allowing to estimate active layer depths of 2 to 5 m.

TCD

2, 153–184, 2008

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



4 Method for the Enthalpy balance calculation

Temperature records from the Incinerador Point borehole for the winters of 2001 to 2005 and for the summers of 2002 to 2005 were used (Fig. 3). These data enable calculating: (i) the Enthalpy change (equivalent to the heat exchanged with the atmosphere through the ground surface during the active layer frost and thaw seasons), and (ii) the rates of cooling and warming of the ground (equivalent to the rate of heat exchanged per unit of time through the ground surface during frost and thaw seasons).

The results of ground Enthalpy change during the processes of cooling during the active layer frost season indicate the heat loss through the soil surface. This energy parameter is a function of the thermodynamical processes of energy exchange between ground and air (e.g. ground heat flux, sensible heat flux, turbulent fluxes and radiation balance). In a similar way, during the thaw season the Enthalpy is the heat gained by the soil across its surface.

The thermodynamic variables needed to calculate the Enthalpy change are: (i) soil thermal diffusivity (α), (ii) thermal conductivity (K), (iii) density (ρ) and (iv) heat capacity (C).

The thermal diffusivity (α) was calculated experimentally from the ground temperature gradient in episodes with sinusoidal signal using harmonic temperature analysis (Stearns, 1965; Deacon, 1969; Zhang et al., 1996). This was achieved using an inverse analysis with the steady-state solution of the heat equation for a semi-infinite system with sinusoidal temperature conditions at the surface. The non-conductive factors associated with non-porous heat transfer in bedrock were considered negligible due to the massive character of the bedrock (Hinkel et al., 1990; Kane et al., 2001). The thermal diffusivity obtained for the periods of freezing and thawing show a small range ($\alpha=1.23\pm0.2\times10^{-6}$ m²/s) and are in agreement with the tabulated limits values for this quartzite (Schön, 1996). Therefore we used the tabulated data for thermal conductivity, density and specific heat capacity.

The ground surface heat flux exchange is a key parameter for studying the interac-

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



tions between the ground and the atmosphere boundary layer (Oke, 1987; Williams and Smith, 1989). Our approach is based on the following assumptions: (i) the ground acts as a homogeneous mean (massive rock) with constant density and semi-infinite geometry (one-dimensional heat transfer problem), (ii) the temperatures at some depth below the borehole are stable and close to 0°C, and (iii) heat transfer in the ground occurs only through the surface.

The Enthalpy balance (ΔH), in this case, is equivalent to the change in internal Energy of the ground between two thermodynamic states (ΔU). Enthalpy change equation is:

$$\Delta H = \Delta U + P\Delta V + V\Delta P \quad (1)$$

But since no volumetric change takes places (constant soil density) and since the pressure is constant, Enthalpy change is equal to the ground internal Energy.

$$\Delta H = \Delta U \quad (2)$$

This corresponds to the heat exchange at the ground – atmosphere boundary layer interface since energy exchanges occur across the soil surface. The energy is positive if the ground gains energy and negative if it loses energy.

The annual evolution of the ground temperature profiles at the Incinerador borehole show two distinct periods: (i) the frost season when the temperature profile is below 0°C ($T(x) < 0^\circ\text{C}$), (ii) the thaw season when the temperature profile is above 0°C ($T(x) > 0^\circ\text{C}$).

In the autumn the ground loses energy and active layer temperatures fall below 0°C with a slight delay in depth. These conditions last until spring, when due to the net gain of energy, the temperatures of the active layer rise above 0°C and the thaw season starts. Inside each of these two seasons, two periods marked by net ground heat loss or gain can be identified. In our calculations these periods are especially significant and they are defined as (Fig. 4): (i) the period of cooling in the frost season (t_{cf}), when $T(x) < 0^\circ\text{C}$ and the soil loses energy; (ii) the period of warming in the thaw season (t_{wt}), when $T(x) > 0^\circ\text{C}$ and the soil gains energy.

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



During the period of cooling in the frost season (t_{cf}), the heat lost by the ground through its surface S (per m^2) is equivalent to the ground Enthalpy change throughout the same interval and surface ($\Delta H_f/S$). The continuous heat flow from depth towards the surface during cooling (t_{cf}) is the average thermal heat flux:

$$5 \quad \langle \Phi_f \rangle = \Delta H_f / (S t_{cf}) \quad (3)$$

In the period of ground warming in the thaw season (t_{wt}), the ground will gain heat through its surface ($\Delta H_t/S$) and its average thermal flux is:

$$\langle \Phi_t \rangle = \Delta H_t / (S t_{wt}). \quad (4)$$

There are two classical methods in the simplest one-dimensional heat conduction problem to calculate the ground Enthalpy change. One is to use Fourier's law to calculate the rate of heat transfer or heat flux in the vertical (x -) direction:

$$10 \quad H_G = -K \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) \quad (5)$$

The other method consists in integrating the internal energy equation of the heat transfer expression:

$$15 \quad H_G = H_D + \int_0^D \frac{\partial \rho c T}{\partial t} dx \quad (6)$$

where D is a depth of reference where the soil heat flux H_D is either zero or can be easily estimated. To apply accurately these procedures numerous sensors in the ground and a high precision of differential temperature records are needed. Otherwise the global error in the energy estimation would be too high. This limitation is linked to the problems arising from the sums of the differences of the instantaneous temperatures (Arya, 1998).

With a small number of sensors in the borehole, as in the present situation (4 sensors in 2001, 2002 and 2003 and 6 sensors in 2004 and 2005) and in the case of most

shallow boreholes, a more robust method supported by thermodynamic arguments will provide better results.

In the current approach, we consider that near the start of the cooling period in the frost season (t_{cf}) the entire active layer is quite isothermal at 0°C . This is an adequate hypothesis since all the sensors show more or less this initial thermal equilibrium state at the initial condition and we can assume that there is a thermodynamic equilibrium at the initial state (i): $T_{if}(x)=0^\circ\text{C}$ (initial state in the frost season – i). During the period of cooling in the frost season (determined from the temperature data), the ground loses heat until it reaches a final state (F) of minimum energy ($T_F(x)$), characterized by the depth profile of the minimum temperatures ($T_{Ff}(x)=T_{\min}(x)$). In the period of warming during the ground thaw season (t_{wt}) the initial state (i) is also $T_{it}(x)=0^\circ\text{C}$. The final state (F) corresponds to the depth distribution of the maximum temperatures ($T_{Ft}(x)=T_{\max}(x)$.)

To estimate the heat flow during these periods, the Enthalpy change in the active layer between the initial (i) and final (F) equilibrium states is calculated. The hourly records of the temperature profiles are used to determine the initial and final states in both the warming and cooling periods.

On the other hand, the analysis of the temperature regimes at different depths allows to estimate the penetration of the 0°C isotherm versus time (respectively, $X_f(t)$ in the frost season and $X_t(t)$ the thaw season). The velocities of the migration of the zero isotherm (slope of $X_{f-t}(t)=dX_{f-t}/dt$ (m/day)) are assumed to be constant and a linear fit is used. Figure 5 shows an example for estimating $X_f(t)$ during the periods of cooling (t_{cf}) in the frost seasons 2003 and 2005 and $X_t(t)$ during warming in the thawed seasons of 2003–2004 and 2004–2005 (t_{wt}).

These linear fits enable the estimation of the maximum depth of the 0°C isotherm:

$$\text{Cooling period: } D_f = X_f(t_{cf}) = m_f t_{cf} + n_f \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Warming period: } D_t = X_t(t_{wt}) = m_t t_{wt} + n_t \quad (8)$$

**Ground surface
Enthalpy balance**

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Following the assumptions indicated above, D_{f-t} corresponds to the depth of zero annual temperature range (down the heat flux ground is zero).

The experimental temperature profiles in the final states were calculated at each level for the winters of 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 and also for the summers of 2002–2003, 2003–2004 and 2004–2005 (Figs. 6 and 7 represent any of these results). Log-fit functions (9) applied to the final (maximum and minimum) temperature profiles show a good agreement. In both seasons, the area between the logarithmic fit and the x axis is related to the change of Enthalpy between the initial isothermal condition (i) and the final stage (F).

$$T_F(x) = T_{\min-\max}(x) = a \ln x - b \quad (9)$$

The infinitesimal change of Enthalpy in the system is defined by:

$$dH_{f-t} = mc_p dT \quad (10)$$

The change of Enthalpy (ΔH) is calculated from the temperature profiles defining the initial (i) and final (F) equilibrium states. For this purpose, the ground is divided in infinitesimal elements of thickness, dx , each of them experiencing a thermodynamic transformation from the initial state ($T_i(x)=0^\circ\text{C}$) to the final state accounting for its depth in the profile ($T_F(x)=T_{\min-\max}(x)$), choosing the minima for the freezing and the maxima for the thawing seasons (Eq. 11):

$$dH_{f-t} = \rho S c_p dx [T_F(x) - T_i] \quad (11)$$

The Enthalpy contribution of all the ground levels is calculated by integration along the maximum penetration of the zero isotherm fronts, D_{f-t} :

$$\int_{T_i}^{T_F} dH_{f-t} = \rho S c_p \int_0^{D_{f-t}} [T_F(x) - T_i] dx \quad (12)$$

**Ground surface
Enthalpy balance**

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The value of $\Delta H/S$ is represented by the area between the log-function representing $T_F(x)=T_{\min-\text{Max}}(x)$ and the axis $T_i(x)=0^\circ\text{C}$.

$$\frac{\Delta H_{f-t}}{S} = \rho c_P \int_0^{D_{f-t}} (T_F(x) - T_i) dx = \rho c_P \int_0^{D_{f-t}} (a \ln x - b) dx \quad (13)$$

Where, D_{f-t} is the maximum depth of the zero isothermal front during freezing (t_{cf}) or thawing (t_{wt}) periods, and a and b are constants representing the final state of equilibrium in the log-fit of the minimum and maximum temperatures profiles (in the frost and thaw season). To calculate the Enthalpy change per unit area, Eq. (13) is integrated to provide the following exact solution:

$$\frac{\Delta H_{f-t}}{S} = \frac{K}{\alpha} \int_0^{D_{f-t}} (a \ln x - b) dx = \frac{K D_{f-t}}{\alpha} [a \ln D_{f-t} - a - b] \quad (14)$$

The heat loss or gain (equivalent to the ground Enthalpy change) are produced during the time interval defined as, respectively, the period of cooling in the frost season (t_{cf}), or the period of warming in the thaw season (t_{wt}) (Fig. 4). Therefore, the average heat flux exchanged by the ground surface during those periods shows the following definition:

$$\langle \Phi_{f-t} \rangle = \frac{\Delta H_{f-t}}{S t_{cf-wt}} = \frac{K D_{f-t}}{\alpha t_{cf-wt}} [a \ln D_{f-t} - a - b] \quad (15)$$

The Enthalpy change per unit area ($\Delta H/S$) allows to estimate the heat gained or lost by the ground during the ground frost and thaw seasons, and to compare distinct years, while the average heat flux ($\langle \Phi_{f-t} \rangle$) expresses the rates of cooling and warming.

5 Results and discussion

The methodology presented above was used to calculate the values of the Enthalpy change ($\Delta H_{f-t}/S$) and average heat flux ($\langle \Phi_{cf-wt} \rangle$), as well as other complementary

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



parameters, like the air ($I_{a_{f-t}}$) and ground freezing indexes (I_{f-t}) at 15 and 230 cm depth ($I_{f-t}(-15)$ and $I_{f-t}(-230)$). Due to the lack of measurements at 5 cm depth, the ground freeze/thaw index at this level was only calculated for 2004, for the winter of 2005 and for the summer of 2004–2005. Other measured parameters are: mean air temperature ($\langle Ta \rangle_{f-t}$), daily minima and maxima temperatures ($T_{f-t} \text{min}$ and $T_{f-t} \text{Max}$) and length of the frost and thaw seasons (winters of 2000 to 2005 and summers of 2002 to 2005) (Table 1). The incomplete setting of the monitoring devices in 2000 did not allow calculating the Enthalpy and associated parameters for that year.

The results show that generally the mean ground frost season is around two months longer than the thaw season (Table 1). The exception was 2005 with 183 days for the thaw season and 134 days for the frost season. Annual variations in the length of the frost season are not large, with a standard-deviation of 48 days and a mean value of 187 days. The average air temperatures during the frost season show a value of -3.2°C with daily maximum and minimum temperatures of 2.2°C and -14.4°C . These contrast with average temperatures during the thaw season of 1.2°C and daily maximum and minimum temperatures of 5.0°C and -4.9°C , respectively (Table 2).

The values of the ratio of air and ground (15 cm) freezing indexes ($I_a/I_f(-15)$) show large interannual variability and are probably controlled by the snow thickness during the winter (Table 1). As an example of this control, the 2002 and 2005 frost seasons show relatively similar air freezing indexes (1027°Cday in 2002 and 1102°Cday in 2005). However, the modelled ground-atmosphere energy balance in the frost season was about 3 times larger in 2002 than in 2005. The ratio between the air and ground freezing indexes during the frost season was also very different (1.42 in 2002 and 3.69 in 2005), a fact that also indicates the differences in snow conditions. The snow seems also to have controlled the length of the freezing season, which lasted for 227 days in 2002, but only for 134 days in 2005 (Table 1).

Notwithstanding the small time frame with comparable data between the ground frost and thaw series, a preliminary analysis of the period of 2003 to 2005 deserves a closer insight. The differences between the mean annual values of this period for the ground

**Ground surface
Enthalpy balance**

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



frost and thaw seasons are significant in the energy balance, with average losses of -1.81 MJ/m^2 and gains of 2.8 MJ/m^2 (Table 2). The same trend is visible in the freezing and thawing indexes at both 5 and 15 cm depth. In average, the air freezing index in the frost season ($\langle I_{af} \rangle$) is 871°Cday , while the air thawing index in the thaw season ($\langle I_{at} \rangle$), shows values of 173°Cday . The large differences that appear between the air and the ground thawing index suggest that one of the more important energy terms and effective mode for the ground to gain energy in summer is through solar radiation.

Despite the energy budget differences between the two seasons, the length of the periods of cooling during the frost season (70 ± 34 days) and of warming during the thaw season (71 ± 3 days) is somewhat similar (Table 2). It is also significant that the length of the period of cooling (t_{cf}) in the frost season of 2004 almost tripled the length of the same period in 2002. However, the total energy exchanged was much higher in the winter of 2002 (Table 1).

The absolute value of the heat lost by the ground during cooling in the frost season showed too a high interannual variability (Table 1) and was always smaller than the heat gained during warming in thaw season. The difference between both terms of energy lost in the frost and gained in the thaw seasons allow to calculate the net ground energy exchange during the year. The three complete years of record (2003 to 2005) show the increment of energy into the soil (Table 3) with a mean value of 9.9 MJ/m^2 but the 3-years of data available for complete the thaw and frost seasons are still not enough for statistical significance. The heat flux during cooling ($\langle \Phi_{cf} \rangle$) in the ground frost season, a parameter that indicates the average rate of cooling during winter, shows also a significant interannual variation. For example, in 2002 its value was ca. 8 times higher than the value in 2004. However, the difference between the maximum estimated depths of the 0°C front (D_f) is small between the same periods (7.2 m in 2002 and 5.6 m in 2004). The difference between the energy losses is also very significant (-48.1 MJ/m^2 in comparison with -16.3 MJ/m^2) (Table 1). On the other hand, the average value of ($\langle \Phi_{cf} \rangle = -3.5 \text{ W/m}^2$) with a standard deviation of 1.5 W/m^2 , in contrast with the heat flux during warming in the thaw season that shows a smaller

**Ground surface
Enthalpy balance**

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



standard deviation ($\langle \Phi_{wt} \rangle = 4.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ W/m}^2$) (Table 2). This indicates that the summer warming is more regular interannually, a fact that is probably related to the well known effect of the radiative heat transfer. During the winter, interannual snow cover variability is probably the responsible for the higher variability in the apparent heat flux.

In what concerns to the meteorological variables, the mean air temperature during cooling in the frost season of 2001 was significantly higher (-2.3°C) than the value recorded in 2002 (-4.2°C). Nevertheless, the maximum depth of the freezing front was rather similar in both periods.

The simple analysis of air temperatures is insufficient to characterize the processes of energy exchange between the ground and the atmosphere boundary layer. In the same sense, no significant correlation is found between the Enthalpy change and the average and minimum and maximum air temperatures. Absolutely minimum/maximum daily temperature in freezing season was in 2005 ($-18.8^\circ\text{C}/2.3^\circ\text{C}$) in contrast with 2002 ($-15.4^\circ\text{C}/3.4^\circ\text{C}$) while the difference Enthalpy between the 2002 (-48.1 MJ/m^2) and 2005 (-12.6 MJ/m^2) was very important.

6 Conclusions

The results of the calculation of the Enthalpy balance and average heat fluxes between the active layer and atmosphere boundary layer seem adequate for the study of energy factors influencing the thermal evolution of the active layer. The data supports the fact that the independent study of air temperature regimes or air freezing indexes is insufficient to characterize the net energy exchanges between the ground and the atmosphere, since the later depends also from snow cover thickness, radiation balance, turbulent heat fluxes and many other factors. All these factors, show an extremely variable interannual and non-linear behaviour are, however, integrated in the calculated Enthalpy balance.

Despite the limitations arising from the assumptions used in the modelling approach, we consider that a borehole in bedrock where latent heat exchanges are minimal in an

area with the climate characteristics of the Maritime Antarctic is prone to the application of the method of Enthalpy change estimation. For this, it is important that every year two distinct periods of freezing and thawing, with nearly isothermal states at 0°C occur.

5 Our current field monitoring programmes are continuing and improved using sensors for snow thickness monitoring and summer radiation. These data will be used to study the effect of these parameters in the soil Enthalpy balance. The field validation of the approach presented here will be also analysed in our future research.

Nomenclature

α	Soil thermal diffusivity (m^2/s).
K	Thermal conductivity (W/mK).
ρ	Density (kg/m^3).
C	Heat capacity (J/K).
c	Specific Heat (J/kgK).
H	Enthalpy (J).
$\langle \Phi \rangle$	Average thermal heat flux (W/m^2).
$\Delta H/S$	Enthalpy variation per surface unit (J/m^2).
U	Internal Energy (J).
P	Presion (Pa).
V	Volume (m^3).
t_{cf}	Period of ground cooling in the frost season (s).
t_{wt}	Period of ground warming in the thaw season (s).
ρ	Density (kg/m^3).
t	Time (s).
x	Spatial coordinate (m), deep into the soil.

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



D	Reference depth where the soil heat flux is either zero (m).
X	Zero isotherm free boundary layer (m).
I_{af-t}	Air freezing or thawing index ($^{\circ}\text{Cday}$).
$I_{f-t}(-15)$	Freezing and thawing index at (-15 cm) deep ($^{\circ}\text{Cday}$).
$\langle Ta \rangle_{f-t}$	Mean air temperature in freezing or thawing seasons ($^{\circ}\text{C}$).
$T_{t-\text{Max}}$	Maximum temperature in thawing season ($^{\circ}\text{C}$).
$T_{f-\text{min}}$	Minimum temperature in freezing season ($^{\circ}\text{C}$).
m and n	Terms of the linear function fit that shows the position of zero isothermal front into the soil (7) and (8) in (m/s) and (m), respectively.
a and b	Terms of the logarithmic adjust function of the Maximum or minimum soil temperatures during thaw or frost seasons (16) ($^{\circ}\text{C}$).

Sub-index

f	Freezing.
t	Thawing.
$f-t$	Freezing or thawing.
$cf-wt$	Cooling during frost season or warming during thaw season.
c	Cooling.
w	Warming.
G	Ground.
i	Initial state.
F	Final state.
min	minimum.
Max	Maximum.
min – Max	minimum or Maximum.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the funding received by the special actions REN2001-5082-ANT and REN2002-1150-E/ANT. The Unidad de Tecnología Marina (UTM) is thanked for the support in data collection.

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



References

- Anisimov, O. A., Shiklomanov, N. I., and Nelson, F. E.: Global warming and active layer thickness: results from transient general circulation models, *Global Planet. Change*, 15, 61–67, 1997.
- 5 Arche, A., López-Martínez, J., and Martínez de Pisón, E.: Sedimentology of the Miers Bluff Formation, Livingston Island, South Shetland Islands, in: *Recent Progress in Antarctic Earth Science*, edited by: Yoshida, Y., Kaminuma, K., and Shiraishi, K., Tokyo, Terrapub, 357–362, 1992.
- Arya, A. P.: *Introduction to Micrometeorology*. S.P.S. San Diego, International Geophysics Series, 42, 1998.
- 10 Bergamín, J. F., Durán, J. J., González-Casado, J. M., and López-Martínez, J.: Morfología y estructura del basamento precuaternario de la Caleta Española, Península Hurd, Isla Livingston, *Boletín de la Real Sociedad Española de Historia Natural (Sección Geológica)*, 93(1–4), 189–196, 1997.
- 15 Bockheim, J.: Permafrost distribution in Southern circumpolar region and its relation to the environment: a review and recommendations for further research, *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 6, 27–45, 1995.
- Bockheim, J.: International Workshop on Antarctic Permafrost and Soils – Final Report, 14–18 November 2004, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, <http://www.soils.wisc.edu/antarcticConf/>, 2004.
- 20 Deacon, E. L.: Physical processes near the surface of the earth, in: *World survey of Climatology*, vol. 2: General Climatology, edited by: Flohn, H., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1969.
- French, H.: *The periglacial environment*, Longman, Harlow, 1996.
- Goodrich, L. E.: The influence of snow cover on the ground thermal regime, *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 19, 421–432, 1982.
- 25 Guglielmin, M., Balks, M., and Paetzold, R.: Towards an Antarctic active layer and permafrost monitoring network, in: *Permafrost – Proceedings 8th International Conference on Permafrost*, edited by: Phillips, M., Springman, S. M., Arenson, L. U., Zurich, Switzerland, Balkema, Lisse, Rotterdam, 337–341, 2001.
- 30 Hauck, C., Blanco, J., Gruber, S., Vieira, G., and Ramos, M.: Geophysical identification of permafrost in Livingston Island, Maritime Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, F02519, doi:10.1029/2006JF000544, 2007.

TCD

2, 153–184, 2008

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Hinkel, K. M., Outcalt, S. I., and Nelson, F. E.: Temperature variation and apparent thermal diffusivity in the refreezing active layer, Toolik lake, Alaska, *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 1(4), 265–274, 1990.
- Hoelzle, M., Mittaz, C., Etzelmuller, B., and Haebaerli, W.: Surface energy fluxes and distribution models of permafrost in European Mountain areas: an overview of current developments, *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 12, 53–68, 2001.
- Kane, D. L., Hinkel, M. K., Goering, D. J., Hinzman L. D., and Outcalt, S. I.: Non conductive heat transfer associated with frozen soils, *Global Planet. Change*, 29, 275–292, 2001.
- King, J. C.: Recent climate variability in the vicinity of Antarctic Peninsula, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 14(4), 357–369, 1994.
- King, J. C. and Turner, J.: *Antarctic Meteorology and Climatology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 114–120, 1997.
- King, J. C., Turner, J., Marchall, G. J., Connolley, W. M., and Lachlan-Cope, T. A.: Antarctic Peninsula Variability and its Causes as revealed by Analysis of Instrumental records, in: *Antarctic peninsula climate variability*, edited by: Domack, E., Leventer, A., Burnett, A., Bind-schadler, R., Convey, P., and Kirby, M., *Antarctic research series AGU*, 79, 17–30, 2003.
- Lachenbruch, A. H.: Periodic heat flow in a stratified medium with application to permafrost problems, *US Geological Survey Bulletin*, 1083-A, 1959.
- Ling, F. and Zhang, T.: A numerical model for surface energy balance and thermal regime of the active layer and permafrost containing unfrozen water, *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, 38, 1–15, 2004.
- López-Martínez, J., Vilapalana, J. M., Martínez De Pisón, E., Calvet, J., Arche, A., Serrat, D., and Pallás, R.: Geomorphology of selected areas in Livingstone Island, South Shetland Islands, in: *Geología de la Antártida Occidental*, III Congreso Geológico de España, López-Martínez, J., *Simposios*, T-III, Salamanca, 271–282, 1992.
- Marshall, G. J.: Analysis of recent circulation and thermal advection change in the northern Antarctic Peninsula, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 22(12), 1557–1567, 2002.
- Nelson, F. E. and Asinimov, O. A.: Permafrost zonation in Russia under anthropogenic climate change, *Permafrost and periglacial processes*, 4(2), 137–148, 1993.
- Oke, T. R.: *Boundary layer climates*, Methuen and Co, London, 1987.
- Outcalt, S. L., Goodwin, C., Weller, G., and Brown, J.: Computer simulation of the snowmelt and soil thermal regime st Barrow, Alaska, *Water Resour. Res.*, 11(5), 709–715, 1975.
- Osterkamp, T. E.: Establishing Long-term permafrost observatories for active-layer and per-

**Ground surface
Enthalpy balance**M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



mafrost investigations in Alaska: 1977–2002, *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 14, 331–342, 2003.

Pallàs, R.: *Geologia de l'illa de Livingston (Shetland del Sud, Antàrtida)*, Del Mesozoic al Present, PhD thesis, Universitat de Barcelona, 1996.

5 Peel, D. A.: Ice core evidence from the Antarctic Peninsula region, in: *Climate Science A. D. 1500*, edited by: Bradley, R. S. and Jones, P. D., 549–571, Routledge, New York, 1992.

Ramos, M. and Vieira, G.: Active layer and permafrost monitoring in Livingston Island, Antarctica. First results from 2000 and 2001, in: *Permafrost – Proceedings 8th International Conference on Permafrost*, edited by: Phillips, M., Springman, S. M., and Arenson, L. U., Zurich, Switzerland, Balkema, Lisse: Róterdam, 929–933, 2003.

10 Ramos, M. and Vieira, G.: Variabilidad térmica de la capa activa y evaluación de la energía perdida por el suelo durante el proceso de congelación en la isla Livingston (Antártida), Inviernos 2000, 2001 y 2002, *Boletín de la Real Sociedad Española de Historia Natural (Sec. Geología)*, 99, 1–4, 83–92, 2004.

15 Ramos, M., Vieira, G., Gruber, S., Blanco, J. J., Hauck, C., Hidalgo, M. A., Tomé, D., Neves, M., and Trindade, A.: Permafrost and active layer monitoring in the Maritime Antarctic: Preliminary results from CALM sites on Livingston and Deception Islands, US Geological Survey and The National Academies; USGS OF-2007-1047, Short Research Paper 070, doi:10.3133/of2007-1047, srp070, 2007.

20 Romanovsky, V. E. and Osterkamp, T. E.: Effects of unfrozen water on heat and mass transport processes in the active layer and permafrost, *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 11, 219–239, 2000.

Scambos T., Hulbe, C., and Fahnestock, M.: Climate-Induced Ice Shelf Desintegration in the Antarctic Peninsula, in: *Antarctic peninsula climate variability*, edited by: Domack, E., Lventer, A., Burnett, A., Bindschadler, R., Convey, P., and Kirby, M., Antarctic research series AGU, 79, 17–30, 2003.

Schön, J. H.: *Physical Properties of Rocks*, Pergamon Press, London, 1996.

Serrano, E. and López-Martínez, J.: Rock glaciers in the South Shetland Islands, Western Antarctica, *Geomorphology*, 35, 145–162, 2000.

30 Simonov, I. M.: Physical geographic description of Fildes Peninsula (South Shetland Islands), *Polar Geography*, 1, 223–242, 1977.

Stearns, C. R.: Applications of Lettau's theoretical model of thermal diffusion to soil profiles of temperature and heat flux, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 74, 532–541, 1965.

TCD

2, 153–184, 2008

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Styszynska, A.: The origin of coreless winters in the South Shetlands area (Antarctica), *Polish Polar Res.*, 25, 45–66, 2004.
- Turner, J., Colwell, S. R., and Harangozo, S.: Variability of precipitation over the coastal western Antarctic Peninsula from synoptic observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102(D12), 13 999–14 007, 1997.
- 5 Turner, J., Colwell, S. R., Marshall, G. J., et al.: Antarctic climate change during the last 50 years, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 25, 279–294, 2005.
- Van Lipzig, N. P. M., King, J. C., and Lachlan-Cope, T. A.: Precipitation, sublimation, and snow drift in the Antarctic Peninsula region from a regional atmospheric model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, 24 106–24 132, 2004.
- 10 Vaughan, D. G. and Doake, C. S. M.: Recent atmospheric warming and retreat of ice shelves on Antarctic Peninsula, *Nature*, 379(6563), 328–331, 1996.
- Vieira, G. and Ramos, M.: Geographic factors and geocryological activity in Livingston Island, Antarctic, Preliminary results, in: *Permafrost, Proceedings of the Eight International Conference on Permafrost, 21–25 July 2003, Zürich*, edited by: Phillips, M., Springman, S. M., and Arenson, L. U., 1183–2 1188, Balkema – Swets & Zeitlinger, Lisse, 2003.
- 15 Washburn, A. L.: *Geocryology. A survey of periglacial processes and environments*, Edward Arnold, London, 1979.
- Williams, P. J. and Smith, M. W.: *The Frozen Earth. Fundamentals of Geocryology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- 20 Zhang, T., Osterkamp, T. E., and Stammes, K.: Influence of the depth hoar layer of seasonal snow cover on the ground thermal regime, *Water Resour. Res.*, 32(7), 2075–2086, 1996.

**Ground surface
Enthalpy balance**M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ground surface
Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Table 1. Calculated values of Enthalpy change, soil heat fluxes, freezing indexes, air temperatures and duration of frost and thaw seasons for the Incinerador borehole (2000 to 2005).

		2000 (Frost)	2001 (Frost)	2002 (Frost)	2002–2003 (Thaw)	2003 (Frost)	2003–2004 (Thaw)	2004 (Frost)	2004–2005 (Thaw)	2005 (Frost)
Modelled zero isotherm depth	D_f (m)	–	7.7	7.2	3.8	4.2	3.6	5.6	4.6	2.6
Ground cooling in frost season and warming in thaw season	t ($s \times 10^6$)	–	8.57	3.44	5.88	6.05	6.31	9.31	6.00	2.76
Energy	t (days)	–	99	40	68	70	73	108	69	32
	Enthalpy/S ($J/m^2 \times 10^{-7}$)	–	–3.24	–4.81	2.95	–2.53	2.53	–1.63	2.91	–1.26
	Heat flux (W/m^2)	–	–3.78	–13.98	5.03	–4.18	4.00	–1.75	4.85	–4.57
Freezing Indexes ($^{\circ}Cday$)	I_{af} and I_a	657	–	1027	198	730	158	781	164	1102
	$I_f(-5)$ and $I_f(-5)$	–	–	–	–	–	–	420	490	354
	N-Factor ($I_a/I_{f1}(-15)$)	1.99	–	1.42	0.42	1.62	0.42	1.95	0.33	3.69
	$I_f(-15)$ and $I_f(-15)$	330*	536*	721	475	450	379	400	505	299
	$I_f(-230)$ and $I_f(-230)$	149	274	474	331**	261	245	139	381	92
Air Temperature ($^{\circ}C$)	Mean	–3.1	–2.3	–4.2	1.5	–2.7	1.2	–3.5	0.9	–3.4
Seasonality (190 cm depth)	Minimum	–12.4	–14.7	–15.4	4.7	–11.9	5.1	–12.6	5.2	–18.8
	Maximum	1.8	–	3.4	–2.7	1.5	–4.7	2.9	–7.2	2.3
Seasonality (190 cm depth)	Length (days)	186***	236***	227	151	229	145	197***	183	134***
	Start (date)	4 Jun 2000	1 May 2001	9 May 2002	22 Dec 2002	22 May 2003	7 Jan 2004	30 May 2004	11 Dec 2004	11 Jun 2005
	End (date)	7 Dec 2000	23 Dec 2001	22 Dec 2002	22 May 2003	6 Jan 2004	31 May 2004	13 Dec 2004	12 Jun 2005	23 Oct 2005

* Data at 25 cm depth, ** Data at 190 cm depth, *** Data at 230 cm depth.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 2. Mean values (and standard deviations) of the modelled thermodynamic parameters for the active layer in three consecutive frost and thaw seasons in the period of 2003–2005.

	Frost season	Thaw season
D_{ft} (m)	4.1 (1.5)	4.0 (0.6)
t_{cf} and t_{wt} (s)	6.03×10^6 (2.94×10^6)	6.06×10^6 (0.2×10^6)
t_{cf} and t_{wt} (days)	70 (34)	71 (3)
Enthalpy/S (J/m^2) $\Delta H_{f-t}/S$	-1.81×10^7 (0.65×10^7)	2.80×10^7 (0.2×10^7)
Flux (W/m^2) $\langle \Phi_{cf-wt} \rangle$	-3.5 (1.5)	4.6 (0.5)
I_a ($^\circ\text{Cday}$).	871 (202)	173 (22)
I_f (-15) and I_t (-15) ($^\circ\text{Cday}$)	383 (77)	453 (66)
I_f (-230) and I_t (-230) ($^\circ\text{Cday}$)	164 (87)	319 (69)
Length of the frost and thaw season at -230 cm (days)	187 (48)	160 (20)
Mean air temperature ($^\circ\text{C}$) $\langle Ta \rangle_{f-t}$	-3.2 (0.4)	1.2 (0.3)
Maximum daily air temperature ($^\circ\text{C}$) $T_{f-\text{Max}}/T_{t-\text{Max}}$	2.2 (0.7)	5.0 (0.3)
Minimum daily air temperature ($^\circ\text{C}$) $T_{f-\text{min}}/T_{t-\text{min}}$	-14.4 (3.8)	-4.9 (2.3)

**Ground surface
Enthalpy balance**

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

Table 3. Net energy balance in the 2003, 2004 and 2005 years.

Period	Enthalpy/S (MJ/m ²). $\Delta H_{f-t}/S$ Frost season.	Enthalpy/S (MJ/m ²). $\Delta H_{f-t}/S$ Thaw season.	Enthalpy Balance/S (MJ/m ²).
2003	−25.3	29.5	+4.2
2004	−16.3	25.3	+9.0
2005	−12.6	29.1	+16.5

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[I◀](#)
[▶I](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira




Fig. 1. Location of the study area in Livingston Island. SAS – Spanish Antarctic Station Juan Carlos I. The black area shows the snow free terrain in summer (adapted from López-Martínez et al., 1992).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Ground surface
Enthalpy balance**

M. Ramos and G. Vieira





Fig. 2. Mean daily air temperature at the Spanish Antarctic Station (15 m a.s.l.) from 2000 to 2005.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Ground surface
Enthalpy balance**

M. Ramos and G. Vieira

**Fig. 3.** Temperatures recorded at Incinerador borehole during the study period (2001–2005).[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira




Fig. 4. Definition of the periods of cooling during the frost season (t_{cf}) and warming during the thaw season (t_{wt}). The cooling and the warming seasons start when the thermal gradient is constant and close to $0^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{m}$.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira




Fig. 5. Penetration of the 0°C isotherm during the winters of 2003, 2005 and summers 2003–2004, 2004–2005 at the Incinerador borehole. The best-fit equation is used to estimate the maximum depth of penetration of the zero isotherm front during cooling and warming, D_{f-t} .

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira





Fig. 6. Temperature profiles at the Incinerador borehole in 2005 freezing season at the final stages of cooling (minimum temperatures) and 2004–2005 thaw season and its corresponding logarithmic best-fits. $\Delta H/S$ is represented by the area between the log-function representing $T_F(x) = T_{\min/\max}(x)$ and the axis $T_f(x) = 0^\circ\text{C}$ in the x -interval $[0, D_{f/t}]$.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ground surface Enthalpy balance

M. Ramos and G. Vieira




Fig. 7. Temperature profiles at the Incinerador borehole in 2003 and 2004, thaw and frost seasons, at the final stages of cooling (minimum temperatures) and warming (maximum temperatures) with its corresponding logarithmic best-fits.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

