Slowdown of Shirase Glacier, East Antarctica, caused by strengthening alongshore winds
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Abstract. Around large parts of West Antarctica and in Wilkes Land, East Antarctica, increased wind-forced intrusions of modified Circumpolar Deep Water (mCDW) onto the continental shelf have been associated with mass loss over the last few decades. Despite considerable seasonal variability, observations in 2018 have also confirmed relatively high basal melt rates of up to 16 m a\textsuperscript{−1} underneath the Shirase ice tongue in Enderby Land, East Antarctica. These high basal melt rates are also caused by intrusions of mCDW onto the continental shelf, but the catchment of Shirase Glacier has been gaining mass, a trend often attributed to increased precipitation. Here, we document the dynamical ocean-driven slowdown, ice surface thickening and grounding line advance of Shirase Glacier in response to strengthening easterly winds that reduce mCDW inflow and decrease basal melt rates. Our findings are significant because they demonstrate that warm ice shelf cavity regimes are not universally associated with glacier acceleration and mass loss in Antarctica, and they highlight the overlooked role of the impact of easterly winds in the recent mass gain of the Shirase Glacier catchment.

1 Introduction

Shirase Glacier is one of the fastest-flowing outlet glaciers in East Antarctica, reaching speeds in excess of 2200 m a\textsuperscript{−1} across its grounding line before flowing into Lützow-Holm Bay (Fig. 1). Its annual ice discharge approaches 15 Gt a\textsuperscript{−1} (Rignot et al., 2019) and it drains a catchment containing 1.2 m of sea level equivalent (Fig. 1, Morlighem et al., 2020). This rapid ice flow speed is associated with vigorous melt underneath its floating tongue, where basal melt rates were observed to vary over the course of the year between 7 and 16 m a\textsuperscript{−1} in 2018, 16 km downstream of the glacier’s grounding line (Hirano et al., 2020). These high melt rates are caused by warm modified Circumpolar Deep Water (mCDW) intruding onto the continental shelf and being transported directly to the glacier via bathymetric troughs (Fig. 1; Moriwaki and Yoshida, 1983; Hirano et al., 2020), a process referred to as Mode 2 melting (Jacobs et al., 1992). Elsewhere in Antarctica, most regions that experience this mode of oceanic melt have been losing mass, e.g. the Amundsen Sea (Jenkins et al., 2018; Mouginot et al., 2014), the Western Antarctic Peninsula (Cook et al., 2016) and Wilkes Land (Rintoul et al., 2016; Greene et al., 2017; Stokes et al., 2022), and hinting that intrusions of mCDW have become more potent over recent decades in these locations. However, mass loss has not been observed in the Shirase Glacier catchment and, between 2003 and 2019, its drainage basin (sometimes referred to as drainage basin 7 in Antarctic-wide studies, e.g. Smith et al., 2020) gained mass at a rate of +25 ± 6 Gt a\textsuperscript{−1}, which is the largest magnitude of imbalance of all drainage basins in East Antarctica (Smith et al., 2020), including the comparatively well-studied drainage basin 13 in Wilkes Land (−20 ± 14 Gt a\textsuperscript{−1}).

The mass gain and thickening in the Shirase catchment over the past 2 decades (Schröder et al., 2019; Smith et al.,...
have been hypothesized to have been caused by increased precipitation across the wider Dronning Maud Land and Enderby Land regions (Smith et al., 2020). Prior to this, however, earlier field-based estimates, using repeat triangulation surveys in 1969 and 1973, demonstrated ice surface lowering of around 0.7 m a\(^{-1}\) around 100–200 km inland of the Shirase Glacier grounding line (Mae and Naruse, 1978; Naruse, 1979; Nishio et al., 1989). Furthermore, repeat GPS surveys in 1980 and 1988 revealed a thinning rate of around 0.5 m a\(^{-1}\) around 100–150 km inland of the grounding line (Tōh and Shibuya, 1992). These rates of surface lowering during that time are comparable with some of the fastest rates of thinning observed across Antarctica over the past decade and occurred at similar distances inland of the grounding line (Smith et al., 2020). Moreover, this surface lowering in the 1970s and 1980s may have been part of a much longer-term signal with ice core records estimating a surface lowering of 350 m over the past 2000 years of the Mizuho Plateau (Kameda et al., 1990), which is located around 200 km inland of the Shirase coastline. The surface lowering over the past 2000 years is also coincident with an increase in ice discharge from Lützow-Holm Bay, which has been estimated from subglacial erosion rates (Sproson et al., 2021).

Oceanographic observations in Lützow-Holm Bay in 2018 revealed a two-layered structure with a cool and relatively fresh layer of Winter Water overlying a warm and saline layer of mCDW, where temperatures near the ice front seasonally exceed the in situ melting point by 2.7°C (Hirano et al., 2020). Observations and modelling demonstrate a strong seasonal variation in the basal melt rate of the Shirase ice tongue (Hirano et al., 2020; Kusahara et al., 2021a), which is caused by seasonal variations in the depth of the thermocline forced by the strength of the alongshore easterly winds near the continental shelf (Ohshima et al., 1996). To date, there is no evidence of large seasonal variations in ice flow speed at the grounding line, but observations show some seasonal variation in ice flow speed on the floating tongue that could be connected to external forcing (Nakamura et al., 2007, 2010).

There have been several studies analysing the ice flow dynamics of Shirase Glacier, largely covering short sub-decadal time periods (Pattyn and Derauw, 2002; Pattyn and Naruse, 2003; Nakamura et al., 2010; Aoyama et al., 2013). However, the longer-term geological signal of ice sheet thinning and increased ice discharge (Sproson et al., 2021) along with observations of thinning in the 1970s and 1980s (Mae and Naruse, 1978; Naruse, 1979; Nishio et al., 1989; Tōh and Shibuya, 1992), followed by thickening from the 2000s (Schröder et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2020), raise some important questions into the processes causing this switch from mass loss to mass gain. In this study, we produce a time series of ice flow speed that spans 47 years and show that long-term ice speed trends coincide with alongshore wind speeds and their impact on intrusions of mCDW. We then discuss how these observations may relate to wider hemispheric trends in atmospheric circulation and what this may mean for the future mass balance of the Shirase catchment and the wider Dronning Maud Land and Enderby Land sectors.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Ice-front position, ice speed, grounding line and ice thickness

We create a time series of ice-front positions between 1963 and 2020 using a variety of different sources, including ARGON imagery from 1963, Landsat-1 imagery from 1973, Landsat-5 imagery from 1984, Landsat-4 imagery from 1988, the RADARSAT RAMP mosaic from 1997 (Ježek et al., 2013), and MODIS imagery from 2000 to 2020, with the spatial resolution of the satellite data ranging from 15 to 250 m. In each case, we map the outer limit of the collection of loosely bound icebergs that form the Shirase ice tongue that are typically surrounded by a smoother surface of fast ice (Figs. 1 and 2a). Errors associated with this mapping are insignificant in the context of the ice tongue typically advancing 2500 m a\(^{-1}\) or retreating in short-lived calving events typically greater than 10 km.

We calculate 18 ice speed estimates for Shirase Glacier between 1973 and 2020. For 1973 we use a pair of Landsat-1 (band 7) images from 25 January 1973 and 21 January 1974 that we manually co-register to each other before co-registering to a Landsat-8 image. The combination of the relatively coarse Landsat-1 imagery (60 m) and the development of surface melt ponding over the fast-flowing section of the glaciers between the two images prevented the automatic extraction of ice speed. Instead, we extract an ice speed estimate by manually tracking the displacement of a prominent rift ~ 24 km downstream of the grounding line (Fig. 2d). Errors associated with the manual tracking of this rift stem from the co-registration between the two image pairs, which we estimate to be 1 pixel (60 m; Animation S1 in the Supplement). For 1988, we use a pair of Landsat-4 (band 3) images from 14 January and 15 February 1988 that we also co-register to a Landsat-8 image. The quality of the Landsat-5 images (30 m resolution) is superior to that of the Landsat-1 imagery and, in the absence of significant surface melt ponding, we use the COSI-CORR feature-tracking software (Lernine et al., 2007; Scherler et al., 2008) to extract ice speed. For these images, co-registration error is negligible (Animation S2), and error in the feature tracking is estimated at <0.5 pixels (e.g. Heid and Kääb, 2012). Because of the close time separation of the image pairs, this results in a larger error of ±171 m a\(^{-1}\).

For 2000–2018 we use 14 annual ice speed mosaics from the ITS_LIVE dataset which cover Shirase Glacier (Gardner et al., 2018) and use the corresponding error grids for error values, which range from ±1 to ±32 m a\(^{-1}\). For 2019 \((n = 27)\) and 2020 \((n = 19)\) we take an average of all GoLIVE-generated ice speed fields (Fahnestock et al., 2016; Scambos...
et al., 2016) with a time separation of 16–320 d from scene IDs 149_109 and 150_109. Taking an average of multiple ice speed grids reduces error and, as such, we prescribe a nominal error of 16 m a\(^{-1}\) based on the average value from the ITS_LIVE mosaics. We extract ice speed profiles from each time period across a transect, T1 (Fig. 2a), and also produce a time series of ice speed change where T1 crosses the grounding line. In 1973, the only possible observation of ice speed was extracted 24 km downstream of the grounding line (point \(x\); Fig. 2a), and there are no observations directly at the grounding line. To account for this, we estimate ice speed at the grounding line in 1973 using the average difference between point \(x\), 24 km downstream of the grounding line, and where T1 crosses the grounding line in each of the other 17 ice speed profiles (1988–2020). Across these profiles, ice speed was on average 2 % slower (ranging from 1 % to 4 %) at the grounding line compared to ice speed at point \(x\). Therefore, to estimate ice speed at the grounding line in 1973, we reduce the ice speed observed 24 km downstream of the grounding line by 2\(\pm\)1 %. We also include the measurements of ice speed from Nakamura et al. (2007) at the grounding line derived from the JERS-1 satellite in 1996, 1997 and 1998.

To estimate the direction and magnitude of any migration in the Shirase Glacier grounding line, we compare time-stamped digital elevation model (DEM) strips with a spatial resolution of 2 m from 6 January 2013 and 8 October 2015 from the REMA project (Howat et al., 2019). We select these strips because they cover the complete Shirase Glacier grounding line and represent the longest time gap in the record. This is in addition to a SPOT5-HRS DEM from the SPIRIT project (Korona et al., 2009) from 8 February 2008, with a spatial resolution of 40 m. Elevation uncertainty is estimated at around 4 m by comparing derived elevations from exposed bedrock between the two REMA DEMs and a larger uncertainty of around 7 m between the SPOT5-HRS and REMA DEMs. The tidal amplitude of the region is limited to 0.2 m (Aoki et al., 2000) and is deemed insignificant. We extract elevation profiles along transect T1 (Fig. 2a) from these dates. A comparison of elevation profiles cannot provide a location of the true grounding line position, but any horizontal migration of these elevation slopes can provide reasonable estimates in both the direction and rate of grounding line migration (Fricker et al., 2009; Brunt et al., 2010).

We also extract an ice thickness change time series from the dataset presented in Schröder et al. (2019) from point IT, which is around 20 km inland of the grounding line (Fig. 2a). This multi-mission dataset spans between 1978 and 2017 and contains data from a variety of satellites. We use the accompanying uncertainty estimates described in Schröder et al. (2019). We also utilize modelled basal melt rate anomalies of the Shirase ice tongue that are derived by an ocean model that is forced by ERA-Interim wind reanalysis between 2008 and 2018 by Kusahara et al. (2021a). The basal
melt rate dataset contains melt anomalies that have been simulated with fast ice cover and a hypothetical no fast ice scenario (see Fig. 20; Kusahara et al., 2021a). We use the melt rates with fast ice cover because persistent fast ice cover remained throughout our observational period, aside from a few sporadic partial breakouts in the summer months.

2.2 Climatological data

We extract mean monthly ERA5 (Hersbach et al., 2020) 10 m zonal ($U$) and meridional ($V$) wind speeds with a gridded 30 km spatial resolution between 1979 and 2021 from a box approximately $340 \times 250$ km adjacent to the coastline (Box A; Fig. 1a). We do not extend the box all the way into Lützow-Holm Bay because it is semi-permanently covered with land-fast sea ice (Fig. S1 in the Supplement) that dampens the impact of winds on ocean circulation. We then calculate alongshore easterly wind speed using an alongshore angle of $80^\circ$ from due north:

$$A = W \cos(\theta - 80),$$

where $W$ is wind speed and $\theta$ is wind direction. Using the ERA5 data, we also calculate the linear trend in zonal wind between 1979 and 2021 across a wider region of the Dronning Maud Land and Enderby Land coastlines. We also extract a precipitation time series across Shirase Glacier using the MAR regional climate model between 1979 and 2019 (Kittel et al., 2021).

3 Results

We observe a total range of nearly 90 km in the ice-front position of the Shirase ice tongue between 1963 and 2020 (Fig. 2a, b). Its maximum length was in 1963 before retreating to its minimum extent in 1988 (Fig. 2a, b). Since 1988 there has been a general pattern of advance with a few sporadic calving events (Fig. 2a, b). Most of the variation in the extent of the Shirase ice tongue is in the heavily fractured and unconstrained ice tongue conglomerate (Figs. 1b, 2a). The only exception to this was in 1988, when the ice tongue retreated to the entrance of the narrow and more constrained section of its fjord, 24 km in advance of its 2009 grounding line (Fig. 2a).

Ice speed profiles along the transect (T1, Fig. 2a) show a uniform pattern of change across both the grounded and floating sections of Shirase Glacier (Fig. 2e). At the grounding line, we observe little change in ice speed between 1973 and 1988, although we note the larger uncertainty in the 1988 estimate of $\pm 171$ m a$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3a), and we cannot rule out interannual variations in ice speed within this date range. Between 1988 and 1996 we observe a $2 \pm 7$ % slowdown and a $2 \pm 1$ % increase in ice speed between 1997 and 2000 (Fig. 3a). Post-2000 we observe a slowdown, with an $8 \pm 1$ % decrease in ice speed between 2000 and 2015 (Fig. 3a). Between 2015 and 2019 ice speed increased by $4 \pm 1$ % (Fig. 3a). Observations of ice thickness change 20 km inland of the grounding line show a thinning trend of $0.27 \pm 0.33$ m a$^{-1}$ between 1987 and 1997 before reverting to a thickening trend of $0.19 \pm 0.10$ m a$^{-1}$ between 1997 and 2017 (Fig. 3b). There is large interannual variability in precipitation over Shirase Glacier (Fig. 3c) and no obvious link to observations of ice speed or ice thickness. Elevation profiles along a section of T1 in 2008, 2013 and 2015 show a consistent seaward migration of the surface slope as it approaches the grounding line (Fig. 2c, Animation S3). The absence of any consistent increases in precipitation suggests that this is predominantly a horizontal offset caused by grounding line advance. Therefore, between February 2008 and October 2015 we estimate that the grounding line advanced around 400 m ($\sim 50$ m a$^{-1}$) from measuring the seaward displacement of the surface slope, an estimate that is broadly consistent with CryoSat-based observations of seaward grounding line migration between 2010 and 2016 ($\sim 30$ m a$^{-1}$; Konrad et al., 2018).

ERA5-derived estimates of alongshore easterly wind speed between 1979 and 2020 show limited variation between 1984 and the early 1990s (Fig. 3a). In the early 1990s there was a small increase in alongshore wind speed before a more marked increase from 2000 to 2010, when alongshore wind speed increased from around 4 to 4.8 m s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3a). This is before falling slightly to around 4.5 m s$^{-1}$ between 2010 and 2018, which is coincident with an increase in basal melt rate anomalies (Fig. 3a). The multi-decadal trend in zonal wind shows a trend of strengthening of wind in an easterly direction at the continental shelf boundary over much of Enderby Land (Fig. 4). There is no trend in zonal wind speed over large parts of Dronning Maud Land, with the exception of near Jutulstraumen Glacier, where there is a trend of strengthening wind in the westerly direction (Fig. 4).

4 Discussion

4.1 Slowdown and thickening caused by strengthening alongshore winds

Calculations indicate that the heavily fractured and unconstrained section of the Shirase ice tongue conglomerate offers little buttressing force (Fig. 5a; Durand et al., 2016; Fürst et al., 2016). Therefore, it is unlikely that any variations in the extent of the Shirase ice tongue have had a direct effect on the ice speed trends we have observed. The only possible exception to this is in 1988, when the ice tongue briefly retreated to the edge of its more confined embayment (Fig. 2a), closer to where the extent of the ice tongue might be expected to exert buttressing and impact on inland flow speed were it to be removed (Fig. 5a).

In agreement with previous work, we note that the observed fluctuations in ice tongue extent are correlated with
land-fast sea-ice conditions in Lützow-Holm Bay (Aoki, 2017). Long periods of ice tongue advance are associated with persistent land-fast sea ice in Lützow-Holm Bay, while ice tongue retreat is associated with land-fast sea-ice break-out events removing parts of the ice tongue conglomerate (Aoki et al., 2017). These break-out events have occurred sporadically during the austral summer months (Fig. S1). It is important to note that fast ice only helps control the length of the ice tongue conglomerate (Fig. 1b), and there is no evidence that the fast ice has any major role in providing buttressing for Shirase Glacier. For example, we note that there was no obvious increase in ice speed at the ground-
Figure 3. (a) Annually averaged ERA5-derived alongshore wind speed from Box A (see Fig. 1) and plotted as a 5-year rolling mean (black), ice speed at the Shirase Glacier grounding line along T1 (red) and modelled melt rate anomaly of the Shirase ice tongue between 2008 and 2018 plotted as a 1-year rolling mean (blue; Kushnara et al., 2021a). Periods of weakening winds cause increased mCDW transport, increased basal melt and acceleration. Periods of strengthening winds result in relatively less mCDW transport, decreased basal melt rates and glacier slowdown. Note that along-shore wind speed is plotted with an inverted axis. (b) Annually averaged ice thickness change at point IT with respect to 2009/10 (see Fig. 2a) extracted from the Schröder et al. (2019) dataset, where there are at least six data points in the calendar year. The error bars are annually averaged errors. The background grey points are the raw monthly data points. (c) Annually averaged precipitation over Shirase Glacier from the MAR regional climate model (Kittel et al., 2021); uncertainties are not provided with these data.

Figure 4. Linear zonal wind trend for 1979–2021 with data smoothed with a 60-month moving average prior to extracting the trend. Negative values indicate a trend for zonal winds in a more easterly direction and positive values indicate a trend for winds in a more westerly direction. Mean wind direction (1979–2021) is represented by the grey arrows. Major outlet glaciers have been labelled.

and there was also an indistinguishable change in ice speed at the grounding line following a break-out in 2017 (Nakamura et al., 2022).

Point IT, 20 km inland of the Shirase Glacier grounding line, was thinning at a rate of $-0.27 \pm 0.33$ m a$^{-1}$ between 1987 and 1997 (Fig. 3b), a pattern consistent with field observations up to 200 km further inland in the 1960s, 1970s and 1980s (Mae and Naruse, 1978; Naruse, 1979; Nisho et al., 1989; Toh and Shibuya, 1992). However, in $\sim 2000$ there was a slowdown in Shirase Glacier (Fig. 3a), and this thinning trend reversed to thickening (Fig. 3b). This slowdown and thickening coincide with an increase in alongshore easterly wind speed adjacent to the Shirase coastline (Fig. 3a). The seasonal strengthening in alongshore easterly winds offshore of the Shirase coastline has been observed to deepen the thermocline in Lützow-Holm Bay, limiting the inflow of mCDW onto the continental shelf and reducing basal melt rates (Hirano et al., 2020). We suggest that this same process over annual to decadal timescales has caused the slowdown of Shirase Glacier.

Increased alongshore wind speed from $\sim 2000$ enhanced Ekman convergence at the coast, deepening the thermocline with a short lag and inhibiting the inflow of warm mCDW into Lützow-Holm Bay. The subsequent cooling of Lützow-Holm Bay reduced the basal melt rate of the Shirase ice tongue. This reduction in basal melt caused the ice tongue to thicken and is confirmed by ICESat and ICESat-2 observations of the Shirase ice tongue that show a mean thickening of 1.87 m a$^{-1}$ from 2003 to 2019 (Fig. 5b; Smith et al., 2020). Instantaneous numerical modelling experiments
show that ice discharge is sensitive to thickness changes in the inner ice tongue (Reese et al., 2018; Fig. 5c). Therefore, the dynamic thickening of the inner ice tongue would be expected to increase buttressing through time (Fig. 5c) and ultimately drive the overall slowdown in ice speed, grounding line advance and inland thickening that we observe. Importantly, our results show that wind-driven ocean forcing is also contributing to mass gain in Lützow-Holm Bay. This is likely in addition to surface mass balance processes, as indicated by the widespread inland thickening of the Shirase catchment between 2003 and 2019 (Smith et al., 2020). However, there is no evidence of a long-term increase in precipitation at the Shirase catchment (Fig. 3c). Instead, the inland thickening is likely a consequence of extreme anomalous snowfall events in 2009 and 2011 (e.g. Boening et al., 2012; Lenaerts et al., 2013).

Within the longer-term slowdown of Shirase Glacier between 1973 and 2020 we observe brief periods of acceleration in response to short-lived periods of weakening alongshore winds. For example, both of the accelerations in ice speed from 1997–2000 and 2015–2019 are preceded by brief periods of weakening alongshore winds (Fig. 3a). These periods of weakening alongshore winds cause relatively higher basal melt rates because they raise the thermocline closer to the ocean surface and enable a greater influx of mCDW into Lützow-Holm Bay (Hirano et al., 2020). This is supported by the close relationship between alongshore wind speed and modelled melt rate anomalies (Fig. 3a; Kusahara et al., 2021a). Although we do note some slight discrepancies in this relationship, for example between 2008 and 2011, changes in melt rates precede changes in winds. This could be related to the relative smoothing of both datasets, with alongshore wind plotted as a 5-year rolling mean and melt rates plotted as a 1-year rolling mean.

The interannual variability in ice flow speed at Shirase Glacier in response to wind-forced ocean variability is analogous to other regions of Antarctica where mCDW periodically floods the continental shelf, e.g. Pine Island (Christianson et al., 2016), Thwaites (Miles et al., 2020) and Totten glaciers (Greene et al., 2017). The pattern of change at Shirase Glacier is unique, however, in that it is the only outlet glacier in Antarctica with a warm water regime that has been observed to be slowing down and thickening during the 21st century, as opposed to accelerating and thinning (e.g. Mouginot et al., 2014; Greene et al., 2017). As such, our results highlight that this oceanic mode of ice melt is not universally associated with mass loss in Antarctica.

4.2 Wider links to climate forcing and future implications

In response to both increased greenhouse gas emissions and ozone depletion (Thompson et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014; Perren et al., 2020), the band of mid-latitude westerly winds that encircle Antarctica have both strengthened and migrated southwards towards the ice sheet over recent decades (Thompson and Solomon, 2002; Marshall, 2003; Turner, 2005; Bracegirdle et al., 2018). In the Amundsen Sea sector of West Antarctica, this anthropogenically driven migration has been linked to westerly wind anomalies over the continental shelf (Holland et al., 2019), which have en-
abled a greater influx of warm mCDW onto the continental shelf and have driven enhanced localized ice sheet mass loss (Thoma et al., 2008). At Shirase Glacier, our observations of strengthening alongshore easterly winds suggest that any southward encroachment of the mid-latitude westerlies has yet to impact the Shirase coastline. This may also be the case for parts of the wider Enderby Land coastlines, where alongshore easterlies have strengthened along the continental shelf edge (Hazel and Stewart, 2019). However, it remains unknown what effect these strengthening easterly winds may have had on other nearby outlet glaciers (e.g. Rayner and Wilma; Fig. 4), which are yet to be studied in detail. The trend in strengthening alongshore easterlies might also be linked to enhanced katabatic winds as low-pressure systems track progressively further south and enhance the pole-to-coast pressure gradient (Hazel and Stewart, 2019). It is unclear whether the enhancement of the pole-to-coast pressure gradient has been influenced by the anthropogenically driven southerly migration of the mid-latitude westerlies or whether it has been caused by inherent natural decadal variability within the system.

Over the course of the 21st century, the southerly migration of the mid-latitude westerlies is projected to continue in a warming climate (Yin, 2005; Perren et al., 2020). Along the Shirase coastline, this continued southerly migration may ultimately result in a similar situation to the Amundsen Sea, such that westerly wind anomalies offshore would result in enhanced mCDW transport into Lützow-Holm Bay and cause mass loss. Alternatively, the westerly winds may never migrate close enough to the Shirase coastline to impact alongshore winds, and instead, alongshore winds may continue to strengthen as the pole-to-coast pressure gradient increases. This would result in further cooling of Lützow-Holm Bay and ice tongue thickening and further mass gain. In a wider context, an improved understanding of the potential changes in ocean forcing in response to broader atmospheric patterns expected over the coming decades is needed in the Enderby Land and Dronning Maud Land sectors.

5 Conclusions

Our observations of Shirase Glacier are a rare example of a glacier reversing a trend of mass loss from at least the 1970s–1990s to mass gain over the last 2 decades. As far as we are aware this is the only major fast-flowing Antarctic outlet glacier to display this pattern of behaviour. This reversal has been driven by a slowdown of the Shirase Glacier upstream of the grounding line in response to strengthening alongshore easterly winds that have limited the inflow of warm mCDW into Lützow-Holm Bay, reduced basal melt rates, and caused its ice tongue to dynamically thicken. Should this strengthening of alongshore easterly winds continue into the future, the Shirase catchment will continue to experience a positive mass balance due to both the slowdown in ice discharge and the predicted increase in precipitation in response to atmospheric warming (e.g. Ligtenberg et al., 2013; Kittel et al., 2021). Our results highlight the need for a greater consideration of the potential role of ocean forcing in both the current and future mass balances of the wider Enderby and Dronning Maud Land regions.
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