Antarctic permafrost processes and antiphase dynamics of cold-based glaciers in the McMurdo Dry Valleys inferred from $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al cosmogenic nuclides
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Abstract. Soil and sediment mixing and associated permafrost processes are not widely studied or understood in the McMurdo Dry Valleys of Antarctica. In this study, we investigate the stability and depositional history of near-surface permafrost sediments to $\sim$3 m depth in the Pearse and lower Wright valleys using measured cosmogenic $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al depth profiles. In Pearse Valley, we estimate a minimum depositional age of $\sim$74 ka for the active layer and paleoactive-layer sediments ($< 0.65$ m). Combined depth profile modelling of $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al gives a depositional age for near-surface ($< 1.65$ m) permafrost in Pearse Valley of $180^{+20}_{-40}$ ka, implying that the deposition of permafrost sediments predates MIS 5 advances of Taylor Glacier. Deeper permafrost sediments ($> 2.09$ m) in Pearse Valley are thus inferred to have a depositional age of $> 180$ ka. At a coastal, lower-elevation site in neighbouring lower Wright Valley, $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al depth profiles from a second permafrost core exhibit near-constant concentrations with depth and indicate the sediments are either vertically mixed after deposition or sufficiently young so that post-depositional nuclide production is negligible relative to inheritance. $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be concentration ratios for both depth profiles range between 4.0 and 5.2 and are all lower than the nominal surface production rate ratio of 6.75, indicating that prior to deposition, these sediments experienced complex, yet similar, exposure–burial histories. Assuming a single-cycle exposure–burial scenario, the observed $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be ratios are equivalent to a total minimum exposure–burial history of $\sim 1.2$ Myr.

In proximity to the depth profile core site, we measured cosmogenic $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al in three granite cobbles from thin, patchy drift (Taylor 2 Drift) in Pearse Valley to constrain the timing of retreat of Taylor Glacier. Assuming simple continuous exposure, our minimum, zero-erosion exposure ages suggest Taylor Glacier partially retreated from Pearse Valley no later than 65–74 ka. The timing of retreat after 65 ka and until the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) when Taylor Glacier was at a minimum position remains unresolved. The surface cobbles ages and permafrost processes reveal Taylor Glacier advances during MIS 5 were non-erosive or mildly erosive, preserving the underlying permafrost sediments and peppering boulders and cobbles upon an older, relic surface. Our results are consistent with U/Th ages from central Taylor Valley and suggest changes in moisture delivery over Taylor Dome during MIS 5e, 5c, and 5a appear to be associated with the extent of the Ross Ice Shelf and sea ice in the Ross Sea. These data provide further evidence of antiphase behaviour through retreat of a peripheral lobe of Taylor Glacier in Pearse Valley, a region that was glaciated dur-
1 Introduction

Permafrost (perennially frozen ground) in the McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, contains valuable records of paleoenvironmental information, yet the stability of permafrost sediments and the processes that influence sediment transport, erosion, and deposition in the McMurdo Dry Valleys are not well understood. Previous studies investigating the chronology and stability of glacial drift deposits, sediments, and permafrost in the McMurdo Dry Valleys and Transantarctic Mountains typically focused on high-elevation sites (e.g. Bergelin et al., 2022; Bibby et al., 2016; Morgan et al., 2011, 2010; Ng et al., 2005; Schäfer et al., 2000; Sugden et al., 1995). The objective of these studies has largely been to constrain the ages and/or erosion and sublimation rates of early Pleistocene, Pliocene, and Miocene landscapes. There only appears to be one study investigating the age and stability of permafrost below 1000 m elevation (Morgan et al., 2010). Yet, understanding the depositional environment and stability of permafrost at low elevations is important for interpreting landscape evolution, geomorphic processes, and polar climate change on Earth, as well as being a terrestrial analogue for Mars (e.g. Marchant and Head, 2007). Studies have also revealed that permafrost contains frozen reservoirs of ice, greenhouse gases, ancient bacteria, and viruses (Adriaenssens et al., 2017; Gilichinsky et al., 2007; Ruggiero et al., 2023). Future thawing of low-elevation environments, from increasing atmospheric temperatures, could increase microbial activity and release previously frozen gases and nutrients, leading to unprecedented changes in hydrological and biogeochemical cycles.

Permafrost usually contains an active, cryoturbated, mobile sediment layer up to ∼70 cm in depth. Active layer thickness, thawing, and permeability are modulated by seasonal variations. Permafrost sediments are episodically covered by advancing and retreating ice (Atkins, 2013), which can further complicate the interpretation of permafrost stability, sediment transport, and mixing. In the McMurdo Dry Valleys, there is currently no clear trend of increase or decrease in active layer thickness between 2006 and 2019 (Hrbáèek et al., 2023). The lack of understanding permafrost dynamics limits our ability to reconstruct permafrost stability or evolution through time. Further research is needed to explore the rates and mechanisms by which sediments are transported and mixed via aeolian, fluvial, and periglacial processes.

Key components influencing permafrost processes and overlying geomorphic landforms are the climatic conditions and extent of the Antarctic ice sheets. During Plio-Pleistocene warm intervals, the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) and marine-based sectors of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet (E AIS) underwent extensive retreat (Naish et al., 2009; Pollard and DeConto, 2009; Cook et al., 2013; Blackburn et al., 2020; Patterson et al., 2014). Warmer-than-present global temperatures and higher-than-present sea levels are also observed in recent prominent interglacial periods, i.e. MIS 31 (∼1.07 Ma), MIS 11 (∼400 ka), and MIS 5e (130–115 ka) (Dutton et al., 2015; Naish et al., 2009; Pollard and DeConto, 2009). The extent of ice sheet retreat during these recent warm intervals varied significantly within different drainage basins and through time. During the penultimate interglacial (MIS 5e), the average global temperature was ∼1–2 °C warmer than the pre-industrial period (Fischer et al., 2018; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2013), Antarctic temperatures were ∼3–5 °C warmer (Jouzel et al., 2007), and global mean sea levels were ∼6–9 m higher than at present (Dutton and Lambeck, 2012; Kopp et al., 2009). With a global average temperature currently ∼1.1 °C warmer than pre-industrial levels and predicted to be ≥1.5 °C in the coming decades (IPCC, 2021), interglacial conditions, such as during MIS 5, are an important analogue for evaluating future ice sheet behaviour and global climate processes under future warming scenarios.

Simulated ice sheet retreat during MIS 5e by Golledge et al. (2021) suggested ice loss in the Thwaites and Pine Island sectors of the WAIS, whereas the Ross Ice Shelf remained intact. Conversely, simulations by DeConto and Pollard (2016) and Turney et al. (2020) suggested a retreat of the Ross Ice Shelf, followed by a retreat of the WAIS interior. The δ18O ice core records from Talos Dome reveal the E AIS was relatively intact during MIS 5 (Sutter et al., 2020), and recent studies suggest partial ice sheet lowering in Wilkes Subglacial Basin but no grounding line retreat (Fig. 1; Golledge et al., 2021; Sutter et al., 2020; Wilson et al., 2018). Ice core studies reveal increased accumulation rates at Taylor Dome (Steig et al., 2000) and the Allan Hills blue ice area (Yan et al., 2021) near the onset of the Last Interglacial. Yan et al. (2021) hypothesised that high accumulation rates during warm interglacials may reflect open-ocean conditions in the Ross Sea, caused by reduced sea ice extent and possibly retreat of the Ross Ice Shelf, relative to its present-day position. This hypothesis is supported by a depleted δ18O value (∼0.175‰) from ice core records at Roosevelt Island, indicating high sea level and reduced ice sheets during MIS 5a (Lee et al., 2020).

In contrast, terrestrial evidence from the McMurdo Dry Valleys suggests Taylor and Ferrar glaciers were larger than at present during warm interglacials of the mid-Pliocene climatic optimum (3.0–3.1 Ma), MIS 31 (1.07 Ma) (Swanger et al., 2011), and MIS 5 (Brook et al., 1993; Higgins et al., 2000a). These glacier advances appear to be out of phase.
with WAIS retreat and ocean warming during interglacial periods. Alpine glaciers in the McMurdo Dry Valleys also appear out of phase with marine-based ice sheet retreat, advancing during MIS 11 (Swanger et al., 2017), MIS 5 (Swanger et al., 2019) and MIS 3 (Joy et al., 2017). The past ice volume and extent of Taylor Glacier (during interglacial periods) have been derived from cosmogenic nuclide studies and mapping drift and moraine deposits in lower Kennar Valley (Swanger et al., 2011) and lower Arena Valley (Brook et al., 1993; Marchant et al., 1994), as well as U/Th dating in central Taylor Valley (Higgins et al., 2000a). MIS-5-aged glacial deposits in central Taylor Valley and Arena Valley are mapped as Taylor 2 Drift (Bockheim et al., 2008; Brook et al., 1993; Cox et al., 2012; Denton et al., 1970), termed Bonney Drift by Higgins et al. (2000b). By inference, glacial deposits on the valley floor of Pearse Valley are mapped as Taylor 2 Drift (Bockheim et al., 2008; Cox et al., 2012; Denton et al., 1970). U/Th ages of algal carbonates in central Taylor Valley suggest multiple advance–retreat cycles of the Taylor Glacier snout during MIS 5, with retreat of Taylor Glacier continuing after the MIS 5–4 transition (Higgins et al., 2000a). The $\delta^{18}$O values measured from buried ice in northern Pearse Valley also support the advance of Taylor Glacier during MIS 5 (Swanger et al., 2019). However, the timing of advance and retreat of Taylor Glacier in central Taylor Valley and in Pearse Valley remains poorly constrained.

In this study, we investigate the stability and depositional history of near-surface permafrost sediments using paired $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al depth profiles of permafrost from the Pearse and lower Wright valleys. We compare the exposure–burial history of the permafrost cores from the two sites and the long-term recycling processes of McMurdo Dry Valleys sediments. We also investigate the relationship between thin, patchy drift overlying permafrost sediments in Pearse Valley. Thin, patchy drift is the only evidence of cold-based glacial overriding and is defined as a scattering of clasts overlying older, undisturbed desert pavements (Atkins, 2013). We present cosmogenic nuclide surface exposure ages from three cobbles in Pearse Valley to determine the age of Taylor 2 Drift and provide constraints on the timing of retreat of a peripheral lobe of Taylor Glacier during MIS 5. Combining permafrost depth profiles and exposure ages of cobbles from the drift, we infer the depositional history of the permafrost sediments and constrain a minimum age of Taylor Glacier retreat. These data from Pearse Valley provide insight into Taylor Glacier behaviour and associated geomorphic processes during MIS 5.

2 Geologic setting and study area

The McMurdo Dry Valleys are a hyperarid, cold polar desert and can be subdivided into three geographic zones (stable upland, inland mixed, and coastal thaw), which are defined by their microclimatic parameters of atmospheric temperature, soil moisture, and relative humidity (Fig. 1: Marchant and Denton, 1996; Marchant and Head, 2007). The stability and evolution of geomorphic features and permafrost are controlled by subtle variations within each microclimatic zone. The active layer in permafrost is defined as soil horizons where the ground temperature fluctuates above and below 0°C seasonally (Davis, 2001; Yershov, 1998). Antarctic permafrost soils along the floors and flanks of ice-free valleys are vertically mixed, initially through the deposition of reworked sediments and secondarily through active layer cryoturbation up to 70 cm depth of the surface (Bockheim et al., 2007, 2008). Cryoturbation is defined as soil movement due to repeated freeze–thaw, generally within the active layer of permafrost (French, 2017). Active layers can be distinguished by the presence (wet active layer) or absence (dry active layer) of water. Soils in the coastal thaw zone are seasonally moist and comprise wet active layers, whereas soils in the inland mixed zone are dry and comprise dry active layers (Marchant and Head, 2007). Our study sites focused on two different microclimatic zones (Fig. 1): Pearse Valley in the inland mixed zone and lower Wright Valley in the coastal...
Taylor 2 Drift (Bockheim et al., 2008; Denton et al., 1970). Glacial deposits on the valley floor are mapped as dolerite intrusives (Cox et al., 2012; Gunn and Warren, 2012). Cobble samples are located on the central northern side of the elevation area on the southern side and a higher-elevation valley floor (Fig. 2).
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Modern climate

Pearse Valley is situated in the inland mixed zone of the McMurdo Dry Valleys (Marchant and Denton, 1996). The valley has a mean annual temperature of −18 °C (Marchant et al., 2013) and precipitation rates of 20–50 mm yr⁻¹ (water equivalent) and 100–200 mm yr⁻¹ in the adjacent Asgard Range, the source region for the local alpine glaciers (Fountain et al., 2010). Mean summer air temperatures (December through February) in Pearse Valley are −2 to −7 °C (Marchant et al., 2013). Ground surface temperatures measured at the Pearse Valley meteorological station between 27–28 November 2009 recorded a peak temperature of 10 °C due to solar heating (Heldmann et al., 2012). Winds in Pearse Valley are strong enough to mobilise sand grains and form aeolian surface features such as sand dunes (Heldmann et al., 2012).

2.2 Lower Wright Valley

Lower Wright Valley is ice-free and is bounded by the Asgard Range in the south and the Olympus Range in the north (Fig. 1). The mouth of the valley at the eastern end is cut off from the Ross Sea by the Wright Lower Glacier, a lobe of the Wilson Piedmont Glacier. Lake Brownworth, a proglacial lake fed by the Wright Lower Glacier, supplies the westward-flowing Onyx River. The WV14-I core is located on the northern side of lower Wright Valley (Fig. 3). Radiocarbon dates of lacustrine algae from glaciolacustrine deposits suggest Lake Brownworth is a small remnant of a much larger lake that existed during the LGM and early Holocene (Hall et al., 2001). The post-glacial, Holocene-aged landscapes form hummocky moraines, with a combination of deltas, shorelines, and glaciolacustrine sediments (Hall et al., 2001). Glacial meltwater streams drain into Lake Brownworth and the Onyx River from the north and south valley walls. The local bedrock comprises basement metasediments and granites, as well as Ferrar dolerite intrusives (Cox et al., 2012). Metasediments, granite, dolerite, and occasional basalt sediments in lower Wright Valley have accumulated since the last deglaciation by lacustrine, fluvial, and aeolian processes (Hall et al., 2001; Hall and Denton, 2005).
The active layer (0–0.37 m) above the ice-cemented permafrost consists of a thin armoured surface layer of desert pavement (∼0.02 m thick) and a layer of loose, dry sand (∼0.35 m thick). Recovered sediments from beneath the armoured desert pavement comprise a dry active layer of loose sand and pebbles down to 0.37 m depth. Below 0.37 m depth, the recovered sediments comprise ice-cemented permafrost, with grains of sand and pebbles forming the matrix and the pore spaces filled with ice. The $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al depth profiles (Fig. 4) start below the 0.02 m thick armoured surface pavement. The first three samples were collected from the dry active layer followed by nine from the ice-cemented permafrost. Sediments within the permafrost core comprise gravely sands derived from weathered Beacon Supergroup, granite, granodiorite, diorite, and dolerite origins. They appear structureless or weakly bedded, which we interpret to be fluvo-glacial and aeolian deposits. Between 0.73–0.86 m depth, the core comprises several ice lenses indicative of ice accumulation below a paleosublimation unconformity. Several small ice lenses were also recovered between 1.57–1.87 m depth. The ice lenses are typically clean ice or debris-poor ice compared to adjacent upper and lower segments. Only two of the three active layer samples and six of the nine permafrost core samples were successful in providing paired $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations (Fig. 4; Table 1).

3 Methods

3.1 Permafrost core locations and characteristics

During the 2014/15 austral field season, permafrost cores were recovered from Pearse Valley and lower Wright Valley using a gasoline-powered dry drilling technique (Fig. 1). These two cores were sampled for sedimentological and cosmogenic nuclide analysis. After extraction, the core sections were divided into ∼10 cm portions for sub-sampling and analysis. The upper sections were collected in Whirl-Pak bags as the core recovery was poor. Core integrity below the active layer in ice-cemented permafrost sediments was good, and cores were collected as rigid intact sections in PVC core liners.

3.1.1 Pearse Valley borehole core

The PV14-A core is located on an elevated bench that extends along the northern side of the valley floor at 450 m a.s.l. (above sea level; 77.7062° S, 161.5467° E), ∼3 km northwest of the present position of the Taylor Glacier lobe (Fig. 2). The core was recovered to a depth of 3.16 m (Fig. 4). The active layer (0–0.37 m) above the ice-cemented permafrost consists of a thin armoured surface layer of desert pavement (∼0.02 m thick) and a layer of loose, dry sand (∼0.35 m thick). Recovered sediments from beneath the armoured desert pavement comprise a dry active layer of loose sand and pebbles down to 0.37 m depth. Below 0.37 m depth, the recovered sediments comprise ice-cemented permafrost, with grains of sand and pebbles forming the matrix and the pore spaces filled with ice. The $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al depth profiles (Fig. 4) start below the 0.02 m thick armoured surface pavement. The first three samples were collected from the dry active layer followed by nine from the ice-cemented permafrost. Sediments within the permafrost core comprise gravely sands derived from weathered Beacon Supergroup, granite, granodiorite, diorite, and dolerite origins. They appear structureless or weakly bedded, which we interpret to be fluvo-glacial and aeolian deposits. Between 0.73–0.86 m depth, the core comprises several ice lenses indicative of ice accumulation below a paleosublimation unconformity. Several small ice lenses were also recovered between 1.57–1.87 m depth. The ice lenses are typically clean ice or debris-poor ice compared to adjacent upper and lower segments. Only two of the three active layer samples and six of the nine permafrost core samples were successful in providing paired $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations (Fig. 4; Table 1).

3.1.2 Lower Wright Valley borehole core

The WV14-I core is located in eastern Wright Valley at 326 m a.s.l. (77.4252° S, 162.6664° E), ∼2 km west of Wright Lower Glacier (Fig. 3). The core was recovered to a depth of 2.91 m (Fig. 5). The active layer (0–0.28 m) above the ice-cemented permafrost consists of a thin armoured surface layer of desert pavement (∼0.02 m thick) and a layer of loose sand and pebbles (∼0.26 m thick). Below 0.28 m depth, the recovered sediments comprised ice-cemented permafrost. The $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al depth profiles start on the armoured desert pavement. A total of 2 samples were collected from the active layer and 10 from the ice-cemented permafrost (Fig. 5). The permafrost sediments are structureless to thinly laminated, fine to coarse, and pebbly granular sands, which we interpret to be fluvo-glacial and aeolian deposits. Sediments within the core are derived from weathered granite, metasedimentary, dolerite, and basalt origins. From 0–0.98 m depth, core sections were broken and loose sediment was recovered. Sediments recovered from 0.98–2.91 m were ice-cemented, except when encountering ice lenses. Several small ice lenses were recovered between 1.80–2.03 m depth. Hall et al. (2001) suggested sediments in lower Wright Valley are delta, shoreline, and glaciolacustrine deposits associated with a large proglacial lake at the LGM and in the early Holocene (25–7 ka). Only 4 of the 10 permafrost core samples were successful in providing paired $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations (Fig. 5; Table 1).
3.2 Surface cobbles in Pearse Valley

Three granite cobbles were collected for surface exposure analysis from Pearse Valley (Table 2; Fig. 2). We targeted perched cobbles resting on larger flat boulders to minimise the possibility of post-depositional disturbance and hence best reflect deposition from retreating glacier ice or from surface deflation through sublimation. Samples that showed minimal weathering or fracturing were selected. The three cobbles were perched on larger host boulders (> 1 m diameter) which were elevated above the local surface permafrost valley deposits (Fig. 6). Two samples (PV14-CS3-P1 and PV14-CS3-P2) are small cobbles perched on the same host boulder, while the third sample (PV14-CS4-P1) is a slightly larger cobble perched on a different host boulder less than 80 m away.

3.3 Analytical methods

Each core sample processed for cosmogenic nuclide analysis was heated at 100 °C overnight to remove ice and dry the sediment. Dried core samples and cobbles were crushed and sieved to obtain the 250–500 µm fraction. Quartz was separated and purified using the hot phosphoric acid method (Mifsud et al., 2013), and beryllium and aluminium were extracted from quartz via conventional HF dissolution and ion exchange chromatography (Child et al., 2000). Isotope ratios were measured by accelerator mass spectrometry on the SIRIUS accelerator at the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (Wilcken et al., 2019).

Measured $^{10}$Be/$^9$Be ratios were normalised to the 07KN-STD (KN-5.2) standard of Nishiizumi et al. (2007) with a nominal $^{10}$Be/$^9$Be ratio of $8560 \times 10^{-15}$. Measured $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al ratios were normalised to the KNSTD (KN-4.2) standard of Nishiizumi (2004) with a nominal $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al ratio of $30960 \times 10^{-15}$. The nuclide concentration data for the Pearse Valley and lower Wright Valley depth profiles and the perched cobbles from Pearse Valley are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Full procedural $^{10}$Be/$^9$Be blanks were obtained using carrier solutions derived from dissolved beryl with known $^9$Be concentrations (1068 and 1048 µg g$^{-1}$ (solution)) and resulted in ratios of $1.9 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-12}$ and $1.3 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-14}$. Blank corrections to measured $^{10}$Be/$^9$Be ratios amounted to < 2 %. Procedural $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al blanks were processed from standard reference ICP aluminium solutions (1000 µg mL$^{-1}$ ± 1 %) and resulted in ratios of $3.6 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-14}$ and $1.3 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-15}$. Blank corrections to measured $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al ratios amounted to 4 % to 35 % for Pearse Valley erratics and < 1 % for all other samples. Final errors in $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations are obtained by quadrature addition of the final accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) analytical error (the larger of the total statistical or standard mean error), a reproducibility error based on the standard deviation of the set of standard reference samples measured during the run (typically 1–2 % for either $^{10}$Be or $^{26}$Al), a 1 % error in Be spike concentration, and a representative 3 % error for ICP Al concentration of the native $^{27}$Al in the final purified quartz powder. Unless otherwise stated, all analytical uncertainties are 1σ.

Surface exposure ages for the cobble samples were calculated using version 3 of the CRONUS-Earth calculator (http://hess.ess.washington.edu/, last access: November 2022; Balco et al., 2008) using the LSDn scaling scheme.
Table 1. Depth profile data from Pearse Valley and lower Wright Valley.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Sample depth (m)</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be conc. (10$^6$ atoms g$^{-1}$)$^a$</th>
<th>$^{26}$Al conc. (10$^6$ atoms g$^{-1}$)$^b$</th>
<th>$^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearse Valley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-SS-5</td>
<td>0.02–0.07</td>
<td>4.24 ± 0.095</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A-01</td>
<td>0.07–0.27</td>
<td>4.37 ± 0.097</td>
<td>18.67 ± 0.73</td>
<td>4.27 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A-02</td>
<td>0.27–0.37</td>
<td>4.35 ± 0.097</td>
<td>17.97 ± 0.71</td>
<td>4.13 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A-03</td>
<td>0.37–0.47</td>
<td>4.42 ± 0.098</td>
<td>19.63 ± 0.82</td>
<td>4.44 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A-04</td>
<td>0.47–0.56</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>19.94 ± 0.78</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A-05</td>
<td>0.56–0.65</td>
<td>4.40 ± 0.098</td>
<td>18.28 ± 0.69</td>
<td>4.16 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A-07</td>
<td>0.73–0.86</td>
<td>3.96 ± 0.089</td>
<td>17.95 ± 0.70</td>
<td>4.53 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A-10</td>
<td>1.09–1.21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16.38 ± 0.64</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A-15</td>
<td>1.56–1.65</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.085</td>
<td>15.09 ± 0.59</td>
<td>3.97 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A-20</td>
<td>2.09–2.18</td>
<td>3.98 ± 0.080</td>
<td>17.50 ± 0.66</td>
<td>4.40 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A-25</td>
<td>2.55–2.64</td>
<td>3.85 ± 0.086</td>
<td>16.70 ± 0.66</td>
<td>4.33 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A-30</td>
<td>3.06–3.16</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16.76 ± 0.66</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Wright Valley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-SS-01</td>
<td>0–0.02</td>
<td>4.10 ± 0.092</td>
<td>22.89 ± 0.89</td>
<td>5.58 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-I-01</td>
<td>0.07–0.23</td>
<td>3.73 ± 0.175</td>
<td>19.04 ± 0.75</td>
<td>5.10 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-I-02</td>
<td>0.23–0.35</td>
<td>3.92 ± 0.086</td>
<td>18.43 ± 0.72</td>
<td>4.70 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-I-03</td>
<td>0.35–0.43</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.089</td>
<td>20.38 ± 0.77</td>
<td>5.09 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-I-04</td>
<td>0.43–0.54</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22.72 ± 0.89</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-I-05</td>
<td>0.54–0.63</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21.66 ± 0.85</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-I-07</td>
<td>0.69–0.78</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>19.99 ± 0.79</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-I-10</td>
<td>0.98–1.07</td>
<td>4.09 ± 0.091</td>
<td>20.54 ± 0.81</td>
<td>5.02 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-I-14</td>
<td>1.56–1.62</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20.62 ± 0.81</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-I-20</td>
<td>2.02–2.14</td>
<td>4.22 ± 0.094</td>
<td>21.80 ± 0.86</td>
<td>5.17 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-I-23</td>
<td>2.36–2.45</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21.41 ± 0.84</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV14-I-29</td>
<td>2.80–2.91</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.60 ± 0.53</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We assume a constant bulk density of 1.7 ± 0.1 g cm$^{-3}$ based on bulk density measurements made on two core samples.

Topographic shielding is 0.9932 for Pearse Valley and 0.9968 for lower Wright Valley.

$^a$ Normalised to the 07KNSTD (KN-5.2) standard of Nishiizumi et al. (2007).

$^b$ Normalised to the KNSTD (KN-4.2) standard of Nishiizumi (2004).

Figure 5. Lower Wright Valley (WV14-I) permafrost core sedimentology (a). Locations of cosmogenic nuclide samples shown in red boxes. The modern active layer is from 0–0.28 m depth. Lower Wright Valley (WV14-I) permafrost core depth profiles with measured $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations (black data points) with 1σ uncertainties (b, c).
Table 2. Cosmogenic $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations and apparent exposure ages from Pearse Valley.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Latitude (DD)</th>
<th>Longitude (DD)</th>
<th>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Topographic shielding</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be conc. $(10^5 \text{ atoms g}^{-1})$</th>
<th>$^{26}$Al conc. $(10^5 \text{ atoms g}^{-1})$</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be exposure age (ka)</th>
<th>$^{26}$Al exposure age (ka)</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be/$^{26}$Al correction factor</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be corrected exposure age (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV14-CS3-P1</td>
<td>-77.70737</td>
<td>161.55583</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>$^{12.40} \pm 0.39$</td>
<td>$^{76.57} \pm 4.48$</td>
<td>$^{174} \pm 13 (6)$</td>
<td>$^{142} \pm 13 (6)$</td>
<td>$^{142} \pm 13 (6)$</td>
<td>$^{174} \pm 13 (6)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-CS3-P2</td>
<td>-77.70737</td>
<td>161.55828</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>$^{5.36} \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>$^{37.99} \pm 1.54$</td>
<td>$^{65} \pm 4 (2)$</td>
<td>$^{66} \pm 7 (3)$</td>
<td>$^{66} \pm 7 (3)$</td>
<td>$^{65} \pm 4 (2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV14-CS4-P1</td>
<td>-77.70747</td>
<td>161.55582</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>$^{5.94} \pm 0.16$</td>
<td>$^{33.71} \pm 5.14$</td>
<td>$^{74} \pm 5 (2)$</td>
<td>$^{60} \pm 11 (9)$</td>
<td>$^{74} \pm 5 (2)$</td>
<td>$^{60} \pm 11 (9)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All samples are granite cobbles and have a density of 2.65 g cm$^{-3}$.

Figure 6. Boulders and cobbles from Taylor 2 Drift on the central northern side of Pearse Valley. (a) PV14-CS3-P1 and PV14-CS3-P2 cobbles perched on a dolerite boulder. (b) Close-up view of PV14-CS3-P2. (c) PV14-CS4-P1 cobble hosted on dolerite boulder. (d) A granite boulder, hosting a dolerite boulder.

(Lifton et al., 2014) and the primary default calibration data set of Borchers et al. (2016) (Table 1). Complete analytical data for all measurements are shown in Table S1 in the Supplement, and data from surface samples are archived on the ICE-D Antarctica database (http://antarctica.ice-d.org, last access: December 2022).

3.4 Dual-nuclide depth profile models and parameters

The $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al data from core samples in the Pearse and lower Wright valleys were modelled as simple exposure depth profiles (sensu Anderson et al., 1996). From a process perspective this assumes that (1) the modelled sediment package is vertically well-mixed at the time of deposition such that inherited nuclide concentration is constant with depth, (2) post-depositional sediment mixing is absent and changes in bulk density do not occur over time, and (3) surface erosion is steady-state. While the sedimentology of the cores clearly indicates that these assumptions were not fully realised, this simplified model provides a useful tool for exploring the impact of various soil and permafrost processes while providing useful chronologic constraints. We implemented a modified version of the Monte Carlo-based code of Hidy et al. (2010) that allows profiles of both $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al to be modelled jointly (after Hidy et al., 2018). For shallow profiles in sediments, where non-unique solutions for exposure age and erosion rate are likely, this approach allows estimation of exposure age and pre-depositional nuclide concentration (i.e. inheritance) given reasonable observation-based constraints on erosion rate or net erosion (e.g. Bergelin et al., 2022; Hidy et al., 2010, 2018; Mercader et al., 2012; Morgan et al., 2010). The inheritance determined by the best-fit depth profile asymptote can be subtracted from the measured
Figure 7. Schematic representation of a $^{10}$Be depth profile in permafrost modified by active layer cryoturbation. (a) Initial $^{10}$Be profile (constant with depth) in well-mixed glacial till or sediment. All quartz grains are assumed to have been deposited with a common nuclide inheritance ($N_{\text{mix}}$). (b) After prolonged exposure and in the absence of sediment mixing, an exponentially decreasing nuclide depth profile is obtained. (c) Permafrost profile during an interval when air temperature is warmer than at present, allowing near-surface sediments to form an active layer above the paleosublimation depth. Sediments below the unconformity are perenially frozen. Vertical mixing via active layer cryoturbation results in an average $^{10}$Be value ($N_{\text{mix}}$) (d) and with steady-state erosion ($N_{\text{st}}$) (e). An exponentially decreasing $^{10}$Be profile remains below the unconformity. (f) Present-day permafrost profile with shallower active layer and ice table than shown in (e).

values for each sample (Hidy et al., 2018). As described in Sect. 3.1 above, the upper $\sim$ 0.3 m of both cores consists of loose sandy sediment that is mobile or active. Figure 7 shows a schematic evolution of a cosmogenic nuclide depth profile over time with the added feature of a near-constant $^{10}$Be concentration in a cryoturbated active layer above ice-cemented permafrost. The presence of a surface mixed layer does not negate the assumption that these sediments were comprised of a combination of well-mixed, thick glacial tills and fluvial and aeolian sediments that were deposited at a given time when the glaciers retreated from each valley. However, consideration needs to be given on how to represent the measured $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations in the surface mixed layer with the depth profiles and resultant sensitivity of the model outputs. We discuss these aspects in Sect. 4 below.

To ensure consistency with the cobbles exposure ages, we obtain production rates applied in the depth profile model from the CRONUS-Earth calculator. For the PV14-A core, we use a site-specific spallation $^{10}$Be surface production rate of 8.40 atoms g$^{-1}$ (quartz) yr$^{-1}$ and a $^{26}$Al surface production rate of 59.7 atoms g$^{-1}$ (quartz) yr$^{-1}$. For the WV14-I core, we use a site-specific spallation $^{10}$Be surface production rate of 7.47 atoms g$^{-1}$ (quartz) yr$^{-1}$ and a $^{26}$Al surface production rate of 53.2 atoms g$^{-1}$ (quartz) yr$^{-1}$. These production rates were calculated using LSDn scaling (Lifton et al., 2014) and the primary calibration data set of Borchers et al. (2016). These production rates yield $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be surface production rate ratios of 7.11 and 7.12 for Pearse Valley and lower Wright Valley, respectively. We assume a neutron attenuation length of $140 \pm 5$ g cm$^{-2}$, as used in previous Antarctic studies for $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al (Bergelin et al., 2022; Borchers et al., 2016). Spallogenic production rate uncertainty has not been included in the modelling. Muogenic production with depth, including an assumed 8% uncertainty, followed Model 1A from Balco (2017). We assume bulk density to be constant with depth but sampled from a normal distribution of $1.7 \pm 0.1$ g cm$^{-3}$ based on bulk density measured from two core samples for loose sediment and ice-cemented permafrost. In most cases, the ice lenses were less than 5 cm thick. The change in density in these thin ice lenses is not included in our assumed bulk density, and we acknowledge the small difference this assumption could have on the overall model outputs. Erosion rate and net erosion were constrained between 0–0.4 cm kyr$^{-1}$ and 400 cm, respectively, based on field observations described in Sect. 4.3. Within these constraints, exposure age, surface erosion rate, and inheritance for $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al were simulated with uniform distributions, and model output was based on $n = 100 000$ acceptable depth profile solutions.

4 Results

4.1 Cosmogenic nuclide depth profiles

Both the Pearse Valley (Fig. 4) and lower Wright Valley (Fig. 5) depth profiles share two common observations. Neither depth profile displays a marked exponential decrease in measured nuclide concentration over the full $\sim$ 3 m core depth profile, and both cores have shallow, active mixed layers where measured nuclide concentrations are effectively constant.

In the Pearse Valley permafrost core, there is a marked decrease in all $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations for samples below $\sim$ 0.65 m depth. However, the reduction in $^{10}$Be (and $^{26}$Al) between shallow (active layer) and deep samples from only $\sim$ 4.4 to $\sim$ 3.8 $\times$ 10$^6$ atoms g$^{-1}$ (and, respectively, from $\sim$ 19.9 to $\sim$ 15.1 $\times$ 10$^6$ atoms g$^{-1}$ for $^{26}$Al) indicates a high inherited cosmogenic concentration supporting a marginal post-depositional increase in $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al. Moreover, the average $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be ratio, which ranges between 4.0 and 4.5, suggests a long history of total exposure and burial for these permafrost sediments (i.e. in addition to their presence in the core as permafrost). One feature worthy of note is the distinct increase in both $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al for the deepest three samples below 2.09 m depth compared to samples $< 1.65$ m depth, suggesting that the Pearse Valley permafrost core may not have been a single depositional event. In contrast, the lower Wright Valley depth profiles for $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al show more scatter than the Pearse Valley depth profiles, and there is no decrease in concentration with depth. Effectively the lower Wright Valley profile is depth-independent with a $^{10}$Be
concentration of $\sim 4.0 \times 10^6$ and a $^{26}\text{Al}$ concentration of $\sim 20.3 \times 10^6$ atoms g$^{-1}$. The magnitudes of the concentrations for the Pearse and Wright valleys are remarkably similar, as is the range of $^{26}\text{Al}/^{10}\text{Be}$ ratio from 4.7 to 5.6, suggesting that lower Wright Valley permafrost sediments have had a similar total exposure–burial history as Pearse Valley sediments.

These depth profiles present complications to any modelling aiming for non-unique solutions of deposition age and surface erosion due to the presence of a surface mixed layer and marginal (in Pearse Valley) to near-absent (in lower Wright Valley) post-depositional build-up of $^{10}\text{Be}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ in the shallow subsurface sediments. We note that applying a depth profile model that assumes nuclide concentration attenuation to a profile that contains a surface mixed layer and depth concentration inversions has limitations with respect to chronological information. In the following sections we describe the modified depth modelling exercises taken to accommodate the complication present in the Pearse Valley and lower Wright Valley data sets.

4.2 Minimum age estimate for Pearse Valley core

Prior to any depth profile modelling, a simple calculation was carried out to estimate the depositional age of the upper $\sim 0.65$ m of the Pearse Valley permafrost by comparing maximum and minimum nuclide concentrations. Assuming zero erosion and a surface production rate determined at the coring site, a minimum “exposure age” ($t_{\text{min}}$) can be calculated using the following equation:

$$t_{\text{min}} = (N_{\text{max}} - N_{\text{min}})/P,$$

where $N_{\text{max}}$ is the absolute maximum $^{10}\text{Be}$ concentration, $N_{\text{min}}$ is the absolute minimum $^{10}\text{Be}$ concentration (assumed inheritance) for all mixed sediments, and $P$ is the production rate (atoms g$^{-1}$ kyr$^{-1}$) at the sample site. The absolute maximum and minimum $^{10}\text{Be}$ concentrations for the Pearse Valley depth profile using Eq. 1 are reported in Table 3. Equation (1) yielded a minimum deposition age of $\sim 74$ ka for the Pearse Valley core (Table 3).

4.3 Cosmogenic nuclide depth profiles in Pearse Valley

Below the surface mixed layer, between 0.65 and 1.65 m, both $^{10}\text{Be}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ concentrations display attenuation with depth. Below 1.65 m, the attenuation is interrupted by a considerable increase in nuclide concentrations from 2.09 m depth. This suggests that the depth profile is of a composite structure, which is supported by the observation that ice lenses appearing at $\sim 0.7$ m and at $\sim 1.70$–1.80 m (see Fig. 4) are associated with distinct changes in $^{10}\text{Be}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ concentrations. No acceptable depth profile model fit was obtained when all measured $^{10}\text{Be}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ concentrations were included as a single depositional episode (see Fig. S1). Hence, consideration was given to restrict our depth profile model to only fit samples from 0.02 to 1.65 m depth and to consider how to incorporate the surface mixed layer with the depth profile.

The five $^{10}\text{Be}$ and five $^{26}\text{Al}$ nuclide concentrations from 0.02–0.65 m exhibit a uniform concentration with depth with averages of $4.36 \pm 0.10 \times 10^6$ atoms g$^{-1}$ and $1.89 \pm 0.07 \times 10^7$ atoms g$^{-1}$, respectively, with no attenuation, indicating that these upper sediments have been vertically mixed (or possibly deposited sufficiently recently so that nuclide depth profiles effectively reflect only inheritance without significant post-depositional production). In continuously vertically mixed surface soils (such as those in the McMurdo Dry Valleys), where mixing times are short compared to radionuclide decay rates, the average production rate in the mixed layer is constant with depth (Granger and Riebe, 2014). Under these conditions, the average cosmogenic nuclide concentration in the mixed layer will attain a constant value at erosional equilibrium (Fig. 7). Hence, we use the mean $^{10}\text{Be}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ concentrations in the upper 0.65 m to approximate the surface mixing processes that resulted in the uniform profile. Figure 8 shows the model best-fit to samples from 0.02–1.65 m, with all samples between 0.02 and 0.65 m depth converging to a single mean concentration in order to determine the younger depositional phase. When solving for the four free parameters, namely age, erosion rate, $^{10}\text{Be}$, and $^{26}\text{Al}$ inheritance, the best-fit modelled nuclide concentrations for the PV14-A depth profile, when restricted to samples from 0.02 to 1.65 m depth, fall within the 25th to 75th percentile of the measured concentrations. The reduced chi-squared statistical test for the best-fit to a profile using a mean concentration for the surface mixed layer with the upper-sediment samples (0.02 to 1.65 m depth) gives a value of 0.88 with 3 degrees of freedom ($n = 7$), which is significantly better than the reduced chi-squared value of 2.71 with 16 degrees of freedom ($n = 20$) for the full profile using all nuclide measurements (0.02–3.16 m) (see Table S2), confirming our modified approach improved model fitting. We constrained the erosion rate of the depth profiles using information from surface cobble PV14-CS3-P2 which sits $\sim 10$–20 cm above the desert pavement and has a minimum exposure age of 65 ka (Fig. 6a). Based on this observation we can assume a maximum surface lowering rate of $\sim 0.3$ cm kyr$^{-1}$. Using this field observation, we applied a conservatively high erosion rate limit of 0.4 cm kyr$^{-1}$ for our depth profile modelling. The solutions yield the most probable $^{10}\text{Be}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ inheritance concentrations of $3.59 \times 10^6$ and $1.42 \times 10^7$ atoms g$^{-1}$, respectively (Figs. 8, S2), constrain the depositional age of the sediment ($< 1.65$ m depth) at $180^{+20}_{-40}$ ka (Fig. 9), and yield an erosion rate of $0.24^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$ cm kyr$^{-1}$ (Fig. S2). By inference, the lower part of the profile ($> 2.09$ m depth) predates the sediments above and must be deposited before $\sim 180$ ka.
Figure 8. Pearse Valley (PV14-A) permafrost core sedimentology (a). Locations of cosmogenic nuclide samples shown in red boxes. Pearse Valley (PV14-A) permafrost core depth profiles with measured $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations (black data points) with 1σ uncertainties (b, c). For all samples between 0.02–0.65 m depth, we used the average concentration of all five $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al measurements to represent the effect of cryoturbation of sediments in the active layer. Blue ($^{10}$Be) and red ($^{26}$Al) boxes show simulated nuclide concentrations at each depth. $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations (grey data points) below 2.09 m were not included in the model.

Table 3. Maximum and minimum $^{10}$Be concentrations and minimum deposition age for the Pearse Valley core.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole</th>
<th>$N_{\text{max}}$ ($10^6$ atoms g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$N_{\text{min}}$ ($10^6$ atoms g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Min age (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV14-A</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Cosmogenic nuclide depth profiles in lower Wright Valley

The $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al depth profiles from the permafrost core and overlying active layer used for depth profile modelling in lower Wright Valley are shown in Fig. 10. For the lower Wright Valley $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentration profiles exhibit near-constant concentrations with depth, with average values of $4.01 \pm 0.10 \times 10^6$ atoms g$^{-1}$ and $2.08 \pm 0.08 \times 10^7$ atoms g$^{-1}$, respectively. The absence of a discernible exponential attenuation indicates all sediments in the depth profile are either continuously vertically mixed after deposition or sufficiently young so that post-depositional nuclide production is negligible relative to inheritance.

The depth profile model does not work well for non-attenuating profiles and usually fails to give well-constrained results. The modelled nuclide concentration depth profiles do not fit within the 5th to 95th percentile for our measured concentrations in the lower Wright Valley depth profile (Fig. 10). The solutions yield the most probable $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al inheritance concentrations of $4.03 \times 10^6$ and $2.06 \times 10^7$ atoms g$^{-1}$, respectively (Figs. 10, S4). Our simulations yield the depositional age of the permafrost at $4.4^{+8.2}_{-4.2}$ ka (5th to 95th percentile) and an erosion rate of $0.2^{+0.18}_{-0.18}$ cm kyr$^{-1}$ (Fig. S4).

4.5 Surface exposure ages and erosion rates in Pearse Valley

Boulders and cobbles of granite, gneiss, Beacon sandstone, and dolerite pepper the Pearse Valley floor, forming a thin, patchy drift overlying an older, well-weathered relict drift surface. Some boulders lodged in the relict drift host smaller perched boulders, cobbles, and pebbles on their surfaces, indicating deposition of perched clasts occurred after the most recent retreat of Taylor Glacier (Fig. 6). Our surface exposure chronology is based on three granitic cobbles on the northern side of the central valley floor (Table 2; Fig. 2). Two samples (PV14-CS3-P2 and PV14-CS4-P1) yielded minimum zero-erosion $^{10}$Be exposure ages of $65 \pm 4$ ka and $74 \pm 5$ ka (1σ external errors), respectively, whereas the third sample (PV14-CS3-P1) yielded an older age of $158 \pm 11$ ka, presumably affected by inheritance (Table 2). The three $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be concentration ratios range from 5.7 to 7.1 and, when plotted on a $^{10}$Be/$^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be diagram, are consistent with a simple constant exposure within their 1σ error ellipses (Fig. 11). One sample (PV14-CS4-P1) suggests a burial age ranging from 0 up to $\sim 900$ ka burial, the result of a large error in measured $^{26}$Al concentration. Given inheritance is stochastic, we infer the two lowest consistent ages represent the minimum inheritance, and we take them to be our best estimate to represent zero-erosion exposure ages for the cobbles. While this assumption of zero erosion makes a negligible difference for LGM and younger ages, we evaluate the
influence of surface erosion on the exposure ages above using known erosion rates reported from Antarctica and geological evidence from the sites. Bedrock and regolith erosion rates in the McMurdo Dry Valleys range from 0.1–4 mm kyr$^{-1}$ (Putkonen et al., 2008; Summerfield et al., 1999). A compiled study across Antarctica showed that granite populations have a mean erosion rate of 0.13 mm kyr$^{-1}$ and in the McMurdo Dry Valleys a max erosion rate of 0.65 mm kyr$^{-1}$ (Marrero et al., 2018). Applying the max erosion rate (0.65 mm kyr$^{-1}$) from granite surfaces in the McMurdo Dry Valleys, erosion-corrected$^{10}$Be exposure ages of our granitic cobbles resulted in $174 \pm 13$ ka (PV14-CS3-P1), $68 \pm 5$ ka (PV14-CS3-P2), and $77 \pm 5$ ka (PV14-CS4-P1) ($1\sigma$ external errors; Table 2). The cobble sample PV14-CS3-P2 displays minimal edge rounding, which suggests negligible erosion, and is unlikely to be much older than the zero-erosion age.

### 5 Discussion

#### 5.1 Depositional and permafrost processes in Pearse Valley

Depth profile modelling suggests that the permafrost sediments underlying Taylor 2 Drift, in Pearse Valley, predate MIS 5. At the PV14-A permafrost core site, the present-day active layer comprises a desert pavement surface and layer of loose vertically mixed sediments to a depth of $\sim 0.37$ m, positioned above ice-cemented permafrost sediments. The interface between this active layer and the ice-cemented permafrost represents a sublimation unconformity. $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations are constant throughout the active layer and down to $\sim 0.65$ m depth in the permafrost. However, there is a discernible decrease in $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations in the permafrost below $\sim 0.65$ m depth alongside an ice horizon (Fig. 4). Such ice horizons are indicative of a paleosublimation unconformity and suggest the sediments experienced intervals that are warmer than the present day during or after deposition. This $^{10}$Be reduction cannot be explained by active layer cryoturbation, as the present-day active layer is only $0.37$ m deep. Lapalme et al. (2017) suggested that in the upper $\sim 0.5$ m of a soil profile, ice can accumulate and sublimate due to changing ground surface temperature and humidity conditions. Below $\sim 0.5$ m depth, ice will progressively increase over time. Therefore, a paleosublimation unconformity can be inferred by the increase in ice content from 0.6 to 0.4 m depth, which records the maximum predicted ice table depth (Lapalme et al., 2017).

Therefore, we suggest the $^{10}$Be reduction between the sediments above and below 0.65 m represents a paleosublimation unconformity which probably formed when the active layer was thicker than at present. However, we cannot rule out that the fluctuation of the present-day active layer depth through summer months could represent annual variability in the active layer, although the lack of active layer thickness exceeding $>50$ cm depth in low-elevation McMurdo Dry Valleys locations (Bockheim et al., 2007) suggests this is unlikely in Pearse Valley, which is further inland and at higher elevation. Gravimetric water content is relatively high in near-surface permafrost in the McMurdo Dry Valleys (Lacelle et al., 2022), and water content in permafrost influences the susceptibility of cryoturbation. Our depth profile model indicates that the upper section of the Pearse Valley permafrost sediments ($<1.65$ m) was likely deposited at $180^{+20}_{-40}$ ka, which does not contradict the exposure ages of the thin, patchy drift ($\sim 65$–74 ka). Our measured nuclide concentrations at $>2.09$ m depth largely differ from the upper section and do not fit the simulated depth profile constrained between 0.02 and 1.65 m depth (Fig. 8). The increase in nuclide concentrations at $>2.09$ m depth relative to the samples between 1.09–1.65 m depth, alongside the presence of several small ice lenses between 1.57–1.87 m depth, suggests these sediments were deposited during an earlier depo-
Since the lower set of ice lenses (∼1.57–1.87 m depth) represents the bottom of a paleoactive layer, this would imply ∼0.5–0.8 m of erosion prior to the most recent episode of sediment deposition above 1.65 m. The sedimentology of the core lacks evidence to suggest if this scenario is plausible or not. The attenuating depth profile (> 0.65 m depth) shows that sediments in Pearse Valley have not been vertically mixed since MIS 5, but surface mixing has occurred to at least 0.65 m depth in the last ∼74 kyr.

There are several complications regarding modelling the permafrost depth profiles that limit the reliability in calculating deposition age and surface erosion rates. Firstly, Pearse Valley is episodically covered by ice from Taylor Glacier advances. During periods of ice cover, vertical mixing does not occur. Secondly, using a mean concentration for the measured samples in the surface mixed layer (0.02–0.65 m depth) is equivalent to assuming the mean value can represent a constant well-mixed layer. We acknowledge using a mixing model (e.g. Knudsen et al., 2019; Lal and Chen, 2005) for the depth profile data would allow an alternate approach and may provide an improved fit, among many possible scenarios. However, given the complexity of these data and uncertainty of ice cover by Taylor Glacier, which cannot be incorporated in other mixing models, simply using the mean concentration within the upper 0.65 m is a reasonable approximation.

5.2 Exposure–burial history of sediments in Pearse Valley and lower Wright Valley

While nuclide depth profiles indicate the most recent depositional history of the permafrost sediment, $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be ratio data provide an additional insight regarding the total history of the sediment. When $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be is plotted against $^{10}$Be concentration on a two-isotope diagram (Fig. 12), a minimum total exposure–burial period can be inferred on the assumption that the sample experienced only one cycle of continuous exposure followed by continuous deep burial. At the Pearse Valley site, the two-isotope plot indicates that all sediments, regardless of their depth, have $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be ratios ranging from 3.97 to 4.53, resulting in a minimum ∼800 kyr.

Figure 10. Lower Wright Valley (WV14-I) permafrost core sedimentology (a). Locations of cosmogenic nuclide samples shown in red boxes. Lower Wright Valley (WV14-I) permafrost core depth profiles with measured $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations (black data points) with 1σ uncertainties (b, c). Blue ($^{10}$Be) and red ($^{26}$Al) boxes show simulated nuclide concentrations at each depth.

Figure 11. Two-isotope plot of Pearse Valley cobbles using the time-dependent LSDn scaling scheme of Lifton et al. (2014) and the primary default calibration data set of Borchers et al. (2016). Measured nuclide concentrations are shown with 1σ uncertainties. Burial isochrons (dotted lines), decay trajectories (dashed), the exposure–erosion region (bounded by black and red lines), and steady-state erosion loci (green) are shown.
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simple exposure (at zero erosion) and minimum ~400 kyr burial, with a total exposure–burial history of at least 1.2 Myr. At the lower Wright Valley site, $^{26}\text{Al}/^{10}\text{Be}$ ratios for all samples range from 4.70 to 5.58, resulting in a minimum ~900 kyr simple exposure and minimum ~300 kyr burial, with a total exposure–burial history of at least 1.2 Myr. These exposure–burial histories from the two-isotope plots for the depth profiles of Pearse and lower Wright valleys assume that the surface production rate at each of the core elevations represents a minimum value.

Depth profile modelling of near-surface sediments at both permafrost core sites represents the most recent phase of their depositional histories. Pearse Valley permafrost sediments were emplaced at ~180 ka, using a best-fit surface erosion rate of 0.24 cm kyr$^{-1}$. For lower Wright Valley, where $^{10}\text{Be}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ concentrations do not attenuate, depth profile modelling is not useful in determining age. Instead, we estimate a maximum deposition age of <25 ka. This age represents the time required to change $^{10}\text{Be}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ above the initial inheritance level for near-surface samples by 5% – a change outside AMS $^{10}\text{Be}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ measurement error. However, our $^{26}\text{Al}/^{10}\text{Be}$ ratios at both sites suggest that these sediments have much longer total exposure–burial histories of at least 1.2 Myr, which most likely involves multiple recycling episodes of exposure, deposition, burial, and deflation prior to deposition at their current locations. Million-year exposure–burial recycling periods of sediments in the McMurdo Dry Valleys was also observed in shallow (<1 m) pits from the Packard Dune fields in Victoria Valley (Fink et al., 2015).

In summary, Pearse Valley sediments are old, have a complex exposure–burial history >1.2 Myr, were recently deposited at ~180 ka, and their shallow surface sediments (<0.65 m depth) were subject to active layer mixing. Lower Wright Valley sediments are equally old, with a similar exposure–burial history, but were deposited and mixed after the LGM.

5.3 Fluctuations of Taylor Glacier in Pearse Valley during MIS 5

Thin, patchy drift in Pearse Valley is a discontinuous peppering of boulders and cobbles superimposed on older loose sandy sediments, reworked clasts, and underlying permafrost sediments (Fig. 6). Exposure ages of surface cobbles perched on large boulders confirm that this thin, patchy drift was deposited by a retreating cold-based Taylor Glacier during MIS 5a and the MIS 5–4 transition on the northern valley floor of central Pearse Valley, whereas the underlying permafrost sediments were deposited at ~180 ka or earlier.

Our surface cobbles geochronology is in agreement with the minimum U/Th ages for the extent of proglacial Lake Bonney, which suggests the retreat of Taylor Glacier following MIS 5c and 5a advance (Fig. 13; Higgins et al., 2000a), and the tentatively dated western section of the rock glacier derived from $\delta^{18}$O in buried ice in northern Pearse Valley (Swanger et al., 2019). These data suggest Pearse Valley was largely or partially glaciated throughout MIS 5c and 5a.

Retreat of the Taylor Glacier lobe in Pearse Valley possibly continued after 65 ka. The timing of retreat after 65 ka, until the Last Glacial Maximum when Taylor Glacier was at a minimum position, remains unknown. Advance and retreat cycles during MIS 5 and the final retreat of Taylor Glacier during MIS 5a and the period between the MIS 5–4 transi-
Yan et al. (2021) suggested that peak accumulation rates occurred at $\sim 128$ ka in southern Victoria Land and are associated with reduced sea ice and possibly retreat of the Ross Ice Shelf. The study suggested that by $\sim 125$ ka the Ross Ice Shelf had returned to a configuration comparable to the present day. However, a reduction in sea ice may have enabled increased moisture delivery over Taylor Dome during MIS 5c and 5a. As Higgins et al. (2000a) suggested, increased precipitation over Taylor Dome during MIS 5a and 5c appears to have caused a subsequent readvance of Taylor Glacier. We acknowledge that this hypothesis is speculative and requires further testing of temperature and atmospheric circulation in response to reduced sea ice extent and perhaps a reduction in the Ross Ice Shelf by climate models.

6 Conclusions

We applied cosmogenic nuclide analysis to $\sim 3$ m permafrost depth profiles in the Pearse and lower Wright valleys of the McMurdo Dry Valleys to determine their age of deposition, permafrost processes, and landscape evolution. Additionally, cosmogenic surface exposure dating of surface cobbles perched on large boulders in Pearse Valley provides reliable ages for the Taylor 2 Drift. Paired $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al depth profiles in Pearse Valley show a mixed layer in the upper $\sim 0.65$ m of sediment since $\sim 74$ ka, and depth profile modelling for near-surface permafrost deposits to 1.65 m depth reveals a deposition age of 180$^{+20}_{-40}$ ka that predates MIS 5. The sharp reduction in $^{10}$Be concentrations at $\sim 0.65$ m depth and the presence of increased ice content reveal a paleosublimation unconformity and suggest that these upper sediments have undergone active layer cryoturbation. The near-surface sediment (including the surface mixed layer at 0.02–0.65 m and permafrost at 0.65–1.65 m depth) in central Pearse Valley was deposited at $\sim 180$ ka based on our depth profile model, whereas at $> 2.09$ m depth the depositional age of the sediment must be earlier than $\sim 180$ ka. To compare processes of sediment evolution in Pearse Valley with a lower elevation and more coastal environment, we also applied $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al nuclide analysis to permafrost depth profiles in lower Wright Valley. While the current deposition at the latter site occurred more recently (<25 ka), total exposure–burial histories from the two sites consistently show these sediment repositories have experienced multiple glacial–interglacial cycles achieved through the recycling of sediments for at least 1.2 Myr. Our $^{10}$Be- and $^{26}$Al-derived surface exposure ages from cobbles emplaced on large boulders embedded in the valley floor of Pearse Valley located $\sim 3$ km from Taylor Glacier lobe give a minimum zero-erosion age of $\sim 65$ to 74 ka for deposition of the thin, patchy drift, indicating that Taylor Glacier retreated from Pearse Valley during the MIS 5–4 transition. These data support antiphase behaviour between outlet and alpine glaciers in the McMurdo Dry Valleys region and ice ex-
tent in the Ross Sea and suggest a causal mechanism where cold-based glacier advance and retreat is controlled by moisture availability and drying, respectively, due to ice retreat and expansion in the Ross Sea. Our work is consistent with geochronology from central Taylor Valley, supporting advance and retreat cycles of Taylor Glacier during MIS substages 5c and 5a (Higgins et al., 2000a), corresponding with increased accumulation at Taylor Dome (Steig et al., 2000).
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