Weekly to monthly terminus variability of Greenland’s marine-terminating outlet glaciers
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Abstract. Seasonal terminus-position variability of Greenland’s marine-terminating outlet glaciers is superimposed on multidecadal trends of glacier retreat. To characterize this seasonal variability, we manually digitized terminus positions for 219 marine-terminating glaciers in Greenland from January 2015 through December 2021 using Sentinel-1 synthetic aperture radar (SAR) mosaics. We digitized at a monthly frequency for 199 glaciers and at a 6 d frequency for 20 glaciers. We found that nearly 80\% of glacier termini in Greenland vary significantly on a seasonal basis. For these seasonally varying glaciers, on average, seasonal retreat typically begins in mid-May, and seasonal advance generally commences in early October. The timing of the initiation of the retreat period may be related to the timing of the onset of ice-sheet surface melt. The rate of retreat events peaks in late summer and reaches a minimum in late winter and early spring. The median magnitude of terminus-position seasonality, the difference between glacier length at the dates of peak advance and retreat, is about 220 m. We find a stronger correlation between this magnitude and glacier velocity than between magnitude and glacier width. Terminus-position seasonality can influence longer-term glacier dynamics and, consequently, ice-sheet mass balance. This study contributes to our understanding of terminus-position seasonality for individual glaciers and collectively for glaciers around the entire Greenland Ice Sheet.

1 Introduction

The majority of marine-terminating outlet glaciers in Greenland have retreated over the past several decades, and regionally this retreat accelerated in the 1990s and 2000s (Black and Joughin, 2022b; Carr et al., 2017; Fahrner et al., 2021; Howat and Eddy, 2011; King et al., 2020). This terminus-position retreat is linked to increasing ice discharge (King et al., 2020, 2018; Mouginot et al., 2019). Between 2012 and 2017, ice discharge contributed to \(~43\%\) of the net mass loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet (Shepherd et al., 2020), and projections of future ice-sheet mass loss indicate that discharge will continue to be an important contributor through 2100 (Choi et al., 2021).

The multidecadal behavior of the terminus positions of marine-terminating outlet glaciers in Greenland is well-characterized (Black and Joughin, 2022b; Fahrner et al., 2021; Goliber et al., 2022; Howat and Eddy, 2011; King et al., 2020). Superimposed on these multidecadal trends, many glaciers exhibit seasonal terminus-position variability, which is typically expressed as wintertime advance and summertime retreat (Carr et al., 2013; Cassotto et al., 2015; Fried et al., 2018; Howat et al., 2010; Joughin et al., 2008b; Kehrl et al., 2017; Kneib-Walter et al., 2021; Moon et al., 2015; Murray et al., 2015; Sakakibara and Sugiyama, 2019; Schild and Hamilton, 2013; Seale et al., 2011). Seasonal terminus-position variability spatially varies in amplitude (Fried et al., 2018; Howat et al., 2010; Kehrl et al., 2017; Moon et al., 2015; Seale et al., 2011), and it has been suggested that the amplitude may depend on glacier width (Schild and Hamilton, 2013; Seale et al., 2011) or calving style (Fried et al., 2018). Most studies of seasonal terminus-position variabil-
Glacier flow is highly sensitive to changes at the terminus (Howat et al., 2008; Joughin et al., 2008b; Meier and Post, 1987; Nick et al., 2009; Schoof, 2007), so short-term terminus-position variability may influence longer-term trends in glacier dynamics and, consequently, ice-sheet mass balance. Omitting terminus seasonality from numerical models can lead to both over- and underestimated mass change projections on decadal timescales for individual glaciers, depending primarily on the magnitude of terminus seasonality (Felikson et al., 2022). Therefore, it is important that the terminus seasonality of individual glaciers be well-characterized.

To maintain a tight focus, we limit this investigation primarily to characterizing seasonal terminus-position variability for marine-terminating outlet glaciers around the entire Greenland Ice Sheet. Given the number of processes contributing to seasonal variability (e.g., surface melt, ocean temperatures, and mélangé) a more detailed investigation of the causes of seasonal variability is beyond the scope of this paper; rather, we discuss the potential role these factors may have.

Our methods capitalize on the capabilities of the Sentinel-1A/B synthetic aperture radar (SAR) satellites, which typically imaged Greenland at a repeat interval of 6 d when both satellites were operating and 12 d when only Sentinel-1A was operating. For the period from January 2015 through December 2021, we manually digitized monthly terminus positions for 199 glaciers around Greenland and 6 to 12 d terminus positions for an additional 20 glaciers in central west and northwest Greenland. We use these terminus-position data to characterize the magnitude and trends of seasonal terminus-position variability and to estimate the frequency and seasonality of glacier retreat events at a 6 d level.

2 Data

We used a total of 373 Sentinel-1A/B SAR mosaics (Joughin, 2020) to digitize glacier terminus positions at monthly and 6 or 12 d intervals from January 2015 through December 2021. We chose this time period based on the availability of Sentinel-1 mosaics at the time that we digitized most of the glacier termini (late 2021 and early 2022) and in order to capture complete years of data. For simplicity, we refer to metrics associated with the 6–12 d dataset as “weekly” (e.g., “weekly glaciers”). The monthly glaciers are located around the full margin of the ice sheet, while the weekly glaciers are concentrated in central west and northwest Greenland (Fig. 1).

2.1 Satellite images

SAR mosaics of the Greenland Ice Sheet were generated from images taken by the Sentinel-1A/B satellite pair (Joughin, 2020). These satellites are able to image the ice-sheet surface regardless of cloud conditions or solar illumination, making them valuable for capturing changes in glacier behavior throughout the year. Since Version 3, which was released in August 2020, the SAR mosaic product has 25 m image resolution; the majority (85.8 %) of termini in this study were digitized using the higher-resolution mosaics. Earlier versions of the SAR mosaic product had 50 m resolution, and the remaining 14.2 % of termini were digitized using these lower-resolution products as part of an earlier study. The mosaics cover 12 d intervals from 1 January 2015 through 27 September 2016, during which time only Sentinel-1A was in orbit. After the launch of Sentinel-1B, the mosaics cover 6 d intervals, up until the failure of Sentinel-1B on 23 December 2021, after which the mosaics returned to 12 d intervals. Occasionally, missed acquisitions produce intermittent spatial gaps (missing swaths) in the SAR mosaics, with corresponding temporal gaps in terminus-position data for the affected glaciers. On average, over the 7-year study period, these missed acquisitions resulted in two missing data points for monthly glaciers and 20 missing data points for weekly
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Figure 1. Map of glaciers covered in this study. Glaciers with termini digitized at monthly resolution are in blue, and glaciers with termini digitized at 6 d resolution are in orange. The regions outlined are southwest (SW), central west (CW), northwest (NW), north (NO), northeast (NE), and southeast (SE) Greenland.

2.2 Terminus positions

We manually digitized glacier terminus positions from Sentinel-1A/B SAR mosaics using ArcGIS. All digitizing was performed by a single analyst to reduce potential differences in interpretation of imagery. The error associated with these manually digitized terminus positions is typically comparable to the image resolution (i.e., 25 m for most SAR mosaics used in this study) (Moon et al., 2015) and often results from difficult interpretation conditions arising from poor image contrast, such as extensive proglacial mélange cover.

We digitized terminus positions for a total of 219 marine-terminating outlet glaciers (Fig. 1), 199 of which were digitized at monthly intervals (Table S1 in the Supplement) and 20 of which were digitized at 6 d intervals (Table S2). For the monthly glaciers, we used the first SAR mosaic entirely within each month (e.g., for the month of May, we may use 5–10 May but not 29 April–4 May), from January 2015 through December 2021. For the 20 weekly glaciers, we chose to focus on central west and northwest Greenland, where outlet glaciers have been changing rapidly (Black and Joughin, 2022b; King et al., 2020), and selected glaciers with clear seasonal variations in the monthly data that we wanted to capture at higher temporal resolution. As part of this set, we selected all five glaciers in Upernavik Icefjord to include a local grouping of glaciers. For comparison to those with clear seasonal variations, we included one glacier (Yngvar Nielson Gletsjer, no. 65) that did not show strong seasonal variability in the monthly data. For these weekly glaciers, we digitized terminus positions in all available SAR mosaics from January 2015 through December 2021 at 12 d intervals before October 2016 and 6 d intervals thereafter.

3 Methods

We digitized 23,333 glacier terminus positions representing January 2015 through December 2021, with an average of 82 per glacier for the monthly glaciers and 353 per glacier for the weekly glaciers. We calculated glacier area and length change and used these data to identify the significance, timing, and magnitude of terminus-position seasonality for each glacier. We also summarized these characteristics for all glaciers around the ice sheet as well as for individual regions of the ice sheet (IMBIE, 2022; Rignot and Mouginot, 2012). Finally, we identified the timing and magnitude of individual retreat events for the weekly glaciers.

3.1 Glacier area and length change

We used the box method (Moon and Joughin, 2008) to calculate glacier area over time. For each glacier, we defined an open-ended reference box with sides approximately parallel to ice flow and the back perpendicular to ice flow and upstream of the range of observed terminus positions. The box may be complex in shape (i.e., composed of more than three line segments) as it follows glacier flow around obstacles and up fjords, particularly if the glacier has retreated substantially; in this way it is comparable to the curvilinear box method (Lea et al., 2014). Each terminus position intersects both sides of the box, forming a polygon from which we calculate the area. We calculated a proxy length over time by dividing each area measurement by the mean box width at the terminus, following the methods of Black and Joughin (2022b).

3.2 Presence of terminus-position seasonality

In characterizing terminus-position variability, one of our main objectives was to determine if there is a seasonal component to the pattern of terminus variation. To do this, we used the Lomb–Scargle periodogram, a tool for detecting periodicity in unevenly sampled data (Lomb, 1976; Scargle, 1982; VanderPlas, 2018). We chose this method due to the random temporal gaps in our time series associated with occasional missed satellite image acquisitions. We first calculated the Lomb–Scargle periodogram for a sine wave with a period of 1 year to determine the effective resolution of the method; the resulting periodogram peak was centered on 1.0 yr$^{-1}$ and its width spanned from 0.9 to 1.1 yr$^{-1}$ (Fig. S1).
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Then, for each glacier’s set of terminus positions, we computed the length time series, linearly detrended the length, and calculated the Lomb–Scargle periodogram for the detrended length time series. We determined the power for cycles with a period of about 1 year (0.9 to 1.1 years or 10.8 to 13.2 months, as determined by the width of the pure sinusoid peak in the periodogram) and compared this power to the Lomb–Scargle false-alarm level at a probability of $p = 0.05$. This is the threshold at which, if there were no periodic signal in the data, there could still be a peak at this frequency 5% of the time. If a glacier’s length periodogram had a peak at annual frequency that exceeded the false-alarm level (i.e., $p < 0.05$), we classified it as having significant annual terminus-position seasonality. Note that this classification only applies during our observation period (January 2015 through December 2021) as terminus-position seasonality can change over time (e.g., Joughin et al., 2008a).

### 3.3 Timing and magnitude of terminus-position seasonality

For glaciers with significant annual terminus-position seasonality (as determined with the Lomb–Scargle periodogram), we identified peaks and troughs in the glacier length time series to determine the timing and magnitude of seasonality (see example in Fig. S2). If a glacier was determined to have significant annual terminus-position seasonality, we then used a peak-finding algorithm to identify all peaks and troughs in the original length data. Next, the length data were linearly detrended and we found the resulting detrended glacier length at each peak and trough. We then found the date and detrended length of the highest peak and lowest trough for each year. In cases where retreat continued into the following year, we paired the associated trough with the peak in the previous year (i.e., the peak from which the retreat initiated). We differentiated the peak and trough lengths to find the magnitude of the terminus-position seasonality for each year. Finally, for each glacier, we determined the median annual dates of greatest advance and retreat, the median duration of retreat, and the median magnitude of terminus-position seasonality. We used these values to compute the Greenland-wide and regional timing and magnitude of terminus-position seasonality at a monthly resolution.

### 3.4 Timing and magnitude of retreat events

For the weekly glaciers, we used each glacier’s length time series to determine the timing and magnitude of retreat events (integrated over 6 d) for individual glaciers and cumulatively for the entire group of weekly glaciers. To do this, we differentiated the glacier length time series to find all potential retreat events (negative differences). To exclude small events within the range of terminus digitization uncertainty, we filtered the retreat events to retain only those with magnitudes greater than a threshold value of 50 m (i.e., $2\sigma$). In this process we do not account for glacier velocities, and so this method does not capture any retreat events that are smaller than the advance that occurred in the same time frame, i.e., cases where there is net advance that is smaller than would be expected based on glacier velocity.

### 4 Results

We found that most glaciers in Greenland undergo annual cycles of advance and retreat. Figure 2 shows the relative monthly glacier length as a function of time for each of the 219 glaciers in our study. A pattern of annual cycling between relatively advanced terminus positions (blue) and relatively retreated terminus positions (red) is visible for many glaciers, illustrating terminus-position seasonality. The overall shift from blue to red over the entire duration illustrates an interannual retreat trend. Selected length time series are shown in Figs. S3 and S4 to illustrate what terminus-position seasonality (or lack thereof) can look like for a subset of glaciers, including all of the weekly glaciers.

#### 4.1 Prevalence of terminus-position seasonality

To better isolate the annual signals in glacier length, we computed Lomb–Scargle periodograms for the detrended length data for each glacier to identify significant annual peaks. We found that between 2015 and 2021, 78.1% ($n = 171$)
of Greenland’s marine-terminating outlet glaciers exhibited significant annual terminus-position seasonality at the 95% confidence level. For many of the other glaciers, annual peaks were visible in the Lomb–Scargle periodograms but below the 95% confidence level, suggesting some weak seasonal variability may be present. Table 1 illustrates that glaciers with pronounced seasonality are more common in western Greenland (83.8% to 100%) and slightly less so in the east (68.0% to 76.1%). Seasonality is least common in the north (46.2%), where several glaciers have floating ice tongues, such as Petermann (no. 93 following the MEaSUREs Glacier ID system of Joughin et al., 2021) and Ryder (no. 97) which do not vary seasonally.

### 4.2 Timing and magnitude of terminus-position seasonality for all glaciers

We characterized the timing of terminus seasonality for the glaciers that had significant seasonality by finding the peaks and troughs in each glacier’s length time series as described in Sect. 3.3. Figure 3 shows that these seasonally varying glaciers tended to be at their most advanced state in late spring to early summer and their most retreated state in autumn. Across all of these seasonally varying glaciers, the median date of maximum advance ranged between 6 May and 8 June, with a median of 12 May. Retreat initiated immediately after the time of greatest advance, and the median date of maximum retreat ranged between 4 September and 7 November, with a median of 10 October. After this time, the glaciers began advancing again. Table 2 shows that the duration of the ice-sheet-wide retreat period, the time between the median dates of greatest advance and retreat, varied between 122 and 185 d, with an average of 149 d.

We calculated the amplitude of the seasonal signal in order to determine the typical annual range in detrended terminus positions. For the 171 glaciers with significant terminus seasonality, the magnitude of the terminus-position seasonality is the difference between its detrended lengths at the dates of greatest advance and retreat. The annual median magnitude of ice-sheet-wide terminus-position seasonality ranged from 204 to 277 m (Table 2). Figure 4a shows a histogram of the magnitude of terminus-position seasonality for individual glaciers. Across all of Greenland, the average annual range in terminus positions was 385 m, while the median was 221 m. Just over half of the glaciers had a magnitude of terminus-position seasonality of less than 250 m. Lower magnitudes, however, should not necessarily be interpreted as evidence of weak seasonality, as some glaciers with relatively low magnitudes showed very clear seasonal
timing and magnitude of retreat events for weekly glaciers is presented in Table S3. The timing and magnitude of terminus-position seasonality in the southwest and is lowest in the north and northeast. However, in the eastern regions the dates of greatest advance and retreat tend to get later with increasing latitude. How-ever, in the eastern regions the dates of greatest advance and retreat occur earlier in the north than in the south. The median magnitude of terminus-position seasonality is highest in the southwest and is lowest in the north and northeast. The timing and magnitude of terminus-position seasonality for individual glaciers is presented in Table S3.

4.3 Timing and magnitude of retreat events for weekly glaciers

We digitized 20 glaciers in central west and northwest Greenland at 6d resolution rather than monthly resolution (Table S2). The greater temporal resolution of the weekly dataset allowed us to explore the number and magnitude of retreat events for this subset of glaciers in northwest and central west Greenland. Note that we use the term “retreat events” rather than “calving events” because our method cannot detect calving that did not offset advance between observations and because the calving that we did detect is integrated over a 6d period. Figure 5 shows that both the number and the magnitude of retreat events were greatest in July and August and were lowest in January through March. The timing and magnitude of retreat events for these 20 glaciers, individually and combined together, are shown in Figs. S5 and S6.

5 Discussion

The data reveal several interesting points about the prevalence (Table 1), timing (Fig. 3), and magnitude (Fig. 4) of terminus-position seasonality around the Greenland Ice Sheet, in its regions (Table 3), and at individual glaciers (Table S3). In the following we discuss each aspect separately.

5.1 Prevalence of terminus-position seasonality

Our observations show that terminus-position seasonality is widespread throughout Greenland (Fig. 4b) and is especially common in western regions of the ice sheet (Table 1). We expect that the presence or absence of terminus-position seasonality is related to ice velocity because advection of ice is necessary for the advance phase of the seasonal terminus-position cycle. For instance, a glacier flowing at 50 m a⁻¹ could not sustain annual terminus-position seasonality of larger than 50 m because it is not flowing fast enough to replenish the ice lost each year to complete the seasonal cycle. To estimate representative velocities, we calculated the mean velocity along the most-retreated terminus position for each glacier, using a 2020 annual velocity map (Joughin, 2021; Joughin et al., 2010). We chose the most-retreated terminus position to ensure that it would be covered in the velocity map. Glaciers with significant terminus-position seasonality tended to have a much higher velocity (median velocity of 830 m a⁻¹) than glaciers without significant terminus-position seasonality (median velocity of 270 m a⁻¹). For a glacier flowing at an average of 830 m a⁻¹, a seasonal retreat of 220 m (the median magnitude of terminus-position seasonality) represents removal of nearly a quarter of the annual advection. Applying this relationship to the median velocity of glaciers for which we did not detect significant terminus-position seasonality, we find that these glaciers could have a magnitude of terminus-position seasonality of about 65 m, which would be difficult to detect in the Sentinel-1 SAR mosaics that we used.

We also explored whether our classification of the presence or absence of terminus-position seasonality aligned with other classifications of glaciers in Greenland. Vijay et al. (2021) classified glaciers based on their seasonal velocity patterns following Moon et al. (2014), which may indicate variations in subglacial hydrology. Their classification includes glaciers that both speed up and slow down during the melt season (“type 2”), glaciers with high winter and spring velocities and a longer period of slowing (“type 3”), and glaciers with no classification. We compared our terminus-position seasonality classification with their seasonal veloc-
Table 3. Regional breakdown of the timing and magnitude of terminus-position seasonality. All reported values are medians across the set of glaciers in a given region. To contextualize the magnitudes, note that the terminus digitization uncertainty is typically $\sim 25$ m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Peak advance</th>
<th>Peak retreat</th>
<th>Retreat duration (days)</th>
<th>Magnitude (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southwest (SW)</td>
<td>20 April</td>
<td>7 September</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central west (CW)</td>
<td>28 April</td>
<td>10 September</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest (NW)</td>
<td>18 May</td>
<td>7 October</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North (NO)</td>
<td>4 June</td>
<td>14 September</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast (NE)</td>
<td>9 May</td>
<td>5 October</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast (SE)</td>
<td>25 May</td>
<td>24 November</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland</td>
<td>12 May</td>
<td>10 October</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Distribution of the monthly (a) number and (b) magnitude of retreat events for each of the weekly glaciers. The width of the shaded region represents the likelihood of a given (a) number or (b) magnitude of retreat events occurring in a given month. Horizontal black bars show the median for each month, and blue dots show the values for individual glaciers.

We established that, ice-sheet-wide, seasonal glacier advance tends to peak (and retreat begins) in May or early June each year, and retreat tends to peak (and advance begins) in October to early November (Fig. 3), with regional variations in timing (Table 3). The timing of peak advance and retreat that we observe is generally consistent with regional studies of glacier terminus seasonality (Carr et al., 2013; Fried et al., 2018; Seale et al., 2011). In cases where there are differences in the timing of terminus-position seasonality, it appears to be related to the number of glaciers sampled (Sakakibara and
The only difference in Greenland-wide compilations is with King et al. (2018), who found that ice-sheet-wide retreat commenced about 1 month earlier (early April through late September) than our findings (mid-May through early October; Table 3). However, in calculating the timing of retreat, King et al. (2018) weighted each glacier by its contribution to total discharge; some of the highest-discharge glaciers begin retreating earlier in the year, which would bias the weighted timing of retreat earlier in the year as well. We do find that the number and magnitude of retreat events, which typically peaked in July and August (Fig. 5), matches well with the seasonal peak discharge in mid-July reported by King et al. (2018).

The duration of the retreat period varies from year to year (Fig. 3). The annual magnitude of ice-sheet-wide terminus-position seasonality tends to increase with the duration of the annual retreat period (Table 2); although the sample size is small, linear regression indicates a strong fit ($R^2 = 0.803$, $p = 0.016$) between magnitude and duration. The years 2016 and 2019 have the longest retreat periods (181 to 185 d) and 2020, 2015, and 2017 have the shortest retreat periods (122 to 128 d); 2018 was in the middle with a retreat duration of 156 d. We do not include 2021 here because retreat can continue into the following year, and we have not digitized data for 2022, so our retreat duration for 2021 may be truncated.

In the years with the longest retreat periods, retreat both started earlier (early May) and ended later (early November) than in the years with shorter retreat periods (early June through early October); in 2018 retreat occurred from early May through early October. The earlier initiation of retreat for the years with longer retreat durations may be related to the timing of the onset of melt on the ice sheet. We examined cumulative annual melt area (Mote, 2014; Mote and Anderson, 1995) and found that melt started relatively early (mid-April) in 2016, 2018, and 2019 and relatively late (early May) in 2015 and 2017. The 2020 result appears to be an outlier as early-season melt followed a similar trajectory to 2018 and 2019, but 2020 had the shortest observed retreat period. The timing of the onset of melt may control the initiation and duration of retreat through the effects of increased melt on early mélange breakup, hydrofracture-induced calving, and terminus undercutting through enhanced subglacial discharge. The timing of the onset of melt appears to be more important to retreat duration than the total melt, as 2015 and 2020 ultimately had moderate cumulative melt (more than 2017 and 2018) but also had the shortest retreat durations in our record. The duration of the retreat period also does not appear to correspond strongly with annual net mass balance or surface mass balance on an ice-sheet-wide scale (Fettweis et al., 2017; Shepherd et al., 2020; Simonsen et al., 2021).

Our findings about the timing and magnitude of terminus-position seasonality provide some insights into previous studies. Fried et al. (2018) found that glaciers with terminus-position seasonality of a magnitude of less than 500 m tended to be more sensitive to runoff. We found that 78% of glaciers in our study had a seasonal magnitude of less than 500 m (Fig. 4), so it is possible that runoff dominates seasonality for most glaciers in Greenland. However, a number of the glaciers in our study start advancing very late in the season (e.g., December, January) and/or start retreating very early in the season (e.g., February, March) (Fig. 3), which suggests that the timing of their seasonality is not entirely controlled by runoff. Instead, the timing of seasonality for these glaciers seems more likely to be controlled by the formation of proglacial mélange, which tends to lag the end of runoff and can facilitate glacier advance (Carr et al., 2013; Howat et al., 2010; Joughin et al., 2008a; Kehrl et al., 2017; Kneib-Walter et al., 2021; Todd and Christiansen, 2014), and by mid-winter episodes of mélange clearing, which can help initiate early glacier retreat (Bevan et al., 2019; Cassotto et al., 2015; Howat et al., 2010; Joughin et al., 2008a). The conditions under which each mechanism may dominate remain unclear.

### 5.3 Magnitude of terminus-position seasonality

The magnitude of seasonal terminus variations tends to be small relative to the multidecadal retreat, with 56% of glaciers having a magnitude of less than 250 m and only 22% having a magnitude greater than 500 m (Fig. 4). Many prior studies of glacier terminus seasonality used MODIS daily imagery to capture terminus positions at a higher temporal resolution than we could achieve with Sentinel-1 (Joughin et al., 2008b; Schindl and Hamilton, 2013; Seale et al., 2011). However, the spatial resolution of MODIS imagery at best is limited to 250 m, so the terminus-position seasonality of many glaciers in Greenland would not be detectable in MODIS imagery. Studies using higher-resolution imagery have been focused on western Greenland (Carr et al., 2013; Fried et al., 2018; Moon et al., 2015). Fried et al. (2018) found seasonal terminus-position cycles ranging in magnitude from 150 to 1000 m in central west Greenland, which is consistent with our findings for the same subset of glaciers, with magnitudes ranging from 80 to 880 m. The only glacier in central west Greenland with a larger magnitude was Sermeq Kujalleq (Jakobshavn Isbrae, no. 3), with a magnitude of 2600 m, but this glacier was not included in Fried et al. (2018). In northwestern Greenland, previous estimates of magnitudes of terminus-position seasonality ranged from 600 to 800 m (Carr et al., 2013; Moon et al., 2015), which is 3 to 4 times higher than our median magnitude of 220 m for this region. These studies looked at small subsets of glaciers in northwestern Greenland; applying approximately the same subsets to our data, we found median magnitudes ranging from 340 to 470 m and mean magnitudes between 530 and 550 m, which are still below the previously reported magnitudes. These differences in magnitude may reflect differences in methodology, as we remove the interannual length trend before calculating the magnitude of the terminus-position seasonality. Alternatively, the differences between our study
Figure 6. Relationship between the magnitude of terminus-position seasonality and (a) glacier width, with the width outlier Sermersuaq (Humboldt Glacier) removed, and (b) mean annual glacier velocity. In both subplots, the blue dots represent data for individual glaciers and the black line represents the linear regression between the two variables.

and previously reported values could reflect the evolution of terminus-position seasonality over time, as the data from Carr et al. (2013) were taken from 2004 through 2012 and those from Moon et al. (2015) were from 2009 through 2014, both of which predate our study period (2015–2021).

Some previous studies have found a strong relationship between the magnitude of terminus-position seasonality and glacier width (Schild and Hamilton, 2013; Seale et al., 2011). We examined the relationship between magnitude and width for the glaciers in our study and found that, while there was a significant correlation ($p = 0.002$), width alone could not explain the variance in the data ($R^2 = 0.055$). This correlation was improved somewhat ($p = 0.000$, $R^2 = 0.184$) by removing Sermersuaq (Humboldt Glacier, no. 92), which at $\sim 32$ km wide was a substantial outlier (Fig. 6a). Seale et al. (2011) also found that glaciers with magnitudes of terminus-position seasonality of less than 1 km were typically less than 2 km wide. However, we found that of 157 glaciers with magnitudes of terminus-position seasonality of less than 1 km, 108 were actually wider than 2 km.

We instead found a stronger correlation between the magnitude of terminus-position seasonality and mean annual glacier velocity ($p = 0.000$, $R^2 = 0.493$; Fig. 6b). The stronger correlation between terminus-position seasonality and glacier velocity makes sense when considering how much a glacier would have to calve to balance its velocity. For instance, at a glacier that is flowing at several kilometers per year at the terminus, our median magnitude of terminus-position seasonality of 221 m would be a relatively small signal. However, above we showed that this terminus-position seasonality would remove a quarter of the annual advection for a glacier flowing at the median observed annual velocity. Because our data are detrended, the magnitude of terminus-position seasonality is separated from interannual terminus-position trends. Our measured magnitude of terminus-position seasonality also only captures the period of seasonal retreat and does not include calving events that may happen outside of that period. Therefore, there is likely to be additional retreat each year to offset the annual advection and generate the widespread interannual retreat that has been observed.

5.4 Comparisons with select individual glaciers

The spatial breadth of our study allows us to make comparisons to data on several individual large glaciers reported in previous studies (Table 4). At all of these glaciers, we find that the differences in the timing and magnitude of terminus-position seasonality reported by our study compared to others are relatively small (approximately 1 month) (Howat et al., 2010; Joughin et al., 2008a, b; Kehrl et al., 2017; Schild and Hamilton, 2013). In a few cases we found larger differences in timing, typically compared to the data reported by Schild and Hamilton (2013), who studied several of these glaciers from 2001 to 2010. For example, at Daugaard-Jensen (no. 120), they reported that seasonal retreat began in late May, whereas we found that retreat began over 1 month earlier, in early April. At Kangerlussuaq (no. 153), Schild and Hamilton (2013) reported that it typically retreated from July through late September; we found that it instead retreats from mid-July until December, which is consistent with more recent findings (Bevan et al., 2019; Brough et al., 2019; Kehrl et al., 2017). Finally, at Helheim, we found that the timing of the initiation of retreat was shifted 1 month earlier than in Schild and Hamilton (2013) and Joughin et al. (2008b), who found that Helheim typically began retreating and calving in May. All of these differences can likely be explained by interannual variations in terminus-position seasonality and the different time periods covered by these studies. Our data are
Table 4. Timing and magnitude of terminus-position seasonality for several individual glaciers for comparison with previous studies. All reported values are medians for the given glacier.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier</th>
<th>Peak advance</th>
<th>Peak retreat</th>
<th>Retreat duration (days)</th>
<th>Magnitude (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sermeq Kujalleq (Jakobshavn Isbræ)</td>
<td>16 April</td>
<td>14 August</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kangiilliuq Sermia (Rink)</td>
<td>4 June</td>
<td>22 September</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daugaard-Jensen</td>
<td>8 April</td>
<td>2 September</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>1330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kangerlussuaq</td>
<td>2 July</td>
<td>26 December</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>2650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helheim</td>
<td>7 April</td>
<td>24 September</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 Conclusions

We used Sentinel-1 SAR images to characterize terminus-position seasonality for 219 marine-terminating glaciers around Greenland from January 2015 through December 2021. We found that terminus-position seasonality is common, with 78% of glaciers expressing significant seasonality. The glaciers that do not have significant terminus-position seasonality tend to have large floating tongues or relatively low ice velocities. Of the glaciers with significant terminus-position seasonality, retreat typically begins in mid-May and advance typically begins in early October, with some variation in different years and in different regions of Greenland and substantial variation among individual glaciers. The number and timing of retreat events peaks in July and August and is lowest in January through March. The average annual peak-to-trough magnitude of terminus-position seasonality is nearly 400 m, although this is skewed by a few glaciers with very large seasonal cycles; the median magnitude is about 220 m. We found a stronger relationship between the magnitude of terminus-position seasonality and glacier velocity than between magnitude and glacier width. Because glacier dynamics are sensitive to conditions at the terminus, understanding terminus-position seasonality is important for projecting future glacier change. This study provides an important step forward by extending characterizations of terminus-position seasonality from individual glaciers and regions to the entire ice sheet.
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