



Corrigendum to **“Spatial and temporal distributions of surface mass balance between Concordia and Vostok stations, Antarctica, from combined radar and ice core data: first results and detailed error analysis” published in The Cryosphere, 12, 1831–1850, 2018**

Emmanuel Le Meur¹, Olivier Magand¹, Laurent Arnaud¹, Michel Fily¹, Massimo Frezzotti², Marie Cavitte³,
Robert Mulvaney⁴, and Stefano Urbini⁵

¹University Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IGE, Grenoble, France

²ENEA, Agenzia Nazionale per le nuove tecnologie, l'energia e lo sviluppo sostenibile, Rome, Italy

³Institute for Geophysics, University of Texas at Austin, Texas, USA

⁴British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK

⁵Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Rome, Italy

Correspondence: Emmanuel Le Meur (emmanuel.lemeur@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr)

Published: 21 June 2018

In the published article “Spatial and temporal distributions of surface mass balance between Concordia and Vostok stations, Antarctica, from combined radar and ice core data: first results and detailed error analysis” the first sentence of the Acknowledgements section was incorrect. Please find a corrected version hereafter:

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the French Research National Agency (projects ANR-07-VULN-013 VANISH and ANR-07-BLAN-0125 DOME A), who provided significant financial support to the TASTE-IDEA 2010-11 traverse, from which data of the present study originate. The French Polar Institute (IPEV) was crucial to the success of this traverse by coordinating all the logistical aspects and providing the necessary staff, material, and provisions. The technical staff (IGE: Eric Lefebvre and Grégory Teste; IPEV: Antony Vende, Alexandre Leluc, and David Colin) are also heartily thanked for their invaluable expertise. The Russian Arctic and Antarctic Expeditions (AARI, Vostok base) are also thanked for having welcomed the traverse at the Vostok Station. Projects ANR-06-VULN-016-01DACOTA and ANR-12-BS06-0018-SUMER also contributed by funding a significant part of the GPR used in the present study. Lastly, we would like to thank the three reviewers, whose suggestions and remarks considerably improved the manuscript.

Edited by: Michiel van den Broeke

Reviewed by: Elisabeth Isaksson, Ian D. Goodwin,
and one anonymous referee