The Cryosphere, 20, 6747–6766, 2026
https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-20-6747-2026
© Author(s) 2026. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

The Cryosphere Open Access EGU

Modelling the effects of proglacial lake filling and drainage on lake-terminating glacier dynamics

Ankit Pramanik[1,2,3,a], **Sarah L. Greenwood**[1,2], **Mathieu Morlighem**[4], **Jamie Barnett**[1,2], **Felicity A. Holmes**[1,2], **Richard Gyllencreutz**[1,2], **and Carl Regnéll**[1,2,5]

[1]Department of Geological Sciences, Stockholm University, Stockholm,, Sweden
[2]Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
[3]International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), Kathmandu, Nepal
[4]Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, USA
[5]Department of Environmental Science, Kristianstad University, Kristianstad, Sweden
[a]now at: International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), Kathmandu, Nepal

Correspondence: Ankit Pramanik (ankit.pramanik@icimod.org)

Received: 13 January 2026 – Discussion started: 30 January 2026
Revised: 14 October 2026 – Accepted: 28 October 2026 – Published: 19 November 2026

Abstract. The rapid retreat of glaciers worldwide is leading to the formation and expansion of proglacial and ice-marginal lakes. Proglacial lakes not only influence glacier dynamics and contribute to accelerated mass loss, but also pose a growing risk of Glacial Lake Outburst Floods (GLOFs), particularly as warming intensifies in polar and high-mountain regions. Despite important feedbacks between glacier behaviour and lake expansion, ice–lake interactions remain understudied compared to ice–ocean processes, introducing considerable uncertainty in projections of future mass loss. In this study, we use the Ice-sheet and Sea-level System Model (ISSM) in a semi-synthetic domain to explore a critical, yet often overlooked, aspect of ice–lake interaction: lake level fluctuations driven by filling and drainage events. We find that lake-terminating glaciers experience thinning and enhanced velocities compared to glaciers with a land terminus, consistent with widely observed responses to proglacial lake damming; these responses manifest even at low water levels but increase with lake depth. The lake influence extends beyond the immediate basin, as ice thickness and velocity changes propagate upstream and to neighbouring outlets. Our results also reveal that glacier responses to lake level fluctuations are highly non-linear. The onset of flotation due to rising lake levels dramatically increases grounding line mass flux and, depending on relative magnitudes of lake filling and glacier thinning, may lead to rapid retreat. Steady lake drainage instead reduces mass loss. However, we find that rapid drainage events can destabilise the ice front by altering the stress regime sufficiently to enhance crevasse formation and calving. Our findings imply that while sudden drainage events may exacerbate lake-triggered glacier instability, controlled, gradual lake drainage may serve as a viable geoengineering approach to mitigate glacier mass loss and related flood hazards.

1 Introduction

Glaciers across the globe are experiencing accelerated mass loss and retreat in response to a rapidly warming climate (e.g. Hugonnet et al., 2021). This retreat frequently leads to the formation of proglacial lakes through flooding of newly exposed, eroded, and over-deepened beds at the frontal margins of glaciers, transforming land-terminating glaciers into lake-terminating systems (Carrivick and Tweed, 2013; Dye et al., 2022). Once a lake forms and grows at the glacier terminus, the glacier's behaviour may become increasingly influenced by interactions between the lake and the ice front, which can surpass the effects of climate conditions alone on glacier dynamics (Carrivick et al., 2020; Pronk et al., 2021; Sato et al., 2022).

When a glacier terminates in water, whether in a lake, fjord or ocean, the ice front is subject to melting and mechanical erosion by water, iceberg calving, and buoyancy-

related changes to the ice-marginal stress balance, typically resulting in enhanced mass loss and accelerated retreat (e.g. Meier and Post, 1987; Warren and Kirkbride, 2003; Benn et al., 2007; Nick et al., 2009; Carrivick and Tweed, 2013; O'Leary and Christoffersen, 2013; Slater et al., 2017; Sutherland et al., 2020; Dye et al., 2025). In this regard, marine- and lake-terminating glaciers share important similarities, where calving and subaqueous melting are critical drivers of ice margin stability and mass balance (Trüssel et al., 2013; Sugiyama et al., 2019; Minowa et al., 2021; Caldwell et al., 2025) and where positive feedbacks between flow acceleration, ice surface slope, thinning, basal effective pressure and buoyancy contribute to enhanced mass loss (Boyce et al., 2007; Muto and Furuya, 2013; Tsutaki et al., 2019; Pronk et al., 2021; Sato et al., 2022; Holt et al., 2024). While lake-terminating glaciers are thought to be less sensitive than their marine-terminating counterparts due to slower frontal ablation (Benn et al., 2007; Truffer and Motyka, 2016; Sugiyama et al., 2019; Mallalieu et al., 2021), multiple studies demonstrate that lake-terminating glaciers are consistently more dynamic than those terminating on land, exhibiting enhanced velocity, thinning rates and frontal retreat (Tsutaki et al., 2013, 2019; King et al., 2019; Mallalieu et al., 2021; Sato et al., 2022; Holt et al., 2024). Interactions between proglacial lake formation, expansion, drainage and glacier dynamics are widely observed in High Mountain Asia, the Alps, Patagonia, Alaska, and Greenland (Tweed and Russell, 1999; Tsutaki et al., 2013; Muto and Furuya, 2013; Sato et al., 2022; Dømgaard et al., 2023; Caldwell et al., 2025), and also in geological evidence from palaeo-ice sheets (Teller et al., 2002; Perkins and Brennand, 2015; Utting and Atkinson, 2019; Regnéll et al., 2023; Ploeg and Stroeven, 2025). However, few studies have attempted to isolate and quantify specific mechanisms of glacier–lake coupling using numerical models. Research has predominantly concentrated on ice–ocean interactions, particularly in polar regions, leaving glacier–lake interactions relatively underexplored. Processes specific to lake-terminating settings, and the magnitude of these glacier responses, remain poorly constrained.

A key distinction between ice–ocean and ice–lake systems lies in the highly variable nature of lake level. Unlike sea level variations, which are relatively small, gradual and predictable, proglacial lake levels can fluctuate on the order of tens of metres over short timescales. Rapid drainage events, triggered by moraine breaches, ice retreat and exposure of new basin drainage thresholds, or flotation-induced failure of ice dams, can release massive volumes of water in short periods (days to months). A lake level drop of 28–32 m, releasing ~ 50 million m^3, in around 3 h was, for example, recorded during the 2023 catastrophic outburst flood from South Lhonak proglacial lake in the Indian Himalaya (Sattar et al., 2025; Shashivardhan Reddy et al., 2024). At ice sheet scale, the geological record provides evidence of lake-level lowering by tens of metres, draining hundreds to thousands of cubic kilometers water. Lake Agassiz fell ~ 90 m over 0.5 years (Clarke et al., 2004; Leverington et al., 2002), for example; the Central Jämtland Ice Lake in Scandinavia drained by 80 m over a period between 8 d and one season (Regnéll et al., 2025). Such drainage events are a recognised and growing hazard, especially in high mountain regions, where the downstream impacts of glacial lake outburst floods (GLOFs) are amplified by steep topography, posing serious threats to lives, infrastructure, and livelihoods (Nie et al., 2017; Lützow et al., 2023; Rinzin et al., 2023; Sattar et al., 2025). Rapid lake level changes associated with drainage events have an upstream consequence too. Lake level change has the potential to significantly modify stress regimes at glacier fronts, influencing crevasse formation, grounding line stability, ice velocity and overall glacier dynamics (Carrivick et al., 2020; King et al., 2018).

The number and volume of proglacial lakes are steadily increasing in regions such as High Mountain Asia and around the Greenland Ice Sheet (Shugar et al., 2020; How et al., 2021; Steiner et al., 2026). Recent observations from Greenland document the formation, filling, and rapid drainage of ice-dammed lakes at the ice sheet margin (How et al., 2021; Dømgaard et al., 2024), and as the ice margin continues to retreat, the likelihood of lake formation, expansion, and drainage events will grow (Carrivick et al., 2022; Frank et al., 2026). These trends have analogues in the palaeo-record, where large ice-dammed lakes formed extensive, interconnected and episodically-draining systems as ice sheet margins retreated during the last deglaciation (e.g. Utting and Atkinson, 2019; Regnéll et al., 2019, 2023; Høgaas et al., 2023, 2025). There is increasing scientific and policy interest in understanding how lakes influence glacier behaviour, and how their interactions mutually influence both glacier and lake stability. Developing a mechanistic understanding of how lake level changes influence ice dynamics would improve the accuracy of future projections of glacier mass loss and contributions to sea level rise, and also inform mitigation strategies for glacier lake-related hazards.

To address this knowledge gap, we investigate the influence of dynamic lake level changes on glacier behaviour using numerical ice flow modelling. We conduct a series of controlled experiments on a semi-synthetic model domain, designed to isolate the role of lake level change by holding other parameters constant (e.g., surface mass balance, bed geometry, and calving parameterisations). Specifically, we compare the flow dynamics of land-terminating versus lake-terminating glaciers under fixed lake level conditions, examine glacier response to gradual rising and falling lake levels, and explore the impact of rapid lake drainage on stress balance and potential crevasse formation near the grounding line.

2 Methods and model set up

We use the Ice-sheet and Sea-level System Model with the Shallow Shelf Approximation (SSA) (ISSM, Larour et al., 2012) to investigate glacier–lake interactions in a semi-synthetic Greenland setting. ISSM has been extensively applied to both Antarctic and Greenland ice sheets to investigate ice–ocean interactions, grounding line stability, and sea level contributions (Morlighem et al., 2019; Seroussi et al., 2017; Schlegel et al., 2018). Its modular design allows the integration of boundary forcings such as subglacial hydrology, lake and ocean pressure perturbations, and bed topography, making it particularly suitable for exploring ice–ocean interactions in marine-terminating glacier systems. Based on a lake-terminating ice sheet catchment in southwest Greenland, we conduct sensitivity experiments of glacier responses to lake level change – a process unique to the ice–lake setting. The Qooqqup Sermia catchment near Narsarsuaq has land-, marine- and lake-terminating outlet glaciers (Fig. 1), and we leverage its known ice geometry, flow velocity and surface mass balance (Fig. 2) to explore the impact of prescribed lake level changes. Our goal is not to replicate or project the future behaviour of this catchment, but to investigate the dynamic response of the glaciers to lake filling and drainage.

2.1 Ice geometry and basal conditions

Ice thickness and bed topography are obtained from the BedMachine v3 dataset (Morlighem et al., 2017; Fig. 2a, b). At the present-day lake-terminating outlet, we define a lake mask area (Fig. 1c) within which we locally modify the bed topography, imposing a flat lake floor behind a topographic high sufficient to allow a range of lake level experiments. This setup ensures a closed basin dammed by the ice margin, and that we exclude the influence of bathymetry on grounding line dynamics, to isolate the effects of lake level change.

We use a surface velocity mosaic of the MEaSUREs dataset between 1995 and 2015 (Joughin et al., 2018) to invert for spatially varying basal friction using the Budd sliding law, a commonly used empirical law that relates basal shear stress to basal sliding velocity and effective pressure (Budd et al., 1979). The Budd sliding law expresses basal shear stress as:

$$\tau_b = C N^p u_b^q (1) \tag{1}$$

where τ_b is the basal shear stress, u_b is the basal sliding velocity, N is the effective pressure (ice overburden pressure minus subglacial water pressure), and C is the spatially varying basal friction coefficient (inverted). p and q are empirical exponents, where $p = 1$ and $q = 1$.

In ISSM, this law allows for pressure-sensitive sliding, where increased subglacial water pressure (as induced by a proglacial lake) reduces effective pressure and increases sliding (Larour et al., 2012). The physical process governing the velocity of a lake-terminating glacier is the change in basal water pressure due to change in proglacial lake level. The effective pressure is defined as

$$N = P_i - P_w \tag{2}$$

where P_w is the subglacial water pressure and P_i is the ice overburden pressure. In the absence of a subglacial hydrology model-derived water pressure, it is represented in the following way:

$$P_w = \max(0, \rho_w g(\mathrm{sl} - b)) \tag{3}$$

where sl is the sea level and b is the bed elevation. In our setup, we substitute lake level for sea level in Eq. (3) within our lake area mask (where $b = -200$ m). Thus, when sea or lake level is varied, the basal water pressure changes, leading to change in effective pressure and subsequently the sliding velocity.

We follow the standard ISSM inversion procedure (e.g. Morlighem et al., 2013), minimising a cost function that balances misfits between observed and modelled surface velocities with a regularisation term to avoid overfitting and facilitate convergence. The inversion produces a spatial map of the basal friction coefficient C (Fig. 2d), which is then kept constant for all transient simulations. In our case, the inversion yields lower-than-observed velocities near the lake front (Figs. 2e, S1 in the Supplement), which we attribute to the flattened or poorly known lake bathymetry and potential inaccuracies in local ice thickness or stiffness. Despite these limitations, the inverted velocity field is physically plausible and further refinement is not pursued, as our primary interest lies in differential responses under varying lake levels rather than the absolute state.

2.2 Mass balance and boundary conditions

Surface mass balance is prescribed as the 1960–2000 mean, derived from regional climate model MAR (version 3.11.3; Kittel et al., 2021), which has a glacier-wide average value of -2.65 m w.e. per year (Fig. 2c). Surface balance is held constant across simulations to isolate the impact of lake level variations on glacier dynamics. Our experiments use a fixed glacier front, while allowing the glacier to develop a floating extension, meaning that ice is lost from the frontal position as flux without explicitly modelling calving and subaqueous melting; the ice front may float if it becomes sufficiently thin. Although likely to be important components of mass loss, constraining calving and subaqueous melt processes at lake-terminating glaciers – and appropriate implementation in models – is in its infancy (e.g. Sugiyama et al., 2019; Mallalieu et al., 2020; Carrivick et al., 2020; Caldwell et al., 2025; Dye et al., 2025). Indeed, the choice of an appropriate calving law is unclear even for comparatively well-studied marine systems (Barnett et al., 2026). We therefore choose a simplified approach, without explicit consideration of frontal ablation processes, and isolate the hydrostatic ef-




Figure 1. Model domain covering the Qooqqup Sermia glacier in south Greenland **(a)**, which features land-terminating, tidewater, and lake-terminating outlets. Catchment outline in blue **(b)**, modified from Mouginot et al. (2019). Lake mask boundary is shown in **(c)** in green, with central profile line of the lake-terminating glacier in black, as plotted in Figs. 3 and 6. Although the model domain is an existing glacial system, our aim is not to reproduce the historical evolution of this glacier. Satellite imagery is a 2019 Sentinel-2 mosaic, via QGreenland.




Figure 2. Initial model conditions including bed elevation **(a)**, surface topography **(b)**, prescribed surface mass balance **(c)**, friction coefficient from inversion **(d)**, modelled velocity **(e)**, and the model mesh resolution **(f)**.

fects of lake presence and lake level change in our sensitivity experiments.

2.3 Experiment design

To investigate the dynamic response of lake-terminating glaciers to varying lake levels (lake filling and draining), we leverage the sea-level adjustment feature in ISSM (Larour et al., 2012). Within the lake mask area (Fig. 1c), we prescribe the "sea level" (called lake level henceforth) independently, keeping actual sea level unchanged elsewhere. This has the effect of setting basal water pressure due to lake height within the mask, where the lake floor is at −200 m, and due to sea level elsewhere, where basal water pressure remains unchanged following Eq. (3) (Fig. 2). We design the mesh such that the mesh resolution scales with velocity and becomes finer towards the lake area, where the smallest mesh element is 200 m (Fig. 2f).

We design three sets of experiments in which we vary the lake level at the present-day lake-terminating outlet, under identical climate forcing and with no explicit frontal ablation. Each experiment runs for 100 years with one week time steps. In the static lake level scenarios of Experiment 1, we spin up the model at the respective starting conditions; before performing the transient model runs (Experiments 2 and 3), we conduct 20-year spin-up simulations with a 100 m lake level. These spin ups allow the model to stabilise and minimise the influence of artificial effects imposed by the initial conditions. Our experiments describe lake water depths relative to the lake floor, i.e., water depth is 0 m at the lake floor.

2.3.1 Experiment 1: Lake vs. Land-terminating glacier

To quantify glacier dynamics attributable to the presence of a proglacial lake, we simulate the evolution of the glacier catchment over a 100-year period under two different terminus conditions:

i. land-terminating, i.e. lake level of 0 m; and

ii. lake-terminating with a fixed lake level of 100 metres.

2.3.2 Experiment 2: Steadily rising and falling lake levels

To investigate the impact of steady lake filling and drainage, we simulate glacier evolution over a 100-year period in two groups of experiments:

Experiment 2a: general trends

i. a rising lake scenario: lake level increases linearly from 100 to 200 m over the first 50 years of the simulation, and then kept constant; and

ii. a falling lake scenario: lake level decreases linearly from 100 to 0 m over the first 50 years.

Experiment 2b: grounding line flux sensitivity to flotation and grounding

i. rising lake levels: increase linearly from 0 to 100, 125, 150, 175 and 200 m over the first 50 years of the simulation, and then kept constant; and

ii. falling lake levels: decrease linearly from 100, 125, 150, 175 and 200 to 0 m over the first 50 years.

The lake levels selected for Experiment 2b are expected to be both above and below the threshold for flotation.

2.3.3 Experiment 3: Rapid lake drainage and stress response

To simulate sudden and rapid lake drainage scenarios and assess their impact on ice dynamics, we consider two further sets of experiments:

Experiment 3a: annual-scale drainage events

The prescribed lake level falls by 100 m in 1, 2, 3, and 4 years, from two initial lake levels:

i. 200 m, where the ice front is initially floating; and

ii. 100 m, where the ice front is initially grounded.

Experiment 3b: extreme, GLOF-like drainage events

The prescribed lake level falls by 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 m in one day, from 200 m, where the ice front is initially floating.

3 Results

3.1 Experiment 1: Lake-terminating versus land-terminating glacier dynamics

Our simulations reveal fundamental differences in terminus morphology, ice thickness distribution and flow velocity between lake- and land-terminating glaciers under identical topographic and climatic conditions (Figs. 3, 4). The land-terminating glacier displays a tapering profile, where ice thickness decreases smoothly to zero at the terminus. In contrast, the lake-terminating glacier develops a near-vertical terminus face, maintained by the balance between glaciostatic pressure (ice column weight) and hydrostatic pressure (water column weight). While the ice surface profile lowers over 100 years in both the land- and lake-terminating cases (Fig. 3), driven by the negative surface mass balance, ice thinning is greatest when the glacier is lake-terminating (Fig. 4). Importantly, ice thinning propagates upstream over time, as well as to the other outlet glaciers of this catchment, particularly the neighbouring marine-terminating outlet (Fig. 4). This pattern indicates that although the thickness

response is greatest near the margin, the lake's influence extends well beyond the immediate terminus region, causing broader adjustments in the glacier's longitudinal profile and flow dynamics. The lake-terminating outlet also exhibits a notable velocity increase compared to when the same outlet is land-terminating, though the spatial extent of the velocity anomaly is more limited than the thinning response. These thickness and velocity trends are sustained over time (Fig. 5). After ca. 20 years, the difference between lake and land continues to increase approximately linearly: for as long as a lake exists, the glacier continues to thin and accelerate. After 100 years, the catchment with a modelled lake terminus is $\sim 1.1\,\%$ thinner and $2.5\,\%$ faster than the land-terminating scenario, primarily driven by the dynamics in the lake area. In the lake region itself, a lake-terminating glacier is $\sim 7\,\%$ thinner and $\sim 20\,\%$ faster than its land-terminating counterpart. These may be modest amounts, but represent systematic departures from the reference state over decades-centuries. Notably, the shape of the terminus and the dynamics we find emerge independently of any changes in climate forcing and in the absence of frontal ablation; they arise purely from the mechanical, hydrostatic (i.e. buoyancy) effects of lake presence.

3.2 Experiment 2a: Glacier response to lake level fluctuations

To examine the effects of lake level variations on glacier dynamics, we conducted controlled experiments comparing rising (Figs. 6, 7) and falling (Figs. 6, 8) lake level scenarios against the fixed lake level baseline of Experiment 1. We find that when the level of an initially 100 m deep lake gradually rises to 200 m over 50 years, the terminus begins to float: the glacier front changes from an ice cliff to floating extension (Fig. 6). This arises from the combined effects of water depth increase and ice thinning, such that ice at the terminus becomes buoyant. Compared to the fixed lake scenario, ice thins during lake level rise and continues to thin, at a slower pace, as the higher lake level (200 m) is sustained (Figs. 7, 9). Ice velocity is, similarly, faster than in the fixed lake scenario, accelerating during the period of active lake level rise and stabilising thereafter at a higher flow speed (Fig. 9). Within the lake mask area, during the 50-year period of lake level rise, mean velocity increases by almost $12\,\%$ compared to the fixed lake scenario, while the ice thickness decreases by $\sim 5\,\%$.

In our falling lake level experiment, the initial 100 m deep lake drains completely over 50 years, such that the glacier gradually transitions toward land-terminating. The falling lake level instigates a contrasting response to lake level rise. The terminus evolves from a steep calving face toward the characteristic tapering profile of a land-terminating glacier (Fig. 6). The glacier decelerates compared to the fixed lake level scenario ($\sim 17\,\%$ slower within the lake area), and ice thinning is reduced ($\sim 7\,\%$ difference within the lake area) (Fig. 8). These thinning and velocity responses are immediate, even while the glacier is still lake-terminating; the lower water level is insufficient to drive the glacier responses seen in Experiment 1. This diminished glacier response to a lake reflects the increasing importance of basal and lateral drag relative to driving stress as the effective pressure at the terminus increases.

In both lake rise and fall scenarios, ice thickness change propagates upstream during the period of lake change (Figs. 7, 8), and the response is sustained, at a slower pace of change, even after the lake stabilises (Fig. 9). This upstream propagation reflects the kinematic wave response of the glacier profile to changes in terminus boundary conditions. As seen in Experiment 1, thickness changes spread not only upstream but also to the neighbouring outlets (Figs. 7, 8), which in this case is marine-terminating: lake changes trigger feedbacks not only at the ice–lake interface but at the ice–ocean interface too. Velocity changes exhibit similar *spatial* patterns to the ice thickness response, albeit more restricted to the marginal zone and the lake-terminating outlet. The velocity response has important *temporal* differences to the thinning response: velocity adjustments occur rapidly during the active lake level change phase (years 0–50) but stabilise abruptly, at the new flow speed, as soon as the lake level stabilises (Fig. 9).

Experiment 2b: Grounding line mass flux response to flotation/grounding

In Experiment 2a, lake level rise drives the ice margin to flotation (Fig. 6) and causes grounding line retreat; we therefore specifically explore the impact of flotation in a further set of intermediate lake-rise and lake-fall experiments. We find a pronounced grounding line (GL) mass flux response to flotation or grounding driven by lake level change, across a range of lake level scenarios (Figs. 10, 11). The GL mass flux response exhibits a characteristic three-phase pattern: an initial steady decrease, followed by a period of abrupt change, followed again by a steady decline in mass flux.

During lake filling, GL mass flux initially decreases (Fig. 10). This is due to ice thinning, driven by both negative surface mass balance (e.g. Experiment 1) and the thinning response to rising lake levels (e.g. Experiment 2); less ice is discharged through the grounding line. The near-identical magnitude and negative response of each of our scenarios in this initial phase indicates that the thinning effect is far more important in determining GL flux than enhanced ice velocity. With time, ice thinning and the increasing water level together drive the ice margin towards buoyancy. As ice begins to float, GL mass flux increases rapidly. In our two highest lake-rise experiments, flux more than doubles over 25 years before enhanced thinning (e.g. Experiment 2a) partly offsets the effect of lake-level rise, attenuating further flux increase. The magnitude of GL mass flux increase is proportional to the rate and magnitude of lake level rise beyond the flotation




Figure 3. Evolution of ice thickness profile along the central line of the terminal 20 km reach of the glacier, when the glacier is **(a)** land-terminating (no lake) and **(b)** lake-terminating (100 m water depth). Profile extent is shown in Fig. 4.




Figure 4. Ice thickness and velocity difference between a lake-terminating glacier (with 100 m deep lake) and a land-terminating glacier, at model years 50 and 100. Differences in ice thickness and velocity are largest at year 100, and extend furthest upstream. Profile line shown in Fig. 3 in black.




Figure 5. Mean percentage difference in **(a)** ice thickness and **(b)** velocity between lake-terminating glacier (100 m deep) and land-terminating glacier, over 100 years.




Figure 6. (a) Prescribed lake level rise (from 100 to 200 m) and fall (from 100 to 0 m) scenarios, from a stable 100 m deep lake, with 20-year spin up. **(b)** Change in floating area (equivalent to loss of grounded area) for all three simulations over 100 years. **(c–d)** Central line profile of ice thickness and frontal geometry after 100 years' simulation for **(c)** rising lake level and **(d)** falling lake level experiments.

threshold, which we find has three effects. Greater rates of lake filling (i) induce *faster increases* of GL mass flux that (ii) *occur earlier* during lake rise, and (iii) attain a *higher peak flux* at the higher eventual lake level.

Once the rising lake level stabilises, GL mass flux generally decreases, at a rate similar to or even greater than the initial phase. The thinning effect of the higher lake level and the continued negative mass balance result in diminishing GL flux with time; a finite period of lake filling does not trigger sustained catchment discharge. This is despite enhanced velocity and a continued, modest increase in floating area (i.e. grounding line retreat). Thinning continues to increase the area of floating ice, but is insufficient to further increase the GL flux. This is true for all scenarios except 0–150 m, which demonstrates a unique behaviour: GL mass flux continues to increase even after the lake level stabilises, and the area of floating ice continues to increase at a faster rate than other scenarios. In a "perfect storm" scenario of negative mass bal-




Figure 7. Differences in ice thickness and velocity between lake level rise and 100 m fixed lake experiments, for years 50 and 100. Differences in ice thickness and velocity are largest at year 100, and extend furthest upstream. Profile line shown in Fig. 6 in black.

ance, thinning, buoyancy and velocity response, unique to the setting, there is a potential for runaway instability once critical thresholds are crossed, with the GL retreating deep into the catchment.

Lake drainage scenarios fundamentally differ, stabilising rather than destabilising the glacier. As the lake level begins to fall, GL mass flux declines almost immediately, irrespective of the lake's starting height and rate of fall. Simultaneously, there is a rapid decrease in floating area as the previously floating terminus grounds, restoring basal drag and mechanical coupling with the bed. We find that the rate of GL flux decline during grounding is slower than the rate of change during flotation induced by lake level rise. We interpret this slower pace to reflect the competing effects of SMB- and lake-induced thinning (favouring continued flotation) and lake level fall (favouring grounding). Once the ice front is grounded and the lake fully drained, all experiments show low rates of GL flux decrease, attributed to the negative surface mass balance.

3.3 Experiments 3a and 3b: Stress response to rapid lake drainage and implications for glacier stability

Experiment 2 has shown that slow and steady lake drainage has a stabilising effect, producing a marked decline in GL flux. Yet, lakes are known to drain much more rapidly, from 1–2 years in the case of larger events in the ice sheet palaeorecord (Teller et al., 2002) to days or even hours in some settings (Tweed and Russell, 1999; Veh et al., 2019; Dømgaard et al., 2023, 2024; Regnéll et al., 2025). Rapid lake drainage events represent one of the most dynamic perturbations that lake-terminating glaciers can experience.

In experiments in which we prescribe lake level fall of 100 m in 1, 2, 3, and 4 years, we find that there is a pronounced stress response in the near-marginal area (Fig. 12), in both tensile (Fig. 13a, b) and compressive (Fig. 13c, d) fields. Peak stress changes, relative to the pre-drainage state, occur at the culmination of drainage. The magnitude of the glacier stress response scales directly with drainage rate, with faster drainage events producing proportionally larger stress perturbations (Fig. 13). The absolute magnitude of stress changes depends also on the initial flotation state. For identical drainage magnitudes, initially floating termini (with a wa-




Figure 8. Differences in ice thickness and velocity between lake level fall and 100 m fixed lake experiments, for years 50 and 100. Differences in ice thickness and velocity are largest at year 100, and extend furthest upstream. Profile line shown in Fig. 6 in black.




Figure 9. Mean difference in **(a)** ice thickness and **(b)** velocity, between rising/falling lake level scenarios and a fixed 100 m lake.




Figure 10. Grounding line mass flux and floating area change for five rising lake level scenarios.




Figure 11. Grounding line mass flux and floating area change for five falling lake level scenarios.

ter depth of 200 m) experience stress changes that are about 4 times greater than when the initial terminus is grounded (lake level at 100 m).

Our annual-scale results represent the cumulative stress per year. For more realistic GLOF-like drainage events, we run a high temporal resolution Experiment 3b, with a daily model time-step and drainage duration of 1 d. In this case, we find an ∼ instantaneous stress response of similar distribution (Fig. S2) and magnitude (Fig. 14) to the cumulative annual drainage, linearly related to the magnitude of lake level fall.

During rapid drainage, the sudden withdrawal of hydrostatic support creates an immediate imbalance between driving stress (gravitational forcing) and resistive stresses (basal and lateral drag, back-pressure from water). This imbalance manifests as enhanced longitudinal stretching near the terminus, and for floating termini, the loss of buoyant support effectively increases the vertical stress component, leading to enhanced basal coupling and altered stress transmission through the ice column. The resulting stress concentrations are particularly pronounced at the former waterline, where the transition from hydrostatic to atmospheric pressure boundary conditions creates sharp gradients in the stress field.

In both our annual and daily drainage experiments, membrane stresses appear to stabilise at a substantially elevated level relative to the pre-drainage state. At these stresses, equivalent in magnitude to the typical tensile strength of ice (∼ 300 kPa), we would expect that mechanical failure and stress release would ensue; since our model does not incorporate crevassing or calving, stresses remain artificially




Figure 12. Changes in first (tensile, **a–c**) and second (compressive, **d–f**) principal value of membrane stresses, in the first year after initiating a 100 m lake drainage of 1, 2 and 4-years duration, from an initially floating glacier terminus.




Figure 13. Maximum change in first principal value of membrane stress (tensile) **(a, b)** and Minimum change in second principal value of membrane stress (compressive) **(c, d)** stress regimes, during rapid lake level fall from a lake level that is initially above **(a, c)** and below **(b, d)** the terminus flotation threshold. Changes are relative to the pre-drainage state. The shaded area marks the maximum duration of lake drainage (4 years).




Figure 14. Change in peak tensile **(a)** and compressive **(b)** membrane stresses during a 1 d (GLOF-like) drainage event of a lake that is initially above the terminus flotation threshold. Changes are relative to the pre-drainage state, the dashed vertical marks the hour when lake level fall stops. **(c)** Crevasse screening: peak change in tensile membrane stress versus drawdown magnitude (left axis) and the equivalent Nye dry-crevasse depth, $d = R_1/\rho_i g$ (right axis). The grey band marks the assumed tensile-strength range for crevasse initiation in ice ($\approx$ 100–300 kPa; Vaughan, 1993), whose edges correspond to a fracture index $F = 1$ for the weak and strong strength bounds.

high (Figs. 13, 14). Using established relationships between stress and crevasse depth (Nye, 1957; van der Veen, 1998; Reynolds et al., 2025), we estimate that membrane stress increases of $\sim$ 250 kPa (observed for 50 m in 1 d drainage from floating conditions) could drive surface crevasses to depths of around 27 m (Fig. 14), potentially reaching the waterline and initiating calving processes. Our experiments suggest that rapid and high magnitude lake drainage events, particularly where an ice terminus is initially floating may reduce the structural integrity of the glacier outlet and trigger discrete calving episodes. The extent to which complete structural collapse may ensue requires explicit fracture modelling.

4 Discussion

4.1 Lake level controls on glacier dynamics: mechanisms, thresholds and responses

Our study demonstrates that the presence of a proglacial lake, and fluctuations in lake level over days to decades, exert a fundamental control over glacier dynamics. Compared to a land-terminating glacier, a proglacial lake causes enhanced ice thinning and acceleration. These responses occur even when the water depth is shallow and the glacier terminus is grounded. However, flotation of the terminus further enhances both thinning and acceleration, and has a profound impact on the grounding line flux. In our experiments, which omit explicit frontal ablation, these responses are entirely due to hydrostatic pressure variations modulating ice flow. In particular, the onset of flotation represents a critical threshold that transforms the mechanical boundary conditions at the ice front. Under higher lake levels and under floating conditions, the glacier experiences reduced effective stress and enhanced longitudinal stretching, leading to accelerated ice flow and increased mass flux across the grounding line.

These responses are consistent with theoretical predictions, model experiments and field observations from both marine-terminating and lake-terminating systems. Expansion of proglacial lakes in the Himalayas has been associated with widespread glacier thinning (Nie et al., 2017;

King et al., 2019). Studies on neighbouring lake- and land-terminating glaciers, and those that have undergone a transition from land- to lake-terminating, in the Himalayas and elsewhere, confirm that under the same climate conditions lake-terminating glaciers experience faster thinning rates (Tsutaki et al., 2013, 2019; Baurley et al., 2020; Sato et al., 2022; Holt et al., 2024; Scoffield et al., 2024). Acceleration is similarly observed where glaciers are lake-terminating, although this trend is more nuanced and not universal (Muto and Furuya, 2013; Thakuri et al., 2016; King et al., 2018; Pronk et al., 2021; Minowa et al., 2023; Wu et al., 2025). Observations suggest that buoyancy forces at the ice–lake interface are key, with pulses of rapid acceleration – and in some cases marked grounding line retreat – reported where thinning toward floating conditions has detached the glacier from bed pinning points (Holt et al., 2024; Minowa et al., 2023; Somos-Valenzuela et al., 2014; Tsutaki et al., 2013). The converse has been found to result from lake drainage and reduced buoyancy of the terminus (Main et al., 2023). In marine and lake settings, bed over-deepenings and retrograde slopes, coupled with ice sheet and glacier thinning, are widely linked to unstable retreat and increased discharge via the so-called Marine Ice Sheet Instability (Weertman, 1974; Meier and Post, 1987; Schoof, 2007; Benn et al., 2007; Joughin et al., 2014). Here we show that proglacial lake filling independently drives the same buoyancy-governed dynamics.

Studies of individual – or even regional – cases of glacier–lake behaviour are typically limited in timescale and consider either uniform forcing (a stable lake) or a single direction of change. Here, we have explored a range of lake filling and drainage scenarios and we find that, besides the general trends outlined above, glacier responses to lake changes are non-linear, asymmetric and exhibit hysteresis. These complex responses are especially manifest in glacier sensitivity to flotation, in feedbacks between ice thinning and lake level rise and fall, and in glacier response to the rate of lake drainage. In our experiments, flotation of the ice front during lake level rise induces greater magnitude thinning and acceleration catchment-wide than the opposing responses to transition to a land-terminating setting, but locally transition to land has greater impact (Fig. 9). We find also that a steadily rising lake level produces a sharp increase in grounding line mass flux due to flotation, while grounding during steady lake drainage produces a much less abrupt response, albeit of similar magnitude (Figs. 10, 11). These contrasting behaviours arise from sensitive feedbacks between surface mass balance, water depth and velocity. Where negative surface mass balance is driving glacier thinning, buoyancy can be countered by steady lake drainage, such that no substantial change in grounding condition arises and the glacier margin is stable. Conversely, lake filling and negative surface mass balance both favour thinning and buoyancy, destabilising the grounding line. The vulnerability of a glacier to such destabilisation strongly depends on the local setting and initial conditions. Small changes in lake level can trigger disproportionately large changes in grounding line flux (Figs. 10, 11), but only when the ice front is close to the threshold for buoyancy. In that case, lake level rise may cause flotation – and consequent profound increases in grounding line flux – more rapidly than surface thinning; otherwise, the same magnitude lake filling may be comparatively ineffectual. Deep lake waters arise in high relief topographic settings where basins are enclosed. Here, increases in GL flux into a contained basin may cause yet further lake rise, sustaining mass loss in a positive feedback. In such topographic settings, especially where the lake bed has a retrograde slope, water depth increase and lake level rise could typically outpace surface thinning and be the dominant control on buoyancy. Coupling between lake level and grounding line behaviour could, in such settings, be more pronounced than the marine ice sheet instability, where grounding line discharge has a comparatively negligible effect on water (sea) level.

While our steady lake drainage simulations might represent a case of incremental erosion through a bedrock or moraine dam, high-magnitude drainage events occur through sudden dam breach or ice margin retreat past topographic thresholds, and may lower lake levels over timescales of hours to weeks, rather than years to decades. In contrast to the stabilising effect of slow, sustained lake drainage, we find that high magnitude, rapid lake drainage events produce a pronounced stress response that can initiate surface crevassing and discrete calving events, and this stress response increases with the rate or magnitude of drainage (Figs. 13, 14). While sufficient to drive fracture propagation, the initial stress magnitudes we observe would be expected to dissipate with fracturing. This finding is consistent with observations from natural drainage events where calving episodes are typically followed by restabilisation, rather than runaway retreat (e.g. Mallalieu et al., 2020). Explicit fracture modelling would be required to evaluate possibilities for sustained structural failure or catastrophic ice collapse arising from discrete lake drainage events. The limited spatial extent of many proglacial lakes and the buttressing effects of calved icebergs provide natural constraints on instability propagation. Nonetheless, the stress amplification we observe for floating termini suggests that glaciers near flotation thresholds may be particularly vulnerable to drainage-induced instabilities. As climate warming drives both glacier retreat and lake expansion, more systems may approach these critical conditions, potentially increasing the frequency and magnitude of drainage-triggered calving events.

4.2 Transient responses and propagation of effects

Velocity, grounding line flux and stress responses to lake level change are immediate and pronounced, but appear to correspond largely to the period of active lake filling or drainage. On the other hand, sustained filling and drainage of proglacial lakes appears to produce a more lasting change

in ice thickness, while velocity, for example, becomes stable at a new rate. Qualitatively, the temporal nature of these responses echoes observations indicating that acceleration and buoyant responses of lake-terminating glaciers may be pulsed or irregular (Muto and Furuya, 2013; Pronk et al., 2021; Minowa et al., 2023; Holt et al., 2024), reflecting the non-linear nature of flotation dynamics. In contrast, thinning trends of such systems appears almost universal, irrespective of the nature of the lake terminus: stable or evolving, newly formed or long-established (Tsutaki et al., 2013, 2019; Thakuri et al., 2016; Muto and Furuya, 2013; King et al., 2019; Sato et al., 2022). In part, this sustained thinning response to lake level change may reflect the time required for the glacier profile to adjust to new boundary conditions through kinematic wave propagation (Riel et al., 2021; van de Wal and Oerlemans, 1995). The glacier response persists long after the hydrological perturbation ends, with adjustment timescales that will vary according to glacier geometry, ice thickness, and perturbation magnitude. In addition, however, we show that thinning (as well as velocity and grounding line flux responses) scales with the absolute water level, such that lake level rise not only drives a dynamic response to the *active* change, but there is sustained enhanced thinning at a higher, *stable*, lake level. This ongoing thinning may trigger delayed or renewed grounding line flux changes, even after a lake filling or drainage event has stabilised, due to the evolving thresholds for ice margin buoyancy.

The glacier responses that we have observed are triggered from the terminus, propagating upstream from the ice–lake interface. We also find that thinning and flow velocity responses propagate into both other outlet glaciers that drain the same catchment, and in particular the neighbouring marine-terminating outlet. Lake filling and drainage dynamics thereby induce responses that may trigger additional feedbacks at the ice–ocean interface, where mass can be fully lost from the system and where there may be fewer stabilising influences. While we do not explicitly model mass loss from the ice front, or its feedbacks, our results suggest that even temporary lake level change may commit glaciers to prolonged responses not only at the lake-terminating interface itself but more widely across the catchment. This finding emphasises the importance of incorporating transient ice dynamics and lake interactions in predictive glacier models.

4.3 Implications for lake-terminating systems

4.3.1 Ice sheet retreat/stability

Our findings have particular relevance for the Greenland Ice Sheet, where proglacial lake formation is accelerating as the ice margin retreats into over-deepened basins (How et al., 2021; Shugar et al., 2020). In contrast to high mountain settings, where proglacial lakes commonly drain by a sill or moraine-breach, on retrograde bed topography persistent ice-dammed lakes change level as drainage thresholds emerge or evolve. Recent observations document the rapid formation and expansion of ice-marginal lakes along both the western and eastern margins of the Greenland Ice Sheet, with some systems transitioning from land-terminating to lake-terminating within single melt seasons (Carrivick et al., 2022; Holt et al., 2024).The non-linear response to lake level changes we identify suggests that these transitions may trigger rapid acceleration in ice discharge, contributing disproportionately to Greenland's mass balance. Our results also highlight the potential for lake-induced instabilities to propagate inland. This mechanism could contribute to the observed inland migration of acceleration and thinning patterns at several Greenland outlet glaciers (Hill et al., 2018). Of particular concern may be our finding that dynamic changes initiated at a lake-terminating outlet also propagate to neighbouring outlets of the same ice sheet catchment. Given Greenland's high-relief ice-marginal topography, many ice sheet catchments bifurcate into multiple outlets. Accelerated mass loss driven by lake-terminus feedbacks may propagate to marine-terminating outlets where beds are over-deepened and stabilising behaviour such as lake drainage is absent. Understanding and predicting these terminus transitions and instabilities is important for refining sea level projections.

Centennial-scale consequences of proglacial lake damming are evident in high-resolution, tightly-constrained geological records of deglaciation, and our findings here can inform interpretations of the drivers of ice sheet retreat. Deglacial periods of high surface melting in high relief, over-deepened and/or isostatically depressed terrain, are known to have dammed large proglacial lakes (e.g. Jansson, 2003; Perkins and Brennand, 2015; Utting and Atkinson, 2019; Høgaas and Longva, 2018; Regnéll et al., 2019, 2023), creating ice-marginal zones primed for rapid thinning and enhanced mass loss at the grounding line. Lake coalescence during successive phases of ice margin retreat (e.g. Utting and Atkinson, 2019; Regnéll et al., 2023) would have reduced lateral pinning stresses and exposed the ice margin to multiple episodes of lake level change; retreat rates reconstructed from these lake-terminating settings are comparable to those from marine outlets ($100\,\mathrm{s\,m\,a^{-1}}$). Reconstructions of lake and ice-margin co-evolution in Fennoscandia, for example, show that where lakes were dammed, the ice sheet thinned to the extent that the ice sheet was repeatedly breached by lake water. The successive occurrence of high-magnitude, rapid (< 1 year) lake drainage events (Regnéll et al., 2025), together with lake-induced ice thinning, acceleration and enhanced mass loss, may have precipitated the structural failure and collapse of the late-stage Fennoscandian ice sheet.

4.3.2 Glacier lake hazard mitigation

In High Mountain Asia, home to $\sim 200\,000$ glaciers, proglacial lake expansion poses dual challenges of enhanced glacier retreat and increased glacial lake outburst flood

(GLOF) hazards (Nie et al., 2017; Veh et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2015). Our finding that controlled, gradual drainage can stabilise glaciers while sudden drainage may trigger instabilities has direct implications for hazard mitigation strategies.

Several successful lake lowering projects have demonstrated the viability of engineered drainage as a hazard reduction measure (Rana et al., 2000; ICIMOD, 2011). Our results provide quantitative support for gradual drainage approaches while highlighting the risks of uncontrolled rapid drainage events. The predictable scaling relationships between drainage rate and stress response enable assessment of the likelihood of drainage-triggered calving events. The dependence of the stress response on initial flotation state, and the likelihood that a warming climate with deeper lakes, thinning glaciers and more frequent drainage events will push some systems closer to critical instability thresholds, emphasises the need for enhanced monitoring and potentially active management interventions. Notably, the asymmetric response to lake level changes suggests that efforts to prevent initial lake expansion may be more effective than later drainage stabilisation measures. This argues for proactive monitoring and intervention in systems approaching critical flotation thresholds.

4.4 Model limitations and future research directions

Even though our simplified approach does not explicitly include frontal ablation mechanisms, we find that hydrostatic effects alone have important dynamic effects on lake-terminating glaciers. Our exclusion of calving and subaqueous melting likely leads to conservative estimates of glacier sensitivity, as these processes account for a substantial proportion of mass loss in lake-terminating systems (Carrivick and Tweed, 2013; Minowa et al., 2021; Caldwell et al., 2025). Both mass loss processes influence and are influenced by lake water temperature, lake ice cover, water depth and bed topography, and their rates, distribution and calving mechanisms at ice-dammed lake margins are not well-constrained (e.g. Mallalieu et al., 2020), limited by sparse long-term observational data and uncertainties in model implementation. Incorporating calving and subaqueous melting would likely amplify the lake level effects we identify, through delivering more water into the proglacial basin, and could reveal additional instability mechanisms. Recent advances in calving law development (Benn et al., 2007, 2017) and evaluation (e.g. Barnett et al., 2026) and subaqueous melt parameterisations (O'Leary and Christoffersen, 2013; Slater et al., 2017) provide pathways for more comprehensive future modelling. The assumption of simplified basal hydrology also limits our ability to capture the full spectrum of ice-lake interactions. Fully coupled ice-hydrology models (Ehrenfeucht et al., 2023; Sommers et al., 2018) could better represent the complex feedbacks between subglacial water pressure, basal sliding, and lake level fluctuations.

We highlight here the influence of proglacial lakes on glacier dynamics, but also the setting-specific nature and magnitude of glacier-lake feedbacks. We chose a Greenland domain and aimed to explore generic ice-lake interactions, enforcing a flat lake bed in order to minimise the influence of local topography. However, locally retrograde or normal bed slopes and the shape of the regional topography will influence the size of proglacial lakes, the likelihood of repeated damming or interconnected lake systems, and the magnitude of glacier impact; such aspects differ between Greenland and high mountain domains. Future work should prioritise targeted application of our modelling framework to specific glacier systems that are expected to be most vulnerable to ice-lake interaction. Such studies demand good constraint on local boundary conditions; high-resolution topographic data from satellite and airborne surveys are increasingly providing the geometric constraints needed for realistic simulations.

Important research directions include:

- *Coupled glacier-lake modelling.* Dynamic simulation of lake evolution alongside glacier retreat, incorporating glacier sediment transport, lake thermal stratification and circulation patterns, and lake drainage pathways.
- *Multi-scale process integration.* Seamless coupling of calving laws, subaqueous melt parameterisations, and hydrology models within comprehensive ice flow frameworks.
- *Probabilistic hazard assessment.* Development of probabilistic frameworks for assessing GLOF risks under different climate scenarios, incorporating the non-linear thresholds identified in our study.
- *Observation-model integration.* Systematic comparison of model predictions with high-resolution observations from satellite imagery, GPS measurements, and autonomous sensors.

5 Conclusions

In this study, we have systematically investigated the influence of stable, growing and draining proglacial lakes on the dynamics of an outlet glacier and its ice sheet catchment. By comparing glacier behaviour in both lake-terminating and land-terminating scenarios, under simplified conditions of a flat lake bathymetry and constant mass balance, we isolate the direct impact of the lake on glacier dynamics. Our results demonstrate that lake-terminating glaciers thin and accelerate compared to those terminating on land, but that glacier mass loss accelerates significantly through grounding line mass outflux once flotation conditions are reached, driven by rising lake levels and surface mass loss. This highlights the dominant role of proglacial lake level changes in governing glacier stability.

Importantly, our analysis reveals that steadily lowering lake levels, whether through natural drainage or engineered interventions, reduces grounding line mass flux and enhances glacier stability. This finding suggests that controlled, gradual lake drainage could serve as a promising geoengineering approach to mitigate glacier retreat and its downstream impacts. However, we caution that rapid lake drainage may disrupt the glacier's stress equilibrium, increasing crevasse formation and calving potential. Glacial lake outburst floods may therefore undermine the stability of the glacier catchment, as well as constituting profound hazard risks in themselves. Our quantitative results underscore the importance of lake level management in modulating glacier dynamics.

Code and data availability. ISSM is freely available at https://github.com/ISSMteam/ISSM (last access: 15 November 2026). The code specific to lake level change simulations is available on https://github.com/Ankit-1989/ice_lake_interaction_ISSM (last access 17 November 2026). The data set can be generated just by running the code.

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-20-6747-2026-supplement.

Author contributions. AP, SLG, RG conceived the idea and designed experiments. AP set up the model with the help of MM, JB, and FH. AP conducted the model simulations and analysed results. AP and SLG wrote the manuscript. All authors participated in discussing results and reviewing the manuscript.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. The authors bear the ultimate responsibility for providing appropriate place names. Views expressed in the text are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher.

Acknowledgements. This work was funded by Swedish Research Council (2021-05411) and Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (2016.0144) grants to SLG. JB was funded by the Swedish Research Council (grant no. 2021-04512). FAH was funded by Formas (grant no. 2021-01590). We thank Michele Petrini, Evan Gowan and Florian Vacek for helpful discussions during the early conception of this study. We thank William Armstrong, Carl Kammerdiener, and Alex Hyde for their constructive comments, which improved the manuscript.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the Vetenskapsrådet (grant no. 2021-05411) and the Knut och Alice Wallenbergs Stiftelse (grant no. 2016.0144).

The publication of this article was funded by the Swedish Research Council, Forte, Formas, and Vinnova.

Review statement. This paper was edited by Ian Delaney and reviewed by William Armstrong and Alex Hyde.

References

Barnett, J., Holmes, F. A., Greenwood, S. L., Morlighem, M., Kirchner, N., and Jakobsson, M.: Comparing calving laws at Greenland's three largest ice shelves, The Cryosphere, 20, 3599–3617, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-20-3599-2026, 2026.

Baurley, N. R., Robson, B. A., and Hart, J. K.: Long-term impact of the proglacial lake Jökulsárlón on the flow velocity and stability of Breiðamerkurjökull glacier, Iceland, Earth Surf. Proc. Land., 45, 2647–2663, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4920, 2020.

Benn, D. I., Warren, C. R., and Mottram, R. H.: Calving processes and the dynamics of calving glaciers, Earth-Sci. Rev., 82, 143–179, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2007.02.002, 2007.

Benn, D. I., Åström, J. A., Zwinger, T., Todd, J., Nick, F. M., Cook, S., Hulton, N. R., and Luckman, A.: Melt-under-cutting and buoyancy-driven calving from tidewater glaciers: new insights from discrete element and continuum model simulations, J. Glaciol., 63, 691–702, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2017.41, 2017.

Boyce, E. S., Motyka, R. J., and Truffer, M.: Flotation and retreat of a lake-calving terminus, Mendenhall Glacier, southeast Alaska, USA, J. Glaciol., 53, 211–224, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756507782202928, 2007.

Budd, W. F., Keage, P. L., and Blundy, N. A.: Empirical Studies of Ice Sliding, J. Glaciol., 23, 157–170, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000029804, 1979.

Caldwell, N. G., Armstrong, W. H., McNabb, R., Enderlin, E. M., McGrath, D., Rick, B., Hanson, J., and Perry, L. B.: Retreat and frontal ablation rates for Alaska's lake-terminating glaciers: Investigating potential physical controls with implications for future stability, J. Glaciol., 71, e67, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2025.37, 2025.

Carrivick, J. L. and Tweed, F. S.: Proglacial lakes: Character, behaviour and geological importance, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 78, 34–52, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.07.028, 2013.

Carrivick, J. L., Tweed, F. S., Sutherland, J. L., and Mallalieu, J.: Toward Numerical Modelling of Interactions Between Ice-Marginal Proglacial Lakes and Glaciers, Front. Earth Sci., 8, 577068, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2020.577068, 2020.

Carrivick, J. L., How, P., Lea, J. M., Sutherland, J. L., Grimes, M., Tweed, F. S., Cornford, S., Quincey, D. J., and Mallalieu, J.: Ice-Marginal Proglacial Lakes Across Greenland: Present Status and a Possible Future, Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, e2022GL099276, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099276, 2022.

Clarke, G. K. C., Leverington, D. W., Teller, J. T., and Dyke, A. S.: Paleohydraulics of the last outburst flood from glacial Lake

Agassiz and the 8200BP cold event, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 23, 389–407, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.06.004, 2004.

Dømgaard, M., Kjeldsen, K. K., Huiban, F., Carrivick, J. L., Khan, S. A., and Bjørk, A. A.: Recent changes in drainage route and outburst magnitude of the Russell Glacier ice-dammed lake, West Greenland, The Cryosphere, 17, 1373–1387, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-17-1373-2023, 2023.

Dømgaard, M., Kjeldsen, K., How, P., and Bjørk, A.: Altimetry-based ice-marginal lake water level changes in Greenland, Commun. Earth Environ., 5, 365, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01522-4, 2024.

Dye, A., Bryant, R., and Rippin, D.: Proglacial lake expansion and glacier retreat in Arctic Sweden, Geogr. Ann. A, 104, 268–287, https://doi.org/10.1080/04353676.2022.2121999, 2022.

Dye, A., Bryant , R., Falcini, F., Mallalieu, J., Dimbleby, M., Beckwith, M., Rippin, D., and Kirchner, N.: Warm proglacial lake temperatures and thermal undercutting enhance rapid retreat of an Arctic glacier, The Cryosphere, 19, 4471–4486, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-19-4471-2025, 2025.

Ehrenfeucht, S., Morlighem, M., Rignot, E., Dow, C. F., and Mouginot, J.: Seasonal Acceleration of Petermann Glacier, Greenland, From Changes in Subglacial Hydrology, Geophys. Res. Lett., 50, e2022GL098009, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL098009, 2023.

Frank, T., van Pelt, W. J. J., Rounce, D. R., Jouvet, G., and Hock, R.: Global glacier-free topography reveals a large potential for future lakes in presently ice-covered terrain, Nat. Commun., 17, 3985, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-026-72548-9, 2026.

Hill, E. A., Carr, J. R., Stokes, C. R., and Gudmundsson, G. H.: Dynamic changes in outlet glaciers in northern Greenland from 1948 to 2015, The Cryosphere, 12, 3243–3263, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3243-2018, 2018.

Høgaas, F. and Longva, O.: The early Holocene ice-dammed lake Nedre Glomsjø in mid-Norway: an open lake system succeeding an actively retreating ice sheet, Norw. J. Geol., 98, 661–675, https://doi.org/10.17850/njg98-4-08, 2018.

Høgaas, F., Hansen, L., Berthling, I., Klug, M., Longva, O., Nannestad, H. D., Olsen, L., and Romundset, A.: Timing and maximum flood level of the Early Holocene glacial lake Nedre Glomsjø outburst flood, Norway, Boreas, 52, 295–313, https://doi.org/10.1111/bor.12615, 2023.

Høgaas, F., Romundset, A., Aurand, K., Bendle, J., van Boeckel, M., Hansen, L., and Longva, O.: A watery ice sheet demise: Formation and drainage of ice-dammed lakes in southern Norway during the Early Holocene, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 355, 109250, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2025.109250, 2025.

Holt, E., Nienow, P., and Medina-Lopez, E.: Terminus thinning drives recent acceleration of a Greenlandic lake-terminating outlet glacier, J. Glaciol., 70, e9, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2024.30, 2024.

How, P., Messerli, A., Mätzler, E., Santoro, M., Wiesmann, A., Caduff, R., Langley, K., Bojesen, M. H., Paul, F., Kääb, A., and Carrivick, J. L.: Greenland-wide inventory of ice marginal lakes using a multi-method approach, Sci. Rep., 11, 4481, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-83509-1, 2021.

Hugonnet, R., McNabb, R., Berthier, E., Menounos, B., Nuth, C., Girod, L., Farinotti, D., Huss, M., Dussaillant, I., Brun, F., and Kääb, A.: Accelerated global glacier mass loss in the early twenty-first century, Nature, 592, 726–731, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03436-z, 2021.

ICIMOD: Glacial Lakes and Glacial Lake Outburst Floods in Nepal, International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), https://doi.org/10.53055/icimod.543, 2011.

Jansson, K. N.: Early Holocene glacial lakes and ice marginal retreat pattern in Labrador/Ungava, Canada, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 193, 473–501, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(03)00262-1, 2003.

Joughin, I., Smith, B. E., and Medley, B.: Marine ice sheet collapse potentially under way for the Thwaites Glacier Basin, West Antarctica, Science, 344, 735–738, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1249055, 2014.

Joughin, I., Smith, B. E., and Howat, I. M.: A complete map of Greenland ice velocity derived from satellite data collected over 20 years, J. Glaciol., 64, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2017.73, 2018.

King, O., Dehecq, A., Quincey, D., and Carrivick, J.: Contrasting geometric and dynamic evolution of lake and land-terminating glaciers in the central Himalaya, Global Planet. Change, 167, 46–60, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2018.05.006, 2018.

King, O., Bhattacharya, A., Bhambri, R., and Bolch, T.: Glacial lakes exacerbate Himalayan glacier mass loss, Sci. Rep., 9, 18145, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53733-x, 2019.

Kittel, C., Amory, C., Agosta, C., Jourdain, N. C., Hofer, S., Delhasse, A., Doutreloup, S., Huot, P.-V., Lang, C., Fichefet, T., and Fettweis, X.: Diverging future surface mass balance between the Antarctic ice shelves and grounded ice sheet, The Cryosphere, 15, 1215–1236, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1215-2021, 2021.

Larour, E., Seroussi, H., Morlighem, M., and Rignot, E.: Continental scale, high order, high spatial resolution, ice sheet modeling using the Ice Sheet System Model (ISSM), J. Geophys. Res.-Earth, 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JF002140, 2012.

Leverington, D. W., Mann, J. D., and Teller, J. T.: Changes in the Bathymetry and Volume of Glacial Lake Agassiz between 9200 and 7700 14C yr B.P., Quaternary Res., 57, 244–252, https://doi.org/10.1006/qres.2001.2311, 2002.

Lützow, N., Veh, G., and Korup, O.: A global database of historic glacier lake outburst floods, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 15, 2983–3000, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-2983-2023, 2023.

Main, B., Copland, L., Smeda, B., Kochtitzky, W., Samsonov, S., Dudley, J., Skidmore, M., Dow, C., Van Wychen, W., Medrzycka, D., Higgs, E., and Mingo, L.: Terminus change of Kaskawulsh Glacier, Yukon, under a warming climate: retreat, thinning, slowdown and modified proglacial lake geometry, J. Glaciol., 69, 936–952, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2022.114, 2023.

Mallalieu, J., Carrivick, J. L., Quincey, D. J., and Smith, M. W.: Calving seasonality associated with melt-undercutting and lake ice cover, Geophys. Res. Lett., 47, e2019GL086561, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086561, 2020.

Mallalieu, J., Carrivick, J. L., Quincey, D. J., and Raby, C. L.: Ice-marginal lakes associated with enhanced recession of the Greenland Ice Sheet, Global Planet. Change, 202, 103503, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2021.103503, 2021.

Meier, M. F. and Post, A.: Fast tidewater glaciers, J. Geophys. Res.-Sol. Ea., 92, 9051–9058, https://doi.org/10.1029/JB092iB09p09051, 1987.

Minowa, M., Schaefer, M., Sugiyama, S., Sakakibara, D., and Skvarca, P.: Frontal ablation and mass loss of the Patagonian icefields, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 561, 116811, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2021.116811, 2021.

Minowa, M., Schaefer, M., and Skvarca, P.: Effects of topography on dynamics and mass loss of lake-terminating glaciers in southern Patagonia, J. Glaciol., 69, 1580–1597, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2023.42, 2023.

Morlighem, M., Seroussi, H., Larour, E., and Rignot, E.: Inversion of basal friction in Antarctica using exact and incomplete adjoints of a higher-order model, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth, 118, 1746–1753, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrf.20125, 2013.

Morlighem, M., Williams, C. N., Rignot, E., An, L., Arndt, J. E., Bamber, J. L., Catania, G., Chauché, N., Dowdeswell, J. A., Dorschel, B., Fenty, I., Hogan, K., Howat, I., Hubbard, A., Jakobsson, M., Jordan, T. M., Kjeldsen, K. K., Millan, R., Mayer, L., Mouginot, J., Noël, B. P. Y., Ó Cofaigh, C., Palmer, S., Rysgaard, S., Seroussi, H., Siegert, M. J., Slabon, P., Straneo, F., van den Broeke, M. R., Weinrebe, W., Wood, M., and Zinglersen, K. B.: BedMachine v3: Complete Bed Topography and Ocean Bathymetry Mapping of Greenland From Multibeam Echo Sounding Combined With Mass Conservation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 11051–11061, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074954, 2017.

Morlighem, M., Wood, M., Seroussi, H., Choi, Y., and Rignot, E.: Modeling the response of northwest Greenland to enhanced ocean thermal forcing and subglacial discharge, The Cryosphere, 13, 723–734, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-723-2019, 2019.

Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., Bjørk, A. A., Van den Broeke, M., Millan, R., Morlighem, M., Noël, B., Scheuchl, B., and Wood, M.: Forty-six years of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance from 1972 to 2018, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 116, 9239–9244, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904242116, 2019.

Muto, M. and Furuya, M.: Surface velocities and ice-front positions of eight major glaciers in the Southern Patagonian Ice Field, South America, from 2002 to 2011, Remote Sens. Environ., 139, 50–59, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.07.034, 2013.

Nick, F. M., Vieli, A., Howat, I. M., and Joughin, I.: Large-scale changes in Greenland outlet glacier dynamics triggered at the terminus, Nat. Geosci., 2, 110–114, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo394, 2009.

Nie, Y., Sheng, Y., Liu, Q., Liu, L., Liu, S., Zhang, Y., and Song, C.: A regional-scale assessment of Himalayan glacial lake changes using satellite observations from 1990 to 2015, Remote Sens. Environ., 189, 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.11.008, 2017.

Nye, J. F.: The distribution of stress and velocity in glaciers and ice-sheets, P. Roy. Soc. Lond. A Mat., 239, 113–133, https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1957.0026, 1957.

O'Leary, M. and Christoffersen, P.: Calving on tidewater glaciers amplified by submarine frontal melting, The Cryosphere, 7, 119–128, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-119-2013, 2013.

Perkins, A. J. and Brennand, T. A.: Refining the pattern and style of Cordilleran Ice Sheet retreat: Palaeogeography, evolution and implications of lateglacial ice-dammed lake systems on the southern Fraser Plateau, British Columbia, Canada, Boreas, 44, 319–342, https://doi.org/10.1111/bor.12100, 2015.

Ploeg, K. and Stroeven, A. P.: History and dynamics of Fennoscandian Ice Sheet retreat, contemporary ice-dammed lake evolution, and faulting in the Torneträsk area, northwestern Sweden, The Cryosphere, 19, 347–373, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-19-347-2025, 2025.

Pronk, J. B., Bolch, T., King, O., Wouters, B., and Benn, D. I.: Contrasting surface velocities between lake- and land-terminating glaciers in the Himalayan region, The Cryosphere, 15, 5577–5599, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-5577-2021, 2021.

Rana, B., Shrestha, A. B., Reynolds, J. M., Aryal, R., Pokhrel, A. P., and Budhathoki, K. P.: Hazard assessment of the Tsho Roipa Glacier Lake and ongoing remediation measures, J. Nepal Geol. Soc., 22, 563–570, https://doi.org/10.3126/jngs.v22i0.32432, 2000.

Regnéll, C., Mangerud, J., and Svendsen, J. I.: Tracing the last remnants of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet: Ice-dammed lakes and a catastrophic outburst flood in northern Sweden, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 221, 105862, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.105862, 2019.

Regnéll, C., Peterson Becher, G., Öhrling, C., Greenwood, S. L., Gyllencreutz, R., Blomdin, R., Brendryen, J., Goodfellow, B. W., Mikko, H., Ransed, G., and Smith, C.: Ice-dammed lakes and deglaciation history of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet in central Jämtland, Sweden, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 314, 108219, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2023.108219, 2023.

Regnéll, C., Greenwood, S. L., Gyllencreutz, R., Peterson, G., Regnéll, J., Öhrling, C., Hardeng, J., Johnson, E., Bakke, J., and Cederstrøm, J. M.: Anchoring the Swedish Time Scale to the radiocarbon time scale – An absolute age for De Geer's zero varve, Geology, 53, 601–606, https://doi.org/10.1130/G53280.1, 2025.

Reynolds, B., Nowicki, S., and Poinar, K.: Comprehensive assessment of stress calculations for crevasse depths and testing with crevasse penetration as damage, The Cryosphere, 19, 5045–5073, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-19-5045-2025, 2025.

Riel, B., Minchew, B., and Joughin, I.: Observing traveling waves in glaciers with remote sensing: new flexible time series methods and application to Sermeq Kujalleq (Jakobshavn Isbræ), Greenland, The Cryosphere, 15, 407–429, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-407-2021, 2021.

Rinzin, S., Zhang, G., Sattar, A., Wangchuk, S., Allen, S. K., Dunning, S., and Peng, M.: GLOF hazard, exposure, vulnerability, and risk assessment of potentially dangerous glacial lakes in the Bhutan Himalaya, J. Hydrol., 619, 129311, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2023.129311, 2023.

Sato, Y., Fujita, K., Inoue, H., Sakai, A., and Karma: Land- to lake-terminating transition triggers dynamic thinning of a Bhutanese glacier, The Cryosphere, 16, 2643–2654, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-2643-2022, 2022.

Sattar, A., Cook, K. L., Rai, S. K., Berthier, E., Allen, S., Rinzin, S., de Vries, M. V. W., Haeberli, W., Kushwaha, P., Shugar, D. H., Emmer, A., Haritashya, U. K., Frey, H., Rao, P., Gurudin, K. S. K., Rai, P., Rajak, R., Hossain, F., Huggel, C., Mergili, M., Azam, M. F., Gascoin, S., Carrivick, J. L., Bell, L. E., Ranjan, R. K., Rashid, I., Kulkarni, A. V., Petley, D., Schwanghart, W., Watson, C. S., Islam, N., Gupta, M. D., Lane, S. N., and Bhat, S. Y.: The Sikkim flood of October 2023: Drivers, causes, and impacts of a multihazard cascade, Science, 387, eads2659, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ads2659, 2025.

Schlegel, N.-J., Seroussi, H., Schodlok, M. P., Larour, E. Y., Boening, C., Limonadi, D., Watkins, M. M., Morlighem, M., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Exploration of Antarctic Ice Sheet 100-year contribution to sea level rise and associated model uncertainties using the ISSM framework, The Cryosphere, 12, 3511–3534, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3511-2018, 2018.

Schoof, C.: Ice sheet grounding line dynamics: Steady states, stability, and hysteresis, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth, 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000664, 2007.

Scoffield, A. C., Rowan, A. V., Quincey, D. J., Carrivick, J. L., Sole, A. J., and Cook, S. J.: Sub-regional variability in the influence of ice-contact lakes on Himalayan glaciers, J. Glaciol., 70, e15, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2024.9, 2024.

Seroussi, H., Nakayama, Y., Larour, E., Menemenlis, D., Morlighem, M., Rignot, E., and Khazendar, A.: Continued retreat of Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica, controlled by bed topography and ocean circulation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 6191–6199, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL072910, 2017.

Shashivardhan Reddy, P., Suresh Babu, A. V., Sai Bhagheerath, Y. V., Devaraj, R., Durga Rao, K. H. V., and Sreenivas, K.: GLOF in the South Lhonak Lake, India: Photogrammetric analysis and estimation, J. Water Clim. Change, 16, 127–141, https://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2024.566, 2024.

Shugar, D. H., Burr, A., Haritashya, U. K., Kargel, J. S., Watson, C. S., Kennedy, M. C., Bevington, A. R., Betts, R. A., Harrison, S., and Strattman, K.: Rapid worldwide growth of glacial lakes since 1990, Nat. Clim. Change, 10, 939–945, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0855-4, 2020.

Slater, D. A., Nienow, P. W., Goldberg, D. N., Cowton, T. R., and Sole, A. J.: A model for tidewater glacier undercutting by submarine melting, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 2360–2368, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072374, 2017.

Sommers, A., Rajaram, H., and Morlighem, M.: SHAKTI: Subglacial Hydrology and Kinetic, Transient Interactions v1.0, Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 2955–2974, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-2955-2018, 2018.

Somos-Valenzuela, M. A., McKinney, D. C., Rounce, D. R., and Byers, A. C.: Changes in Imja Tsho in the Mount Everest region of Nepal, The Cryosphere, 8, 1661–1671, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1661-2014, 2014.

Steiner, J., Armstrong, W., Kochtitzky, W., McNabb, R., Aguayo, R., Bolch, T., Maussion, F., Agarwal, V., Barr, I., Baurley, N. R., Cloutier, M., DeWater, K., Donachie, F., Drocourt, Y., Garg, S., Joshi, G., Guzman, B., Kutuzov, S., Loriaux, T., Mathias, C., Menounos, B., Miles, E., Osika, A., Potter, K., Racoviteanu, A., Rick, B., Sterner, M., Tallentire, G. D., Tielidze, L., White, R., Wu, K., and Zheng, W.: Global mapping of lake-terminating glaciers, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 18, 1665–1681, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-18-1665-2026, 2026.

Sugiyama, S., Minowa, M., and Schaefer, M.: Underwater ice terrace observed at the front of Glaciar Grey, a freshwater calving glacier in Patagonia, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 2602–2609, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081441, 2019.

Sutherland, J. L., Carrivick, J. L., Gandy, N., Shulmeister, J., Quincey, D. J., and Cornford, S. L.: Proglacial Lakes Control Glacier Geometry and Behaviour During Recession, Geophys. Res. Lett., 47, e2020GL088865, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088865, 2020.

Teller, J. T., Leverington, D. W., and Mann, J. D.: Freshwater outbursts to the oceans from glacial Lake Agassiz and their role in climate change during the last deglaciation, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 21, 879–887, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00145-7, 2002.

Thakuri, S., Salerno, F., Bolch, T., Guyennon, N., and Tartari, G.: Factors controlling the accelerated expansion of Imja Lake, Mount Everest region, Nepal, Ann. Glaciol., 57, 245–257, https://doi.org/10.3189/2016AoG71A063, 2016.

Truffer, M. and Motyka, R. J.: Where glaciers meet water: Subaqueous melt and its relevance to glaciers in various settings, Rev. Geophys., 54, 220–239, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RG000494, 2016.

Trüssel, B. L., Motyka, R. J., Truffer, M., and Larsen, C. F.: Rapid thinning of lake-calving Yakutat Glacier and the collapse of the Yakutat Icefield, southeast Alaska, USA, J. Glaciol., 59, 149–161, https://doi.org/10.3189/2013J0G12J081, 2013.

Tsutaki, S., Sugiyama, S., Nishimura, D., and Funk, M.: Acceleration and flotation of a glacier terminus during formation of a proglacial lake in Rhonegletscher, Switzerland, J. Glaciol., 59, 559–570, https://doi.org/10.3189/2013JoG12J107, 2013.

Tsutaki, S., Fujita, K., Nuimura, T., Sakai, A., Sugiyama, S., Komori, J., and Tshering, P.: Contrasting thinning patterns between lake- and land-terminating glaciers in the Bhutanese Himalaya, The Cryosphere, 13, 2733–2750, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-2733-2019, 2019.

Tweed, F. S. and Russell, A. J.: Controls on the formation and sudden drainage of glacier-impounded lakes: Implications for jökulhlaup characteristics, Prog. Phys. Geogr., 23, 79–110, https://doi.org/10.1177/030913339902300104, 1999.

Utting, D. J. and Atkinson, N.: Proglacial lakes and the retreat pattern of the southwest Laurentide Ice Sheet across Alberta, Canada, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 225, 106034, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.106034, 2019.

van de Wal, R. S. W. and Oerlemans, J.: Response of valley glaciers to climate change and kinematic waves: A study with a numerical ice-flow model, J. Glaciol., 41, 142–152, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000017834, 1995.

van der Veen, C. J.: Fracture mechanics approach to penetration of surface crevasses on glaciers, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 27, 31–47, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X(97)00022-0, 1998.

Vaughan, D. G.: Relating the occurrence of crevasses to surface strain rates, J. Glaciol., 39, 255–266, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000015926, 1993.

Veh, G., Korup, O., von Specht, S., Roessner, S., and Walz, A.: Unchanged frequency of moraine-dammed glacial lake outburst floods in the Himalaya, Nat. Clim. Change, 9, 379–383, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0437-5, 2019.

Warren, C. R. and Kirkbride, M. P.: Calving speed and climatic sensitivity of New Zealand lake-calving glaciers, Ann. Glaciol., 36, 173–178, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756403781816446, 2003.

Weertman, J.: Stability of the junction of an ice sheet and an ice shelf, J. Glaciol., 13, 3–11, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000023327, 1974.

Wu, K., Bolch, T., Cheng, P., Baldacchino, F., Duan, Y., Ma, D., and Liu, S.: Assessing the dynamic changes and the effect of buoyancy of lake-terminating Yanong Glacier in the southeastern Tibetan Plateau with UAV surveys, J. Hydrol.-Reg. Stud., 59, 102385, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2025.102385, 2025.

Zhang, G., Yao, T., Xie, H., Wang, W., and Yang, W.: An inventory of glacial lakes in the Third Pole region and their changes in response to global warming, Global Planet. Change, 131, 148–157, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.05.013, 2015.