



Supplement of

Arctic sea-ice-free season projected to extend into autumn

Marion Lebrun et al.

Correspondence to: Marion Lebrun (marion.lebrun@locean-ipsl.upmc.fr)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.

Supplementary Material

Table S1. Impact of statistical parameters on observation-based trends and seasonality diagnostics. The table gives satellite-derived sea ice seasonality statistics (1980-2015): trends in ice retreat date (r_r) , ice advance date (r_a) and length of the ice-free season (r_l) , as well as long-term $(R_{a/r}^{long})$ and short-term $(R_{a/r}^{short})$ ice advance offset ratios, given for varying computational parameters. Trends and ratios are given as median \pm interquartile range, taken over a specified ensemble of satellite pixels, verifying two conditions: (i) N_{ij} , the number of years for which the retreat and advance dates are both defined, is larger than N_{min} ; (ii) the trends in retreat and advance dates by a p-value $p_{ij} < p_{max}$. When $p_{max}=1$, there is no selection of pixels based on the significance of the trends. T_{smooth} corresponds to the smoothing period applied to raw ice concentration time series.

N _{min}	p_{max}	T _{smooth}	r _r	r _a	r_l	$R^{long}_{{f a}/r}$	$R^{short}_{\mathrm{a/r}}$	N
		(days)	(days/deca	(days/deca	(days/decad			(% of SIZ)
			de)	de)	e)			
4	1	15	-4.6 ± 8.6	4.8 ± 6.7	9.4 ± 13.3	0.65 ± 1.38	0.21 ± 0.31	23475 (100%)
12	1	15	-4.8 ± 7.7	4.9 ± 5.8	9.8 ± 12.1	0.71 ± 1.14	0.21 ± 0.27	19500 (83%)
30	1	15	-5.4 ± 6.4	4.6 ± 4.3	10.3 ± 9.9	0.77 ± 0.83	0.21 ± 0.23	10047 (43%)
12	0.25	15	-7.6 ± 6.5	6.1 ± 5.3	13.8 ± 1.1	0.78 ± 0.60	0.23 ± 0.23	9493 (40.4 %)
12	0.05	15	-8.8 ± 7.2	6.1 ± 5.3	15.3 ± 11.4	0.71 ± 0.42	0.24 ± 0.23	5243 (22.3 %)
12	0.05	5	-9.4 ± 8.8	6.7 ± 6.2	17.0 ± 13.1	0.69 ± 0.43	0.20 ± 0.22	4910 (23.8 %)

Figure S1. CMIP5 (blue; median \pm IQR of the 9 models), Satellite observations (black) and forcedatmosphere IPSL-CM simulation (red) sea ice extent seasonal cycle between 1980-2015.



Figure S2. Evaluation of the impact of using monthly mean values as a basis for the CMIP5 computation of ice retreat and advance dates and ice-free season. To do this we have calculated ice retreat and advance date and ice-free season length from three different sources: (i) the daily ice concentration directly from satellite observations ("daily"); (ii) the monthly sea ice concentration, averaged from satellite daily concentrations ("monthly"); (iii) the satellite monthly fields, reinterpolated daily ("interpolated").

For each ice seasonality diagnostics, the maps represent the spatial distribution of the difference between the interpolated (top) or monthly (bottom) field and the daily field. Median \pm IQR refers to all points in the seasonal ice zone.





Figure S3. Maps of ice retreat date, ice advance date and ice-free season length over 1980-2015 (36 years) for the individual CMIP5 models and a forced-atmosphere IPSL-CM simulation.





Figure S4. Maps of trend in ice retreat date, ice advance date and ice-free season length over 1980-2015 (36 years) for the individual CMIP5 models and a forced-atmosphere IPSL-CM simulation. Hatching refers to the 95% confidence interval (p=0.05).





days / year



Figure S5. Evaluation of the impact of internal variability. Long-term ice advance vs. retreat amplification coefficient from four realizations of IPSL-CM5A-LR over 1980-2015, 2015-2050 and 2050-2085.



Figure S6. Evaluation of the impact of internal variability. Short-term ice advance vs. retreat amplification coefficient from four realizations of IPSL-CM5A-LR over 1980-2015, 2015-2050 and 2050-2085.



Figure S7. Impact of simulated mean state on the long-term ice advance vs. retreat amplification coefficient (1980-2015, 75% confidence interval). To illustrate this, we show the satellite-derived coefficient (centre), a forced-atmosphere IPSL-CM simulation (left) with better mean state than the fully-coupled IPSL-CM5A-LR simulation (right).



Figure S8. Evolution of the ice seasonality diagnostics (day of ice retreat, blue; and day of ice advance d_a , orange), for all individual models with corresponding range of satellite derived-values (green rectangles 1980-2015) over the 70-80°N latitude band. the average polar night is also depicted (grey rectangles).



Figure S9. Impact of using a more restrictive confidence interval for the long-term ice advance vs. retreat amplification coefficient (to be compared with Fig. 2). long-term ice advance vs. retreat amplification coefficient using a more restrictive (95%) confidence interval for (a) passive microwave retrievals over 1980-2015; IPSL-CM5A-LR over (b) 1980-2015, (c) 2015-2050, (d) 2050-2085.

